Exhibit 3

Dockets.Justia.com

CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 11-CV-03605(JSR)(HBP) 4 5 -----x IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for б the Liquidation of Bernard L. 7 Madoff Investment Securities LLC, Videotaped Deposition of: 8 Plaintiff, JOHN D. MAINE v. 9 SAUL B. KATZ, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 -----x 12 13 14 TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and before 15 16 NANCY C. BENDISH, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR 17 and Notary Public of the States of New York and New Jersey, at the offices of Baker & Hostetler, 45 18 19 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York on Thursday, January 5, 2012, commencing at 9:39 a.m. 20 21 22 23 24 25

1

CONFIDENTIAL

2

1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP 3 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10111 4 BY: DAVID J. SHEEHAN, ESQ. MADIHA ZUBERI, ESQ. 5 MARK A. KORNFELD, ESQ. For Plaintiff, Irving Picard, Trustee 6 7 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL, LLP 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 8 BY: ROBERT F. WISE, JR., ESQ. 9 KAREN E. WAGNER, ESQ. LAUREN HOWARD, ESQ. For Sterling Equities, certain 10 affiliated entities, and the Witness 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 14 DANIEL McCLUTCHY, Videographer 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	PICARD v. KATZ, et a	al. CONFIDENTIAL	JOHN D. MAINE 1/5	/12
				3
1		I N D E X		
2	WITNESS		PAGE	
3	WIINIDD		1101	
4	JOHN D. MAINE			
5	Examination	by Mr. Sheehan	5	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12		ΕΧΗΙΒΙΤS		
13	IDENT.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
14	Trustee 254	Expert Report of John Maine.	44	
15	Trustee 255	FINRA Customer Account Informat	ion. 58	
16	Trustee 256	Rebuttal Report of John Maine.	70	
17	Trustee 257	Documents Bates SSMT01855447-58	4. 81	
18 19	Trustee 258	Emails and Presentation to Dupor Bates SSMT01238214-266.	nt, 90	
20	Trustee 259	Sterling Equities Investments 12/31/07, Bates SE_T579076.	115	
21	Trustee 260	Documents Bates SSKW00012772-89		
22	IIUSCEE 200	Documents bates SSRW00012772-03	5. 120	
23		ously marked Exhibits Trustee 34 e 95, Trustee 110 and Trustee 18		
24	were re	eferred to herein and are attached transcript.)		
25				

CONFIDENTIAL

		4			6
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We	09:38:20	1	questions. I'd ask that you verbalize your response	09:41:21
2	are now on the record. My name is Daniel McClutchy	09:39:07	2	because a head nod or whatever could be	09:41:23
3	representing Bendish Reporting. The date today is	09:39:12	3	misinterpreted, so if you verbalize, it makes it	09:41:26
4	January 5th, 2012 and the time is approximately 9:39	09:39:15	4	better.	09:41:26
5	a.m. This deposition is being held at Baker	09:39:20	5	If I have a question that's	09:41:29
6	Hostetler, located at 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New	09:39:24	6	complicated or that you can't understand it, which	09:41:30
7	York, New York. The caption of this case is Irving	09:39:27	7	is probably not unlikely, then that I ask you to	09:41:32
8	Picard versus Saul B. Katz, et al. This case is	09:39:30	8	tell me that. I don't want you to guess at what I'm	09:41:35
9	filed in the United States District Court, Southern	09:39:34	9	asking you, whatever, and your counsel may very well	09:41:37
10	District of New York, Case No. 11-CV-03605	09:39:37	10	object in that it's not understandable, and I'll try	09:41:40
11	(JSR)(HBP). The name of the witness is John Maine.	09:39:45	11	to clarify it and make it clear. Okay?	09:41:44
12	At this time the attorneys present	09:39:48	12	A. Yes, sir.	09:41:46
13	will identify themselves for the record and the	09:39:50	13	Q. All right. And, you know, it may	09:41:47
14	parties they represent, and our court reporter,	09:39:53	14	very well be that you want to take a break, because	09:41:47
15	Nancy Bendish, will swear in the witness and we can	09:39:55	15	I sort of get enthusiastic about what we're doing	09:41:50
16	proceed.	09:39:58	16	here and then you decide we're going a little too	09:41:53
17	MR. SHEEHAN: David Sheehan with	09:40:00	17	long, just let me know. And anybody else who needs	09:41:55
18	Baker Hostetler for the Trustee.	09:40:01	18	that, just let me know and we'll take a break. Bio	09:41:58
19	MS. ZUBERI: Madiha Zuberi with Baker	09:40:06	19	breaks are always a good idea and I think it's a	09:42:01
20	Hostetler for the Trustee.	09:40:07	20	good thing that we should have that available. Just	09:42:04
21	MR. KORNFELD: Mark Kornfeld, Baker	09:40:08	21	let me know. Okay?	09:42:06
22	Hostetler for the Trustee.	09:40:10	22	A. Certainly.	09:42:07
23	MR. WISE: It's Bob Wise of Davis	09:40:10	23	Q. Okay. Let me start off by do	09:42:07
24	Polk representing the defendants.	09:40:13	24	we have by asking, do we have the two reports?	09:42:09
25	MS. HOWARD: Lauren Howard of Davis	09:40:16	25	Okay. Just get those out.	09:42:14
		5			7
1	Polk representing the defendants.	09:40:17	1	The I'm going to show you in a	09:42:15
2	MS. WAGNER: Karen Wagner, Davis	09:40:19	2	moment, once we retrieve them, the two reports that	09:42:16
3	Polk, representing the defendants.	09:40:19	3	you've issued in this case, ask you to identify	09:42:19
4		09:40:19	4	them, because that's what we're going to really be	09:42:21
5	JOHN DAVENPORT MAINE, sworn.	09:40:35	5	talking about here today, okay?	09:42:23
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEEHAN:	09:40:35	6	A. Yes, sir.	09:42:25
7	Q. Mr. Maine, I'm going to ask you a few	09:40:37	7	Q. All right. Just by way of	09:42:25
8	questions today about the reports that you've given	09:40:39	8	background, though, while we're digging those out,	09:42:26
9	in this case that we've just talked about here in	09:40:43	9	could you give me the benefit of a description of	09:42:29
10	identifying ourselves. It's actually the Trustee	09:40:47	10	your educational background.	09:42:31
11	versus Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Katz and a number of other	09:40:51	11	A. Yes. I graduated from Dartmouth	09:42:32
12	defendants. And before I do so, I want to ask you a	09:40:53	12	College in 1964 with a degree in history. I	09:42:37
13	question. Have you ever been deposed before?	09:40:55	13	graduated magna cum laude.	09:42:39
14	A. Yes.	09:40:57	14	Q. Okay. And following your graduation	09:42:42
15	Q. How many times?	09:40:57	15	from Dartmouth, did you pursue any other degrees?	09:42:43
16	A. I don't know. 40, 50, something like	09:41:01	16	A. No, sir.	09:42:47
17	that.	09:41:02	17	Q. Other than the Dartmouth education,	09:42:48
18	Q. Okay. I'm going to assume,	09:41:03	18	did you attend any other courses, such as industry	09:42:51
19	therefore, with that experience, that you have some	09:41:05	19	courses that might be related to an industry, such	09:42:56
20	knowledge about the process we're about to engage	09:41:07	20	as the securities industry or anything along those	09:42:59
21	in. But notwithstanding that, I want to just have a	09:41:09	21	lines?	09:43:02
22	couple of ground rules just so we're clear and	09:41:12	22	A. The Wharton Business School ran a	09:43:03
	Mr. Wise and I are clear on where we're going to be	09:41:15	23	three-year a course that went for three years,	09:43:05
23	which we have and I are crear on where we re going to be	0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
23 24	going here today, okay? I'm going to be, as I say, asking you	09:41:18	24	but it was, I think, two weeks at a shot for three years, so a total of six weeks.	09:43:08

4 (Pages 4 to 7)

CONFIDENTIAL

		8			10
1	Q. Okay. And when did you attend that	09:43:13	1	Q. That's quite all right. Probably	09:45:06
2	course?	09:43:14	2	bother the videographer a lot more than me. But he	09:45:09
3	A. Sometime in the '70s.	09:43:15	3	looks okay down there, all right.	09:45:13
4	Q. Okay. And what was the focus of that	09:43:16	4	After you left I assume at some	09:45:15
5	course?	09:43:18	5	point you left Smith Barney?	09:45:18
6	A. Would have been just general	09:43:21	6	A. Yes, sir.	09:45:20
7	securities, investments, things such as that.	09:43:23	7	Q. Okay. Where did you go after you	09:45:21
8	Q. Okay. Other than that course that	09:43:26	8	left Smith Barney?	09:45:23
9	you've just described for us, any other formal or	09:43:29	9	A. I went to a West Coast-based regional	09:45:25
10	informal education that you may have engaged in?	09:43:33	10	firm named Mitchum, and I'll spell these words as we	09:45:27
11	A. Well, in the firms I worked for, of	09:43:37	11	go along	09:45:32
12	course we had a lot of training sessions along the	09:43:39	12	Q. Yeah, that would be good.	09:45:32
13	way. So that	09:43:41	13	A if that's acceptable.	09:45:32
14	Q. Okay.	09:43:43	14	M-i-t-c-h-u-m, Mitchum, Jones & Templeton,	09:45:35
15	A would be the other.	09:43:43	15	T-e-m-p-l-e-t-o-n, in San Francisco and my job there	09:45:40
16	Q. We're going to talk about your	09:43:45	16	was national institutional sales manager.	09:45:43
17	employment history, so maybe we can cover that then,	09:43:46	17	Q. Okay. And, again, just for the	09:45:47
18	okay.	09:43:48	18	record, could you give us a description of what your	09:45:49
19	Other than that, is there anything	09:43:49	19	duties were on a regular basis as in that	09:45:52
20	else?	09:43:50	20	capacity that you've just described.	09:45:55
21	A. No, sir.	09:43:50	21	A. Sure, sure. I set up a regional	09:45:56
22	Q. Okay, fine.	09:43:52	22	institutionally-based research operation, which	09:46:02
23	So, when you leave Dartmouth, you	09:43:53	23	meant that we researched and wrote analytics on West	09:46:04
24	enjoy join the employment world. What was your	09:43:57	24	Coast firms. That would include Bank America,	09:46:10
25	first job?	09:44:00	25	Weyerhaeuser, Boise Cascade, Hewlett Packard, Intel,	09:46:16
		9			11
1	A. With Smith Barney as a registered	09:44:01	1	companies such as that. And then we marketed this	09:46:20
2	representative.	09:44:04	2	product to institutional investors, professional	09:46:24
3	Q. And when was that?	09:44:04	3	investors around the country. And I set up five	09:46:27
4	A. Immediately upon graduation.	09:44:05	4	sales offices, one in San Francisco, one in Los	09:46:31
5	Q. Okay. And what were your duties as a	09:44:08	5	Angeles, one in Chicago, one in Boston and one in	09:46:34
6	registered representative of Smith Barney?	09:44:10	6	New York.	09:46:38
7	A. I handled individual accounts for	09:44:13	7	Q. Since this is a term that we're going	09:46:43
8	private investors.	09:44:16	8	to be talking about, could you give me your	09:46:45
9	O Observ And social server inset I don't				
	Q. Okay. And could you just, I don't	09:44:18	9	definition of what you mean by an institutional	09:46:47
10	want to break this down too much because I realize a	09:44:18 09:44:22	9 10	investor?	09:46:47 09:46:49
10 11			9 10 11		
	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you	09:44:22	11 12	investor?	09:46:49
11 12 13	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable	09:44:22 09:44:24	11 12 13	investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is	09:46:49 09:46:50
11 12 13 14	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney.	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26	11 12 13 14	investor?A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms.Q. Is that is there a basis for that	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:52
11 12 13	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney.A. Well, of course it changed over the	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26 09:44:29	11 12 13 14 15	investor?A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms.Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition?	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:52 09:46:58
11 12 13 14 15 16	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35	11 12 13 14 15 16	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:52 09:46:58 09:47:01
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated some institutional accounts.	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35 09:44:38	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the industry terminology. I've never seen it codified 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:52 09:46:58 09:47:01 09:47:05 09:47:06 09:47:08
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated some institutional accounts. But just focusing on the retail side	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35 09:44:38 09:44:40	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the industry terminology. I've never seen it codified anyplace. 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:52 09:46:58 09:47:01 09:47:05 09:47:06 09:47:08 09:47:12
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated some institutional accounts. But just focusing on the retail side of it, I would, first of all, obviously prospect for	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35 09:44:38 09:44:40 09:44:42	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the industry terminology. I've never seen it codified anyplace. Q. Okay. So it's not based on any 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:52 09:46:58 09:47:01 09:47:05 09:47:06 09:47:08 09:47:12 09:47:13
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated some institutional accounts. But just focusing on the retail side of it, I would, first of all, obviously prospect for clients, try and build my client base. And with my	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35 09:44:38 09:44:40 09:44:42 09:44:46	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the industry terminology. I've never seen it codified anyplace. Q. Okay. So it's not based on any literature that you've read or documents you may 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:52 09:46:58 09:47:01 09:47:05 09:47:06 09:47:08 09:47:12 09:47:13 09:47:15
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated some institutional accounts. But just focusing on the retail side of it, I would, first of all, obviously prospect for clients, try and build my client base. And with my clients I would identify their needs and objectives	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35 09:44:38 09:44:40 09:44:40 09:44:46 09:44:49	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the industry terminology. I've never seen it codified anyplace. Q. Okay. So it's not based on any literature that you've read or documents you may have referred to? 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:58 09:47:01 09:47:05 09:47:06 09:47:08 09:47:12 09:47:13 09:47:15 09:47:18
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated some institutional accounts. But just focusing on the retail side of it, I would, first of all, obviously prospect for clients, try and build my client base. And with my clients I would identify their needs and objectives and then try and match those needs and objectives	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35 09:44:38 09:44:40 09:44:40 09:44:49 09:44:52	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the industry terminology. I've never seen it codified anyplace. Q. Okay. So it's not based on any literature that you've read or documents you may have referred to? A. No. But anyone in the industry would 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:58 09:47:01 09:47:05 09:47:06 09:47:08 09:47:12 09:47:13 09:47:18 09:47:18
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated some institutional accounts. But just focusing on the retail side of it, I would, first of all, obviously prospect for clients, try and build my client base. And with my clients I would identify their needs and objectives and then try and match those needs and objectives with whatever securities I felt were suitable.	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35 09:44:38 09:44:40 09:44:40 09:44:40 09:44:49 09:44:52 09:44:52	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the industry terminology. I've never seen it codified anyplace. Q. Okay. So it's not based on any literature that you've read or documents you may have referred to? A. No. But anyone in the industry would give you the same exact definition. 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:52 09:47:01 09:47:05 09:47:06 09:47:08 09:47:12 09:47:13 09:47:15 09:47:18 09:47:19 09:47:21
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	want to break this down too much because I realize a lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do at Smith Barney. A. Well, of course it changed over the years, because as I was there, then I accumulated some institutional accounts. But just focusing on the retail side of it, I would, first of all, obviously prospect for clients, try and build my client base. And with my clients I would identify their needs and objectives and then try and match those needs and objectives	09:44:22 09:44:24 09:44:26 09:44:29 09:44:32 09:44:33 09:44:35 09:44:38 09:44:40 09:44:40 09:44:49 09:44:52	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 investor? A. Certainly. It's someone who is compensated for handling investments, in in the most simplistic terms. Q. Is that is there a basis for that definition? A. Just industry that's just the industry terminology. I've never seen it codified anyplace. Q. Okay. So it's not based on any literature that you've read or documents you may have referred to? A. No. But anyone in the industry would 	09:46:49 09:46:50 09:46:58 09:47:01 09:47:05 09:47:06 09:47:08 09:47:12 09:47:13 09:47:18 09:47:18

5 (Pages 8 to 11)

