EXHIBIT I

1 CONFIDENTIAL 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 11-CV-03605(JSR)(HBP) 4 5 -----x 6 IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, 7 Videotaped Deposition of: Plaintiff, 8 KEVIN OKIMOTO 9 vs. 10 SAUL B. KATZ, et al., Defendants. 11 -----x 12 13 14 15 Transcript of testimony as taken by and before 16 LESLIE ROCKWOOD, Certified Shorthand Reporter, RPR and Notary Public of the State of California, at the offices 17 of Shearman & Sterling, Four Embarcadero, Suite 3800, 18 19 San Francisco, California, on Friday, January 6, 2012, 20 commencing at 9:39 a.m. 21 22 23 24 25

1

PICARD v. KATZ, et al.

CONFIDENTIAL

KEVIN OKIMOTO 1/6/12

	139	
1	Q. BY MS. BELL: Yes. The Summary Statistics,	
2	do you have an understanding as to what's being conveyed	
3	in these summary statistics that's listed on this page,	
4	SSMT01238228?	
5	A. Well, as you can see on the page, it's both	
6	specifics about the firm asset metrics as well as the	
7	metrics associated with one particular fund, the Security	
8	Fund on our platform.	
9	Q. Would this document be sent to investors of	
10	Sterling Stamos?	
11	A. It could have been.	
12	Q. Would this document be sent to potential	
13	investors of Sterling Stamos?	
14	A. Potentially.	
15	Q. If you flip through to internal 23, and I'm	
16	sorry the numbers are a little blurred, but it's	
17	SSMT01238242.	
18	Do you see that?	
19	A. I do.	
20	Q. It says: "Professionals, Senior Investment	
21	Team."	
22	Do you see that?	
23	A. I do.	
24	Q. It says: "Peter Stamos, Ashok Chachra, Ellen	
25	Horing, Saul Katz, David Katz."	

BENDISH REPORTING, INC. 877.404.2193

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	KEVIN OKIMOTO 1/6/12
			140
1	On the	at page, do you see t	hat?
2	A. I do.		
3	Q. Do yo	u have an understandi	ng in December of
4	2004 that these w	ere the members of th	e senior investment
5	team at Sterling	Stamos?	
6	MS. B	IEBER: Objection. Y	'ou can answer.
7	MR. D	ITCHFIELD: Objection	
8	THE W	ITNESS: This isn't h	low I would define
9	our investment tea	am.	
10	Q. BY MS	. BELL: Okay. How -	- is the
11	information that's	s represented on page	e 23 accurate in
12	terms of who the m	members of the senior	investment team
13	are at that time,	December 2004?	
14	MS. B	IEBER: Objection.	
15	MR.D.	ITCHFIELD: Objection	
16	THE W	ITNESS: Again, it wo	ouldn't be the way
17	that I would defin	ne it.	
18	Q. BY MS	. BELL: How would yo	ou define the senior
19	investment team?		
20	A. I vie	w the senior investme	ent team as those
21	individuals that	were full-time employ	rees and engaged on
22	a day-to-day basi	s for investment deci	sions within the
23	firm.		
24	Q. Is the	e information I'll	ask the question
25	again represen	ted on page 23 of Tru	stee's 266,

PICARD v. KATZ, et al.

CONFIDENTIAL

KEVIN OKIMOTO 1/6/12

	141		
1	SSMT01238242, an accurate representation of the senior		
2	investment team as of December 2004 at Sterling Stamos?		
3	MS. BIEBER: Objection.		
4	MR. DITCHFIELD: Objection.		
5	MS. BELL: You can answer.		
6	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see how my		
7	answer is any different from what I just gave a second		
8	ago.		
9	Q. BY MS. BELL: So just so that the record is		
10	clear, this is not an accurate		
11	A. This isn't how I would define it.		
12	MR. DITCHFIELD: Also objection to the		
13	characterization of the testimony.		
14	MS. BIEBER: Objection. Yeah.		
15	Q. BY MS. BELL: Why don't we go through each of		
16	these. Was Peter Stamos, in terms of how you would		
17	define it, was he a member of the senior investment team		
18	at Sterling Stamos as of December 2004?		
19	A. I would include him in that group.		
20	Q. Ashok Chachra, was he a member of the senior		
21	investment team at Sterling Stamos as of December 2004?		
22	A. Yes.		
23	Q. Ellen Horing, would she be a member in terms		
24	of how you would define it of the senior investment team		
25	at Sterling Stamos as of December 2004?		

