EXHIBIT E

1	CONFIDENTIAL				
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT				
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ADV. PRO. NO. 08-01789 (BRL)				
4	x				
5	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION, Videotaped				
6	, <u> </u>				
7	Plaintiff-Applicant, Rule 2004 v. Examination of:				
8	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT FRED WILPON SECURITIES, LLC,				
9	Defendant.				
10	In Re:				
11	BERNARD L. MADOFF,				
12	Debtor.				
13	x				
14					
15	TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and before				
16	NANCY C. BENDISH, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR				
17	and Notary Public of the States of New York and New				
18	Jersey, at the offices of Baker & Hostetler, 45				
19	Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York on Tuesday,				
20	July 20, 2010, commencing at 10:04 a.m.				
21					
22					
23	DENDICH DEDODEING INC				
24	BENDISH REPORTING, INC. Litigation Support Services 877.404.2193				
25	www.bendish.com				

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10111	
4	BY: FERNANDO A. BOHORQUEZ, ESQ. KATHRYN M. ZUNNO, ESQ.	
5	For Irving Picard, Trustee	
6	BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP 3200 National City Center	
7	1900 East 9th Street Cleveland, OH 44114-3485	
8	BY: THOMAS R. LUCCHESI, ESQ. For Irving Picard, Trustee	
9	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP	
10	450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017	
11	BY: DANA M. SESHENS, ESQ. KAREN E. WAGNER, ESQ.	
12	For Sterling Equities, certain affiliated entities, and the Witness	
13		
14	ALSO PRESENT:	
15	GREGORY P. NERO, ESQ., Sterling Equities DANIEL McCLUTCHY, Videographer	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- So, with respect to the real estate 1 Q. business, the managing and developing real estate 2 properties, who's the partner that has primary 3 responsibility in that business? 4 5 Α. Currently? 6 Q. Currently, yes. 7 Α. Of course it's evolved over the 8 years. 9 Q. Right. 10 Α. But currently, Richard, Michael and Tom will supervise the real estate business. 11 and I are essentially overseers of the whole and 12 specific to a number of the businesses, but not 13 necessarily in the everyday operating position. 14 So with respect to the real estate 15 Q. 16 business, you said that currently Richard Wilpon, 17 Michael Katz and Tom Osterman are the primary 18 partners involved in that? 19 Α. Correct. You said that that has changed over 2.0 Q. time? 2.1
- 22 A. Yes.

- Q. How has that changed over time?
- A. Well, when we started, it was
- 25 Mr. Katz and myself. And then when Michael and

- Richard joined, they were part of the real estate 1 business. My job from the beginning has always been 2 to seek out, acquire the property, to manage the 3 property, the everyday of it. The financial end was 4 5 always taken care of by Michael and Saul. trained in that area, they're both CPAs. Richard 7 and I were more in the operating. At the beginning I would -- I acquired most of the properties for us 8 and visited all the properties. You know, I would 9 10 be constantly on the road visiting the properties or trying to acquire others. Then Richard did that and 11 12 as time went on others in the company did that and that's not been my job of late. 13
 - Q. Currently how do Richard Wilpon or Michael Katz or Tom Osterman, how do they report to you as to what's happening in the real estate sector of the business?

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. It's not an official -- it's not an officious reporting. I might see him in the hall, I might talk to him about some other matter. I might see him at a management meeting. And they would report what was happening, and we would listen to it. They were pretty much running that business. I mean, they would always accept opinions and thoughts, but they were running that business, and

- a decision to start entities for the purpose of investing in Madoff?
- A. I don't think we started an entity.

 I think we started a relationship where each of the

 partners independently invested with Bernie Madoff,

 going way back, obviously.
 - Q. Well, why don't we take it from that end. When do you recall when you first invested in Madoff? You personally.
 - A. Me personally?
- 11 Q. Yes.

