## **EXHIBIT F**

| 1  | CONFIDENTIAL   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT                             |  |
| 3  | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ADV. PRO. NO. 08-01789 (BRL) |  |
| 4  |  |  |
| 5  | SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION                             |  |
| 6  | CORPORATION,   |  |
| 7  | Plaintiff-Applicant, Videotaped v.                         |  |
| 8  | Rule 27 BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT Deposition of:        |  |
| 9  | SECURITIES, LLC, Defendant. ARTHUR FRIEDMAN                |  |
| 10 | In Re:   |  |
| 11 | BERNARD L. MADOFF,   |  |
| 12 | Debtor.  |  |
| 13 | x  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 | TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and before             |  |
| 16 | NANCY C. BENDISH, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR       |  |
| 17 | and Notary Public of the States of New York and New        |  |
| 18 | Jersey, at the offices of Baker & Hostetler, 45            |  |
| 19 | Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York on Tuesday,          |  |
| 20 | June 29, 2010, commencing at 1:49 p.m.                     |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 | DUNDIGH DUDODUTAG TAG                                      |  |
| 24 | BENDISH REPORTING, INC. Litigation Support Services        |  |
| 25 | 877.404.2193<br>www.bendish.com                            |  |

|    |  | 2 |
|----|--|---|
| 1  | APPEARANCES:   |   |
| 2  |  |   |
| 3  | BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP<br>3200 National City Center<br>1900 East 9th Street |   |
| 4  | Cleveland, OH 44114-3485<br>BY: THOMAS R. LUCCHESI, ESQ.                   |   |
| 5  | For Irving Picard, Trustee   |   |
| 6  | BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP<br>45 Rockefeller Plaza                             |   |
| 7  | New York, New York 10111<br>BY: KATHRYN M. ZUNNO, ESQ.                     |   |
| 8  | FERNANDO A. BOHORQUEZ, ESQ.  AMANDA E. FEIN, ESQ.                          |   |
| 9  | For Irving Picard, Trustee   |   |
| 10 | DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP<br>450 Lexington Avenue                          |   |
| 11 | New York, NY 10017<br>BY: DANA M. SESHENS, ESQ.                            |   |
| 12 | KAREN E. WAGNER, ESQ.<br>ANDREW CLARK FRIEDMAN, ESQ.                       |   |
| 13 | For Sterling Equities, certain affiliated entities, and the Witness        |   |
| 14 |  |   |
| 15 | ALSO PRESENT:  |   |
| 16 | GREGORY P. NERO, ESQ., Sterling Equities<br>DANIEL McCLUTCHY, Videographer |   |
| 17 | printing neederent, vraeegrapher   |   |
| 18 |  |   |
| 19 |  |   |
| 20 |  |   |
| 21 |  |   |
| 22 |  |   |
| 23 |  |   |
| 24 |  |   |
| 25 |  |   |

with Kathryn Zunno and Fernando Bohorquez.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

- 3 ARTHUR FRIEDMAN, previously sworn.
- 4 EXAMINATION BY MS. SESHENS:
- 5 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Friedman.
- 6 A. Good afternoon.
  - Q. I'm going to ask you some questions today pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 27, which will have no meaning to you, but just so the record is clear I wanted to indicate we're beginning a deposition under that rule. Okay?
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. Mr. Friedman, we've talked over the past couple of days about some aspects, different aspects of Sterling's business. Do you remember that testimony generally?
- 17 A. Yes.
  - Q. And do you recall telling us about three different areas of Sterling's business?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. And if I have my recollection straight, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you told us of real estate ventures in which Sterling conducted business; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

And Sterling also has baseball 1 Q. interests; is that correct also? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And Sterling also has certain 4 5 investments that we spoke of, that you spoke of, correct? 7 Α. Yes. Now, of those different businesses, 8 Q. which would you say, if any, is the predominant 9 business of Sterling Equities? 10 Real estate. 11 Α. And does Sterling hold itself out to 12 Ο. be in the business of investing securities? 13 Α. No. 14 Do you personally view yourself as a 15 Q. professional securities investor? 16 17 Α. No. Does Sterling Equities hold itself 18 Ο. out to be a securities investment advisor? 19 Α. No. 2.0 Do you view yourself as a securities 2.1 Q. 2.2 investment advisor? 23 Α. No. Did you rely on Mr. Madoff and his 24 Ο. firm as the professionals to whom you and the 25

- in any of the partners' offices. Any location where more than one partner got together, there could be a business discussion.
- Q. So fair to say that not all business discussions happened solely at partner meetings?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Mr. Friedman, you also testified over the past few days about some due diligence that you performed towards the beginning of Sterling's investment relationship with BLMIS. Do you recall that generally?
- 12 A. Yes.

2.0

2.2

- Q. And I believe -- and again correct me if I'm wrong -- you told us about some exercises you undertook to track market prices or prices of the securities; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you also told us about some analyses that you undertook that would analyze the range of maximum gains and losses based on the information that you had from the -- with respect to the Madoff accounts? Is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And we saw some of these analyses over the course of the past few days, correct?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

16

Q. And did you perform other iterations of those analyses? And by that I mean, if we saw a spreadsheet from June of a particular year, would there be other spreadsheets for other months in that same year or subsequent years?

