Exhibit 9

1 CONFIDENTIAL 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 11-CV-03605(JSR)(HBP) 4 5 -----X 6 IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. 7 Madoff Investment Securities LLC, Videotaped Deposition of: Plaintiff, 8 BASIL STAMOS, M.D. v. 9 SAUL B. KATZ, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 -----x 12 13 14 15 TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and before 16 LESLIE ROCKWOOD, RPR, CSR No. 3462, at the offices of Shearman & Sterling, Four Embarcadero, Suite 3800, 17 18 San Francisco, California, on Tuesday, January 3, 2012, 19 commencing at 9:30 a.m. 20 21 22 23 24 25

1

PICARD v. KATZ, et al.

CONFIDENTIAL

13

1 Haiti.

2 Q. Could you tell me briefly what your3 employment history was?

Sure. After I completed my residency at Cook 4 Α. 5 County, I stayed on as an attending physician at Cook 6 County Hospital, and then I transferred to San Francisco, 7 where I worked for the Department of Public Health for ten years in a homeless clinic. Then I did some 8 9 volunteer work overseas, and then returned worked, with Sterling Stamos for three-and-a-half years heading up the 10 philanthropic division. 11

12 Q. Could you tell me when that was? 13 A. It was December of 2003 until roughly June, 14 July of 2007.

Q. Were you involved with Sterling Stamos at anytime prior to December of 2003?

A. No.

17

18 Q. How did you come to be employed by Sterling 19 Stamos?

20 A. My brother is the CEO and founder of Sterling21 Stamos.

Q. Your brother is?
A. Peter Stamos.
Q. And did he ask you to come to work there?
A. Yes.

PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12 14 And what position did you hold when you came 1 0. 2 there in December of 2003? 3 To head up the corporate philanthropy Α. division of Sterling Stamos. 4 5 What is the corporate philanthropy division Ο. 6 of Sterling Stamos? What does it do or what did it do? 7 Right. We had made a commitment early on to Α. give a substantial proportion of our profits to 8 9 philanthropic causes, and that was my job. What did you mean that was your job? Could 10 0. you elaborate, please. 11 Sure. Essentially to find the appropriate --12 Α. appropriate places to put our capital to work in 13 humanitarian causes, and essentially we decided to focus 14 15 on infectious disease in the developing world. So that implied frequent trips to places like Haiti, Dominican 16 17 Republic, Cambodia, predominantly Cambodia, developing relationships with nonprofits in those areas, financially 18 supporting them, joining a couple of the boards that did 19 20 work in those areas, and then finding other people to 21 help support those programs. 22 Q. Did anybody else at Sterling Stamos assist you in your day-to-day tasks? 23 24 My younger brother, Chris Stamos, was also Α. 25 involved.

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/1
			15
1	Q. And what	at was Chris' positio	on at Sterling
2	Stamos at that time	e?	
3	A. He was	you know, to be h	nonest with you, I
4	actually don't reme	ember his official po	osition, but he was
5	essentially working	g out of New York, mo	ostly on the client
6	relations side of	the company.	
7	Q. What d	id you understand his	s responsibilities
8	to be for Sterling	Stamos?	
9	A. To worl	k closely with the cl	lients and to also
10	help me with phila	nthropic relationship	ps.
11	Q. Did you	u have an ownership :	interest in
12	Sterling Stamos?		
13	A. Yes.		
14	Q. Can you	u tell me when you ac	cquired that
15	ownership interest	?	
16	A. I beli	eve it was as soon as	s I started. It
17	was in December of	2003.	
18	Q. You die	dn't hold an ownersh	ip interest at any
19	time prior?		
20	A. I did,	but it was a I'm	trying to
21	remember. I had in	nitially made an inve	estment, and then
22	was asked to withd:	raw my investment bed	cause I wasn't
23	working with the co	ompany. Once I start	ed working with
24	the company full-t:	ime, I was able to bu	ay a portion of the
25	company.		

