## EXHIBIT G

1	CONFIDENTIAL
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 11-CV-03605(JSR)(HBP)
4	
5	X
6	IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for
7	the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, Videotaped
8	Deposition of: Plaintiff,
9	v. BRUCE G. DUBINSKY
10	SAUL B. KATZ, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	x
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and before
15	NANCY MAHONEY, Certified Court Reporter, Registered
16	Professional Reporter, and Notary Public of the
17	States of New York and New Jersey, at the offices of
18	Davis Polk & Wardwell, 450 Lexington Avenue
19	New York, New York on January 11, 2012, commencing
20	at 9:27 a.m.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2.3

of the split-strike strategy in about '92 after the Avellino & Bienes customers reinvested their money.

- Q. What's the connection between Avellino & Bienes and the reinvestment of the money and the changing of the strategy?
- A. The connection, as I've investigated -- and I can't, again, testify to someone why they did this because I'm not -- I can't jump into Mr. Madoff's head or anybody else there -- there were -- there was an SEC investigation of Avellino & Bienes that culminated in the -- well, Avellino & Bienes was sanctioned by the SEC, fined for acting as an unregistered investment advisor -- or investment firm. And, therefore, the money that they had collected from their individual clients -- they were an accounting firm originally -- was all returned to those clients and then those clients individually set up individual accounts back with BLMIS.

So now you had a situation where prior to that the Avellino & Bienes, up until a point in time, had about six to eight accounts operating. Now BLMIS had 4,500 accounts that flooded in. And from what I understand and what I've investigated, then the strategy became somewhat

2.3

of a scaleable strategy where you would take the split-strike and the options, the OEX options, wrap those around basket of stocks from the S&P 100, and then once you do that, it's fairly easy to replicate that across a wide range of accounts. It's not tailored specifically to you or if somebody else came in.

So that's my understanding of what happened and the extent of it. I can't tell you, you know, why they did that.

Q. So one thing I'm -- I think you said this, but I think I'm not following it.

There were six or eight accounts of Avellino & Bienes and they turned into so many accounts at BLMIS. What -- what was the change there?

A. Well, originally those accounts were -- so if I were a customer of Avellino & Bienes, I gave my money to Avellino & Bienes, they said to -- they would have said to me or --

Q. I see.

A. -- okay, they were invested that way and pooled, kind of like a feeder fund. Okay?

O. Got it.

So each investor in Avellino & Bienes

2.3

we're talking about. There were computer systems in place. There were then expansions of those computer systems into separate systems in House 17, so the resources were available, the opportunity. There were people that had a low level of education and professional training that were being utilized to continue the scheme.

- Q. You mean the employees?
- A. The employees, the employees of House 17. So I think when you kind of roll all that together, those factors contributed to the length of this.
- Q. What in this particular case -- you may have already said it in this recitation, but specifically what do you regard as the opportunity that was available to BLMIS or Mr. Madoff?
- A. Well, if I'm already -- give you an example.

If I'm already selling cars, I'm already in the business. If I want to now start a fraud selling cars that I bought on the black market and throw them into my lot, makes it easy to do, doesn't it?

So when you have that kind of opportunity -- it's much harder if I want to go out

2.3

and put a storefront up to sell cars and I've never done it before.

So, you know, when you have that kind of opportunity and you have the inside track on how to run a business already, how to do it, you can make things happen.

- Q. And the resources would be, I think you just said, the computer systems and the other facilities in place for House 5 which was legitimate?
- A. Well, it's a good point. I haven't concluded House 5 was legitimate. As I detail in the report, I say there was some legitimate trading that I saw and I -- and I went through that.

The fact that House 5 was falsifying FOCUS reports and pumping up their revenues, so I'm not opining that House 5 in any way was legitimate.

Having said that, again, the analogy to the car dealer, you've got somebody over here trading stocks and bonds and acting as a market maker, they have the prop trading. That makes it easier to facilitate pulling something like this off, in my opinion.

Q. I think -- and at any point you're welcome to read your report, but I think then you go