8

9

10

16

- 12 A. More than 20 years ago.
- Q. Our records show that the first investment was in about October of 1985. Does that sound right to you?
 - A. Yeah, kind of.
- Q. Prior to making that initial
 investment in Madoff, did you have any discussions
 with Mr. Madoff in connection with making that
 investment?
 - A. The first investment?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- A. I don't know what you mean,
 discussions. He was well known in the industry, was
 a well-known money manager. So we made an

- investment -- I wasn't alone. Several of us made, or maybe all of us made an investment in, starting in, you said '85, it could be. And subsequent to that we had periodic conversations with him about
- 5 the investment. The investments grew, obviously,
- 6 over the years.
- 7 Q. When did you first meet Mr. Madoff?
- A. I met him -- Jeff must have been
- 9 | about 15 and Mark Madoff was about 14, something
- 10 | like that, they were about the same age. Maybe 13
- 11 or 14, I'm not sure exactly when, but when they were
- 12 | kids in school, I met them. We lived in the same
- 13 town. So, Jeff is 49. Over 30 years.
- Q. What town did you live in?
- A. Roslyn, Long Island.
- Q. And how far was -- at that time how
- 17 far was your home from the Madoff home?
- 18 A. Three, four, five miles. I've never
- 19 been to the Madoff home in Long Island. I don't
- 20 know exactly where he lived, but I know he lived in
- 21 the same town.
- Q. Okay. And tell me about how you
- first met him in connection with your son and Mark
- 24 Madoff.
- A. Mark and Andy, his younger brother,

- 1 and some other kids that were going to school
- 2 | together met, and from time to time I'd see them at
- 3 our house. They were always around, playing ball or
- 4 | whatever. Soon thereafter we met their parents.
- 5 Generally we would meet the parents of our kids'
- 6 friends.
- And so we knew them, you know, we met
- 8 | them at that time, and then subsequent to that I
- 9 think they moved to New York when their kids were
- 10 | maybe going to high school. I'm not exactly sure
- 11 | when they moved, but they moved to New York sometime
- 12 | thereafter. Lived in New York most of the time that
- 13 | we knew them. New York City, I'm talking about.
- 14 Q. Right. How did it come to pass that
- 15 after you met Mr. Madoff through your children, that
- 16 | you then invested in his business?
- 17 A. He, he was in the investment
- 18 | business, investing people's money. We were in the
- 19 real estate business primarily at that time. And he
- 20 evidenced an interest to diversify. Said if there's
- 21 any, if there's a time in the future you would like
- 22 to invest, I could invest some of your funds and I'd
- 23 | like the opportunity to invest in some real estate.
- That didn't happen for a while but he
- 25 talked about it once or twice, and then we decided

- Q. Can you tell me a little more about those discussions with your partners before, before
 - A. We were already seeking someone to invest some of our liquidity. It wasn't a very lot at that time, but we were seeking to do that. And so there were a number of discussions with different firms, different individuals at the firms that were doing that kind of work.
 - Q. And by firms you're referring to investment-type firms?
 - A. Investment-type firms.
 - Q. Okay.

actually investing in Madoff?

2.0

2.2

- A. My recollection is we did try a couple and didn't do too well in a couple of them. Howard Squadron was a close friend of mine, as well as being an outside lawyer of ours. So he -- I think there was a conversation with him, and I knew that he was an investor, or he told me he was an investor, satisfied investor. I think his firm, his partners all invested. We subsequently learned others that were investors. I don't remember who at the moment, but numbers of other people that were investors. And we decided to give it a try.
 - Q. And what did Mr. Squadron tell you,

- 1 just about -- I want to be careful here, what did
- 2 Mr. Squadron tell you about Madoff, specifically?
- A. He knew him, that he represented him,
- 4 | he liked him, trusted him. And thought he was --
- 5 | had a strategy that was very unique, that he
- 6 | understood how to make money without risk, without a
- 7 lot of risk.
- 8 Q. What did Mr. Squadron tell you about
- 9 Madoff's strategy at that time?
- 10 A. I don't recall. I don't recall. I
- 11 | wouldn't understand the strategy anyway.
- 12 Q. Fair enough.
- Did Mr. Squadron provide you with any
- 14 materials, any documents concerning Madoff?
- 15 A. Not that I can recall.
- 16 Q. You had mentioned earlier that you
- 17 | were looking at other investment firms for
- 18 | investment opportunities; is that right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you remember who those were at
- 21 | that time?
- 22 A. No, I don't.
- Q. Do you remember what you did in
- 24 | connection with researching or investigating those
- 25 | firms to make the decision whether or not to invest?