CONFIDENTIAL

		12			14
1	correct me, but you did research and wrote	09:47:33	1	Q. And again, what were your duties as	09:49:43
2	analyticals with regard to specific securities	09:47:35	2	the resident manager of Smith Barney in	09:49:45
3	offerings. Is that a fair statement?	09:47:38	3	Philadelphia?	09:49:48
4	A. The people who reported to me	09:47:40	4	A. I could simplistically say to manage	09:49:49
5	Q. Oh, did that.	09:47:40	5	the office. But to give you a little bit more	09:49:51
6	A did the research and wrote the	09:47:43	6	color	09:49:53
7	analytics.	09:47:44	7	Q. No. I assumed you were doing that,	09:49:54
8	Q. Okay.	09:47:45	8	but on your day-to-day basis, what did you do,	09:49:55
9	A. And then we also had a sales force	09:47:46	9	Mr. Maine?	09:49:57
10	that then marketed these products.	09:47:48	10	A. The Philadelphia office was the	09:49:58
11	Q. Well, the analytics, can you tell me	09:47:50	11	largest office in Smith Barney. Smith Barney was	09:50:00
12	what you mean by that?	09:47:52	12	founded in Philadelphia by two old Philadelphia	09:50:03
13	A. Well, sure. You would take a company	09:47:55	13	gentlemen, E.B. Smith and C.D. Barney. And it was	09:50:08
14	such as Hewlett Packard. We would have analysts who	09:47:56	14	the largest office at Smith Barney at that time. We	09:50:11
15	specialized in specific industries so they'd have a	09:48:03	15	had about a hundred folks in the office.	09:50:14
16	broader base of knowledge.	09:48:06	16	We had a combination sales force that	09:50:16
17	So we would have a technical analyst	09:48:08	17	serviced retail clients, institutional clients. We	09:50:19
18	who would look at Hewlett Packard and Intel and	09:48:10	18	had a fixed income trading desk and we had a taxable	09:50:26
19	technical companies such as that. We might have	09:48:15	19	fixed income trading desk. So taxable and tax-free	09:50:34
20	another Forest products analyst who would look at	09:48:17	20	fixed income trading desk and a sales force that	09:50:38
21	Boise Cascade and Weyerhaeuser and Potlatch Forest.	09:48:20	21	worked with those products.	09:50:39
22	That's P-o-t-l-a-c-h.	09:48:23	22	And my my job as the resident	09:50:42
23	And they would look at these	09:48:29	23	manager is similar to running your own business. In	09:50:47
24	companies, do a top-down approach, in other words,	09:48:31	24	other words, I was responsible for sales,	09:50:49
25	where do we think the macro economy is going and	09:48:33	25	compliance, operations, recruiting, personnel,	09:50:52
		13			15
1	then how that will devolve into the individual	09:48:36	1	expense control.	09:50:56
2	industries. And then within the individual	09:48:40	2	(Comments off the record.)	09:51:08
3	industries, which companies seem the best	09:48:42	3	Q. The only one I want you to give me an	09:51:08
4	positioned, which companies seem to be selling at	09:48:47	4	inside into, what did you mean by the term	09:51:11
5					09:51:11
5	the cheapest price versus their earnings, and which	09:48:49	5	"compliance"?	09:51:11
6	the cheapest price versus their earnings, and which have the best growth prospects, what we think a	09:48:49 09:48:52	5 6	"compliance"? A. Well, the securities industry is a	
	· · ·				09:51:14
6	have the best growth prospects, what we think a	09:48:52	6	A. Well, the securities industry is a	09:51:14 09:51:17
6 7	have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be	09:48:52 09:48:56	6 7	A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19
6 7 8	have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics.	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:58	6 7 8	A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27
6 7 8 9	have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:58 09:48:59	6 7 8 9	A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31
6 7 8 9 10	have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics.Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01	6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35
6 7 8 9 10 11	have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics.Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there,	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08	6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations.	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35 09:51:40
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics.Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers?	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:43
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics.Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers?A. Both.	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:12	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:43 09:51:46
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with 	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:12 09:49:13	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:43 09:51:44 09:51:49
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with Mitchum? 	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:12 09:49:13 09:49:15	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? A. Certainly. 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:44 09:51:49 09:51:50
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with Mitchum? A. With Mitchum for two years. 	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:12 09:49:13 09:49:15 09:49:16	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? A. Certainly. Q. And who would those complaints be 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:35 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:43 09:51:46 09:51:49 09:51:50 09:51:50
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with Mitchum? A. With Mitchum for two years. Q. And when that came to an end what, if 	09:48:52 09:48:56 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:08 09:49:12 09:49:13 09:49:15 09:49:16 09:49:20	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? A. Certainly. Q. And who would those complaints be made by? 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:50 09:51:50 09:51:50
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with Mitchum? A. With Mitchum for two years. Q. And when that came to an end what, if anything, did you do after that? 	09:48:52 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:12 09:49:13 09:49:15 09:49:16 09:49:20 09:49:22	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? A. Certainly. Q. And who would those complaints be made by? A. Excuse me down there. 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:35 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:50 09:51:50 09:51:50
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with Mitchum? A. With Mitchum for two years. Q. And when that came to an end what, if anything, did you do after that? A. That was, to put it in time frame, 	09:48:52 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:09 09:49:13 09:49:15 09:49:16 09:49:20 09:49:22 09:49:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? A. Certainly. Q. And who would those complaints be made by? A. Excuse me down there. Well, it would depend upon the nature 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:50 09:51:50 09:51:54 09:51:54 09:52:00
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with Mitchum? A. With Mitchum for two years. Q. And when that came to an end what, if anything, did you do after that? A. That was, to put it in time frame, that was in '74. Mitchum went out of business. 	09:48:52 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:12 09:49:13 09:49:15 09:49:16 09:49:20 09:49:22 09:49:24 09:49:27	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? A. Certainly. Q. And who would those complaints be made by? A. Excuse me down there. Well, it would depend upon the nature of a complaint. If it was a and in our process, 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:44 09:51:50 09:51:50 09:51:54 09:51:54 09:51:54 09:52:00 09:52:03
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with Mitchum? A. With Mitchum for two years. Q. And when that came to an end what, if anything, did you do after that? A. That was, to put it in time frame, that was in '74. Mitchum went out of business. Q. Okay. 	09:48:52 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:12 09:49:13 09:49:15 09:49:16 09:49:20 09:49:22 09:49:24 09:49:27 09:49:29	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? A. Certainly. Q. And who would those complaints be made by? A. Excuse me down there. Well, it would depend upon the nature of a complaint. If it was a and in our process, I'll answer the question and then give you a little 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:19 09:51:27 09:51:31 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:43 09:51:44 09:51:54 09:51:50 09:51:54 09:51:54 09:52:00 09:52:03 09:52:07
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 have the best growth prospects, what we think a reasonable price target would be. And that would be the analytics. Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith Barney for just one minute. The customers that you were, and I'm directing your reference to there, were they retail or institutional customers? A. Both. Q. Okay. And how long were you with Mitchum? A. With Mitchum for two years. Q. And when that came to an end what, if anything, did you do after that? A. That was, to put it in time frame, that was in '74. Mitchum went out of business. Q. Okay. A. And I returned to Smith Barney in 	09:48:52 09:48:58 09:48:59 09:49:01 09:49:08 09:49:09 09:49:12 09:49:13 09:49:13 09:49:15 09:49:16 09:49:20 09:49:22 09:49:24 09:49:27 09:49:29 09:49:31	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, the securities industry is a highly regulated industry, and by various regulators and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance would mean making certain that my brokers and other employees complied with various security rules and regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. Q. In connection with that, did you ever deal with complaints about failure to comply with those regulations? A. Certainly. Q. And who would those complaints be made by? A. Excuse me down there. Well, it would depend upon the nature of a complaint. If it was a and in our process, I'll answer the question and then give you a little elucidation 	09:51:14 09:51:17 09:51:17 09:51:27 09:51:35 09:51:35 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:40 09:51:50 09:51:50 09:51:50 09:51:54 09:51:54 09:52:00 09:52:03 09:52:07 09:52:10

6 (Pages 12 to 15)

CONFIDENTIAL

		16			18
1	A. And in the nature it would depend	09:52:11	1	of times a client would complain that that	09:54:50
2	upon the nature of the complaint. If it was a sales	09:52:16	2	they the commission burden was too high on the	09:54:53
3	practice complaint, it would probably be brought by	09:52:18	3	equity account, and that the trading was not in line	09:54:56
4	a customer. If it was a complaint about somebody	09:52:21	4	with their objectives.	09:54:59
5	stealing somebody else's lunch, it might be brought	09:52:26	5	Q. All right. Now, were you ever a	09:55:01
б	by an employee, probably by an employee. That was	09:52:28	6	retail customer?	09:55:03
7	they were the most frequent complaints I dealt	09:52:30	7	A. Was I ever a retail customer? Yes.	09:55:06
8	with.	09:52:33	8	Q. Okay. And did you receive	09:55:11
9	And if it was a something that was	09:52:35	9	statements?	09:55:14
10	discovered on an office audit by an in-house	09:52:38	10	A. Yes.	09:55:14
11	auditor, that might be brought by that might be	09:52:43	11	Q. And the customer who would complain	09:55:16
12	brought to my attention by the firm. In other	09:52:46	12	to you about churning, would that customer be	09:55:19
13	words, if someone was not submitting their	09:52:48	13	receiving statements?	09:55:22
14	correspondence to be initialed or something such as	09:52:50	14	A. Yes.	09:55:23
15	that.	09:52:52	15	Q. And how would they figure out that	09:55:23
16	Q. When you say a sales practice	09:52:53	16	there was churning going on, if you know?	09:55:25
17	complaint by a customer, could you give me an	09:52:56	17	A. I don't know. I mean, it might	09:55:29
18	example of what those sales practices might be that	09:52:58	18	they might just see the number mostly they'd see	09:55:32
19	a customer might complain about?	09:53:01	19	the number of confirmations coming through and say	09:55:35
20	A. Certainly. Recommendations that	09:53:02	20	this is not in line with my objectives.	09:55:37
21	weren't suitable.	09:53:05	21	Q. Well, would would the statement	09:55:40
22	Q. Are you familiar with the term	09:53:14	22	reflect the trading activity in the account?	09:55:41
23	"churning"?	09:53:16	23	A. Yes.	09:55:44
24	A. Certainly.	09:53:17	24	Q. All right. Would that statement	09:55:44
25	Q. What do you understand that term to	09:53:17	25	reveal to them the facts that you were suggesting	09:55:46
		17			19
1	mean?	17 09:53:19	1	would constitute trading?	19 09:55:49
1 2	mean? A. Churning means that a broker is doing		1 2	would constitute trading? A. Well, it would reveal it would	
		09:53:19		-	09:55:49
2	A. Churning means that a broker is doing	09:53:19 09:53:20	2	A. Well, it would reveal it would	09:55:49 09:55:50
2 3	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27	2 3	A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades.	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52
2 3 4	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33	2 3 4	A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades.Q. Okay. So when someone came to you	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:55
2 3 4 5	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35	2 3 4 5	A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades.Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:55 09:55:57
2 3 4 5 6	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47 09:53:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:55 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47 09:53:50 09:53:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:07 09:56:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level.	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:53:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:10 09:56:12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:54:03 09:54:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:10 09:56:12 09:56:14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a 	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:54:03 09:54:10 09:54:11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:07 09:56:10 09:56:12 09:56:14 09:56:14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a situation where a retail customer complained about 	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:54:03 09:54:10 09:54:11 09:54:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. Q. And did you discuss those with the 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:07 09:56:10 09:56:14 09:56:14 09:56:14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a situation where a retail customer complained about churning in his account, or her account?	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:54:03 09:54:10 09:54:11 09:54:14 09:54:17	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. Q. And did you discuss those with the customer as to what was going on in the account? 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:52 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:07 09:56:10 09:56:14 09:56:14 09:56:15 09:56:17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a situation where a retail customer complained about churning in his account, or her account? A. Yes. 	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:54:03 09:54:10 09:54:11 09:54:14 09:54:17 09:54:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. Q. And did you discuss those with the customer as to what was going on in the account? A. Well, I would discuss the customer's 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:10 09:56:12 09:56:14 09:56:15 09:56:17 09:56:17 09:56:22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a situation where a retail customer complained about churning in his account, or her account? A. Yes. Q. And to your knowledge, what was 	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:54:03 09:54:10 09:54:11 09:54:14 09:54:17 09:54:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. Q. And did you discuss those with the customer as to what was going on in the account? A. Well, I would discuss the customer's account with the customer, yes. 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:10 09:56:12 09:56:14 09:56:14 09:56:15 09:56:17 09:56:22 09:56:23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a situation where a retail customer complained about churning in his account, or her account? A. Yes. Q. And to your knowledge, what waswhat would be the nature of that complaint? 	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:54:03 09:54:10 09:54:11 09:54:14 09:54:17 09:54:20 09:54:20 09:54:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. Q. And did you discuss those with the customer as to what was going on in the account? A. Well, I would discuss the customer's account with the customer, yes. Q. And in doing so would you go over the 	09:55:49 09:55:52 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:10 09:56:12 09:56:12 09:56:14 09:56:14 09:56:15 09:56:17 09:56:22 09:56:23 09:56:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a situation where a retail customer complained about churning in his account, or her account? A. Yes. Q. And to your knowledge, what waswhat would be the nature of that complaint? A. Well, that there were too many trades 	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:54 09:54:03 09:54:10 09:54:11 09:54:14 09:54:17 09:54:20 09:54:20 09:54:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. Q. And did you discuss those with the customer as to what was going on in the account? A. Well, I would discuss the customer's account with the customer, yes. Q. And in doing so would you go over the statements with the customer? 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:10 09:56:12 09:56:12 09:56:14 09:56:14 09:56:15 09:56:21 09:56:23 09:56:25 09:56:27
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a situation where a retail customer complained about churning in his account, or her account? A. Yes. Q. And to your knowledge, what waswhat would be the nature of that complaint? A. Well, that there were too many trades based upon the objectives of the client. There's 	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47 09:53:50 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:54:50 09:54:10 09:54:11 09:54:11 09:54:14 09:54:17 09:54:20 09:54:20 09:54:30 09:54:32 09:54:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. Q. And did you discuss those with the customer as to what was going on in the account? A. Well, I would discuss the customer's account with the customer, yes. Q. And in doing so would you go over the statements with the customer? A. No. We would run analytics. In 	09:55:49 09:55:50 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:04 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:07 09:56:10 09:56:12 09:56:14 09:56:14 09:56:14 09:56:17 09:56:22 09:56:23 09:56:27 09:56:27 09:56:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Churning means that a broker is doing transactions based primarily on generating commissions for him or herself as opposed to the best interests of a client. And then there are certain statistical measures that have been applied as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every client's objectives are different. But there are certain thresholds which commissions relative to equity need to achieve before it's implied at one level and implied more strongly at another level and considered a fait accompli at a certain level. Q. In your experience as the resident manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a situation where a retail customer complained about churning in his account, or her account? A. Yes. Q. And to your knowledge, what was s-what would be the nature of that complaint? A. Well, that there were too many trades based upon the objectives of the client. There's another measure that goes along with churning which 	09:53:19 09:53:20 09:53:27 09:53:33 09:53:35 09:53:39 09:53:44 09:53:47 09:53:50 09:53:54 09:53:58 09:54:03 09:54:10 09:54:11 09:54:14 09:54:17 09:54:20 09:54:20 09:54:32 09:54:35 09:54:37	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, it would reveal it would reveal the number of trades. Q. Okay. So when someone came to you with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they came to the conclusion that churning was occurring in their account? A. No. Q. Did they tell you? A. No. They'd just say looks like there's too much trading. Q. And did you then look at the accounts? A. Of course. Q. And did you discuss those with the customer as to what was going on in the account? A. Well, I would discuss the customer's account with the customer, yes. Q. And in doing so would you go over the statements with the customer? A. No. We would run analytics. In other words, we would be able to look at the 	09:55:49 09:55:52 09:55:55 09:55:57 09:56:01 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:05 09:56:07 09:56:10 09:56:12 09:56:14 09:56:14 09:56:15 09:56:22 09:56:23 09:56:28 09:56:28 09:56:28

7 (Pages 16 to 19)

CONFIDENTIAL

		20			22
1	commission equity ratio, there's a little nuance.	09:56:44	1	they don't look at turnover. They look at the	09:59:04
2	And that would be provided by analytics from my	09:56:47	2	bottom line and if they're happy, they don't	09:59:07
3	compliance department.	09:56:51	3	complain.	09:59:09
4	Q. And would you share those analytics	09:56:52	4	If a client is losing money, then	09:59:10
5	with the retail customer?	09:56:55	5	they will focus on the account and they'll say, wow,	09:59:12
6	A. Yes.	09:56:56	6	there's been too much trading or, gee, this stock	09:59:15
7	Q. And it would be your expectation that	09:56:56	7	doesn't look like it fits my investment objectives.	09:59:19
8	the customer would understand those analytics?	09:56:59	8	So generally they're initiated	09:59:22
9	A. Not necessarily. Not necessarily.	09:57:03	9	because, almost universally, because a client has	09:59:24
10	In fact, I don't think they would. I wouldn't	09:57:06	10	lost money. And then I'm trying to figure out	09:59:26
11	expect them to understand that. I'd have to explain	09:57:08	11	whether it's just sour grapes and they were involved	09:59:29
12	it to them.	09:57:10	12	in every decision, and totally aware what was going	09:59:32
13	Q. Okay. And in doing so you'd walk	09:57:11	13	on, or, in fact, whether the broker was doing	09:59:35
14	through the analytics with them?	09:57:13	14	something that he or she shouldn't have been doing.	09:59:37
15	A. Well, I'd say it was more	09:57:16	15	So it varied from situation to situation.	09:59:40
16	complicated than that. Because really where we'd	09:57:18	16	Q. In your experience, did you ever	09:59:41
17	start would be with their account objectives. In	09:57:22	17	encounter customers that, you know, retail customers	09:59:46
18	other words, if someone had a municipal bond	09:57:24	18	that never lost money?	09:59:49
19	account, buy and hold municipal bond account, and	09:57:29	19	A. Never? Not one single month?	09:59:53
20	the turnover ratio, which was another thing that we	09:57:31	20	Q. Not never lost money.	09:59:56
21	looked at, was four times, which meant that the	09:57:38	21	A. Not one single month?	09:59:57
22	equity in that account was turned over four times on	09:57:40	22	Q. Nope. Every month they won.	09:59:59
23	an annualized basis, that would clearly not be in	09:57:42	23	A. I just never analyzed that.	10:00:01
24	line with the objectives of that client.	09:57:46	24	Q. I'm asking you in your experience,	10:00:03
25	On the other hand, if a client was an	09:57:48	25	people who trade in equities, retail customers in	10:00:05
		21			23
1	aggressive trader and they had a four times turnover	09:57:51	1	your entire experience, have you ever encountered	10:00:08
2	ratio, that trading might be totally suitable.	09:57:55	2	customers, more than one, or even just one, who	10:00:12
3	So, it's not as simple as just saying	09:57:59	3	never lost, every month they were a winner?	10:00:17
4	we'd go over the analytics. But then I'd say I	09:58:02	4	MR. WISE: Object, answered. Asked	10:00:20
5	would get into the costs and other things. We'd	09:58:05	5	and answered.	10:00:21
6	look at, I'd discuss the way the client interacted	09:58:07	6	A. I never looked. I mean, I did not go	10:00:22
7	with the broker. I'd look to see whether the trades	09:58:10	7	through and look at people's accounts. What would	10:00:25
8	were solicited or unsolicited. There would be a	09:58:13	8	float up to me were the people who tripped one of	10:00:28
9	whole panoply of things I would do.	09:58:16	9	our systems, their their account statements would	10:00:30
10	Q. And all that panoply would be	09:58:18	10	come to me, or client complaints. But to go through	10:00:34
11					
	discussed with the customer?	09:58:20	11	and do a study of whether somebody had ever lost	10:00:39
12	discussed with the customer? A. No. Would be on an account by	09:58:20 09:58:21	11 12	and do a study of whether somebody had ever lost money would be something that I would never do.	10:00:39 10:00:41
12 13					
	A. No. Would be on an account by	09:58:21	12	money would be something that I would never do.	10:00:41
13	A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in	09:58:21 09:58:23	12 13	money would be something that I would never do. Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then	10:00:41 10:00:43
13 14	A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation.	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25	12 13 14	money would be something that I would never do.Q. Well, then is it your suggestion thenthat if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46
13 14 15	A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation.Q. And in your experience in discussing	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25 09:58:28	12 13 14 15	money would be something that I would never do.Q. Well, then is it your suggestion thenthat if you didn't get a complaint, that meant thatthe customer never lost money?	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46 10:00:49
13 14 15 16	 A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation. Q. And in your experience in discussing these these churning complaints that you would 	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25 09:58:28 09:58:30	12 13 14 15 16	money would be something that I would never do. Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then that if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that the customer never lost money? MR. WISE: Object to form.	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46 10:00:49 10:00:51
13 14 15 16 17	 A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation. Q. And in your experience in discussing these these churning complaints that you would receive, what was usually the outcome of those? 	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25 09:58:28 09:58:30 09:58:34	12 13 14 15 16 17	 money would be something that I would never do. Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then that if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that the customer never lost money? MR. WISE: Object to form. A. No. Not never lost money. Either 	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46 10:00:49 10:00:51 10:00:53
13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation. Q. And in your experience in discussing these these churning complaints that you would receive, what was usually the outcome of those? A. Oh, it would vary. It would vary all 	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25 09:58:28 09:58:30 09:58:34 09:58:38	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 money would be something that I would never do. Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then that if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that the customer never lost money? MR. WISE: Object to form. A. No. Not never lost money. Either never lost money or the amount of money they lost 	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46 10:00:49 10:00:51 10:00:53 10:00:56
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation. Q. And in your experience in discussing these these churning complaints that you would receive, what was usually the outcome of those? A. Oh, it would vary. It would vary all over the lot. They generally they generally 	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25 09:58:28 09:58:30 09:58:34 09:58:38 09:58:41	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 money would be something that I would never do. Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then that if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that the customer never lost money? MR. WISE: Object to form. A. No. Not never lost money. Either never lost money or the amount of money they lost didn't seem to bother them, or they took 	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46 10:00:49 10:00:51 10:00:53 10:00:56 10:01:01
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation. Q. And in your experience in discussing these these churning complaints that you would receive, what was usually the outcome of those? A. Oh, it would vary. It would vary all over the lot. They generally they generally started because the customer had lost money. So 	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25 09:58:28 09:58:30 09:58:34 09:58:38 09:58:41 09:58:45	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 money would be something that I would never do. Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then that if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that the customer never lost money? MR. WISE: Object to form. A. No. Not never lost money. Either never lost money or the amount of money they lost didn't seem to bother them, or they took responsibility for it. No, it wouldn't be. Q. When you were representing customers, would you look at the customers' accounts as you 	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46 10:00:51 10:00:53 10:00:56 10:01:01 10:01:03
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation. Q. And in your experience in discussing these these churning complaints that you would receive, what was usually the outcome of those? A. Oh, it would vary. It would vary all over the lot. They generally they generally started because the customer had lost money. So normally if a customer loses money, they focus on 	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25 09:58:28 09:58:30 09:58:34 09:58:38 09:58:41 09:58:45 09:58:47	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 money would be something that I would never do. Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then that if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that the customer never lost money? MR. WISE: Object to form. A. No. Not never lost money. Either never lost money or the amount of money they lost didn't seem to bother them, or they took responsibility for it. No, it wouldn't be. Q. When you were representing customers, 	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46 10:00:51 10:00:53 10:00:56 10:01:01 10:01:03 10:01:07
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No. Would be on an account by account basis, depending upon what was important in that specific situation. Q. And in your experience in discussing these these churning complaints that you would receive, what was usually the outcome of those? A. Oh, it would vary. It would vary all over the lot. They generally they generally started because the customer had lost money. So normally if a customer loses money, they focus on their account. If clients universally if clients 	09:58:21 09:58:23 09:58:25 09:58:30 09:58:34 09:58:38 09:58:41 09:58:45 09:58:47 09:58:53	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 money would be something that I would never do. Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then that if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that the customer never lost money? MR. WISE: Object to form. A. No. Not never lost money. Either never lost money or the amount of money they lost didn't seem to bother them, or they took responsibility for it. No, it wouldn't be. Q. When you were representing customers, would you look at the customers' accounts as you 	10:00:41 10:00:43 10:00:46 10:00:51 10:00:53 10:00:56 10:01:01 10:01:03 10:01:07 10:01:09