BENDISH REPORTING, INC. 877.404.2193

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	KEVIN OKIMOTO 1/6/12
			142
1	A. I'm no	t sure if I'd includ	le her in that group
2	or not.		
3	Q. Okay.		
4	A. She wa	s more engaged with	investment
5	decisions and day-	to-day interactions	with the portfolio
6	management team, b	out I don't recall at	this period of
7	time how much time	she was actually de	edicating to
8	Sterling Stamos ve	ersus some of her oth	er pursuits.
9	Q. When y	ou say "She was more	engaged," more
10	engaged in relatio	n to what, what are	you referring to?
11	A. Some o	f these other names	I see on the list.
12	Q. Let's	talk about Saul B. H	Catz. Was he a
13	member of the seni	or investment team o	of Sterling Stamos
14	as of December 200	4 the way that you w	vould define it?
15	A. I woul	d not define him in	that group.
16	Q. What a	bout David M. Katz,	was he a member of
17	the senior investm	ent team of Sterling	g Stamos as of
18	December 2004?		
19	A. I woul	d not include him ir	1 that group.
20	Q. Okay.	If you go to the se	econd document
21	that's attached th	at begins with Bates	3 Number
22	SSMT01238252.		
23	Do you	see that? It's ent	itled "Sterling
24	Stamos, Security F	und, LP, Marketing S	Supplement,
25	October 2004."		

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL KEVIN OKIMOTO 1/6/12		
	152		
1	A. I do.		
2	Q. If you flip over to page 3, the last heading		
3	says: "Saul B. Katz: Mr. Katz is a General Partner of		
4	Sterling Stamos and is actively involved in the		
5	investment decisions as well as the management of		
б	Sterling Stamos."		
7	Do you see that?		
8	A. I see that.		
9	Q. Did you have an understanding in February of		
10	2005 that Mr. Saul Katz was involved in the investment		
11	decisions of Sterling Stamos?		
12	A. I would not define him in that capacity and I		
13	don't know if who prepared this document and whether		
14	or not this document is final. It looks like it's in		
15	draft form. So I'm not sure if this even made it through		
16	to the final form or not.		
17	Q. How did you come by the understanding that		
18	this document is in draft form?		
19	A. On the first page there are open answers that		
20	say still to discuss with two lawyers in our firm, Jared		
21	Kanover and Jeremy Glaser.		
22	Q. Okay. Turn to page 4. We're almost out of		
23	time, so on the tape. Number 11, where it says		
24	"Investment Management."		
25	Do you see that? "Peter, Ashok, Ellen, David		

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	KEVIN OKIMOTO 1/6/12
			153
1	K. and Saul K."?		
2	A. I do.		
3	Q. Did y	ou have an understan	ding of whether
4	David Katz was pa	art of the investment	management team at
5	Sterling Stamos a	ns of February 2005?	
6	MS. E	BIEBER: Objection.	You can answer.
7	THE W	NITNESS: I would not	qualify him in my
8	definition.		
9	MS. E	BELL: You can put th	is exhibit to the
10	side.		
11	THE V	IDEOGRAPHER: Okay.	This is the end of
12	Tape 2. We're of	f the record at 2:48	
13	(Rece	285.)	
14	THE V	IDEOGRAPHER: This i	s the beginning of
15	Tape 3. We're or	the record at 3:06.	
16	Q. BY MS	3. BELL: Mr. Okimoto	, you testified this
17	morning that you	gave deposition test	imony in Bayou; is
18	that correct?		
19	A. Corre	ect.	
20	Q. Was S	Sterling Stamos an in	vestor in Bayou?
21	A. Yes.		
22	Q. And h	now did you come to g	ive deposition
23	testimony in Bayo	ou?	
24	MS. E	SIEBER: Objection.	
25	You c	an answer.	