Exhibit 4

on't Ask, Don't Tell

Bernie Madoff is so secretive, he even asks his investors to keep mum

By ERIN E. ARVEDLUND

WO YEARS AGO, AT A HEDGE-fund conference in New York, attendees were asked to name some of their favorite and most respected hedge-fund managers. Neither George Soros nor Julian Robertson merited a single mention. But one manager received lav-ish praise: Bernard Madoff.

ish praise: Bernard Madoff.
Folks on Wall Street know Bernie Madoff well. His brokerage furn, Madoff Securities, helped kick-start the Nasdaq Stock Market in the early 1970s and is now one of the top three market makers in Nasdaq stocks. Madoff Securities is also the third-largest firm matching buyers and sellers of New York Stock Exchange-listed securities. Charles Schwab, Fidelity Investments and a slew of discount brokerages all send trades through Madoff. But what few on the Street know is that Bernie Madoff also manages

\$6 billion-to-\$7 billion for wealthy individuals. That's enough to rank Madoff's operation among the world's three largest hedge funds, according to a May 2001 report in MAR Hedge, a trade publication.

What's more, these private ac-

counts, have produced compound average annual returns of 15% for more than a decade. Remarkably, some of the larger, billion-dollar Madoff-run funds have never had a down year. When Barron's asked Mudoff Fri-

day how he accomplishes this, he said, "It's a proprietary strategy." I can't go into it in great detail."

Nor were the firms that market Mad-

off's funds forthcoming when contacted earlier. "It's a private fund. And so our inclination has been not to discuss its returns. says Jeffrey Tucker, partner and co-founder of Fsirfield Greenwich, a New York City-based hedge-fund marketer. "Why Barron's would have any interest in this fund I don't know." One of Fairfield Greenwich's most sought-after funds is Fairfield Sentry Limited. Managed by Bernie Madoff, Fairfield Sentry has assets of \$3.3 billion.

sets of \$3.3 billion.

A Madoff hedge-fund offering memorandums describes his strategy this way: "Typically, a position will consist of the ownership of \$0.35 S&P 100 stocks, most correlated to that index, the sale of most correlated to that index, the sale of out-of-the-money calls on the index and the purchase of out-of-the-money puts on the index. The sale of the calls is designed to increase the rate of roturn, while allowing upward movement of the stock portfolio to the strike price of the

calls. The puts, funded in large part by the sale of the calls, limit the portfolio's maide."

downside."

Among options traders, that's known as the "split-strike conversion" strategy. In layman's terms, it means Madoff invests primarily in the largest stocks in the S&P 100 index—names like General Electric, Intel and Coca-Cola. At the same time, he buys and sells options against those stocks. For example, Madoff might purchase shares of GE and sell a call options. sell a call option on a comparable num-

returned no less than 11% in any year, and sometimes as high as 18%. Last year, Fairfield Sentry returned 11.55% and so far in 2001, the fund is up 8.52%.

Those returns have been so consistent that some on the Street have begun

speculating that Madoff's market-making operation subsidizes and smooths his hedge-fund returns.

How might Madoff Securities do this?

Access to such a huge capital base could allow Madoff to make much larger bets - with very little risk-than it could

fund professionals including current and fund protessionais, including current and former Madoff traders, who questioned why no one had been able to duplicate Madoff areturns using this strategy. Likewise, three option strategists at major investment banks told Barron's they couldn't understand how Madoff churns out such numbers. Adds a former Madoff investor: "Anybody who's a sessoned hedge-fund investor knows the splitstrike conversion is not the whole story. To take it at face value is a bit naïve.

Madoff diamisses such skepticism.
Whoever tried to reverse engineer [the strategy], he didn't do a good job. If he did, these numbers would not be unusual." Curiously, he charges no fees for his money-management services. Nor does he take a cut of the 1.5% fees marketers like Fairfield Greenwich charge inves-tors each year. Why not? "We're perfectly happy to just earn commissions on the trades," he says.

Parhaps so. But consider the sheer scope of the money Madoff would appear to be leaving on the table. A typical hodge fund charges 1% of assets annually, plus 20% of profits. On a \$6 billion fund generating 15% annual returns, that adds up to \$240 million a year.

The lessons of Long-Term Capital Management's collapse are that investors—need, or should want, maparency in their money manag er's investment strategy. But Ma off's investors rave about his performance—even though they don't understand how he does it. "Even knowledgeable people can't really tell you what he's doing," one very satisfied investor told Barron's. "People

satisfied investor fold Barrons. People who have all the trade confirmations and statements still can't define it very well. The only thing I know is that he's often in cash" when volatility levels get extreme. This investor declined to be quoted by name. Why? Because Madoff politely reduests that his investors not reveal that he runs their money.
"What Madoff told us was, 'Il you in-

vest with me, you must never tell anyone that you're invested with me. It's no one's that you're invested with me. It's no one's business what goes on here," says an investment manager who took over a pool of assets that included an investment in a Madoff fund. "When he couldn't explain [to my satisfaction] how they were up or down in a particular month," he added, "I pulled the money out."

For investors who aren't put off by the accretion of the pulled that

For investors who stent but of by such secrecy, it should be noted that Fairfield and Kingste Management both market funds managed by Madoff, as does Tremont Advisors, a publicly traded hedge-fund advisory firms



Some folks on Wall Street think there's more to how Mudoff (above) generates his enviable stream of investment returns than meets the eye. Mudoff calls these claims "ridiculous."

Madoff charges no fees for

his money management

services. Says he: "We're

perfectly happy to just earn

commissions on the trades."

ber of shares-that is, an option to buy the shares at a fixed price at a future date. At the same time, he would buy a put option on the stock, which gives him the right to sell

right to sell price at a future date.

The strategy, in ef-fect, creates a boundary on a stock, limiting its upside while at the same time protecting against a sharp decline in the share price. When done correctly, this so-called market-neutral strategy produces positive returns o matter which way

the market goes.
Using this split-strike conversion strategy, Fairfield Sentry Limited has had only four down months since inception in 1989. In 1990, Fairfield Sentry was up 27%. In the ensuing decade, it

therwise. It would work like this: Madoff Securities stands in the middle of a tre-mendous river of orders, which means that its traders have advance knowledge, if only by a few sec-

onds, of what big cusonds, of what big cus-tomers are buying and selling. By hop-ping on the band-wagon, the market maker could effec-tively lock in profits. In such a case, throwing a little cash back to the hedge funds would be no big deal.

When Barron's

ran that scenario by Madoff, he dismissed

it as "ridiculous." Still, some on Wall Street remain skep-tical about how Madoff achieves such stunning double digit returns using options alone. The recent MAR Hedge report, for example, cited more than a dozen hedge