8 (Pages 20 to 23)

CONFIDENTIAL

		24			26
1	4		1	.:	
1	they were winning or losing?	10:01:17	1 2	risk?	10:03:11
2 3	A. With my customers?O. Yes.	10:01:20	3	A. Again, you're using terms which I really have trouble with, the nature of the game.	10:03:13
4	τ.	10:01:21	4		10:03:14
4 5		10:01:22	5	If you're in equities, by definition you're always	10:03:16
6	winning.	10:01:23	6	at risk unless you're hedged. But if you're just in	10:03:19
7	Q. And would all of your customers always win?	10:01:24 10:01:25	7	naked equities, you're always at risk. If you hedge it, you're not always at risk.	10:03:23 10:03:26
8	A. No, not my customers.		8	Q. There's no risk whatsoever when you	10:03:28
9	Q. Did they all get exposed to equity	10:01:26 10:01:27	9	hedge?	10:03:28
10	risk?	10:01:27	10	A. Your risk is down to your stop hedge.	10:03:30
11	A. No. I had a lot of, I had a lot of	10:01:30	11	Q. Meaning that you can still lose, but	10:03:35
12	bond accounts.	10:01:31	12	your loss might be less because you've hedged it?	10:03:35
13	Q. And did the bond accounts always win?	10:01:34	13	A. Well, hedged it. But on the other	10:03:38
14	A. You know, you're using terms which	10:01:35	14	hand, if you hedge it properly, for instance, in	10:03:42
15	are difficult to put into an investment context, so	10:01:40	15	something like the split-strike strategy which we're	10:03:44
16	that's why I'm having trouble with your questions	10:01:42	16	talking about here, if you bring in five or six	10:03:47
17	Q. All right.	10:01:43	17	dollars worth of premium on part of the split that	10:03:50
18	A because over what bond accounts,	10:01:47	18	you've sold, and you pay five or six dollars for the	10:03:54
19	for instance, to answer your question, if you buy	10:01:40	19	insurance that you bought, theoretically you're	10:03:57
20	high quality bonds, they're subject to interim	10:01:50	20	perfectly hedged.	10:04:01
20	interest rate fluctuations. The price of the bond	10:01:52	20	Q. Meaning that you will never lose?	10:04:04
22	will move up or down based upon interest rate	10:01:58	22	A. Shouldn't.	10:04:05
23	fluctuations.	10:02:02	23	Q. So that split-strike conversion, as	10:04:09
23	But when they pay off at maturity,	10:02:02	24	you understand that strategy, will result in if	10:04:11
25	that's that's what you're looking for. And	10:02:03	25	executed properly as you just described in the	10:04:13
2.5	that's that's what you're looking for. And	10.02.00	25	executed property as you just described in the	10.04.10
		25			27
		25			27
1	winning in a bond account means that they pay their		1	customer never having a losing month?	27 10:04:20
1 2	interest as advertised on a regular basis.	10:02:09 10:02:12	2	A. Well, they may have a losing month.	
	interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14		A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the	10:04:20
2 3 4	interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the	10:02:09 10:02:12	2 3 4	A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28
2 3 4 5	interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised?	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:19	2 3 4 5	A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32
2 3 4 5 6	interest as advertised on a regular basis.Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised?A. No, sir. I always stuck with high	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:19 10:02:20	2 3 4 5 6	A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35
2 3 4 5 6 7	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:19	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success.	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:19 10:02:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:19 10:02:20 10:02:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:19 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:26 10:02:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:26 10:02:31 10:02:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:26 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:24 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:36 10:02:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:55
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:24 10:02:34 10:02:36 10:02:40 10:02:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:40 10:02:43 10:02:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:59 10:05:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:40 10:02:44 10:02:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:28 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:59 10:05:00 10:05:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. Q. Okay. But you think it was because 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:24 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:40 10:02:43 10:02:48 10:02:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:59 10:05:00 10:05:04 10:05:06
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. Q. Okay. But you think it was because you're a bad stock picker that 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:24 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:44 10:02:48 10:02:50 10:02:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. Tve never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I have. 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:59 10:05:00 10:05:04 10:05:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. Q. Okay. But you think it was because you're a bad stock picker that A. I do. I do. 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:43 10:02:44 10:02:50 10:02:53 10:02:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. Tve never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something Tve looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I have. Q. Tell me what you've looked at 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:55 10:04:59 10:05:00 10:05:04 10:05:10 10:05:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. Q. Okay. But you think it was because you're a bad stock picker that A. I do. I do. Q. Would you 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:26 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:43 10:02:44 10:02:50 10:02:53 10:02:54 10:02:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I have. Q. Tell me what you've looked at anecdotally. 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:32 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:55 10:04:55 10:04:59 10:05:00 10:05:10 10:05:10 10:05:10 10:05:12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. Q. Okay. But you think it was because you're a bad stock picker that A. I do. I do. Q. Would you A. My wife would agree with that. 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:40 10:02:43 10:02:44 10:02:48 10:02:50 10:02:53 10:02:54 10:02:54 10:02:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I have. Q. Tell me what you've looked at anecdotally. A. I've looked at, I believe, something 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:32 10:04:33 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:50 10:05:00 10:05:10 10:05:10 10:05:11 10:05:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. Q. Okay. But you think it was because you're a bad stock picker that A. I do. I do. Q. Would you A. My wife would agree with that. Q. My wife would certainly agree with 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:40 10:02:44 10:02:48 10:02:50 10:02:53 10:02:54 10:02:57 10:02:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I have. Q. Tell me what you've looked at anecdotally. A. I've looked at, I believe, something which showed his year in, year out performance. I 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:55 10:05:00 10:05:00 10:05:01 10:05:10 10:05:12 10:05:14 10:05:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. Q. Okay. But you think it was because you're a bad stock picker that A. I do. I do. Q. Would you A. My wife would agree with that. Q. My wife would certainly agree with that as well. Which is probably, leads to my next 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:24 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:40 10:02:43 10:02:44 10:02:50 10:02:53 10:02:54 10:02:54 10:02:59 10:03:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I have. Q. Tell me what you've looked at anecdotally. A. I've looked at, I believe, something which showed his year in, year out performance. I haven't seen it month in, month out. Although I 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:49 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:59 10:05:00 10:05:00 10:05:04 10:05:10 10:05:11 10:05:15 10:05:19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 interest as advertised on a regular basis. Q. And did you ever have an experience with bond accounts where they didn't pay the interest as advertised? A. No, sir. I always stuck with high quality bonds. Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, in the equity customers that you represented, was it your experience that all of the equity that any of your equity customers, those you represented, those accounts you watched, that every month, that any of them always had a positive return every month? A. No. I was an unusually bad stock picker, which is why I went into management. Q. Okay. But you think it was because you're a bad stock picker that A. I do. I do. Q. Would you A. My wife would agree with that. Q. My wife would certainly agree with 	10:02:09 10:02:12 10:02:14 10:02:17 10:02:20 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:23 10:02:31 10:02:34 10:02:34 10:02:40 10:02:44 10:02:48 10:02:50 10:02:53 10:02:54 10:02:57 10:02:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, they may have a losing month. They may have then it goes to the skill of the person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble they are, how they're doing it. But certainly people who execute split-strike strategies have varying degrees of success. Q. Have you ever encountered one where they never lose? In other words, that every month their customers always have a positive return. A. I've never analyzed any broad field of split-strike strategies where they always lose or always win. It's just not something I've looked at. Q. Well, in this case have you looked at the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike conversion strategy? A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I have. Q. Tell me what you've looked at anecdotally. A. I've looked at, I believe, something which showed his year in, year out performance. I 	10:04:20 10:04:23 10:04:25 10:04:32 10:04:35 10:04:39 10:04:41 10:04:44 10:04:47 10:04:52 10:04:55 10:04:55 10:05:00 10:05:00 10:05:01 10:05:10 10:05:12 10:05:14 10:05:15

9 (Pages 24 to 27)

CONFIDENTIAL

		28			30
1	Q. And could you identify for me what	10:05:27	1	What happened?	10:07:55
2	document you're speaking of here?	10:05:29	2	A. Well, a couple things happened. I	10:07:57
3	A. Not specifically. It was just a I	10:05:32	3	found a lot of political problems at McCormick. I	10:08:01
4	think a comparison to the, if I remember correctly,	10:05:34	4	was from the north. I was an outsider. I'm not	10:08:05
5	comparison to the Dow Jones average, and something	10:05:37	5	I'm a pretty easygoing guy. I was not comfortable	10:08:10
6	else.	10:05:39	6	with the political side of it and my family was	10:08:14
7	Q. Okay. We're a little ahead of	10:05:40	7	hesitant to move to Houston. And so after about	10:08:16
8	ourselves. I want to go back to that later, okay?	10:05:42	8	seven or eight months we parted company on very	10:08:19
9	Let's I digressed and I apologize. But let's go	10:05:45	9	friendly terms.	10:08:22
10	back to your employment history here, if we could.	10:05:48	10	Q. Okay. And when you left	10:08:24
11	Let's talk about Smith Barney, you're	10:05:51	11	Mr. McCormick's employ in seven or eight months,	10:08:27
12	the resident manager, I think we've talked about	10:05:53	12	what did you do next?	10:08:30
13	that. How long were you in that position?	10:05:56	13	A. Went back to Smith Barney.	10:08:31
14	A. Eight years.	10:05:58	14	Q. Okay. In what capacity?	10:08:33
15	Q. Okay.	10:05:58	15	A. My first role was again managing the	10:08:35
16	A. Seven or eight years.	10:05:59	16	Philadelphia office. But I was hired back to take	10:08:38
17	Q. And when did that come to an end?	10:06:01	17	over the northeast region, which I did within a	10:08:41
18	A. 1981. 1982, somewhere in there.	10:06:04	18	matter of months.	10:08:43
19	Q. And what, if anything, did you do	10:06:09	19	Q. Okay. What did the northeast region	10:08:45
20	after you left Smith Barney?	10:06:11	20	consist of?	10:08:47
21	A. I went to work in Houston, Texas in	10:06:13	21	A. Consisted of about a third of the	10:08:48
22	the oil business.	10:06:16	22	revenues of Smith Barney at that time. We did	10:08:51
23	Q. Okay. And that's a fairly large	10:06:22	23	approximately \$250 million in revenues in my region.	10:08:55
24	field, so could you break down for me what you were	10:06:24	24	We had a thousand employees. It was all of the	10:08:58
25	doing in the oil business, Mr. Maine?	10:06:27	25	production units from Virginia north, Ohio east up	10:09:03
		29			31
1	A. Certainly.	10:06:27	1	through New England. So, for instance, I had five	10:09:07
2	Q. Sure.	10:06:29	2	offices in New York, I had two offices in Boston,	10:09:11
3	A. I was the assistant to the chairman	10:06:29	3	two offices in Washington.	10:09:14
4	of an independent oil and gas producer named	10:06:31	4	Q. And again, I may have missed this,	10:09:17
5	McCormick, that's M-c C-o-r-m-i-c-k, Oil & Gas.	10:06:35	5	did you have a title when you were running this	10:09:19
б	Q. And what did you do for	10:06:41	6	regional northeast region?	10:09:21
7	Mr. McCormick?	10:06:44	7	A. Yes, I did. I was the regional	10:09:26
8	A. Mr. McCormick had had a very	10:06:46	8	director.	10:09:28
9	successful drilling company and he thought and he	10:06:50	9	Q. Okay.	10:09:28
10	and I had been friends for many years. And he felt	10:06:54	10	A. And I was senior vice president of	10:09:29
11	that this was a going back, interrupting myself.	10:06:58	11	the firm.	10:09:31
12	The early '80s were a time of tremendous	10:07:04	12	Q. Okay. In this capacity as regional	10:09:32
13	fluctuations in the energy markets, and he felt that	10:07:07	13	director, did you have any direct interactions with	10:09:42
14	there was a business that could be built where	10:07:16	14	either retail or institutional customers?	10:09:46
15	exploration companies linked up with end users such	10:07:21	15	A. Yes.	10:09:48
16	as McCormick Oil & Gas and Brooklyn Union Gas, to	10:07:26	16	Q. Okay. With both?	10:09:49
17	take a local company. And they would provide	10:07:32	17	A. Yes.	10:09:51
10	drilling funds, but would have a call on the product	10:07:34	18	Q. And how did that come about?	10:09:51
18		10:07:38	19	A. Well, being a regional director is	10:09:58
19	that we found.				
19 20	So it would be sort of a joint	10:07:39	20	sort of like being a glorified branch manager in	10:09:59
19 20 21	So it would be sort of a joint venture with the two. So they could ensure their	10:07:39 10:07:41	21	that things drift up to you that the branch manager	10:10:03
19 20 21 22	So it would be sort of a joint venture with the two. So they could ensure their source of supply and get some sort of price	10:07:39 10:07:41 10:07:43	21 22	that things drift up to you that the branch manager wants advice on. Either interface with very	10:10:03 10:10:09
19 20 21 22 23	So it would be sort of a joint venture with the two. So they could ensure their source of supply and get some sort of price stability because they controlled the product. We	10:07:39 10:07:41 10:07:43 10:07:47	21 22 23	that things drift up to you that the branch manager wants advice on. Either interface with very substantial accounts in relationship building, both	10:10:03 10:10:09 10:10:15
19 20 21 22	So it would be sort of a joint venture with the two. So they could ensure their source of supply and get some sort of price	10:07:39 10:07:41 10:07:43	21 22	that things drift up to you that the branch manager wants advice on. Either interface with very	10:10:03 10:10:09

10 (Pages 28 to 31)