investment firm before investing? 1 MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form. 2 Ο. You can answer. 3 MS. SESHENS: You can answer. 4 5 Α. I think he talked with Mr. Squadron 6 and perhaps others. I don't know. 7 Q. Did Mr. Katz have any discussions with Mr. Madoff? 8 MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form. 9 10 Α. I don't recall any, but it wouldn't surprise me. 11 12 Ο. And what discussions did you personally have with Mr. Madoff prior to investing? 13 MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form. 14 Just those that I've told you about. 15 16 After we invested, Saul and I would go to visit 17 Bernie approximately once a year, and we'd go to his office or something and talk about where we -- how 18 the investments were doing, what was happening. 19 I had a personal relationship with 2.0 2.1 the Madoffs that developed over time, and not an 2.2 everyday personal relationship, but a friendship. And so I made it a policy that when I saw him at a 23

charitable event or celebration of some kind, you

know, we attended his kids' weddings, he attended

2.4

- 1 our kids', you know, we were family friends, I just
- 2 | didn't discuss business with him. So my
- 3 conversations with Bernie Madoff were really of a,
- 4 | just of a personal nature. Of what was happening in
- 5 | their lives and what was happening in our lives.
- 6 Not in a context of, you know, what's happening in
- 7 | the business, how are you investing these funds?
- 8 | Because, frankly, I wouldn't -- that's not my
- 9 expertise. I wouldn't really know, and I didn't
- 10 | want to mix the two.
- So, once a year we'd go and have a
- 12 | conversation, mostly schmoozing. You know what
- 13 schmoozing is.
- 14 Q. I've heard.
- A. Can you define that? Can you define
- 16 | it in Cleveland?
- (Comments off the record.)
- 18 A. That's the kind of relationship it
- 19 | was. Very trusting relationship. There's no person
- 20 that you will talk to, none, that is more betrayed
- 21 than I am.
- 22 Q. Thank you, Mr. Wilpon.
- I want to go back to -- I want to
- 24 | break that down into a few areas. The first thing
- 25 | is, and maybe I should have been a little more

- 1 | clear. I just want to hone in on what was said
- 2 | between you and Mr. Madoff before you invested. I
- 3 know you had mentioned that you had discussions, but
- 4 I just want to clarify what were the subject -- what
- 5 | you actually discussed with him before his
- 6 investment. Do you recall what was the subject or
- 7 | the topics of those discussions concerning his
- 8 investments?
- 9 A. Having to do with us investing with
- 10 him?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 MS. SESHENS: And other than what
- 13 he's already told you?
- MR. BOHORQUEZ: Yes.
- 15 Q. Before the actual investments were
- 16 made.
- 17 A. Just what I've told you. He
- 18 evidenced a desire to diversify and invest in other
- 19 things that we might have been investing in. He was
- 20 an investment guru, renowned in the field, even at
- 21 | that time. On all of the boards, on all of the, you
- 22 | name it, he was top of the line, very well thought
- 23 of. At the highest sources. I remember Arthur
- 24 Levitt was a friend of mine and a friend of Howard
- 25 | Squadron's. Arthur Levitt was -- knew Bernie very

well for a very long time, thought of him in the highest regard.

2.0

2.2

- So, that's the kind -- I mean, I didn't know anything about the specifics of how he invested that, except that he had good returns and was risk adverse, and had a lot of people who knew him and had a high regard.
 - Q. And was Mr. Levitt an investor in Madoff as well?
 - A. I don't know that.
 - Q. And what was your understanding of Madoff's returns at that time, before you invested?
 - A. That he had successful returns.
 - Q. And you mentioned risk adverse. What was your understanding of his risk adverse strategy at that time?
 - A. At that time or any other time, frankly, was that he was -- his strategy was such that you were never going to -- he was never going to hit a home run, so to speak, even at City Field it's hard to hit home runs. And he was not going to, he was not going to lose a lot. And he was within that range all the time and because of his volume and everything, whatever he did, or whatever he was supposed to have done, was very successful.

tell you what stocks he bought or anything like that. That's not something I pay attention to.

Q. Okay. Because I thought what you had said earlier was you had a personal relationship with Mr. Madoff and you wanted to keep that separate from the business relationship. So you saw him on a personal level throughout the 25, 30 years that you knew him, and you did not -- you made it a practice not to discuss business with him during those personal interactions, correct?

