EXHIBIT Y

1	CONFIDENTIAL		
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ADV. PRO. NO. 08-01789 (BRL)		
4	x		
5	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION		
6	CORPORATION, Videotaped		
7	Plaintiff-Applicant, Rule 2004 v. Examination of:		
8	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT FRED WILPON		
9	SECURITIES, LLC, Defendantx		
10	In Re:		
11	BERNARD L. MADOFF,		
12	Debtor.		
13	x		
14			
15	TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and before		
16	NANCY C. BENDISH, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR		
17	and Notary Public of the States of New York and New		
18	Jersey, at the offices of Baker & Hostetler, 45		
19	Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York on Tuesday,		
20	July 20, 2010, commencing at 10:04 a.m.		
21			
22			
23			
24	BENDISH REPORTING, INC. Litigation Support Services		
25	877.404.2193 www.bendish.com		

2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza 3 New York, New York 10111 4 FERNANDO A. BOHORQUEZ, ESQ. KATHRYN M. ZUNNO, ESQ. For Irving Picard, Trustee 5 6 BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP 3200 National City Center 7 1900 East 9th Street Cleveland, OH 44114-3485 THOMAS R. LUCCHESI, ESQ. 8 For Irving Picard, Trustee 9 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP 10 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 DANA M. SESHENS, ESQ. 11 BY: KAREN E. WAGNER, ESQ. For Sterling Equities, certain 12 affiliated entities, and the Witness 13 14 ALSO PRESENT: 15 GREGORY P. NERO, ESQ., Sterling Equities DANIEL McCLUTCHY, Videographer 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Q. Did Mr. Stamos ever express concern 1 that Madoff lacked transparency? 2 Not that I know of. 3 Α. What do you understand that concept 4 Ο. 5 to be, the lack of transparency, what's your 6 understanding of that? 7 Α. That if someone said they were going to invest in widgets, and they invested in widgets, 8 that was what they were supposed to do. But then 9 10 all of a sudden they invested in widgets and water, or something else, they were going out of their 11 strategy, that the people at Sterling Stamos would 12 object to that, because they only wanted to invest 13 with them if this was their strategy. 14 So your understanding of the lack of 15 Q. 16 transparency concept is when a fund diverts from the 17 initial strategy? (Witness nods.) 18 Α. 19 MS. SESHENS: That was a yes, You nodded. 2.0 correct? 2.1 THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer you? 22 23 MS. SESHENS: Yes. 24 Α. Yes.

MR. BOHORQUEZ: All right.

Why don't

25

- Q. Was the issue of Madoff's lack of transparency ever discussed amongst the Sterling partners at any time?
 - A. I don't know if he had a lack of transparency.
 - Q. Pardon me?
- 7 A. I'm not sure he had a lack of 8 transparency.
 - Q. That's what I'm asking you. If it was ever discussed that Madoff may have a lack of transparency?
 - A. No.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2.

23

24

25

- Q. When Peter Stamos raised the issue of Bayou having a lack of transparency, did anyone within the Sterling organization decide to investigate as to whether Madoff, as well, had a lack of transparency?
- A. I think I testified that what I meant by Stamos, in terms of his determination of lack of transparency is that he -- if he believed that there was a strategy that was going to be successful and he invested in that fund of funds, that was transparency to him. He'd be able to see they were investing in that strategy. But if they changed the strategy and veered from that strategy, then he was

- 1 | not happy about that.
- 2 As far as I know, Bernie never
- 3 changed his strategy one iota from all the time we
- 4 were investors.
- 5 Q. So to be clear, it's your
- 6 understanding that Madoff's investment strategy was
- 7 | consistent for the entire time that you were
- 8 invested with him?
- 9 A. That's my understanding.
- 10 Q. Have you ever heard of the term
- 11 | "front-running"?
- 12 A. Only...
- Q. I don't want to get into any
- 14 discussions you've had with counsel about that.
- 15 A. Only of late.
- Q. Only with what?
- 17 A. Only of late.
- 18 Q. In connection with the preparation
- 19 | for your deposition?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Prior to preparation for your
- 22 deposition, have you had any discussion -- did you
- 23 have any discussions with the partners concerning
- 24 | the general concept of front-running?
- A. No. Not that I can recall.

		253
1	JURAT	
2		
3	I, FRED WILPON, do hereby certify that I have	
4	read the foregoing transcript of my testimony taken	
5	on July 20, 2010 and have signed it subject to the	
6	following changes:	
7		
8	PAGE LINE CORRECTION	
9	SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	- My M	
19	FRED WILPON	
20		
21	Sworn and subscribed to before me on this day of	
22	13th day of October, 2010	
23	NOTABY BUDITO.	
24	NOTARY PUBLIC: Usula M. Nula	
25	LIRSULA M. MK	Δ