CONFIDENTIAL

		32			34
1	where some problem had developed in the	10:10:25	1	A. Look at cases, try and help people	10:13:11
2	relationship. A regional director is the ultimate	10:10:29	2	value cases one way or another. And sometimes if	10:13:13
3	person in a region in terms of compliance,	10:10:32	3	that doesn't work out, then it turns into expert	10:13:19
4	supervision, personnel, hiring, firing. So it's	10:10:37	4	testimony.	10:13:22
5	again, it's the jobs that you did as a branch	10:10:43	5	Q. Okay.	10:13:23
б	manager except it's the areas where the branch	10:10:46	6	A. I've also acted as an arbitrator and	10:13:24
7	manager wants additional input.	10:10:49	7	a mediator, and I've given in-house compliance	10:13:29
8	Q. Okay. And in that role, as you say,	10:10:52	8	seminars to a San Francisco firm. For a San	10:13:35
9	came up from the branch manager for your assistance	10:10:56	9	Francisco firm.	10:13:41
10	in problem solving, I think is how you put it?	10:11:00	10	Q. Let's talk a little bit about each.	10:13:41
11	A. Yes, sir. Also development. There's	10:11:02	11	And I ask this just so I understand	10:13:45
12	problem solving and business development.	10:11:04	12	it. So that in your capacity dealing as a	10:13:52
13	Q. Business development. And would you	10:11:06	13	consultant, it's is it always or usually in the	10:13:56
14	deal directly with the customers at that point?	10:11:08	14	case of an adversary type of position? Do you	10:13:58
15	A. Often.	10:11:10	15	understand what I mean by that?	10:14:05
16	Q. Okay, fine. How long were you in the	10:11:10	16	What I'm asking is this: When you're	10:14:07
17	regional director position?	10:11:14	17	asked to consult, is it always in a situation where	10:14:09
18	A. Well, my position kind of morphed in	10:11:16	18	there's a complaint on one side or the other of a	10:14:11
19	1985, I went on the board of directors and I was	10:11:20	19	transaction and you're consulting trying to help	10:14:14
20	promoted to executive vice president of the firm.	10:11:24	20	resolve?	10:14:16
21	But I continued to be the regional director until I	10:11:27	21	A. No, not necessarily.	10:14:17
22	retired from Smith Barney in 1990.	10:11:30	22	Q. Okay. Well, explain to me what you	10:14:18
23	Q. Okay. 1990 you retire. What did you	10:11:34	23	mean when you say you're consulting in sort of a	10:14:20
24	do next?	10:11:52	24	pretrial capacity.	10:14:23
25	A. I moved back to the West Coast, where	10:11:53	25	A. Well, not the wordsmith, but when you	10:14:26
		33			35
1	I'd always wanted to live, and I really didn't have	10:11:56	1	said in terms of some sort of a transaction	10:14:31
2	any clear idea of what I wanted to do at that time.	10:11:59	2	Q. Right.	10:14:35
3	And actually my first business was trying to raise	10:12:05	3	A or something else, I do a fair	10:14:38
4	trout on my place in Idaho. That didn't work out	10:12:09	4	amount of my work is in personnel, a fair amount of	10:14:40
5	very well. And my trout herd swam away one morning,	10:12:12	5	my work is in inner firm raiding, r-a-i-d-i-n-g. A	10:14:43
6	but	10:12:18	6	fair amount of my work is in note collection. So	10:14:51
7	Q. I hate when that happens.	10:12:18	7	it's not all transaction-based. I didn't	10:14:56
8	A. It ruins the whole night.	10:12:21	8	Q. Okay. That's very helpful, thank	10:14:58
9	Q. Right, exactly. Collision at sea.	10:12:23	9	you.	10:15:01
10	There you go.	10:12:27	10	A. Okay.	10:15:02
11	A. But everybody else up and down the	10:12:27	11	Q. I think I understand the first two,	10:15:03
12	river was happy.	10:12:29	12	I'm not so sure what you meant by note collection.	10:15:04
13	Q. I'm sure they were.	10:12:30	13	Could you expand upon that for me?	10:15:07
14	A. All these dumb hatchery-raised fish	10:12:33	14	A. Certainly. The industry has	10:15:10
15	just eating anything that came along.	10:12:36	15	developed over the years a process by which they	10:15:13
16	So, I gave up that venture. But some	10:12:40	16	recruit brokers and give them what are called	10:15:16
17	folks were nice enough to ask me to do some	10:12:42	17	forgivable notes, which is upfront money and in the	10:15:19
18	consulting work and that's what I've done ever	10:12:45	18	form of a loan to be forgiven over a period of	10:15:24
19	since, an expert witness in securities.	10:12:47	19	years. And some brokers become anxious and leave	10:15:33
20	Q. Okay, let's break that down. You say	10:12:49	20	before the note has extinguished and then the firms	10:15:36
21	consulting work in I'm not so sure I quote this	10:12:52	21	will try and collect that money from the brokers.	10:15:39
~ ~		40.5			
22	correctly, but expert testimony, is that what you	10:12:56	22	Q. In addition to the personnel issues,	10:15:44
23	A. Well, I do a lot of consulting that	10:12:58	23	inter-firm raiding and note collection, are there	10:15:47

11 (Pages 32 to 35)

CONFIDENTIAL

		36			38
1	take place at a brokerage house?	10:15:57	1	either one of us will clean that up if that happens.	10:18:02
2	A. Yeah, and the other to be	10:16:00	2	In how many of those instances, 40 of	10:18:05
3	complete	10:16:02	3	those, was testimony provided in a proceeding such	10:18:07
4	Q. Sure.	10:16:02	4	as this one?	10:18:12
5	A the other area that I also do a	10:16:02	5	A. You'll have to be	10:18:13
6	fair amount of work in is in disciplinary	10:16:05	6	Q. Okay. What I mean by that is, is	10:18:15
7	proceedings brought by SROs against brokers.	10:16:09	7	that you do all this other work and you've been	10:18:16
8	Q. Give me an example of an SRO that	10:16:16	8	deposed 40 times.	10:18:19
9	you're speaking of.	10:16:19	9	A. Right.	10:18:21
10	A. The New York Stock Exchange, now	10:16:20	10	Q. And in those 40 cases, how many of	10:18:21
11	FINRA.	10:16:22	11	those were situations where what you were doing was	10:18:24
12	Q. Right.	10:16:23	12	providing expert testimony on behalf of a party in	10:18:26
13	A. SEC was not an SRO, but was a	10:16:28	13	connection with a dispute over a securities	10:18:30
14	regulatory.	10:16:31	14	transaction?	10:18:32
15	Q. It might become an SRO the way it's	10:16:31	15	A. And the 40 was my best guess.	10:18:35
16	going. But in any event, take away all the funding,	10:16:34	16	Q. Yeah, yeah, sure.	10:18:37
17	they'll be out of business.	10:16:38	17	A. But I would say, again, it would be	10:18:40
18	Let me go back to what you were	10:16:39	18	more than half. And the reason I hesitate on that	10:18:42
19	doing, or what you're doing as a consultant.	10:16:42	19	is that most pure brokerage situations are subject	10:18:46
20	A. Excuse me.	10:16:49	20	to arbitration agreements signed by the client.	10:18:52
21	Q. Today you're here in behalf of the	10:16:50	21	Most arbitrations don't have depositions. But there	10:18:57
22	defendants and acting in a capacity that all of us	10:16:52	22	are fairly frequent times where the side not	10:19:05
23	in this room understand. How much of that type of	10:16:55	23	frequent times, but there are times when the panel,	10:19:09
24	work is what you have been doing over the last 21	10:16:58	24	for instance, may want or grant depositions even in	10:19:13
25	years?	10:17:00	25	their arbitration. So, there's some there.	10:19:19
		37			39
1	A. Gosh, I've never broken it down.	10:17:06	1	Triple A or JAMS appearances that	10:19:23
2	Never broken it down.	10:17:08	2	I've done, they lots of times will have depositions	10:19:28
3	Q. Okay. How many well, maybe we can	10:17:09	3	and the rest, of course, will be court proceedings	10:19:34
4	try to quantify it a little bit differently. How	10:17:11	4	where the parties have not signed an arbitration	10:19:37
5	many cases, whether they be arbitrations, mediations	10:17:15	5	agreement. And many of those will be, for instance,	10:19:41
6	or litigations, have you been involved in where	10:17:17	6	registered investment advisory relationships where	10:19:44
7	there has been a dispute, hard to say similar to	10:17:20	7	the client and the adviser haven't signed a	10:19:47
8	this one, but a dispute involving a securities	10:17:25	8	relationship.	10:19:51
9	transaction where you've been called in to provide	10:17:28	9	Q. FINRA used to be called the NASD. Do	10:19:56
10	expert testimony?	10:17:32	10	you remember that?	10:20:00
11	A. I would say that that would be more	10:17:33	11	A. Well, half of FINRA used to be called	10:20:01
12	than half of the work I do.	10:17:34	12	the NASD.	10:20:03
13	Q. Okay. And	10:17:36	13	Q. Right. And there is such a thing as	10:20:04
14	A. It varies. It varies. But I would	10:17:38	14	an NASD arbitration. Are you familiar with that?	10:20:06
15	say if you looked at my career, it would be more	10:17:41	15	A. Yes, sir.	10:20:09
16	than half of the work that I do.	10:17:44	16	Q. Okay. Have you appeared as a witness	10:20:09
17	Q. Okay. And at the outset I asked you	10:17:45	17	in any NASD arbitrations?	10:20:10
18	how many times you've been deposed and you gave us a	10:17:47	18	A. Yes, sir.	10:20:13
19	ballpark of 40 I think or somewhere in	10:17:49	19	Q. How many times?	10:20:14
20	A. Yeah.	10:17:53	20	A. I don't know.	10:20:15
21	Q that range.	10:17:53	21	Q. Once?	10:20:16
1		10.17.55	22	A. No. I don't want to play games here.	10:20:16
22	A. I'm sorry, I interrupted you.	10:17:55	22	A. No. I don't want to play games here.	10.20.10
22 23	A. I'm sorry, I interrupted you.Q. No, that's all right. That's all	10:17:55	23	Q. No, I don't either. That's why I'm	10:20:10

12 (Pages 36 to 39)

CONFIDENTIAL

		40			42
1	A. It would be the bulk of prior to	10:20:23	1	Davis Polk.	10:23:00
2	New York Stock Exchange and the NASD merging their	10:20:26	2	Q. And who do you understand your client	10:23:01
3	arbitration forums, the NASD was the predominant	10:20:32	3	to be?	10:23:04
4	arbitration forum. So I believe that perhaps the	10:20:36	4	A. Gosh, I haven't given it any thought.	10:23:08
5	bulk of my arbitrations and the bulk of my testimony	10:20:38	5	I would imagine it's the defendants.	10:23:10
6	took place in NASD forums.	10:20:43	6	Q. I think so. And have you met any of	10:23:14
7	Q. And would that be hundreds of	10:20:46	7	the defendants?	10:23:16
8	arbitrations?	10:20:48	8	A. No.	10:23:17
9	A. Yes.	10:20:49	9	Q. And just for the record, have you	10:23:25
10	Q. More than 500?	10:20:51	10	entered into a retainer agreement in connection with	10:23:27
11	A. I don't know, but that would 500	10:20:57	11	this testimony you're giving here today?	10:23:30
12	would probably be a reasonable guess.	10:20:59	12	A. I'm not sure.	10:23:32
13	Q. Okay.	10:21:02	13	Q. We're about to get into that area	10:23:42
14	This litigation that we're involved	10:21:08	14	which gets a little dicey. I'm only asking for who	10:23:44
15	in here is in the United States District Court.	10:21:11	15	here, all right. Who at Davis Polk did you talk to?	10:23:47
16	Have you appeared before as a witness in any	10:21:14	16	A. At what time?	10:23:50
17	proceeding involving the United States District	10:21:16	17	Q. In connection with your retention.	10:23:51
18	Court?	10:21:19	18	A. Well, I spoke with Mr. Wise and Ms.	10:23:56
19	A. Yes.	10:21:20	19	Wagner. And at various points then I've also spoken	10:24:00
20	Q. And how many times have you done	10:21:20	20	with Ms. Howard.	10:24:07
21	that?	10:21:22	21	Q. Outside of those three individuals,	10:24:10
22	A. I don't know.	10:21:22	22	did you speak to anyone else in connection with your	10:24:13
23	Q. More than once?	10:21:24	23	retention here?	10:24:15
24	A. More than once.	10:21:26	24	A. With just, strictly with my	10:24:19
25	Q. Less than a hundred?	10:21:27	25	retention? Or in general?	10:24:23
		41			43
1	A. Less than a hundred.	10:21:29	1	Q. Well, I'm using that term kind of	10:24:25
2	Q. Less than 50?	10:21:30	2	broadly, so I apologize. I don't just mean your	10:24:27
3	A. I would say, again cut to the chase,	10:21:32	3	actual retention, like, John, will you testify in	10:24:29
4	I would say probably, I can't remember any, but I	10:21:34	4	this case, but in terms of your your role in this	10:24:32
5	would guess it's probably around five. I appeared	10:21:38	5	case, all right. Between the time you first got	10:24:35
6	in the Martha Stewart trial, for instance, so. And	10:21:40	6	contacted and today, besides these three folks that	10:24:39
7	there are probably a handful of others.	10:21:44	7	are here today, who else have you talked to about	10:24:43
8	Q. Did you make it into James Stewart's	10:21:49	8	your retention, the work you're doing here, et	10:24:45
9	book? Do you know the book?	10:21:53	9	cetera? Who else have you talked to?	10:24:47
10	A. No, sir.	10:21:54	10	A. The only other I still don't 100	10:24:49
11	Q. Okay, fine. He wrote a book and in	10:21:55	11	percent understand your question, but the only other	10:24:52
12	it it's about the Martha Stewart trial. Part of it	10:22:01	12	person I've spoken to at Davis Polk is an attorney	10:24:54
13	is, anyway.	10:22:04	13	named Seth, and we really have not had any	10:24:58
1	,				10.05.01
14	A. I probably didn't make it.	10:22:07	14	substantive conversations, and I've also forgotten	10:25:01
14 15		10:22:07 10:22:10	14 15	substantive conversations, and I've also forgotten his last name.	10:25:01 10:25:05
	A. I probably didn't make it.			-	
15	A. I probably didn't make it.Q. I'm going to have to check now.	10:22:10	15	his last name.	10:25:05
15 16	A. I probably didn't make it.Q. I'm going to have to check now.A. I was a very minor witness. My	10:22:10 10:22:13	15 16	his last name. Q. That's fine, I'm sure we can figure	10:25:05 10:25:06
15 16 17	A. I probably didn't make it.Q. I'm going to have to check now.A. I was a very minor witness. Mymother was so disappointed my picture was never	10:22:10 10:22:13 10:22:15	15 16 17	his last name. Q. That's fine, I'm sure we can figure that out. Let me ask you this, and I'm not trying	10:25:05 10:25:06 10:25:07
15 16 17 18	 A. I probably didn't make it. Q. I'm going to have to check now. A. I was a very minor witness. My mother was so disappointed my picture was never taken. 	10:22:10 10:22:13 10:22:15 10:22:18	15 16 17 18	his last name. Q. That's fine, I'm sure we can figure that out. Let me ask you this, and I'm not trying to I'm just asking poor questions, so let me try	10:25:05 10:25:06 10:25:07 10:25:10
15 16 17 18 19	 A. I probably didn't make it. Q. I'm going to have to check now. A. I was a very minor witness. My mother was so disappointed my picture was never taken. Q. Oh, well. All right. 	10:22:10 10:22:13 10:22:15 10:22:18 10:22:19	15 16 17 18 19	his last name. Q. That's fine, I'm sure we can figure that out. Let me ask you this, and I'm not trying to I'm just asking poor questions, so let me try to clean it up.	10:25:05 10:25:06 10:25:07 10:25:10 10:25:13
15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I probably didn't make it. Q. I'm going to have to check now. A. I was a very minor witness. My mother was so disappointed my picture was never taken. Q. Oh, well. All right. (Comments off the record.) 	10:22:10 10:22:13 10:22:15 10:22:18 10:22:19 10:22:19	15 16 17 18 19 20	his last name. Q. That's fine, I'm sure we can figure that out. Let me ask you this, and I'm not trying to I'm just asking poor questions, so let me try to clean it up. What I'm looking for is this, all	10:25:05 10:25:06 10:25:07 10:25:10 10:25:13 10:25:14
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I probably didn't make it. Q. I'm going to have to check now. A. I was a very minor witness. My mother was so disappointed my picture was never taken. Q. Oh, well. All right. (Comments off the record.) Q. Let's talk a little bit about what 	10:22:10 10:22:13 10:22:15 10:22:18 10:22:19 10:22:19 10:22:44	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	his last name. Q. That's fine, I'm sure we can figure that out. Let me ask you this, and I'm not trying to I'm just asking poor questions, so let me try to clean it up. What I'm looking for is this, all right, is that in preparing for your testimony and	10:25:05 10:25:06 10:25:07 10:25:10 10:25:13 10:25:14 10:25:17
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I probably didn't make it. Q. I'm going to have to check now. A. I was a very minor witness. My mother was so disappointed my picture was never taken. Q. Oh, well. All right. (Comments off the record.) Q. Let's talk a little bit about what you did to prepare for today, okay? Or actually, 	10:22:10 10:22:13 10:22:15 10:22:18 10:22:19 10:22:44 10:22:46	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	his last name. Q. That's fine, I'm sure we can figure that out. Let me ask you this, and I'm not trying to I'm just asking poor questions, so let me try to clean it up. What I'm looking for is this, all right, is that in preparing for your testimony and preparing for your reports, outside of talking to	10:25:05 10:25:06 10:25:07 10:25:10 10:25:13 10:25:14 10:25:17 10:25:20