A. Correct.

2.2

- Q. And then I thought what you were saying was that you would make an annual trip, once a year, out to his office, and during that trip at his office, that visit at his office is when you would discuss business?
- A. It was not just business. I would accompany Saul, who might have some questions or some thoughts or some ideas which were shot down, you know, because Bernie had his strategy and that was his strategy and, you know, don't, can you take off the calls, can you take off the puts, whatever. It was just a part of a collegial conversation among friends. And that he was invested -- he never asked me, he never asked me how is the real estate

- investment doing or anything like that. Even at
 those meetings, I don't ever remember him asking
 about that. Certainly not more -- maybe two or
 three times in all those years we went to a movie
 together in Florida or whatever, you know. There
 was no business conversation.
 - Q. Other than --
 - A. Other than, I'd say that...
 - Q. That roughly annual meeting?
- 10 A. Right.

2.0

2.2

- Q. Now, you mentioned that you would accompany Saul. What did you mean by that?
- A. The personal relationship -- Saul had a personal relationship with Bernie as well but mine was a longer term, you know, the kids knew each other, it sort of started differently.
 - Q. Right.
- A. And so he would always ask me to come with him, let's go see Bernie, you know, see how everything's doing. Let's go see Bernie. What do you anticipate -- he might ask him a question like, Bernie, just for -- Saul would ask this question: What do you anticipate the returns would be, the annualized returns? It might have been in the summer we went to see him and so with six months

When -- after the initial investment 1 Q. in 1985, during the early period of investing in 2 Madoff, did you review any account statements or any 3 quarterly reports or anything provided by Madoff? 4 5 No. I had people that were doing 6 that. 7 Q. So who, in the early stages who were the people who were doing that? 8 Primarily Arthur was really tracking 9 Α. 10 everything and it was primarily Arthur. Then Arthur would give that information to the accountants in 11 the office. They would put together the tax returns 12 and whatever. Saul would be involved and Michael 13 would be involved, but that's generally the people. 14 The people that are in the financial end. 15 16 What is your understanding of Saul 17 Katz's involvement? 18 Α. In? MS. SESHENS: Involvement in what? 19 Well, you identified Arthur Friedman 2.0 Q. 21 reviewed the statements and kept track of the 2.2 investments. Α. Um-hum. 23 And then he would work with 24 Ο.

accountants at Sterling, correct?

the so-called bookkeeping of that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- So, was -- did Mr. Friedman's role 2 Q. over time change in respect to the Madoff 3 investments?
 - No. I think it was always, he was always the point person who was involved with any, anything that had to be done administratively or if he had a question or whatever, he was the person. He would talk to someone in Bernie's office about that.
 - And do you know if Mr. Friedman ever Q. worked with Mr. Peskin in terms of the accounting aspects of the investments, the Madoff investments?
 - I don't understand what that means. Α. You mean just the reporting, Fernando?
 - Q. Yes.
- 17 Except maybe to coordinate. Α. You 18 know, not in any kind of deep sense working with him. 19
- What about with respect to Mr. Katz, 2.0 Q. how did Mr. Friedman work with Mr. Katz in 2.1 2.2 connection with the Madoff investments?
- 23 MS. SESHENS: Can you specify just which Mr. Katz. 24
- 25 MR. BOHORQUEZ: Oh, sorry.

earning Z, if they were earning at all. Saul was the overall person in charge of that.

2.0

2.2

- Q. Was Saul Katz responsible for making the investment decisions for Sterling in Madoff?
- A. No. No one was in charge of making the investment decisions. Are you talking about which stocks Bernie bought?
- Q. Let me back up. Was Saul Katz in charge of making the decision for a Sterling entity or a Sterling partner to invest in Madoff?
- A. No. The individuals made those decisions.
 - Q. Okay. With respect to a Sterling entity, how was the decision made to invest on behalf of that entity into Madoff?
 - MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form.
- A. If an entity had some money and was to invest, it would have been agreed, I guess, in some way that the entity was going to invest, and then the specifics of that, you know, if an entity had money, they may just have invested it in Bernie or wherever. That was just done as normal course.
- Q. Well, when you say it was agreed, what do you mean by it was agreed? Who made -- who was involved in that agreement?