	PICARD v. KATZ, et	al. CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12
			16
1	Q.	To be clear, when you sa	y that you made an
2	investment	with the company	
3	А.	Yes.	
4	Q.	you were referring to	Sterling Stamos and
5	not one of	its investment funds?	
6	Α.	That's correct.	
7	Q.	And who asked you to wit	hdraw your
8	investment?		
9	Α.	Peter did.	
10	Q.	And did he tell you why?	
11	Α.	Essentially because I wa	s not working with
12	the firm, h	e didn't think it was pro	per.
13	Q.	What there came a tim	e that you did
14	acquire an	ownership interest.	
15	А.	That's correct.	
16	Q.	And again, that was arou	nd December of '03?
17	А.	I believe it was, yes.	
18	Q.	And what ownership inter	est did you acquire?
19	А.	I think I had 2 percent	ownership in the
20	company.		
21	Q.	Can you describe for me	what the ownership
22	structure w	as at that time when you	acquired your
23	2 percent i	nterest?	
24	Α.	Could you please elabora	te.
25	Q.	Who were the other owner	s, if you know, and

PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12 24 1 Α. Explain to me. I'm sorry. Which investment 2 managers? 3 Were any investment managers of Sterling Ο. Stamos ever discussed at these meetings? 4 5 MR. DITCHFIELD: Objection to the form. 6 MS. BIEBER: Objection. 7 Q. BY MS. GRIFFIN: Did Sterling Stamos have investment managers, to your knowledge? 8 9 A. Yes. Were any of them discussed at any of these 10 0. meetings that you went to? 11 12 I don't recall. Α. Did you ever interact with investors of 13 Ο. Sterling Stamos? 14 15 Α. Yes. Q. And under what circumstances would you 16 interact with investors? 17 18 It was usually after a meeting that my Α. 19 brother had with a potential investor to talk about our 20 philanthropic endeavors. Q. Would you ever speak to any investors about 21 22 investing in Sterling Stamos? 23 Α. No. 24 If you could just give me us a moment, we're 0. 25 going to show you a couple of documents.

PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12 30 your friends and colleagues outside of Sterling Stamos? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And what would you generally discuss about Ο. the firm? 4 5 Α. Usually the nature of my work and what we 6 want to do. 7 Q. And when you say the nature of your work and what we want to do, what are you referring to? 8 9 From the very beginning, I saw this as a Α. wonderful opportunity to build capital and do some good 10 work around the world, and that's what drew me to it. 11 12 Plus also the possibility of working with my brothers, which would be -- you know, it's a great opportunity. 13 Did you have an understanding about what 14 Ο. Peter -- Peter's objectives were with regard to Sterling 15 16 Stamos? I think he wanted to build a world-class 17 Α. investment company that had a strong social conscience 18 19 and a strong philanthropic arm; that we would do something that other firms didn't do, and that was to 20 give a substantial proportion of our profits to good 21 22 work. Q. And when you refer to a world-class 23 24 investment company --25 A. Yeah.

	PICARD v. KATZ, et	al. CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12
			63
1		MS. GRIFFIN: I'm guilty, too	·-
2		THE WITNESS: We're having a	nice
3	conversatior	1.	
4	Q.	BY MS. GRIFFIN: Exactly. Di	d you remain a
5	partner, sti	ill, even though you left?	
6	А.	Yes.	
7	Q.	Are you still a partner?	
8	А.	I'm a silent equity holder.	
9	Q.	Does did Peter still remai	n as CEO?
10	Α.	Yes.	
11	Q.	Did there come a time that yo	u first learned
12	of the revel	lation of Madoff's fraud?	
13	А.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Can you tell me the circumsta	nces under which
15	you heard of	f the revelation of the fraud?	
16	А.	I don't recall who told me.	I don't know if
17	I saw it on	the news or if I heard about	it from the
18	from people	in the firm.	
19	Q.	Were you in San Francisco whe	n you heard
20	about it or	were you in New York?	
21	А.	Can you tell me what the date	was? And I can
22	tell you.		
23	Q.	December 11, 2008.	
24		MS. GRIFFIN: And I'm pretty	sure you'll
25	agree with m	ne on that.	