13 (Pages 40 to 43)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

		44			46
1	A. No.	10:25:31	1	since 1990 in your retirement from Smith Barney?	10:40:27
2	Q. Okay.	10:25:31	2	A. No.	10:40:34
3	A. My wife knows it. She always likes	10:25:33	3	Q. Let's turn back to	10:40:36
4	to know where I am, so she knows I'm here.	10:25:36	4	MR. SHEEHAN: What's that number	10:40:37
5	Q. As far as I know she's not a	10:25:39	5	again, 254?	10:40:41
6	defendant, is she?	10:25:41	6	THE REPORTER: Yes.	10:40:42
7	A. I slipped that I was here today.	10:25:41	7	MR. SHEEHAN: All right. Thanks.	10:40:46
8	Q. All right, good. All right.	10:25:48	8	Q. I direct your attention, if you	10:40:46
9	Now, let me do let's now mark the	10:25:50	9	could, to the first page, Roman Numeral III, "Facts	10:40:48
10	reports, okay? Thanks.	10:25:52	10	and Data Relied Upon." Do you see that?	10:40:53
11	This is Trustee Exhibit 254.	10:25:52	11	A. Yes.	10:40:55
12	(Exhibit Trustee 254, Expert Report	10:26:25	12	Q. Okay, fine. I want to go through	10:40:56
13	of John Maine, marked for identification.)	10:26:37	13	each of these and just have you explain in a little	10:41:03
14	Q. Let's go through the identification	10:26:37	14	bit more detail, if you could, what exactly you	10:41:07
15	process. You've been shown Trustee Exhibit 254. Do	10:26:39	15	looked at here.	10:41:09
16	you identify can you identify that document for	10:26:42	16	Let's start with, you say you	10:41:11
17	us, please.	10:26:44	17	reviewed sample account documents and you identify	10:41:14
18	A. It appears to be my report.	10:26:44	18	those as trade confirmations. Let's start with	10:41:17
19	Q. Okay. And separate, in terms of	10:26:50	19	that. Do you recall what period of time, how many	10:41:20
20	physically they're separate, there's a couple other	10:26:52	20	you looked at? Could you just give me an insight	10:41:23
21	documents that we've also handed you. Could you	10:26:54	21	into that?	10:41:26
22	identify those for us, please.	10:26:57	22	A. I looked at a a handful of them.	10:41:29
23	A. Yes. Exhibit A is my CV. Exhibit B	10:26:59	23	I didn't look at a tremendous number. I think some	10:41:33
24	is a list of cases within approximately the last	10:27:09	24	of them were relatively old. And the reason I'm	10:41:37
25	four years.	10:27:13	25	being a little less than exact here is some things I	10:41:42
		45			47
1	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. I'm going to	10:27:14	1	know I looked at in connection with your expert	10:41:45
1 2	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. I'm going to take a break because I need a bio break.	10:27:14 10:27:16	1 2	know I looked at in connection with your expert reports. They would have exemplars of various	
					10:41:45
2	take a break because I need a bio break.	10:27:16	2	reports. They would have exemplars of various	10:41:45 10:41:47
2 3	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.)	10:27:16 10:27:25	2 3	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51
2 3 4	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28	2 3 4	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54
2 3 4 5	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1.	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29	2 3 4 5	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:41:57
2 3 4 5 6	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.)	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12	2 3 4 5 6	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements.	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:41:57 10:42:01
2 3 4 5 6 7	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31	2 3 4 5 6 7	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2.	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN:	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them?	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:48 10:39:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:48 10:39:51 10:39:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question.	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21 10:42:22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? 	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:48 10:39:51 10:39:53 10:39:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21 10:42:22 10:42:22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember 	10:27:16 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:51 10:39:53 10:39:56 10:39:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember signing a retention letter, because I generally 	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:44 10:39:51 10:39:55 10:39:56 10:39:58 10:40:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with production numbers	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25 10:42:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember signing a retention letter, because I generally don't sign retention letters 	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:48 10:39:51 10:39:53 10:39:56 10:39:58 10:40:00 10:40:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with production numbers MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right.	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25 10:42:26 10:42:29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember signing a retention letter, because I generally don't sign retention letters Q. Sure. 	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:51 10:39:53 10:39:56 10:39:58 10:40:00 10:40:02 10:40:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with production numbers MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right. So we already have all those. Okay, all right,	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25 10:42:26 10:42:29 10:42:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember signing a retention letters Q. Sure. A but the answer to your question is 	10:27:16 10:27:25 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:51 10:39:53 10:39:56 10:39:58 10:40:00 10:40:02 10:40:05 10:40:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with production numbers MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right. So we already have all those. Okay, all right, fine. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. When you looked at the trade	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25 10:42:26 10:42:29 10:42:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember signing a retention letter, because I generally don't sign retention letters Q. Sure. A but the answer to your question is I think the first conversation was a couple of 	10:27:16 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:51 10:39:53 10:39:56 10:39:58 10:40:00 10:40:02 10:40:05 10:40:06 10:40:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with production numbers MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right. So we already have all those. Okay, all right, fine. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. When you looked at the trade confirmations, based on your experience, did	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25 10:42:25 10:42:26 10:42:30 10:42:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember signing a retention letter, because I generally don't sign retention letters Q. Sure. A but the answer to your question is I think the first conversation was a couple of months ago, maybe November. Late October, November, 	10:27:16 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:48 10:39:51 10:39:53 10:39:56 10:39:58 10:40:00 10:40:02 10:40:05 10:40:08 10:40:08 10:40:11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with production numbers MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right. So we already have all those. Okay, all right, fine. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. When you looked at the trade confirmations, based on your experience, did anything on them appear unusual to you?	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:21 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25 10:42:25 10:42:30 10:42:33 10:42:35 10:42:35
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember signing a retention letter, because I generally don't sign retention letters Q. Sure. A but the answer to your question is I think the first conversation was a couple of months ago, maybe November. Late October, November, something like that. 	10:27:16 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:44 10:39:54 10:39:55 10:39:56 10:39:58 10:40:00 10:40:02 10:40:05 10:40:08 10:40:11 10:40:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with production numbers MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right. So we already have all those. Okay, all right, fine. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. When you looked at the trade confirmations, based on your experience, did anything on them appear unusual to you? A. Something appeared old-fashioned.	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:57 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25 10:42:25 10:42:30 10:42:35 10:42:35 10:42:37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 take a break because I need a bio break. (Comments off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. Of course when we were off the record it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd forgotten. And one of them was when actually were you actually retained for this case? A. Well, again, since I don't remember signing a retention letter, because I generally don't sign retention letters Q. Sure. A but the answer to your question is I think the first conversation was a couple of months ago, maybe November. Late October, November, something like that. Q. Thank you. And the other question 	10:27:16 10:27:28 10:27:29 10:28:12 10:39:31 10:39:41 10:39:47 10:39:48 10:39:51 10:39:58 10:39:58 10:40:00 10:40:02 10:40:05 10:40:05 10:40:08 10:40:11 10:40:15 10:40:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reports. They would have exemplars of various things and all. So some of them I may have seen there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably be true of monthly statements. MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably missing this because I'm in and out here, to be honest. Have we asked for these documents and are you guys producing them and do we have them? Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that might know the answer to that question. MS. HOWARD: They have all already been produced, so we submitted a list with production numbers MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right. So we already have all those. Okay, all right, fine. BY MR. SHEEHAN: Q. When you looked at the trade confirmations, based on your experience, did anything on them appear unusual to you?	10:41:45 10:41:47 10:41:51 10:41:54 10:42:01 10:42:04 10:42:05 10:42:08 10:42:12 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:22 10:42:25 10:42:26 10:42:26 10:42:30 10:42:35 10:42:35 10:42:37 10:42:37

14 (Pages 44 to 47)

CONFIDENTIAL

		48			50
1	words, sometimes will put on the trade confirmation	10:42:52	1	A. Just again, a handful, and I forget	10:44:48
2	"we sold," which means we sold to the customer.	10:42:55	2	whose they were.	10:44:52
3	Now, now most people use "customer bought" if the	10:42:59	3	Q. Then you refer to the broker check	10:44:54
4	customer bought as opposed to "we sold."	10:43:04	4	report prepared by FINRA.	10:44:57
5	Q. All right. And did was that on	10:43:07	5	A. Yes, sir.	10:45:01
6	all of the on all trade confirmations you looked	10:43:09	6	Q. Okay. Did you obtain that yourself?	10:45:02
7	at?	10:43:15	7	A. Yes, sir.	10:45:04
8	A. I always hesitate to say all	10:43:16	8	Q. And you say you is it fair to say	10:45:05
9	Q. Right.	10:43:16	9	you found it on the FINRA website?	10:45:08
10	A but it's my memory that it was.	10:43:18	10	A. Yes, sir.	10:45:10
11	But I'm not sure.	10:43:21	11	Q. Okay. And why did you look at the	10:45:12
12	Q. And I don't want to keep repeating	10:43:23	12	broker check report?	10:45:16
13	this, so I'm going to just ask it here once, just to	10:43:26	13	A. I was curious.	10:45:17
14	make it clear for the record.	10:43:29	14	Q. About what?	10:45:17
15	Did you speak to anybody at any of	10:43:30	15	A. Well, I was just curious about the	10:45:19
16	the defendants about these trade confirmations?	10:43:35	16	compliance history of the Madoff firm.	10:45:22
17	A. No, sir.	10:43:37	17	Q. And what did it reveal to you?	10:45:24
18	Q. And just for the record so I won't	10:43:37	18	A. An exceedingly clean compliance	10:45:25
19	keep asking it, it's fair to say that you spoke to	10:43:40	19	history. Virtually, I was shocked that a firm could	10:45:28
20	no defendants about any of the documents that you	10:43:43	20	be in business that long through the period of time	10:45:31
21	reviewed in preparation for this report; is that	10:43:45	21	and and have as few entries on the broker check	10:45:36
22	correct?	10:43:47	22	report. Because as you know, broker check reports	10:45:40
23	A. That's correct.	10:43:47	23	report things, whether they have any validity or	10:45:46
24	Q. All right, good.	10:43:48	24	not, so, and then they stay on the broker check	10:45:51
25	You also said that you looked at the	10:43:52	25	report.	10:45:53
		49			51
1	monthly statements. Again, could you give me a	10:43:54	1	Q. Well, just for the record, what	10:45:53
2	sense of how many those were and what you looked at?	10:43:56	2	what does a brokerage check report consist of? What	10:45:57
3	A. Again, probably eight or ten. I	10:43:59	3	does it reveal?	10:45:59
4	didn't I didn't count them up, and again, some of	10:44:02	4	A. A broker check report is a report	10:46:01
5	them I saw as exemplars to your experts' reports, or	10:44:04	5	which the SROs have made available to the public in	10:46:04
6	sections of them.	10:44:10	6	response to a perceived need for the public to be	10:46:09
7	Q. Do you remember what specific	10:44:11	7	able to get some sort of a feeling as to the	10:46:16
8	defendants were involved in either the confirmations	10:44:12	8	compliance history of both a firm and a broker.	10:46:19
9	or the statements?	10:44:14	9	So you can do a broker check report	10:46:22
10	A. I think Mr. Wilpon was one that I	10:44:17	10	on the Madoff firm, or you can do a broker check on	10:46:24
11	looked at.	10:44:19	11	Merrill Lynch and then pick a specific Merrill Lynch	10:46:27
12	Q. Any other recollection?	10:44:21	12	broker and do a broker check on that broker, or the	10:46:30
13	A. No, I didn't really focus on the	10:44:22	13	whole firm. And it shows and this would not be a	10:46:33
14	names, I'm sorry.	10:44:24	14	complete list but it shows the states in which	10:46:37
15	Q. That's okay. Then you also said the	10:44:25	15	the firm is licensed to do business, other	10:46:41
16	1099s.	10:44:30	16	background information on the firm, and then it	10:46:45
17	A. No, I didn't say the 1099s, I'm	10:44:31	17	shows if there are any client complaints about the	10:46:47
		10:44:33	18	firm or the broker.	10:46:51
18	sorry.				
19	Q. Well, I'm sorry. I'm quoting your	10:44:34	19	Q. Based upon your review of the record	10:46:54
19 20	Q. Well, I'm sorry. I'm quoting your report, not what you testified to.	10:44:34 10:44:36	20	that you've looked at here, do you understand BLMIS	10:46:59
19 20 21	Q. Well, I'm sorry. I'm quoting your report, not what you testified to.A. Oh, right, okay, yeah.	10:44:34 10:44:36 10:44:38	20 21	that you've looked at here, do you understand BLMIS to be acting as a broker-dealer in this case?	10:46:59 10:47:05
19 20 21 22	 Q. Well, I'm sorry. I'm quoting your report, not what you testified to. A. Oh, right, okay, yeah. Q. Sorry about that. Now, continuing 	10:44:34 10:44:36 10:44:38 10:44:40	20 21 22	that you've looked at here, do you understand BLMIS to be acting as a broker-dealer in this case? A. Yes, I think they were a	10:46:59 10:47:05 10:47:11
19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Well, I'm sorry. I'm quoting your report, not what you testified to. A. Oh, right, okay, yeah. Q. Sorry about that. Now, continuing after "Monthly statements," it says you also 	10:44:34 10:44:36 10:44:38 10:44:40 10:44:42	20 21 22 23	that you've looked at here, do you understand BLMISto be acting as a broker-dealer in this case?A. Yes, I think they were abroker-dealer, yes. I know they later in 2006	10:46:59 10:47:05 10:47:11 10:47:12
19 20 21 22	 Q. Well, I'm sorry. I'm quoting your report, not what you testified to. A. Oh, right, okay, yeah. Q. Sorry about that. Now, continuing 	10:44:34 10:44:36 10:44:38 10:44:40	20 21 22	that you've looked at here, do you understand BLMIS to be acting as a broker-dealer in this case? A. Yes, I think they were a	10:46:59 10:47:05 10:47:11

15 (Pages 48 to 51)

CONFIDENTIAL

		52			54
1	Q. And why do you say that?	10:47:24	1	A. Yes.	10:50:06
2	A. Well, they maintained regular stock	10:47:25	2	Q. Are there other criteria besides just	10:50:07
3	accounts, individual accounts. They received	10:47:27	3	assets under management that would cause you to be	10:50:10
4	individual statements. They were not pooled. They	10:47:33	4	required to register as an investment adviser?	10:50:13
5	could take money in or out of their accounts at	10:47:37	5	A. I'm not aware one way or another.	10:50:15
6	will. They received just a normal brokerage	10:47:41	6	Q. Okay. Do you know whether that was a	10:50:18
7	relationship.	10:47:47	7	factor, assets under management, in Madoff	10:50:21
8	Q. And you testified that they, in 2006,	10:47:48	8	registering as an investment adviser in 2006?	10:50:24
9	registered as an investment adviser. Do you have	10:47:50	9	A. I don't know.	10:50:27
10	any understanding as to why that happened?	10:47:53	10	Q. Okay. Then lastly, just for the sake	10:50:52
11	A. There was a not specifically.	10:48:00	11	of completeness, there's the last sentence in your	10:50:54
12	Q. What is your understanding, if any?	10:48:01	12	facts and data relied upon where you referred to a	10:50:56
13	A. Well, during the 2000s, there was a	10:48:03	13	number of items that are actually filed in this	10:50:59
14	pressure by the regulators for all firms, whether it	10:48:09	14	lawsuit. Do you see those?	10:51:01
15	was UBS or Smith Barney or Madoff Securities, to	10:48:14	15	A. Yes, sir.	10:51:03
16	register as broker-dealers and as registered	10:48:21	16	Q. Okay. And is it let me just ask	10:51:03
17	investment advisers. So I assumed that that's why	10:48:25	17	you. Did you in fact read each of these items?	10:51:06
18	they did it.	10:48:28	18	A. I did.	10:51:08
19	Q. What is your understanding of what a	10:48:29	19	Q. You read the amended complaint?	10:51:09
20	registered investment adviser is?	10:48:30	20	A. Yes, sir.	10:51:11
21	A. It's an entity that's registered with	10:48:33	21	Q. Okay. When you said the memoranda of	10:51:14
22	the SEC. Or it can be registered with the state,	10:48:36	22	law with respect to defendants' motion to dismiss,	10:51:16
23	and not the SEC.	10:48:41	23	did you read the memorandum submitted by the	10:51:19
24	Q. Is it registered for a specific	10:48:42	24	Trustee?	10:51:24
25	purpose?	10:48:44	25	A. I believe so. I'm not sure. I know	10:51:27
		53			55
1	A. Well, it's registered in that being	53 10:48:50	1	I read something that yes, I believe I did. I'm	55 10:51:29
1 2	A. Well, it's registered in that being registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and		1 2	I read something that yes, I believe I did. I'm not sure, though.	
		10:48:50			10:51:29
2	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and	10:48:50 10:48:51	2	not sure, though.	10:51:29 10:51:32
2 3	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55	2 3	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33
2 3 4	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:48:59	2 3 4	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you?	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56
2 3 4 5	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04	2 3 4 5	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:56
2 3 4 5 6	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that.	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08	2 3 4 5 6	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding?	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:56 10:51:58
2 3 4 5 6 7	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12	2 3 4 5 6 7	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that.	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding?	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:06
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:06 10:52:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC.	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:28 10:49:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:06 10:52:11 10:52:16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:06 10:52:11 10:52:16 10:52:17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:23 10:49:37 10:49:39 10:49:39 10:49:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:06 10:52:11 10:52:16 10:52:17 10:52:19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when they're met it triggers a requirement under the	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:23 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:39 10:49:42 10:49:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the defendants here? 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:11 10:52:16 10:52:17 10:52:21 10:52:22 10:52:22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when they're met it triggers a requirement under the regulations to register as an investment adviser?	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:28 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:39 10:49:42 10:49:44 10:49:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the defendants here? A. From the depositions. 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:06 10:52:11 10:52:16 10:52:17 10:52:19 10:52:21 10:52:22 10:52:26 10:52:27
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when they're met it triggers a requirement under the regulations to register as an investment adviser? A. When you say assets under management,	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:28 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:39 10:49:39 10:49:42 10:49:44 10:49:47 10:49:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the defendants here? A. From the depositions. Q. And was there a specific deposition 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:06 10:52:11 10:52:16 10:52:17 10:52:19 10:52:21 10:52:22 10:52:26 10:52:27 10:52:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when they're met it triggers a requirement under the regulations to register as an investment adviser? A. When you say assets under management, I'm unclear what	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:31 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:39 10:49:44 10:49:47 10:49:52 10:49:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the defendants here? A. From the depositions. Q. And was there a specific deposition that you spoke of? 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:36 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:06 10:52:11 10:52:16 10:52:17 10:52:21 10:52:21 10:52:22 10:52:26 10:52:27 10:52:33 10:52:35
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when they're met it triggers a requirement under the regulations to register as an investment adviser? A. When you say assets under management, I'm unclear what Q. Well, I was referring to as perhaps,	10:48:50 10:48:51 10:48:55 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:39 10:49:39 10:49:44 10:49:47 10:49:52 10:49:53 10:49:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the defendants here? A. From the depositions. Q. And was there a specific deposition that you spoke of? A. No. I think they I know 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:11 10:52:11 10:52:12 10:52:21 10:52:22 10:52:22 10:52:23 10:52:33 10:52:35 10:52:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when they're met it triggers a requirement under the regulations to register as an investment adviser? A. When you say assets under management, I'm unclear what Q. Well, I was referring to as perhaps, and I'm suggesting to you, is is the amount of	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:39 10:49:44 10:49:42 10:49:44 10:49:55 10:49:55 10:49:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the defendants here? A. From the depositions. Q. And was there a specific deposition that you spoke of? A. No. I think they I know 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:11 10:52:14 10:52:17 10:52:19 10:52:21 10:52:22 10:52:26 10:52:27 10:52:33 10:52:38 10:52:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when they're met it triggers a requirement under the regulations to register as an investment adviser? A. When you say assets under management, I'm unclear what Q. Well, I was referring to as perhaps, and I'm suggesting to you, is is the amount of assets under management, is that a factor in whether	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:39 10:49:42 10:49:44 10:49:47 10:49:53 10:49:55 10:49:57 10:50:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the defendants here? A. From the depositions. Q. And was there a specific deposition that you spoke of? A. No. I think they I know Mr. Friedman spoke of them I believe in his deposition, and I think several of the other 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:11 10:52:10 10:52:17 10:52:21 10:52:21 10:52:22 10:52:23 10:52:33 10:52:38 10:52:38 10:52:34
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock Exchange, various people such as that. When you register with the SEC or a state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has changed of assets under management which allows you to only register with the state, then becomes (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or the SEC. Q. You mentioned assets under management. Is there certain criteria that when they're met it triggers a requirement under the regulations to register as an investment adviser? A. When you say assets under management, I'm unclear what Q. Well, I was referring to as perhaps, and I'm suggesting to you, is is the amount of	10:48:50 10:48:55 10:48:59 10:49:04 10:49:08 10:49:12 10:49:17 10:49:19 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:21 10:49:31 10:49:37 10:49:38 10:49:39 10:49:44 10:49:42 10:49:44 10:49:55 10:49:55 10:49:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 not sure, though. Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean anything to you? A. It didn't until this, this proceeding. Q. Really? And what does it mean to you in the context of this proceeding? A. It means somebody somebody who's not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin account or loan account a double-up account. That's why I'd never it's really just a simple old margin account. Q. And how did you come to understand that there were double-ups being used by the defendants here? A. From the depositions. Q. And was there a specific deposition that you spoke of? A. No. I think they I know 	10:51:29 10:51:32 10:51:33 10:51:56 10:51:58 10:52:00 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:01 10:52:11 10:52:14 10:52:17 10:52:19 10:52:21 10:52:22 10:52:26 10:52:27 10:52:33 10:52:38 10:52:38

16 (Pages 52 to 55)