did you attend a year? 1 Α. I believe one. 2 Q. And were those meetings held at the 3 Lipstick Building? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Did you attend a meeting of the Gift 7 of Life board at the Lipstick Building in December of 2008? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Was that December 8th, 2008; does that --11 12 Α. Yes. 13 -- sound right? Q. Why do you remember that date? 14 You were counting out your hands. 15 Q. What were you trying to do then? 16 17 Α. I was just trying to think of which days it was before the December 11th. 18 Right. A few days before. 19 Q. Α. Would you repeat the question. 20 do --21 2.2 Let me back up. Q. Why do I remember that date? 23 Α. 24 Q. Yes. 25 Α. It's like a dagger in the heart.

```
And how did they come to invest in
 1
           Q.
    Madoff?
 2
                    They probably wanted to invest.
 3
            Α.
    Everybody was hearing about Madoff, and they
 4
 5
    probably wanted to invest. I don't know the
    mechanics.
 7
           O.
                   Do you recall if they reached out to
    you to invest in Madoff?
 8
                    I -- no, I don't recall.
 9
            Α.
10
           Q.
                    Over the years, did Sterling refer
    various accounts -- various individuals to Madoff to
11
12
    open accounts?
                    What do you mean by refer?
13
            Α.
                    Let me see if I can rephrase that.
           Ο.
14
                    Did Sterling bring in accounts to
15
    Madoff?
16
17
                    MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form.
18
            Α.
                    No.
                    Let me try to lay this out a
19
           Q.
    different way.
20
2.1
                    Sterling partners had accounts in
2.2
    Madoff, correct?
23
            Α.
                    Correct.
                    Sterling partners' family members had
24
           Q.
    accounts in Madoff, correct?
25
```

- 1 | accounts, were they known as outsider accounts?
- A. I don't know.

2.0

2.2

- Q. Not to your understanding?
 - A. Yeah, not -- it's not a term I'm...
- Q. What was the process, to the extent you know, for helping your friends and families open accounts with Madoff?
- MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form.
 - A. All I know is that if someone wanted to open an account, that might have been a family or friend, if I had a family or friend that wanted to open an account, I would tell Arthur.
 - Q. Would you play any other role other than referring -- actually, back up.
 - When you say you would tell Arthur that a family and friend, or friend wanted to open an account with Madoff, what would happen next?
 - A. I presume he would talk to whomever he talked to at Madoff.
 - Q. Did you have any communication with the family or friend that would like to open the account after the initial discussion?
- A. No. Maybe in passing they would say how happy they were or how much it meant to them or, you know -- people's lives were changed.

So after the initial hand-off to 1 Q. Friedman, Arthur Friedman handled the relationship, 2 to the best of your knowledge, with the friends and 3 family? 4 5 Α. Right. 6 Q. Okay. And what was the, what was the 7 reason for offering these friends and family the opportunity to invest in Madoff? 8 Just --9 Α. 10 MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form. Sorry. You can answer. 11 Just to try to help them. For what 12 they -- they wanted to invest and we were trying to 13 This is not a business. We weren't 14 help them. charging fees. We weren't benefiting in any way. 15 16 Just trying to help them. 17 And before you started, Sterling Q. started referring accounts to Madoff -- your friends 18 and family, getting -- let me rephrase that. 19 Before Sterling began to open 2.0 2.1 accounts on behalf of friends and family, did you or anyone in the Sterling organization have discussions 22 with Mr. Madoff about that? 23 MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form. 24

A. No. Not me.

- A. No. You really have to know Arthur, who's the most wonderful, intelligent, placid man.

 He's a one of a kind, and "kind" is the heading.
- Q. We had the pleasure of deposing him for four days. I agree, with my limited exposure to him.

Given that they're -- the number and the amount of money that was being managed by Mr. Friedman for these friends and family accounts, was there ever any discussion as to what benefit Sterling was getting?

MS. SESHENS: Object to the form.

Q. In exchange?

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. SESHENS: Same objection.

- A. Over the years I think there might have been conversation. We were doing it solely for the purpose of helping family and friends. And so whatever costs were involved, we were bearing those. Arthur was not a complainer, though. Arthur is not someone who would bitch and moan about something.
- Q. I'm not suggesting that he was complaining, but you said that over the years there may have been -- there were some conversations about the increased burden of managing these friends and family accounts. What was the substance of those

- 1 and then move on.
- 2 BY MR. BOHORQUEZ:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

- Q. Did you ever raise with the partners the fact that Madoff had returned consistent, nonvolatile returns over the years? Is that ever something that was discussed amongst the partners?
 - A. We were receiving those returns for many years.
 - Q. Do you recall seeing, in this document, the critique that's raised in this article about the consistent and nonvolatile returns; was that critique ever discussed amongst the partners?

 MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form.
 - A. Yes, in the sense that people would say they didn't understand it. But that's not a critique.
 - Q. And the fact that people didn't understand it, did that ever cause you any concern or any other partner concerns?
 - A. We knew some very, very smart people who did understand it and didn't have any concerns, and it went on for 25 or 30 years, or 35 years, however many years.
- Q. Who are the very smart people that you're referring to?

- A. I referred to them. Howard Squadron and other people over the course of time that either were investors or -- so they -- there's always a point of view that people might have, but I never saw anything that... to answer your question.
 - Q. Did you understand how Madoff was making money off of his investment business?
 - A. Not in any kind of depth.
 - Q. Well, what do you mean by not in any kind of depth? Did you have any understanding?
 - A. I'm not an investment person, I'm not an investment, stock investment advisor, so I wouldn't have that kind of expertise. I've heard people talk about it, I've heard people make their points. I've seen the documents which you've shown me -- I don't remember them but I've seen the documents in which he describes how he does this. And peripherally I understand how he does it.
 - Q. And what is your understanding as to what commissions he actually received?
 - A. When you say commissions, you mean a percentage of? I don't know how you mean that.
 - Q. Well, he, Madoff received commissions on the trades he was making on your accounts, correct?

2.0

- Q. Did you ever have any discussions amongst the partners concerning front-running and Madoff?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
 - Q. You're aware that Madoff was investigated on a few occasions by the SEC, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you ever speak to Mr. Madoff concerning those SEC investigations?
- 10 A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Were the Madoff SEC investigations ever a topic of discussion at any of the partners meetings?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you please tell me what were those discussions?
- A. I can't remember the detail of the discussions, but there was one time when someone in Florida had committed some kind of violation, two guys in Florida, that were investors in Bernie, and the SEC came in and admonished them and Bernie sent back \$480 million or something, overnight.
 - O. Is this Avellino & Bienes?
- A. Something like that.
- Q. And what specifically did you discuss

- amongst the partners concerning the Avellino & Bienes matter?
- A. That the SEC had determined that it was not Bernie who was at fault, or had violated anything, and in fact it was amazing that he just, you know, returned the 400 -- I don't remember the number, but a large amount of money, overnight.
 - Q. Did you ever talk to Mr. Madoff about the Avellino & Bienes investigation?
 - A. No.

2.0

2.2

- Q. Did you ever talk to him about the fact that he was able to return all that money overnight that you mentioned?
 - A. No.
- Q. Other than the Avellino & Bienes SEC investigation, did you have any other discussions with the partners concerning any other SEC investigations of Madoff?
- A. It's hard to put that in context after all the publicity. Because after all the publicity, one learned that there were eight or nine SEC investigations. Not that we knew about those, but there were a lot of SEC investigations, and they always came up that Mr. Madoff was clean as a whistle. That gave us, you know, that was always

reinforcement. Always said, hey, that's great.

2.0

2.2

Q. Let me try to figure that out real quick.

Which specific SEC investigations gave you reinforcement that Madoff was a secure investment?

- A. The results of the -- the results, as I now remember them and read, of the SEC violations was the man was clean, his operation was totally clean. Otherwise the SEC would have come in and closed him down or something.
- Q. Did it ever give you pause that he was -- he had been investigated on several occasions by the SEC?
- A. You know, people can investigate, but the fact is that the SEC, which is the branch of government that has jurisdiction here...
 - Q. Right.
- A. ...investigated -- I'm sure they investigated other firms as well, Merrill Lynch and Prudential Bache and whatever, but the fact is they investigated his operation, however many times they say they investigated it now, and every single time very smart people had full disclosure of everything, and came out and said, the man is a prince.

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Wilpon.