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12
			70
1	years ago. See t	he press release belo	w."
2	Why w	ere you sending this	email to these
3	people?		
4	A. I reca	all there having been	a press release
5	that confused Ste	rling Equities with S	terling Stamos
6	concerning Madoff	securities, and I wa	nted my colleagues
7	to be clear of the	at mistake.	
8	Q. When	you say a press relea	se was confused, do
9	you know who issu	ed that press release	?
10	A. Actua	lly, let me back up.	Not a press
11	release. There wa	as something in the p	ress initially that
12	got the names mix	ed up, and I wanted m	y colleagues to be
13	aware that that wa	as a mistake.	
14	Q. Why d	id you want your coll	eagues to be aware
15	that that was a m	istake?	
16	A. Right	. Well, looking at a	ll these a
17	number of these en	mails, they were all	related to the
18	nonprofit work the	at I had done, and I	wanted to make sure
19	that my partners i	knew that we were sol	vent, that we were
20	doing well, and t	hat they did not have	to worry about
21	continued funding		
22	Q. When	you say your partners	, I'm sorry, who
23	are you referring	to?	
24	A. These	are my philanthropic	partners that I'm
25	involved with, yes	s.	

	PICARD v. KATZ, e	t al.	CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12
				71
1	Q.	And when	you say that "	we were solvent, that
2	we were do	ing well,"	who were you r	eferring to?
3	А.	The firm	was doing well	
4	Q.	The firm	being Sterling	Stamos?
5	А.	Correct.		
6	Q.	When you	said in the em	ail well, actually,
7	do you reca	all writing	g this email af	ter having reviewed
8	it?			
9	А.	I don't r	remember, no.	
10	Q.	Do you ha	ave any reason	to doubt that you sent
11	this email?	>		
12	А.	I have	- sorry about t	hat.
13		THE VIDEC	GRAPHER: Can	you just slide it up?
14	Thanks.			
15		THE WITNE	SS: Better?	
16		THE VIDEC	GRAPHER: Than	k you.
17		THE WITNE	SS: I have no	reason to doubt.
18	Q.	BY MS. GR	RIFFIN: When -	- the date of the
19	email is De	ecember 13,	2008. Did yc	u still have an email
20	address wit	ch Sterling	g Stamos?	
21	А.	Yes.		
22	Q.	When you	wrote "to my b	orother's credit, Peter
23	made this o	call many y	vears ago," wha	t did you mean by
24	that?			
25	А.	I meant t	hat he got us	out of Sterling out

PICARD v. KATZ, et al.

CONFIDENTIAL

	82
1	Q. And in the email you wrote: "Dear Paul and
2	Ophelia, I wanted to share with you a recent press
3	release concerning the massive Madoff Securities, a
4	tilde, 50 billion scandal. In case you hadn't heard,
5	this is probably the greatest case of fraud in the
б	history of Wall Street. Fortunately, Peter made the
7	correct call many years ago and Sterling Stamos is
8	totally in the clear."
9	Why were you writing to Dr. Paul Farmer and
10	Ophelia Dahl about this?
11	A. Again, for the same reasons. These were
12	major philanthropic partners, and I wanted them to know
13	that Sterling Stamos was not Sterling Equities, which had
14	been released or not released, it had been in the
15	press before this press release came out.
16	Q. Was Sterling was Sterling Stamos involved
17	in all of these not-for-profits that we've been
18	discussing in Trustee's 232 through 243?
19	A. Yes. We were donors.
20	Q. Donors. When you wrote "fortunately Peter
21	made the correct call many years ago and Sterling Stamos
22	is totally in the clear," for the record, what did you
23	mean by that?
24	MS. BIEBER: Objection.
25	You can answer again.