CONFIDENTIAL

		56			58
1	commonly used term in the depositions.	10:52:47	1	institutional investors?	10:55:26
2	Q. And do you understand how the	10:52:50	2	A. Yes.	10:55:27
3	double-ups functioned in the context of what the	10:52:52	3	Q. And what is that opinion?	10:55:27
4	defendants did?	10:52:54	4	A. No, they're not institutional	10:55:29
5	A. Yes.	10:52:55	5	investors.	10:55:30
б	Q. And how did they function?	10:52:55	6	Q. And what is that based upon?	10:55:31
7	A. The defendants used Madoff	10:52:57	7	A. 40 years of experience in the	10:55:34
8	investments as collateral for bank loans with Fleet,	10:53:02	8	industry, reading the depositions. They could not	10:55:35
9	later Fleet/B of A, and borrowed against those and	10:53:06	9	in any stretch of the imagination be considered	10:55:39
10	then invested the proceeds of the loan in additional	10:53:11	10	institutional investors.	10:55:43
11	investments with Madoff.	10:53:15	11	Q. I want to show you I don't know if	10:55:48
12	Q. And who is the obligor on the bank	10:53:16	12	this has been marked. Exhibit 255.	10:55:51
13	loan?	10:53:20	13	(Exhibit Trustee 255, FINRA Customer	10:56:10
14	A. I've never seen the paperwork.	10:53:21	14	Account Information, marked for identification.)	10:56:19
15	Q. Do you know who the obligor was on	10:53:23	15	Q. Mr. Maine, I'm showing you Exhibit	10:56:19
16	the bank loan?	10:53:25	16	255. First of all, have you ever seen this document	10:56:22
17	A. I hate to confess this, but you'll	10:53:29	17	before?	10:56:26
18	have to tell me, the obligor is the?	10:53:30	18	A. Yes.	10:56:27
19	Q. The person who owed the bank the	10:53:33	19	Q. The contents of this document.	10:56:27
20	money.	10:53:35	20	A. Yes.	10:56:31
21	A. I would assume, I don't know, but I	10:53:37	21	Q. Okay. What do you understand it to	10:56:31
22	would assume it would be the defendants.	10:53:40	22	be?	10:56:32
23	Collateralized by the again, I don't know, but I	10:53:45	23	A. It's a it's a FINRA document that	10:56:33
24	would assume it would be whoever borrowed the money	10:53:48	24	talks about information about clients and what's	10:56:36
25	collateralized by whatever collateral they put up.	10:53:51	25	needed to be retained.	10:56:38
		57			59
1	Q. Are you guessing?	10:53:54	1	Q. Okay. I direct your attention	10:56:40
2	A. I said I assume. That's a guess.	10:53:55	2	towards the bottom quarter of it where there's a	10:56:42
3	Q. Okay. If I were to tell you it was	10:53:58	3	paren, B, close paren, lower case B; do you see	10:56:46
4	Sterling Equities was the obligor, would you be able	10:54:01	4	that?	10:56:50
5	to contradict that?	10:54:05	5	A. Yes.	10:56:51
6	A. No.	10:54:07	6	Q. Then underneath that there's C, it	10:56:51
7	Q. Assume Sterling Equities, assume that	10:54:08	7	says, "For purposes of this rule." Do you see that?	10:56:53
8	there's evidence in this record that Sterling	10:54:12	8	A. Yes.	10:56:57
9	Equities is the obligor, would that have any impact	10:54:14	9	Q. And I'm going to read this into the	10:56:57
	d · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10.54.10	10	record and going to ask you a few questions about	10:57:00
10	on the opinions you've expressed in this case?	10:54:18			10.37.00
10 11	A. None.	10:54:18	11	it, okay?	10:57:03
11	A. None.Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and	10:54:22	11	it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren:	10:57:03
11 12 13 14	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. 	10:54:22 10:54:41	11 12 13 14	it, okay?A. Certainly.Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren:"For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional	10:57:03 10:57:03
11 12 13 14 15	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50	11 12 13 14 15	it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:09
11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:53	11 12 13 14 15 16	it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:09 10:57:13
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:53 10:54:55	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other person, whether a natural person, corporation,	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:09 10:57:13 10:57:17
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is that a fair statement? 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:53 10:54:55 10:55:00	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other person, whether a natural person, corporation, partnership, trust or otherwise, with total assets 	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:09 10:57:13 10:57:17 10:57:20
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is that a fair statement? A. That's an accurate statement. 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:53 10:54:55 10:55:00 10:55:02	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other person, whether a natural person, corporation, partnership, trust or otherwise, with total assets of at least \$50 million." 	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:09 10:57:13 10:57:17 10:57:20 10:57:23
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is that a fair statement? A. That's an accurate statement. Q. Okay. And what is that based upon? 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:55 10:55:00 10:55:02 10:55:03	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other person, whether a natural person, corporation, partnership, trust or otherwise, with total assets of at least \$50 million." Do you see that? 	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:09 10:57:13 10:57:20 10:57:23 10:57:26
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is that a fair statement? A. That's an accurate statement. Q. Okay. And what is that based upon? A. Well, it's based upon my review of 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:53 10:55:00 10:55:02 10:55:03 10:55:04	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other person, whether a natural person, corporation, partnership, trust or otherwise, with total assets of at least \$50 million." Do you see that? A. Yes. 	10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:09 10:57:13 10:57:20 10:57:23 10:57:26 10:57:27
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is that a fair statement? A. That's an accurate statement. Q. Okay. And what is that based upon? A. Well, it's based upon my review of the depositions, my review of the monthly statements 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:55 10:55:00 10:55:03 10:55:04 10:55:07	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other person, whether a natural person, corporation, partnership, trust or otherwise, with total assets of at least \$50 million." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that none of 	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:09 10:57:13 10:57:20 10:57:23 10:57:26
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is that a fair statement? A. That's an accurate statement. Q. Okay. And what is that based upon? A. Well, it's based upon my review of the depositions, my review of the monthly statements and trade confirmations. 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:55 10:55:00 10:55:03 10:55:04 10:55:07 10:55:13	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other person, whether a natural person, corporation, partnership, trust or otherwise, with total assets of at least \$50 million." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that none of the defendants here have personal assets of \$50 	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:13 10:57:17 10:57:20 10:57:23 10:57:27 10:57:27 10:57:27
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. None. Q. Let's I want to start in this specific area, so let me tell you what it is and then we can get the ground rules right. As I understand it, based on your review of the record here, it's your opinion that the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is that a fair statement? A. That's an accurate statement. Q. Okay. And what is that based upon? A. Well, it's based upon my review of the depositions, my review of the monthly statements 	10:54:22 10:54:41 10:54:45 10:54:47 10:54:50 10:54:55 10:55:00 10:55:03 10:55:04 10:55:07	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it, okay? A. Certainly. Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other person, whether a natural person, corporation, partnership, trust or otherwise, with total assets of at least \$50 million." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that none of 	10:57:03 10:57:03 10:57:04 10:57:07 10:57:13 10:57:13 10:57:20 10:57:23 10:57:27 10:57:27