- Q. And that was one of the reasons that you found comfort in investing -- retaining your money in Madoff's investments?
- A. Reasons of life go on, it's a motion picture. We trusted Bernie as a trusted friend and advisor and person. And I assume that if we heard something and the SEC said this is a bad guy, we would have said wait, wait, time out, what is he bad about? But that's never happened. No one ever, by the way, no one that I ever heard ever said anything bad about Bernie. In fact, they lauded Bernie.
 - Q. Other than --
- A. NASDAQ, the NAACP, the -- I mean, not the NAACP. The wrong one.
- Q. Yeah, you're mixing up your alphabet soup.
- A. Bernie was on the top of the field. He was on every committee, he was on every -- he was writing the regulations at NASDAQ, he was the head of the Cincinnati or whatever, some exchange. I mean, you're talking about, you're talking about there's hardly anybody that wouldn't have said this is one of the great geniuses of the investment business in the last 35 years.

```
Okay. Please go down to the bottom
 1
           Q.
    of the document, under the signature very truly
 2
    yours, the name Fred Wilpon. Is that your
 3
    signature?
 4
                    Yes, it is.
 5
            Α.
 6
           Q.
                    To the right of your signature is
 7
    that Saul Katz's signature?
                    I believe so.
 8
            Α.
                    And to the left, under the word
 9
           Q.
10
     "Agreed," Ruth Madoff, is that Mrs. Madoff's
11
    signature?
                    I don't think I've ever seen her
12
            Α.
    signature, but it looks like her signature.
13
                    Are you familiar with this document?
14
           Ο.
15
            Α.
                    Yes.
16
           Q.
                    When did you last review this
17
    document?
18
            Α.
                    Two days ago.
                    In connection with the preparation of
19
           Q.
    the deposition?
2.0
2.1
            Α.
                     (Witness nods.)
2.2
                    Can you explain to me the first
           Q.
    sentence of the letter agreement. It says:
23
    Ruth, this will confirm the conversations with
24
    respect to an investment by you in the network."
25
```

1 What is that sentence referring to?

2.0

2.2

A. We decided to buy out of our agreement with Cablevision. We had an option to do so. So, we arranged for financing from a bank, or banks, I don't remember, for the monies that refers in here, the \$54 million.

Q. Right.

- A. When it came down to the time when the option was going to expire, you know, there was a time when we had to do this, for the purpose of starting a network, which ultimately became SNY. I don't know there was anything ever called Mets

 Network Company. That was out of Marvin Tepper's head. He just made a name up. When it came down to the time, there was a couple of days left when we had to exercise that option, and what I was told was that the bank had accepted everything, but they were doing paperwork. Lawyers were making their fees.
 - Q. Sounds unbelievable.
 - A. That was the first time in history.
 - Q. Right.
- A. So, I don't remember whether it was two days before or five days before, something like that, but we were concerned about it because, suppose they lawyered a day after, then we'd have an

option that lapsed and we didn't want that to happen.

2.0

2.2

So we were in my car, driving to our office in Manhattan, and Marvin Tepper was with us, Saul and I were in the back seat, Marvin Tepper was in the front seat. And we decided we didn't want to take that chance.

So, what were the alternatives. The alternatives were that we could break accounts that we had otherwhere, whatever, we could try to find the money elsewhere, or we could break accounts at Bernie and just have him send us the money.

So we decided to call Bernie, and we called Bernie on my car phone, I don't recall, I'm not sure whether I spoke to him or -- I don't remember that. Or whether he was on the speaker phone or not.

We called Bernie and his secretary said, he's out of town, I'll reach him for you. And she reached him. At the time I didn't know where he was, but I saw other documents at prep that told me he was in Europe somewhere, in France I think. And we said to Bernie, Bernie, we want to break some accounts. Even though it was in the middle of the period. Because he didn't -- if you broke accounts

in the middle, you didn't get the value of that account, in terms of the interest, the return that was paid.

2.0

2.2

So, he said, okay. And then he said, yeah, but why should you break the accounts, you're going to lose the continuity of the account. I'll send you the money. Got plenty of collateral in the accounts, I'll send you the money. He said, how long do you need it for. We said, well, we don't know whether we need it, but we might need it for, you know, a few days. So he said, okay, I'll send you the money. And so he said he'd send the money. That was that.

I don't know what happened thereafter in terms of Marvin Tepper calling Bernie, or Bernie or someone. I don't really know what happened there. And I don't know how this letter got written or why. Bernie didn't ask that of us on the telephone. So, this letter was written by Marvin. I had no idea that -- until recalling here -- that Ruth, was sent to Ruth. Had no idea because he said he was sending it from his account. Not from Ruth's account. Sending the money.