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12
			83
1	TI	HE WITNESS: That it wa	as in my mind, it
2	was because we	e had withdrawn our fur	nding from Madoff
3	Securities as	a family.	
4	Q. B.	Y MS. GRIFFIN: That's	what you meant by
5	"correct call	'?	
6	A. Ye	25.	
7	Q. Ai	nd when you say "Sterl:	ing Stamos is totally
8	in the clear,	" what did you mean by	that?
9	A. Th	nat Sterling Stamos is	not Sterling Equities
10	as had been in	the press.	
11	Q. Ar	nd that was important -	again, why was that
12	important?		
13	A. Th	ney were	
14	M	S. BIEBER: Objection.	
15	Y	ou can answer again.	
16	M	5. GRIFFIN: To be clea	ar on the record, we
17	are talking a	oout a different exhib:	it altogether.
18	M	5. BIEBER: He's saying	g the answers are the
19	same so there	's no reason for him to	o reiterate what the
20	answers are ea	ach time when he's told	d you they're the
21	same.		
22	M	5. GRIFFIN: You can ar	nswer.
23	TI	HE WITNESS: Those are	the same reasons why
24	I had sent the	e emails to other phila	anthropic partners.
25	These were mag	jor philanthropic part	ners.

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12
			87
1	Q. Di	d you have an email address	; at
2	SterlingStamos	.com that was bstamos@Ster]	ingStamos.com?
3	A. Ye	s.	
4	Q. Do	you still have that email	address?
5	А. Үе	s, I do.	
6	Q. Do	you still use it?	
7	А. Үе	s.	
8	Q. Di	d you regularly use your St	erling Stamos
9	email address	to communicate?	
10	A. I	use both that one and anoth	ner email, yes.
11	Q. Wh	en you wrote "thought you g	juys might find
12	the following	press release interesting,"	why did you
13	write that?		
14	A. Ag	ain, for the same reason as	with the
15	previous email	s. These were two philanth	ropic partners
16	for Esperanza,	a non-profit in the Domini	.can Republic
17	that we had fu	nded.	
18	Q. An	d why would they find the p	press release on
19	Sterling Stamo	s Funds Not Invested in Mad	loff Securities
20	interesting?		
21	MS	. BIEBER: Objection.	
22	Уо	u can answer.	
23	TH	E WITNESS: For the same re	eason as with the
24	previous email	s, they were recipients of	our donations,
25	and I did not	want them to be confused by	the previous

PICARD v. KATZ, et al.

CONFIDENTIAL

BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12

88 news release of Sterling Equities versus Sterling Stamos. 1 Q. BY MS. GRIFFIN: If you could look at 2 3 Trustee's 239. That's okay. I have no problems. 4 Α. 5 It's something to be proud of, actually. 0. 6 Not really. Okay. Α. 7 Mr. Stamos -- well, for the record, Trustee's Q. Exhibit 239 is an email. It looks like the string is two 8 9 emails, but maybe you can correct me about this. The top 10 is from Rajesh Gupta. Uh-huh. 11 Α. Email address RGupta1@Stanford.edu, sent 12 0. Sunday, December 14, 2008 at 2:36 p.m. to Basil Stamos, 13 subject: "Re: My man." 14 In the second -- do you recall receiving this 15 16 email from Rajesh Gupta? 17 A. Yes, I do. Q. And who is Rajesh Gupta? 18 19 Α. He is a friend and a colleague. 20 When you say "colleague," in what sense do 0. 21 you mean? 22 Α. He worked at the World Health Organization and with Partners in Health. 23 24 In the second paragraph, he wrote: "First 0. 25 the cash buyout and now dodging the Madoff bullet. You