17 (Pages 56 to 59)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

10.All right. And does that - does10.57:361takes the money in from the foundation setuals. It is 0.59:442this definition in any way affect your option then10.57:362out o JPMorgan to be managed. That clerk is not an 10:59:563A.Not from a functional standpoint.10.57:434could be an institutional account at JPMorgan4A.Not from some sort of a heading standpoint.10.57:434could be an institutional account at JPMorgan7is from some sort of a heading standpoint.10.57:4360.But if the person who has the account at JPMorgan7is the same as the person that has the 550 million11.00:058would be understood in the trade.10.57:5078would be understood in the trade.10.57:5090.Okay. Based on your experities, why10.57:501011them does FINAs auggest that somebody that has 50010.57:611012imstance and institutional investor?11.00:1713question.10.58:601014A.I hare shoche account. 10.58:611015question.10.58:611016Q.FINA suggest that somebody that hare 5017guestion.10.58:611018question.10.58:6119C.FINA suggest that somebody that hare 5019Q.FINA suggest that somebody that hare 5019Q.FINA suggest that somebody that hare 5019 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>60</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>62</th>			60			62
3institutional investors?10.57.393institutional investor. The account JLPMorgan10.59.514A. Norf on a functional standpoint. In 10.57.45total de an institutional account. I DP for made10.59.555maybe from some sort of a heading standpoint. In the total standpoint in the soft on institutional investors as that term10.57.45total deal6not from a functional standpoint. In the other words,10.57.52in assets, would that make them an institutional11.00.006would be understood in the trade.10.57.53in assets, would that make them an institutional11.00.007is the same as the person who has the account in 11.00.11instance has the account. But they not an11.00.1110them dease is an institutional investor?10.58.0113instance has the account. But they not an11.00.2011question.10.58.0613refers to the person who actually is a professional11.00.2012data standpoint, have absolutely no idea, but10.58.0613refers to the person who actually is a professional11.00.2013investor.10.58.1615to apol of assct. IS 'to apol of assct. IS	1	Q. All right. And does that does	10:57:34	1	takes the money in from the foundation and sends it	10:59:44
4A.Not from a functional standpoint.10:57:434could be an institutional account. I hope I made10:37:955Maybe from some sort of a heading standpoint. but10:37:367thead frame10:37:367not from a functional standpoint. I other works10:37:367they ten on institutional investors as that term10:37:368would be understood in the rande.10:37:367is the same as the person that has the SD million11:00:059Q.Okay. Based on your experise, why10:57:549investor?11:00:1110then desets is an institutional investor10:58:0412institutional investor11:00:1211million of sasets is an institutional investor10:58:0412institutional investor11:00:2013question.10:58:061514money manager who's hunding the money. This refers11:00:2114A.I have absolutely no idea, but10:58:0615to a pool of asset. It's totally different.11:00:2214MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:1317Mr. Wilpon has an account, it's an institutional11:00:1115investor.10:58:141020A.Understander11:00:1215MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:2120A.Understander11:00:1116Q.Hisk and asset as a stander work is standarding.11:00:12A.Understander11:00:1216MR. WISE: Object to the form	2	this definition in any way affect your opinion then	10:57:36	2	out to JPMorgan to be managed. That clerk is not an	10:59:46
5Maybe from some out of a heading standpoint, but10.57:455that clear.10.57:956not from a functional standpoint. In other words,10.57:546Q. But if the person that has the \$30 million 11 0:00:957would be inderstood in the trade.10.57:538in assets, would that make them an institutional introstor.9Q. Okay. Based on your expertise, why10.57:5410A. Well, the - the foundation in this11their does FINRA suggest that socked by that the \$300 million introstor?11.00:1112MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10.58:011113question.10.58:0613refers to the person who actually is a professional11.00:2414A. I have absolutely no itea, but10.58:0714money manager who's handling the money. This refers11.00:2415to pool of asset: It's root institutional investor.10.58:1016Q. If's omesone hass to the broker11.00:4615sto pool of asset: It's count because the word more than \$500 million in assets that you are an institutional 10.05:8117Mr. Wilpon has an account; if's an institutional 11.00:5716Q. FINRA is suggesting that if you have10.58:1619Can we agree on than?11.00:1717question.10.58:1619Can we agree on than?11.00:1718mark or what in this trans.10.58:1619Can we agree on than?11.00:172Q. Rule - I'm going to read the rule10.58:1624A. That's such as a signification of	3	that they are institutional investors?	10:57:39	3	institutional investor. The account at JPMorgan	10:59:51
6nor from a functional standpoint. In other words, they're not institutional investors as that term10:57:486Q. But if the person who has the account11:00:057they're not institutional investors as that term10:57:587is the same as the person that has the S50 million11:00:058understood in the trade.10:57:549investor?11:00:1110then does FINRA suggest that somebody that has \$5010:57:549investor?11:00:1211million of saces is an institutional investor10:58:0111instance has the account. But they're not an11:00:1212mR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:0714institutional investor11:00:2113order to institutional investors.10:58:0714money manager who's handling the money. This refers11:00:2114A. I have absolutely no idea, but10:58:0714money manager who's handling the money. This refers11:00:2414S0 million in assets that you are an institutional10:58:1317Mr. Wilpon has an account, it's an institutional11:00:5115investor.10:58:1317Mr. Wilpon has an excount, it's an institutional11:00:5215MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:2322the boker dealer in dealing with an institutional11:00:5216Q. Rule - I'm going to read the rule10:58:2322account?11:01:0117Mr. SHEEHAN. Thank yoon10:58:2323account?11:01:01<	4	A. Not from a functional standpoint.	10:57:43	4	could be an institutional account. I hope I made	10:59:55
7they're not institutional investors as that term10:57:507is the same as the person that has the \$50 million11:00:058would be understool in the trade.10:57:5310nassets, would that make them an institutional11:00:0110then does FINRA suggest that somebody that has \$5010:57:5810A. Well, the the foundation in this11:00:1111million of assets is an institutional investor?10:58:0612institutional investor. The institutional investor11:00:1712MR, WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:0613refers to the person who scatally is a professional11:00:2713question.10:58:0614money manager who's handling the money. This refers11:00:2714A. Have absolutely no idea, but10:58:1016Q. Hisomeore has - so to the broker11:00:2715G. FISNA is suggesting that if you have10:58:1618account because he's worth more than \$50 million.11:00:5715MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:1612Can we agree on that?11:00:5714MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:1712Can we agree on that?11:00:5715MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:2721Q. Okay. What are the obligations of11:00:5716MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:2722the hower-chealers in dealing with an institutional11:00:5716MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:2722the hower-chealers	5	Maybe from some sort of a heading standpoint, but	10:57:45	5	that clear.	10:59:59
8would be understood in the trade.10:57:5346in assets, would that make them an institutional11:00:089Q. Okay. Based on your expertise, why10:57:549investor?11:00:1110then does FINA suggest that somebody that bas 500:57:5611instance has the account. But they're not an11:00:1211million of assets is an institutional investor?10:58:0611instance has the account. But they're not an11:00:1212question.10:58:0714instance has the account. But they're not an11:00:2013question.10:58:0714money manager who's handling the money. This refers11:00:2114A. T have absolutely no idea, but10:58:0715to a pool of assets. It's totally different.11:00:2415they're not institutional investors.10:58:1016Q. If'someone has - so to the broker -11:00:4115investor.10:58:111620Can we agree on that?11:00:5714MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:161020A. Under this definition.11:00:5715question. That's not what it says.10:58:2523account?A. That's such a vague question, I and 11:00:10515manther and excount of any other person, whether at10:58:3721Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:1120natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:3721Q. Well, in solutional account, Mat are11:01:1621	6	not from a functional standpoint. In other words,	10:57:48	6	Q. But if the person who has the account	11:00:00
9Q. Okay. Based on your expertise, why10:57:549investor?11:00:1110then does FINRA suggest that somebody that has \$5010.57:5810A. Well, the - the foundation in this11:00:1411million of sasets ia an institutional investor?10:58:0611institutional investor11:00:1712MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:0612institutional investor. The institutional investor11:00:1713question.10:58:0613refers to the person who scalally is a professional11:00:2214A. I have absolutely no idea, but10:58:0714morey manager who's handling the money. This refers11:00:2215they're nor institutional investors.10:58:1615to a pool of assets. It's totally different.11:00:5115investor.10:58:1618account because he's worth more than \$50 million.11:00:5116WR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:1721Q. Okay. What are the obligations of11:01:0320MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:2722the toker-dealer in dealing with an institutional11:01:0321MR. BEEHAN: Thank you, "shall10:58:2723account?11:01:0123again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule,10:58:2723account?11:01:0124the trans institutional account, "thank you, "shall10:58:3725account?account for an other in 11:01:143again. The rule says: "For purposes of th	7	they're not institutional investors as that term	10:57:50	7	is the same as the person that has the \$50 million	11:00:05
10then does FINRA suggest hat somebody that has \$5010.57.5810A. Well, thethe foundation in this11.00.1211million of assets is an institutional investor?1058.0412instance has the account. But hey're not an11.00.1312MR. WISE: Object to the form of the1058.0613refers to the person who actually is a professional11.00.2013question.1058.0613refers to the person who actually is a professional11.00.2014A. I have absolutely no idea, but1058.0714money manager who's handling the money. This refers11.00.2715Q. FINRA is suggesting that if you have1058.1016Q. If someone has -s to the broker11.00.4617S50 million in assets that you are an institutional1058.1618account because he's worth more than \$50 million.11.00.5716Q. Rule - Tin going to read the rule10.58.2720A. Under this definition.11.01.0517MR. SHEEHAN. Thank you.10.58.2721A. Under this definition.11.01.0318again. The rule says. "For purposes of this rule,10.58.2721A. That's such a vague question, I can't11.01.0319natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010.58.371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11.01.102natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010.58.471purpose presumbly in establishing a rule in11.01.112natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010.58.47	8	would be understood in the trade.	10:57:53	8	in assets, would that make them an institutional	11:00:08
11million of assets is an institutional investor?10.58.0111instance has the account. But they're not an11.00.1412MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10.58.0612institutional investor. The institutional investor11.00.2013question.10.58.0613refers to the person who actually is a professional11.00.2014A. I have absolutely no idea, but10.58.0714money manager who's handling the money. This mefers110.02.2015they're not institutional investors.10.58.1016Q. If someone has -so to the broker -11.00.4615Stö million in assets that you are an institutional10.58.1618account because he's worth more than \$50 million.110.05416MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10.58.1619Can we agree on that?110.01672Q. Rule - I'm going to read the rule10.58.2721Q. Okay. What are the obligations of110.016923again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule,10.58.2722A. That's such a vague question, I can't11.00.1924the term institutional account," thank you, "shall10.58.271Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11.01.1025mean the account of any other person, whether a10.58.371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11.01.1025mean the account of any other person, whether a10.58.471A. Thar's such a vague question, I can't11.00.1226understanding - dta'r suggestis that \$5010.58.47 <td>9</td> <td>Q. Okay. Based on your expertise, why</td> <td>10:57:54</td> <td>9</td> <td>investor?</td> <td>11:00:11</td>	9	Q. Okay. Based on your expertise, why	10:57:54	9	investor?	11:00:11
12MR. WISE: Object to the form of the question.10.58.06 1022412institutional investor. The institutional investor11.00.17 refers to the person who actually is a professional in 100.2414A. I have absolutely no idea, but105.80.0714and money manager who's handling the money. This refers1100.2415they're not institutional investors.105.81.0615to a pool of assets. It's totally different.1100.2716Q. FINRA is suggesting that if you have105.81.1616Q. If someone has - so to the broker -1100.5118investor.105.81.6618account because he's worth more than \$50 million.1100.5719MR. WISE: Object to the form of the105.81.7619Can we agree on that?1100.5720question. That's not what it says.105.82.7121Q. Okay. What are the obligations of11.01.0021MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.105.82.2523account?11.01.1022Q. Rule - I'm going to read the rule105.82.3724A. That's such a vague question, I can't11.01.1023again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule.105.83.371Q. Well, in other words, FINR A has11.00.1124natural person, with total assets of at least S50105.84.473defining what an institutional account, is mainstitutional account if on yother person, whether a105.82.47105.84.433defining what an institutional account is what are11.01.1235mallion." Do you see that?105.84.47 <td< td=""><td>10</td><td>then does FINRA suggest that somebody that has \$50</td><td>10:57:58</td><td>10</td><td>A. Well, the the foundation in this</td><td>11:00:12</td></td<>	10	then does FINRA suggest that somebody that has \$50	10:57:58	10	A. Well, the the foundation in this	11:00:12
13question.10:58:0613refers to the person who actually is a professional11:00:2014A.I have absolutely no idea, but10:58:0714money manager who's handling the money. This refers11:00:2415they're not institutional investors.10:58:1016Q.I someone hass to to the broker11:00:4616Q.FINRA is suggesting that if you have10:58:1317Mr. Wilpon has an account, it's an institutional11:00:5118investor.10:58:1618account because he's worth more than \$50 million.11:00:5219MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:1710Can we agree on that?11:00:5220Q. RuleI'm going to read the rule10:58:2523account?11:01:0023again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule,10:58:2523account?11:01:0124the term 'institutional account," thank you, "shall10:58:2724A.That's such a vague question, I can't11:01:0225mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:371Q.Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:16361natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:371Q.Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:16361nuderstanding that's my question do you have10:58:412purpose presumably in establishing a rule in11:01:1637million. Do you see that?10:58:412institutional rule ac	11	million of assets is an institutional investor?	10:58:01	11	instance has the account. But they're not an	11:00:14
14A.I have absolutely no idea, but10:58:07 10:58:0714money manger who's handling the money. This refers to a pool of asset. If's totally different.11:00:27 11:00:2715Q.FINRA is suggesting that if you have10:58:1616Q.If's someone has -so to the broker - 11:00:4616Q.FINRA is suggesting that if you have10:58:1616Q.If's someone has -so to the broker - 11:00:4617S50 million in asset hat you are an institutional10:58:1618account because he's worth more than \$50 million.11:00:5418investor.10:58:1711Q.Nder this definition.11:00:5419Q.Rule - I'm going to read the rule10:58:2322the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional11:01:0521MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.10:58:2322the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional11:01:0523again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule, mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:371Q.Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:117million." Do you see that?10:58:371Q.Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:1224A.Tha's such a vague question. I can't11:01:053A.Yes.10:58:434the implications for an account is What are11:01:164Q.All right. Do you have any10:58:431GNe. I'no:275understanding - that's my question - do you have <t< td=""><td>12</td><td>MR. WISE: Object to the form of the</td><td>10:58:04</td><td>12</td><td>institutional investor. The institutional investor</td><td>11:00:17</td></t<>	12	MR. WISE: Object to the form of the	10:58:04	12	institutional investor. The institutional investor	11:00:17
15they're not institutional investors.10.58.0815to a pol of assets. It's totally different.11.00.2716Q. FINRA is suggesting that if you have10.58.1016Q. If someone has - so to the broker -11.00.4617\$50 million in assets that you are an institutional10.58.1617Mr. Wilpon has an account, it's an institutional11.00.5118investor.10.58.1619Can we agree on thn?11.00.5720question. That's not what it says.10.58.1721Q. Okay. What are the obligations of11.00.5921MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.10.58.2322the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional11.00.5922Q. Rule I'm going to read the rule10.58.2322account/?11.01.0323again. The rule says: 'For purposes of this rule,10.58.2322account?11.01.0324the term institutional account, 'thank you, 'shall10.58.2325answer it.11.01.1125mean the account of any other person, whether a10.58.3325answer it.11.01.1126A. All right. Do you ase that?10.58.412purpose presumably in establishing a rule in11.01.1236and yuderstanding that's my queetion do you have10.58.445institutional account is. What are11.01.2336and understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010.58.476A. I have no11.01.2337understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010.58.47	13	question.	10:58:06	13	refers to the person who actually is a professional	11:00:20
16Q. FINRA is suggesting that if you have10:58:1016Q. If someone has - so to the broker11:00:4617S50 million in assets that you are an institutional10:58:1317Mr. Wilpon has an account, if's an institutional11:00:5118investor.10:58:1618account because he's worth more than S50 million.11:00:5720question. That's not what it says.10:58:1721Q. Okay. What are the obligations of11:01:0321MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.10:58:2121d. Okay. What are the obligations of11:01:0323again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule,10:58:2523account?11:01:0524the term 'institutional account," thank you, "shall10:58:2724A. That's such a vague question, I can't11:01:0925mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:11for4Q. All right. Do you have any10:58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are11:01:205understanding of why FINRA suggests that 5010:58:434the implications for an account bing denominated as11:01:205understanding of why FINRA suggests that 5010:58:435institutional?11:01:266account of a person?10:58:434the implications for an account bing denominated as11:01:266account of a person?10:58:436A. Thave no -11:01:26 <t< td=""><td>14</td><td>A. I have absolutely no idea, but</td><td>10:58:07</td><td>14</td><td>money manager who's handling the money. This refers</td><td>11:00:24</td></t<>	14	A. I have absolutely no idea, but	10:58:07	14	money manager who's handling the money. This refers	11:00:24
17S50 million in assets that you are an institutional10:58:1317M. Wilpon has an account, it's an institutional11:00:5118investor.10:58:1618account because he's worth more than \$50 million.11:00:5119MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:1619Can we agree on that?11:00:5120question. That's not what it says.10:58:2121Q. Okay. What are the obligations of11:01:0321MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.10:58:2322the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional11:01:0322Q. Rule - I'm going to read the rule10:58:2523account?account?11:01:0524the term "institutional account," thaty, you. "shall10:58:25as account?answer it.11:01:0125mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:3225answer it.11:01:112million." Do you see that?10:58:432gurpose presumably in establishing a rule in11:01:164Q. All right. Do you have any10:58:445institutional?11:01:255understanding - that's my question - do you have10:58:445institutional?11:01:266account of ap reson?10:58:445institutional?11:01:276any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no -11:01:287million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:569A. Thas's on thany things that FINRA11:01:27	15	they're not institutional investors.	10:58:08	15	to a pool of assets. It's totally different.	11:00:27
18investor.10:58:1619account because he's worth more than \$50 million.11:00:5419MR. WISE: Object to the form of the10:58:1619Can we agree on that?11:00:5720question. That's not what it says.10:58:1920A. Under this definition.11:00:5921MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.10:58:2322the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional11:01:0323again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule,10:58:2924A. That's such a vague question, I can't11:01:0925mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:3725asswer it.11:01:1126natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:471Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:163A. Yes.10:58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are11:01:253understanding - mka's ny question do you have10:58:434the implications for an account being denominated as11:01:236any understanding - mka's ny question do you have10:58:476A. I have no11:01:257million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:569A. I have no11:01:2310with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:569A. I have no11:01:2311firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:2311firm accounts for it. But th	16	Q. FINRA is suggesting that if you have	10:58:10	16	Q. If someone has so to the broker	11:00:46
19MR. WISE: Object to the form of the question. That's not what it says.10:58:19 10:58:1920A. Under this definition.11:00:57 11:00:5921MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.10:58:21 2222A. Under this definition.11:00:59 2222Q. Rule - I'm going to read the rule10:58:23 10:58:2322the brokker-dealer in dealing with an institutional 11:01:03 23 ascount?11:01:03 2424the term 'institutional account," thank you, "shall10:58:29 10:58:2324A. That's such a vague question, I can't 11:01:0125mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:3325answer it.11:01:1161antural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a 11:01:11antural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a 11:01:11antural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a 11:01:11antural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are 11:01:22any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:434the implications for an account being denominated as 11:01:22any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no - 11:01:23any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have n	17	\$50 million in assets that you are an institutional	10:58:13	17	Mr. Wilpon has an account, it's an institutional	11:00:51
20question. That's not what it says.10:58:1920A. Under this definition.11:00:5921MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.10:58:2121Q. Okay. What are the obligations of11:01:0022Q. Rule - I'm going to read the rule10:58:2322the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional11:01:0323again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule,10:58:2323account?11:01:0924the term 'institutional account," thank you, "shall10:58:3225answer it.11:01:0125mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:3225answer it.11:01:112million." Do you see that?10:58:471Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:112million." Do you see that?10:58:471Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:203A. Yes.10:58:476A. I have no -11:01:234understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no -11:01:266account of a person?10:58:507MR.WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:2610with the internal - the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:2811institutional investor10:59:0011does, I dort know what -11:01:2312institutional investor10:59:0114account' many things that FINRA11:01:3313is different than an institu	18	investor.	10:58:16	18	account because he's worth more than \$50 million.	11:00:54
21 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. 10:58:21 21 Q. Okay. What are the obligations of 11:01:00 22 Q. Rule – I'm going to read the rule 10:58:23 22 the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional 11:01:03 23 again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule, 10:58:23 22 the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional 11:01:03 24 the term 'institutional account," thank you, "shall 10:58:23 24 A. That's such a vague question, I can't 11:01:09 25 mean the account of any other person, whether a 10:58:37 1 Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a 11:01:11 2 million." Do you see that? 10:58:41 2 purpose presumably in establishing a rule in 11:01:14 3 A. Yes. 10:58:43 3 defining what an institutional account is. What are 11:01:20 4 Q. All right. Do you have any 10:58:47 6 A. I have no - 11:01:23 5 understanding of why FINRA suggests that S50 10:58:57 10 had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA 11:01:26 6 any	19	MR. WISE: Object to the form of the	10:58:16	19	Can we agree on that?	11:00:57
22Q.Rule I'm going to read the rule10:58:2322the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional11:01:0323again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule,10:58:2523account?11:01:0524the term "institutional account," thank you, "shall10:58:2924A.That's such a vague question, I can't11:01:0925mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:3325answer it.11:01:1161631nutural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:371Q.Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:11amilion." Do you see that?10:58:432purpose presumably in establishing a rule in11:01:23any understanding that's my question do you have10:58:433defining what an institutional account is: What are11:01:23institutional of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:445institutional?11:01:26account of a person?10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:26account of a person?10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:33is situtional investor10:59:0312Q.What does the term "institutional11:01:33is different than an institutional investor10:59:0312Q.What does the term "institutional11:01:3311:01:2	20	question. That's not what it says.	10:58:19	20	A. Under this definition.	11:00:59
23again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule, the term "institutional account," thank you, "shall 10:58:2910:58:29 2423account?11:01:0524the term "institutional account," thank you, "shall mean the account of any other person, whether a 10:58:3310:58:29 2524A. That's such a vague question, I can't answer it.11:01:0525mean the account of any other person, whether a million." Do you see that?10:58:37 10:58:411Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a the inplications for an account is. What are institutional account is. What are 11:01:1411:01:143A. Yes.10:58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are understanding - that's my question do you have 10:58:4310:58:44565understanding that's my question do you have understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:47 10:58:476A. I have no MR. WISE: Object, object to the form 11:01:257million in assets constitutes an institutional understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA 11:01:269A. No. It must have something to do uit the internal the bookkeeping or the way the 10:58:5712Q. What does the term "institutional account' mean to you based on your experience and 11:01:3312institutional investor is different than an institutional investor10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional account' mean to you based on your experience and 11:01:3314Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:17 <td>21</td> <td>MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.</td> <td>10:58:21</td> <td>21</td> <td>Q. Okay. What are the obligations of</td> <td>11:01:00</td>	21	MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.	10:58:21	21	Q. Okay. What are the obligations of	11:01:00
24the term 'institutional account," thank you, "shall10:58:2924A. That's such a vague question, I can't11:01:0925mean the account of any other person, whether a10:58:3325answer it.11:01:1161631natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:112million." Do you see that?10:58:471Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:143A. Yes.10:58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are11:01:204Q. All right. Do you have any10:58:445institutional?11:01:235understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no11:01:267million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object, object to the form11:01:279A. No. It must have something to do10:58:569A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:3310with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3311G. Well, what's the difference?10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional investor is a10:59:1715A. I would relate it back to an11:01:3313is different than an institutional investor is a10:59:17115A. I would relate it back to an11:	22	Q. Rule I'm going to read the rule	10:58:23	22	the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional	11:01:03
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	23	again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule,	10:58:25	23	account?	11:01:05
61631natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010:58:371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:112million." Do you see that?10:58:412purpose presumably in establishing a rule in11:01:143A. Yes.10:58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are11:01:164Q. All right. Do you have any10:58:434the implications for an account being denominated as11:01:205understanding that's my question do you have10:58:445institutional?11:01:276any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no11:01:267million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR.WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:279A. No. It must have something to do10:58:569A. Tm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3311firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3514Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:0914expertise?11:01:4215A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1914expertise?11:01:4216p	24	the term 'institutional account,'" thank you, "shall	10:58:29	24	A. That's such a vague question, I can't	11:01:09
1natural person, with total assets of at least \$5010.58:371Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a11:01:112million." Do you see that?10.58:412purpose presumably in establishing a rule in11:01:143A. Yes.10.58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are11:01:204Q. All right. Do you have any10:58:434the implications for an account being denominated as11:01:205understanding that's my question do you have10:58:476A. I have no11:01:257million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:268account of a person?10:58:569A. Tm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3311firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional investor10:59:0613account" mean to you based on your experience and11:01:4214Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:1315A. I would relate it back to an11:01:3214Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:1715A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4215paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1715A. I would relate it back to an11:01:42 <t< td=""><td>25</td><td>mean the account of any other person, whether a</td><td>10:58:33</td><td>25</td><td>answer it.</td><td>11:01:11</td></t<>	25	mean the account of any other person, whether a	10:58:33	25	answer it.	11:01:11
2million." Do you see that?10:58:412purpose presumably in establishing a rule in11:01:143A. Yes.10:58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are11:01:164Q. All right. Do you have any10:58:434the implications for an account being denominated as11:01:205understanding that's my question do you have10:58:445institutional?11:01:236any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no11:01:267million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:279A. No. It must have something to do10:58:569A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:3010with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:59:0511does, I don't know what11:01:3311firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0611does, I don't know what11:01:3514Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:0714expertise?A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4215A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1715A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account. I don't11:01:4218judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account. Mether11:01:42 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>61</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>63</th>			61			63
2million." Do you see that?10:58:412purpose presumably in establishing a rule in11:01:143A. Yes.10:58:433defining what an institutional account is. What are11:01:164Q. All right. Do you have any10:58:434the implications for an account being denominated as11:01:205understanding that's my question do you have10:58:445institutional?11:01:236any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no11:01:267million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:279A. No. It must have something to do10:58:569A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:59:0510had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3011firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0011does, I don't know what11:01:3312institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0112Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:4215A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1316institutional investor managing an account. I don't11:01:4216paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sh	1	natural person, with total assets of at least \$50	10:58:37	1	Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a	11:01:11
4Q. All right. Do you have any10:58:434the implications for an account being denominated as11:01:205understanding that's my question do you have10:58:445institutional?11:01:236any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no11:01:257million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:268account of a person?10:58:569A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3011firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional account.10:59:0613account" mean to you based on your experience and11:01:3214Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2320 <td>2</td> <td>*</td> <td>10:58:41</td> <td>2</td> <td>purpose presumably in establishing a rule in</td> <td>11:01:14</td>	2	*	10:58:41	2	purpose presumably in establishing a rule in	11:01:14
5understanding that's my question do you have10:58:445institutional?11:01:236any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A. I have no11:01:257million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:268account of a person?10:58:569A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:279A. No. It must have something to do10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:59:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3311firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0011does, I don't know what11:01:3312institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3514Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4215A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account. I don't11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of	3	A. Yes.	10:58:43	3	defining what an institutional account is. What are	11:01:16
6any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$5010:58:476A.I have no11:01:257million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:268account of a person?10:58:548of the question.11:01:279A.No. It must have something to do10:58:569A.Im sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3011firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0011does, I don't know what11:01:3312institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0312Q.What does the term "institutional11:01:3514Q.Well, what's the difference?10:59:0914expertise?11:01:4015A.Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1115A.I would relate it back to an11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5420investor.10:59:2320She may have for some pur	4	Q. All right. Do you have any	10:58:43	4	the implications for an account being denominated as	11:01:20
7million in assets constitutes an institutional10:58:507MR. WISE: Object, object to the form11:01:268account of a person?10:58:548of the question.11:01:279A. No. It must have something to do10:58:569A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3011firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0011does, I don't know what11:01:3312institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional account.10:59:0914expertise?11:01:3914Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4216paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5219Shoo million, she is not an institutional account, according10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	5	understanding that's my question do you have	10:58:44	5	institutional?	11:01:23
8account of a person?10:58:548of the question.11:01:279A. No. It must have something to do10:58:569A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3011firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0011does, I don't know what11:01:3312institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional account.10:59:0613account" mean to you based on your experience and11:01:3914Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:1014expertise?11:01:4216paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1316institutional investor managing an account. I don't11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5420investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	6	any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$50	10:58:47	6	A. I have no	11:01:25
9A.No. It must have something to do10:58:569A.I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA11:01:2810with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3011firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0011does, I don't know what11:01:3312institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0312Q.What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional account.10:59:0613account" mean to you based on your experience and11:01:3514Q.Well, what's the difference?10:59:1115A.I would relate it back to an11:01:4216paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	7	million in assets constitutes an institutional	10:58:50	7	MR. WISE: Object, object to the form	11:01:26
10with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3011firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0011does, I don't know what11:01:3312institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional account.10:59:0613account" mean to you based on your experience and11:01:3514Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:0914expertise?11:01:3915A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5420investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	8	account of a person?	10:58:54	8	of the question.	11:01:27
10with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the10:58:5710had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA11:01:3011firm accounts for it. But they're not an10:59:0011does, I don't know what11:01:3312institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional account.10:59:0613account" mean to you based on your experience and11:01:3514Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:0914expertise?11:01:3915A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5420investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	9	A. No. It must have something to do	10:58:56	9	A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA	11:01:28
12institutional investor. An institutional investor10:59:0312Q. What does the term "institutional11:01:3313is different than an institutional account.10:59:0613account" mean to you based on your experience and11:01:3514Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:0914expertise?11:01:3915A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4216paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1316institutional investor managing an account. I don't11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:2119\$100 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	10	with the internal the bookkeeping or the way the		10	had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA	
13is different than an institutional account.10:59:0613account" mean to you based on your experience and11:01:3514Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:0914expertise?11:01:3915A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4016paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1316institutional investor managing an account. I don't11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	11	firm accounts for it. But they're not an	10:59:00	11	does, I don't know what	11:01:33
14Q. Well, what's the difference?10:59:0914expertise?11:01:3915A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4016paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1316institutional investor managing an account. I don't11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5720investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	12	institutional investor. An institutional investor	10:59:03	12	Q. What does the term "institutional	11:01:33
15A. Well, an institutional investor is a10:59:1115A. I would relate it back to an11:01:4016paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1316institutional investor managing an account. I don't11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5720investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	13		10:59:06	13	account" mean to you based on your experience and	11:01:35
16paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1316institutional investor managing an account. I don't11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5420investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	14	Q. Well, what's the difference?	10:59:09	14	expertise?	11:01:39
16paid person who is paid to manage money. Their10:59:1316institutional investor managing an account. I don't11:01:4217purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're10:59:1717believe that the sheer size of an account, whether11:01:4718judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5420investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	15	A. Well, an institutional investor is a	10:59:11	15	A. I would relate it back to an	
18judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5420investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	16	paid person who is paid to manage money. Their	10:59:13	16	institutional investor managing an account. I don't	
18judged is the management of money. They're10:59:1918it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with11:01:5019compensated for it. That's an institutional10:59:2119\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.11:01:5420investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	17	purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're	10:59:17	17	believe that the sheer size of an account, whether	11:01:47
20investor.10:59:2320She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a11:01:5721An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	18	judged is the management of money. They're	10:59:19	18		11:01:50
21An institutional account, according10:59:2421definition of an institutional account. Maybe11:02:03	19	compensated for it. That's an institutional	10:59:21	19	\$100 million, she is not an institutional investor.	11:01:54
	20	investor.	10:59:23	20	She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a	11:01:57
	21	An institutional account, according	10:59:24	21		
	22	to FINRA, is an account that's over \$50 million.	10:59:27	22	maybe there's some recordkeeping requirement; I'm	11:02:06
23I'll give you an example. Let's say10:59:3023not aware of it. But, again, the lady that inherits11:02:09	23	I'll give you an example. Let's say	10:59:30	23	not aware of it. But, again, the lady that inherits	
you have a foundation and the person who's in charge 10:59:33 24 \$100 million does not become an institutional 11:02:13	24	you have a foundation and the person who's in charge	10.50.33	24	\$100 million does not become an institutional	
25 of the money at that foundation is a clerk who just 10:59:38 25 investor. 11:02:16		you have a foundation and the person who's in charge	10.37.33		\$100 minor does not become an institutional	11.02.15