So, that afternoon or sometime thereafter, within the next few hours anyway,

someone must have told Arthur or one of the

2 accountants or someone and we got a call from the

3 | bank that there was \$104 million in the account. In

1 other words, Bernie's 54 was wired, but the bank

5 | that we borrowed the money from wired the money as

6 | well. So someone got a call and said what's going

7 on here, you've got money in the account. So

8 | obviously we realized what happened, the bank had

9 approved the transaction. They funded the money

10 into the account, and then that day or the next day

11 or whatever, I don't remember -- I don't know who

12 | did it or whatever, but they wired the money back to

Bernie. So the money may have been in the account

for a day or half a day or something like that.

I have no idea why this letter was written; it wasn't requested. I have no idea. But that's what you lawyers do. You write letters. So

18 that's my recollection of the whole thing.

- Q. So it's your recollection that the money was wired from -- you understand the money was wired from Madoff accounts to your account without any paperwork memorializing any agreement of any sorts?
- 24 A. Right.

13

14

15

16

17

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

Q. You had also mentioned during your

		253
1	JURAT	
2		
3	I, FRED WILPON, do hereby certify that I have	
4	read the foregoing transcript of my testimony taken	
5	on July 20, 2010 and have signed it subject to the	
6	following changes:	
7		
8	PAGE LINE CORRECTION	
9	SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	•	
15		
16		
17		
18	- My M	
19	FRED WILPON	
20		
21	Sworn and subscribed to before me on this day of	
22	13th day of October, 2010	
23	NOTARY PUBLIC: Usula h. Mile	
24	NOTARY PUBLIC: Usula M. Nula	

BENDISH REPORTING, INC. 877.404.2193 URSULA M. MIKA NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK No.01MI6216250 QUALIFIED IN QUEENS GOUNTY COMMISSION EXPIRES JANUARY 11, 2014

CONFIDENTIAL

LINE

45

49

64

64

20 even at City Field

18 December 11th.

15 hands

14 Bernie as well but mine

days it was before the

a personal relationship with

You were counting out your

PAGE

SIPC v. BLMIS No. 08-01789-BR: Rule 2004 Examination of Fred Wilpon

EXHIBIT A

Correction

REASON

Transcription error

Grammatical error

Grammatical error

Grammatical error

		ORIGINAL	CHANGE REDACTED	
		officious reporting. I might see	official reporting. I might see him in	
17	19	him in the hall, I	the hall, I	Transcription error
		discussions. He was well	discussions. He was well-	
33	24	known in the industry, was	known in the industry, was	Grammatical error
		to hit a home run, so to speak,	to hit a home run, so to speak,	

even at Citi Field

a personal relationship with

You were counting on your

Bernie as well, but mine

days it was before December 11th.
REDACTED

hands

		I now remember them and	I now remember them and	
200	8	read, of the SEC violations	read, of the SEC investigations	Transcription error
		about? But that's never	about? But that never	
201	9	happened. No one ever, by	happened. No one ever, by	Transcription error
		Bernie was on the	Bernie was at the	
201	17	top of the field.	top of the field.	Transcription error

SIPC v. BLMIS No. 08-01789-BR: Rule 2004 Examination of Fred Wilpon EXHIBIT A

PAGE	LINE	Correction		REASON	
		ORIGINAL	CHANGE		
•	•	•	REDACTED		

		banks, I don't remember, for	banks, I don't remember, for	
213	5	the monies that refers	the monies referenced	Transcription error
		we had otherwhere, whatever,	we had elsewhere, whatever,	
214	10	we could try to find	we could try to find	Grammatical error
	Ruth, was sent to Ruth. Had Ruth, was sent by Ruth. Had			
215	21	no idea because he said	no idea because he said	Transcription error
		bank that there was 104	bank that there was \$108	
216	3	million in the account. In	million in the account. In	Transcription error
		other words, Bernie's 54 was	other words, Bernie's \$54 million was	
216	4	wired, but the bank	wired, but the bank	Transcription error
		wired from Madoff accounts	wired from Madoff's accounts	
216	21	to your account without	to your account without	Grammatical error

REDACTED