PICARD v. KATZ, et	al. CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12
		94
А.	BStamos@SterlingStamos.com?	
Q.	Yes.	
А.	Yes, it is.	
Q.	Okay. Do you have any reasc	on to doubt that
you sent tha	at link in the email chain?	
Α.	No.	
Q.	Does this appear to be a res	ponse from Chris
Hest		
А.	Yes.	
Q.	to your email? You can p	out that aside.
	We're going to go straight t	to Trustee 243.
Have you had	l a chance to review Trustee'	s Exhibit 243?
А.	Yes.	
	MS. GRIFFIN: For the record	l, Trustee's 243
is an email	from Basil Stamos sent Frida	ay, December 19,
2008 at 11:	57 a.m., billhousworth@gmail.	com, Bates range
SSMT01195993	3.	
Q.	Do you recognize Trustee's 2	243?
Α.	Yes, I do.	
Q.	Do you recall sending this e	email?
А.	No.	
Q.	How do you recognize Trustee	e's 243?
А.	I know the recipient of this	; email.
Q.	And who is he?	
А.	Dr. Bill Housworth, he's the	e executive
	A. Q. A. Q. you sent that A. Q. Hest A. Q. Have you had A. Q. Have you had A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	 A. BStamos@SterlingStamos.com? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. Do you have any reasory you sent that link in the email chain? A. No. Q. Does this appear to be a reserver to your email? You can provide the set A. Yes. Q to your email? You can provide the you had a chance to review Trustee? A. Yes. MS. GRIFFIN: For the record is an email from Basil Stamos sent Frida 2008 at 11:57 a.m., billhousworth@gmail. SSMT01195993. Q. Do you recognize Trustee's 2 A. Yes, I do. Q. How do you recognize Trustee A. No. Q. How do you recognize Trustee A. I know the recipient of this Q. And who is he?

PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12 95 director of the Angkor Hospital for children. 1 2 Q. In -- do you have any reason to doubt that 3 you sent this email? 4 A. I do not. 5 Q. At the bottom underneath your signature it 6 "P.S., I don't know if you've been following the says: 7 whole Madoff scandal on Wall Street, but it's extremely interesting from our perspective. My brother Peter knows 8 9 all the cast of characters. Fortunately, he stayed far away from it all and has instructed others to do the same 10 for years. I was actually in the fund in 2002, but Peter 11 counseled me out of it. Missed by that much." 12 When you wrote "it's extremely interesting 13 from our perspective, " who is the "our"? 14 15 I'm referring to Sterling Stamos. Α. 16 Ο. And when you say "my brother Peter knows all 17 the cast of characters," what did you mean by "the cast of characters"? 18 19 Α. Meaning that he knows Bernie Madoff and Fred 20 Wilpon and Saul Katz. 21 "Fortunately, he stayed away from it all and Q. 22 has instructed others to do the same for years." For the record, can you tell me what you meant by that? 23 24 That he had advised his family. Α. 25 Q. "He" being?

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	BASIL STAMOS 1/3/12
			96
1	A. Peter	r had advised his fami	ly and his I'm
2	assuming I meant	some investors to not	invest in Bernie
3	Madoff.		
4	Q. And d	do you know what other	s you were
5	referring to?		
6	A. No.	No.	
7	Q. Do yo	ou know how Peter inst	ructed others to
8	stay away?		
9	A. I do	not.	
10	Q. Do yo	ou know what's your ba	sis for
11	understanding that	at he had instructed o	thers to do the
12	same?		
13	A. I'm a	assuming it had been f	or the same reasons
14	why I and my fami	ily had pulled out.	
15	Q. Well,	, how did you come to	know that he had
16	instructed others	s to do the same for y	ears?
17	A. Becau	use Peter had told me	that he had advised
18	others.		
19	Q. Who h	nad he advised?	
20	A. I dor	n't know.	
21	Q. Do yo	ou remember when those	conversations with
22	Peter took place?	?	
23	A. I do	not.	
24	Q. What	did Peter tell you in	those
25	conversations?		