7 MR. LUCCHESI: Objection.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recall performing these analyses on more than one occasion?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did anyone other than you perform
  these types of analyses in and around the time that
  Sterling's investment relationship began with
  Madoff?
  - MR. LUCCHESI: Objection.
- 17 A. It could have been my assistant.
- Q. So any analyses that were undertaken in around that time would you say were undertaken at your direction?
- MR. LUCCHESI: Objection.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you maintain, to the extent you performed these analyses, did you maintain them in your files?

- MR. LUCCHESI: Objection.

  A. Yes.
  - Q. Mr. Friedman, in your second day of testimony you testified about a change that I think you said was in the anti-money laundering laws that resulted in Mr. Madoff having to do a more extensive background check into new account holders. Do you remember that generally?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And do you recall whether it was the enactment of the Patriot Act that led to that change?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Do you also recall, Mr. Friedman,
  that Mr. Lucchesi asked you some questions about a
  special investment that Mr. Madoff offered to
  Sterling?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And you remember that generally as a subject matter?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you also recall telling
  Mr. Lucchesi about a discussion you remembered the
  partners having about the special investment?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. During that discussion amongst the partners, do you recall any partner raising the question of whether what Madoff was proposing to do in connection with the special investment was illegal?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Do you recall during that discussion that the concept of illegality was raised in any way?
- 10 A. No.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. To the extent you testified previously about questions concerning the legality of the special investment, were you testifying to your present day view of how you could understand a question of that nature having been raised?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Friedman, do you also recall Mr. Lucchesi asked you some questions last week about Sterling's 401(k)?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And in connection with that
  testimony, I would like to hand you a page from the
  rough transcript of your testimony on Thursday, June
  24 24th that I will mark as an exhibit. And provide
  you, counsel, with copies.

- 1 A. Canter, who we spoke about.
- Q. Yes.
- A. I know that he had a Madoff account.
- 4 | Had nothing to do with 1KW -- was not a 1KW account.
- Q. Okay. Was he a guy that you administered his account as well?
  - A. No.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. Okay. So that's what I'm -- what I'm asking is, for the persons for whom you administered accounts, that were called others --
  - A. Oh, I'm sorry.
- Q. -- did any of those individuals, to your knowledge, have an account with Madoff, to use your words, that was not a 1KW account?
  - MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form.
  - A. I did not administer or give any other information to anybody that didn't have a 1KW account. That is, I have to qualify that, I'm talking about tax information and regular reporting information. Only to those who had a 1KW account.
- Q. Okay. Listen, maybe I'm not being clear with my question.
- You administered accounts for persons that we've called others, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form.
- 2 We've called them outsiders. I think you've been
- 3 | calling them others. I don't know where that term --
- Q. Is "others" a term that you use, or is that --
  - A. I think we were using outsiders.
  - Q. Okay, my fault, my fault.
  - A. Okay.

8

13

14

15

- 9 Q. You administered accounts for persons
  10 called outsiders, that you've referred to as
  11 outsiders, correct?
- 12 A. Right.
  - Q. And my question is whether you're aware of whether any of those outsiders, for whom you're administering accounts, also had an account at Madoff that was not a 1KW account?
- 17 A. No.
- MR. LUCCHESI: I don't have any other questions. Oh, maybe I do.
- 20 BY MR. LUCCHESI:
- Q. In response to Ms. Seshens' questions
  a minute ago, you talked about -- she asked you
  questions about analyses that you performed and she
  was asking you along the lines of if we saw an
  analysis for one month, would it be likely or was it

a fact that you did analyses in other months as well or that others in your office. And you generally answered yes to that.

A. Yes.

2.0

2.2

- Q. What analyses were you referring to that you did on a month-to-month or a systematic basis throughout the course of the relationship with Madoff?
- A. I'm not answering it during the course -- for a limited, for a period of time, not during the entire course.
- Q. Oh, okay. So you were answering for a limited period of time?
- A. Yes. I may have identified a particular schedule that I did in one month, but I did that for more than one month. It may have been a period of, let's say two years in a given case, not during the entire 23-year relationship.
- Q. Okay. I just want to explore that for a minute because we looked at a couple, and we can go back to some of them if you wish, we looked at some analyses you did. For example, there was a period of time at which you compared the prices of transactions to see if it was within the range of prices on a particular day. Do you recall that you

did that?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

- 2 A. Yes.
  - Q. For what period of time did you do that type of analysis?
    - A. I don't have a definite recollection of the exact period of time, but I would say it was more like close to two years rather than just one month.
      - Q. Okay.
    - A. Same for the schedule that said maximum income, maximum loss. I recall doing that many, many months, well past a year. Perhaps, again...
    - Q. In your records, you'd have records that would reflect when you did that?
      - A. Yes.
  - Q. So if there are charts that show you did that, that would be when you were doing it, and I presume the opposite would be true, if there were no charts in your records on your computer, whatever, it would show you weren't doing that?
    - MS. SESHENS: Objection to the form.
  - Q. Is that a fair statement?
- A. I presume that they were all, all the records that I kept manually were retained.