18 (Pages 60 to 63)

CONFIDENTIAL

		64			66
1	Q. Okay. One moment, please.	11:02:17	1	rule." Do you see that?	11:06:15
2	MR. SHEEHAN: I'm sorry, I'm going to	11:02:54	2	A. Yes, sir.	11:06:16
3	go off the record just for a second because I'm	11:02:57	3	Q. And now I'm referring to, "The term	11:06:17
4	looking for something.	11:02:59	4	'institutional account' shall mean account of," and	11:06:18
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	11:03:00	5	then it says, "2, an investment adviser registered	11:06:20
6	record, the time is 11:02.	11:03:01	6	either with the SEC under 203 of the Investment	11:06:25
7	(Pause in proceedings.)	11:03:59	7	Advisers Act or with a state securities commission,"	11:06:28
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	11:03:59	8	paren, "or any agency or office performing like	11:06:32
9	record. The time is 11:04.	11:04:10	9	functions," paren, and then it's "or any other	11:06:34
10	A. I actually had a chance, while you	11:04:18	10	person," and then it goes into the \$50 million. Do	11:06:37
11	were looking for something, to completely read this	11:04:19	11	you see that?	11:06:42
12	document, which I should have done before. But if	11:04:21	12	A. Yes.	11:06:42
13	you look at subheading number 2, it says:	11:04:23	13	Q. All right. Is it your understanding,	11:06:43
14	"For each account other than an	11:04:27	14	based upon reading that, that institutional account	11:06:46
15	institutional account, and accounts in which the	11:04:29	15	and investment adviser are the same?	11:06:48
16	investments are limited to transactions in	11:04:32	16	A. No. I believe what it's saying is	11:06:52
17	open-ended investment company shares that are not	11:04:35	17	that for the purposes of the exclusion above, that	11:06:55
18	recommended by the member or its associated person,	11:04:37	18	an investment adviser falls under that exclusion.	11:07:00
19	each member shall also make reasonable efforts to	11:04:39	19	Q. Isn't isn't it saying a fair	11:07:07
20	obtain, prior to settlement of the initial	11:04:43	20	reading is that the term "institutional account" is	11:07:10
21	transaction in the account, the following	11:04:46	21	an account of an investment adviser?	11:07:14
22	information to the extent it is applicable to the	11:04:50	22	A. No. I think what it's saying, I	11:07:18
23	account." And then it lists the customer's tax ID	11:04:52	23	think what it's saying is that you can open, from a	11:07:20
24	number	11:04:55	24	functional standpoint you can open an account for an	11:07:23
25	Q. Right.	11:04:56	25	investment adviser without getting all the requisite	11:07:26
		65			67
1	A the occupation and whether the	65 11:04:56	1	information that's up under subheading 2 above.	67 11:07:30
1 2	A the occupation and whether the customer is an associated person.		1 2	information that's up under subheading 2 above. Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your	
	•	11:04:56			11:07:30
2	customer is an associated person.	11:04:56 11:04:58	2	Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your	11:07:30 11:07:34
2 3	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01	2 3	Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48
2 3 4	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05	2 3 4	Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:49
2 3 4 5	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08	2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:49 11:07:51
2 3 4 5 6	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57
2 3 4 5 6 7	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis?A. I understand the term. I'm not sure	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation,	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:19 11:05:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:19 11:05:24 11:05:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:19 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:19 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money.	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:19 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:36 11:05:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:09 11:08:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:19 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:21 11:05:36 11:05:40 11:05:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:48 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:09 11:08:11 11:08:14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking at, as you just did, looking at other portions of	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:19 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:36 11:05:40 11:05:43 11:05:46	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? A. No. 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:09 11:08:11 11:08:14 11:08:17 11:08:19 11:08:21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking at, as you just did, looking at other portions of it. Let's go back to subparagraph C.	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:08 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:36 11:05:40 11:05:46 11:05:53 11:05:58 11:05:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? A. No. Q. Do you know what the purpose is to be 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:09 11:08:11 11:08:14 11:08:17 11:08:21 11:08:21 11:08:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking at, as you just did, looking at other portions of it. Let's go back to subparagraph C. MR. WISE: There are a number of	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:19 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:36 11:05:40 11:05:53 11:05:53 11:05:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? A. No. Q. Do you know what the purpose is to be served by doing either a qualitative or quantitative 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:09 11:08:11 11:08:14 11:08:17 11:08:19 11:08:21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking at, as you just did, looking at other portions of it. Let's go back to subparagraph C. MR. WISE: There are a number of subparagraph C's.	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:19 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:36 11:05:40 11:05:43 11:05:53 11:05:58 11:05:58 11:06:00 11:06:03 11:06:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? A. No. Q. Do you know what the purpose is to be served by doing either a qualitative or quantitative analysis of an investment? 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:09 11:08:11 11:08:14 11:08:14 11:08:19 11:08:21 11:08:33 11:08:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking at, as you just did, looking at other portions of it. Let's go back to subparagraph C. MR. WISE: There are a number of subparagraph C's.	11:04:56 11:05:01 11:05:05 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:40 11:05:40 11:05:43 11:05:53 11:05:58 11:05:58 11:06:00 11:06:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? A. No. Q. Do you know what the purpose is to be served by doing either a qualitative or quantitative analysis of an investment? A. That's, I'm sorry, that's so broad I 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:07 11:08:11 11:08:14 11:08:17 11:08:19 11:08:21 11:08:26 11:08:33 11:08:36 11:08:40
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking at, as you just did, looking at other portions of it. Let's go back to subparagraph C. MR. WISE: There are a number of subparagraph C's. MR. SHEEHAN: You're right. Thank you, Mr. Wise.	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:08 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:40 11:05:40 11:05:43 11:05:58 11:05:58 11:06:00 11:06:08 11:06:09	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? A. No. Q. Do you know what the purpose is to be served by doing either a qualitative or quantitative analysis of an investment? A. That's, I'm sorry, that's so broad I can't 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:07 11:08:11 11:08:14 11:08:17 11:08:21 11:08:21 11:08:23 11:08:36 11:08:40 11:08:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking at, as you just did, looking at other portions of it. Let's go back to subparagraph C. MR. WISE: There are a number of subparagraph C's. MR. SHEEHAN: You're right. Thank you, Mr. Wise. Q. I'm going back to the one we were 	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:08 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:36 11:05:40 11:05:53 11:05:58 11:05:58 11:06:00 11:06:08 11:06:09 11:06:09	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? A. No. Q. Do you know what the purpose is to be served by doing either a qualitative or quantitative analysis of an investment? A. That's, I'm sorry, that's so broad I can't Q. Well, if you were going to try to 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:07 11:08:19 11:08:19 11:08:21 11:08:26 11:08:33 11:08:36 11:08:40 11:08:42 11:08:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	customer is an associated person. So, again, I don't know what FINRA is doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but it appears to me here that this definition of institution provides a safe harbor for the broker opening an account of a certain size not to have to get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, and whether the person is an associated member. And this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated that a customer a brokerage firm know certain preliminary information about every customer and every order entered, and I think this provides an exclusion to that for very large pools of money. Q. Sticking with the document, looking at, as you just did, looking at other portions of it. Let's go back to subparagraph C. MR. WISE: There are a number of subparagraph C's. MR. SHEEHAN: You're right. Thank you, Mr. Wise.	11:04:56 11:04:58 11:05:01 11:05:08 11:05:08 11:05:13 11:05:15 11:05:24 11:05:27 11:05:27 11:05:31 11:05:40 11:05:40 11:05:43 11:05:58 11:05:58 11:06:00 11:06:08 11:06:09	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your review of the documents that you did review in connection with this case, did you do any qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I mean by qualitative analysis? A. I understand the term. I'm not sure what you mean in connection with this case. Q. Well, in connection with this case and looking at these accounts, did you do a qualitative analysis of the investment? A. No. Q. Okay. And did you do same question. Did you do any analysis a quantitative analysis of any of the accounts in connection with the investment? A. No. Q. Do you know what the purpose is to be served by doing either a qualitative or quantitative analysis of an investment? A. That's, I'm sorry, that's so broad I can't 	11:07:30 11:07:34 11:07:49 11:07:51 11:07:57 11:07:59 11:08:01 11:08:02 11:08:05 11:08:07 11:08:07 11:08:11 11:08:14 11:08:17 11:08:21 11:08:21 11:08:23 11:08:36 11:08:40 11:08:42

19 (Pages 64 to 67)

CONFIDENTIAL

		68			70
1	adviser, how would you go about doing that?	11:08:53	1	A. He cleared his own trades.	11:11:25
2	A. You would do an analysis of the	11:08:59	2	Q. All right. And my question remains:	11:11:27
3	account.	11:09:00	3	Is there a risk associated in dealing with a broker	11:11:28
4	Q. Okay. And did you would it be	11:09:01	4	who clears his own trades?	11:11:31
5	fair to say, then, that you have not determined in	11:09:04	5	A. I'm not aware of any, unless the	11:11:35
6	this case the risk associated in investing with	11:09:07	6	broker becomes insolvent.	11:11:37
7	Mr. Madoff?	11:09:10	7	Q. Now, in your	11:12:10
8	A. You mean before the end? Because we	11:09:13	8	MR. SHEEHAN: Let's did we mark	11:12:13
9	know that there was	11:09:15	9	the second report? Let's do that.	11:12:15
10	Q. Well, we know the outcome. I'm	11:09:16	10	MS. ZUBERI: 256.	11:12:53
11	talking about whether or not you, having not done a	11:09:17	11	(Exhibit Trustee 256, Rebuttal Report	11:12:53
12	quantitative or qualitative analysis, that you're	11:09:22	12	of John Maine, marked for identification.)	11:12:54
13	not in a position to assess the risk associated	11:09:25	13	Q. Mr. Maine, I've asked the reporter to	11:12:54
14	prior to the demise of investing with Mr. Madoff.	11:09:27	14	mark the next exhibit as 256 and it's been handed to	11:12:57
15	A. If I understand your your	11:09:33	15	you. Can you identify it for us for the record?	11:13:01
16	question, I I did not do any analysis of the	11:09:34	16	A. Yes. This is my rebuttal report.	11:13:03
17	account, so I could not render an opinion in that	11:09:37	17	Q. Okay. And I want to walk through	11:13:06
18	regard.	11:09:41	18	some of this, if we could.	11:13:07
19	Q. Okay. Just in that regard, turn, if	11:09:41	19	Starting on the first page, and one	11:13:11
20	you would, to page 10 of your report.	11:09:57	20	of the criticisms I'm going to characterize it	11:13:14
21	A. I'm there.	11:10:11	21	and then you can correct me if I mischaracterize it.	11:13:17
22	Q. For some reason I'm not there. Sorry	11:10:13	22	One of your criticisms of Dr. Pomerantz's report is	11:13:20
23	about that.	11:10:19	23	that he is referring to the defendants in sort of an	11:13:24
24	A. It's between 9 and 11.	11:10:23	24	institutional way as Sterling. Do you recall that?	11:13:27
25	Q. Your expertise is just overwhelming	11:10:24	25	A. Yes, sir.	11:13:29
		69			71
1	me.	11:10:27	1	Q. Okay. And rather than have me	11:13:31
2	In any event let me just ask the	11:10:30	2	articulate it, could you explain to me what your	11:13:32
3	question because I can't find it in your report.	11:10:38	3	criticism is of Dr. Pomerantz there?	11:13:35
4	You speak in your report of the fact	11:10:40	4	A. Yes. Because these were individual	11:13:39
5	that Mr. Madoff cleared his own trades. Do you	11:10:42	5	accounts. There was no I mean, Sterling may well	11:13:42
6	remember that?	11:10:45	6	have had an account. But the accounts in question,	11:13:47
7	A. Yeah.	11:10:46	7	I believe, are the accounts and maybe Sterling is	11:13:49
8	Q. Is there any risk in your experience	11:10:46	8	one of the accounts in question, but we're talking	11:13:52
9	with dealing with a broker who clears his own	11:10:49	9	about Mr. Katz, Mr. Wilpon, Mr. David Katz, all	11:13:54
10	trades?	11:10:53	10	those other people, they were individual brokerage	11:13:56
11	A. When you say cleared their own	11:10:55	11	accounts similar to the millions and millions of	11:13:59
	A. when you say cleared then own				
12	trades, exactly what do you mean by that?	11:10:57	12	other individual brokerage accounts that are opened.	11:14:02
12 13	trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you		12 13	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed	11:14:02 11:14:04
13 14	trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades?	11:10:57	13 14	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that.	
13 14 15	trades, exactly what do you mean by that?Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades?A. Well, it can mean either processing	11:10:57 11:10:59	13 14 15	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that. Q. In what sense?	11:14:04
13 14 15 16	trades, exactly what do you mean by that?Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades?A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03	13 14 15 16	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that.Q. In what sense?A. Well, that he kept referring to them	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14
13 14 15 16 17	trades, exactly what do you mean by that?Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades?A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean various things.	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03 11:11:08	13 14 15 16 17	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that.Q. In what sense?A. Well, that he kept referring to them as sort of an institutional entity, a combined	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14 11:14:14
13 14 15 16 17 18	 trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades? A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean various things. Q. Doesn't clearing the trade have a 	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03 11:11:08 11:11:08	13 14 15 16 17 18	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that.Q. In what sense?A. Well, that he kept referring to them as sort of an institutional entity, a combined entity as opposed to the individually maintained	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14 11:14:16 11:14:19
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades? A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean various things. Q. Doesn't clearing the trade have a very traditional meaning in your industry? 	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03 11:11:08 11:11:08 11:11:10	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that.Q. In what sense?A. Well, that he kept referring to them as sort of an institutional entity, a combined entity as opposed to the individually maintained classic brokerage accounts, which these were.	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14 11:14:16 11:14:19 11:14:22
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades? A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean various things. Q. Doesn't clearing the trade have a very traditional meaning in your industry? A. Yes, that's what I just said. But it 	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03 11:11:08 11:11:08 11:11:10 11:11:14	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that. Q. In what sense? A. Well, that he kept referring to them as sort of an institutional entity, a combined entity as opposed to the individually maintained classic brokerage accounts, which these were. Q. And how did you come to that 	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14 11:14:16 11:14:19 11:14:22 11:14:25
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades? A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean various things. Q. Doesn't clearing the trade have a very traditional meaning in your industry? A. Yes, that's what I just said. But it can mean several things; the processing of the 	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03 11:11:08 11:11:08 11:11:10 11:11:14 11:11:16	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that. Q. In what sense? A. Well, that he kept referring to them as sort of an institutional entity, a combined entity as opposed to the individually maintained classic brokerage accounts, which these were. Q. And how did you come to that conclusion, that that's what they were?	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14 11:14:16 11:14:19 11:14:22 11:14:25 11:14:27
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades? A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean various things. Q. Doesn't clearing the trade have a very traditional meaning in your industry? A. Yes, that's what I just said. But it can mean several things; the processing of the trade, the clearing of the trade, the communications 	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03 11:11:08 11:11:08 11:11:10 11:11:14 11:11:16 11:11:18	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that. Q. In what sense? A. Well, that he kept referring to them as sort of an institutional entity, a combined entity as opposed to the individually maintained classic brokerage accounts, which these were. Q. And how did you come to that conclusion, that that's what they were? A. Well, from reading the deposition 	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14 11:14:16 11:14:19 11:14:22 11:14:25 11:14:27 11:14:29
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades? A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean various things. Q. Doesn't clearing the trade have a very traditional meaning in your industry? A. Yes, that's what I just said. But it can mean several things; the processing of the trade, the clearing of the trade, the communications with the DTC. 	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03 11:11:08 11:11:08 11:11:10 11:11:14 11:11:16 11:11:18 11:11:20	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that. Q. In what sense? A. Well, that he kept referring to them as sort of an institutional entity, a combined entity as opposed to the individually maintained classic brokerage accounts, which these were. Q. And how did you come to that conclusion, that that's what they were? A. Well, from reading the deposition testimony and looking at the confirmations and trade	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14 11:14:16 11:14:19 11:14:22 11:14:25 11:14:25 11:14:27 11:14:29 11:14:31
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 trades, exactly what do you mean by that? Q. Let me ask you that. What do you mean by clearing your own trades? A. Well, it can mean either processing the trades or paying for the trades or can mean various things. Q. Doesn't clearing the trade have a very traditional meaning in your industry? A. Yes, that's what I just said. But it can mean several things; the processing of the trade, the clearing of the trade, the communications 	11:10:57 11:10:59 11:11:00 11:11:02 11:11:03 11:11:08 11:11:08 11:11:10 11:11:14 11:11:16 11:11:18	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed unclear about that. Q. In what sense? A. Well, that he kept referring to them as sort of an institutional entity, a combined entity as opposed to the individually maintained classic brokerage accounts, which these were. Q. And how did you come to that conclusion, that that's what they were? A. Well, from reading the deposition 	11:14:04 11:14:10 11:14:12 11:14:14 11:14:16 11:14:19 11:14:22 11:14:25 11:14:27 11:14:29

20 (Pages 68 to 71)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

		72			74
1	can you tell me what you understand Sterling	11:14:44	1	I could see which would show that these investors,	11:17:32
2	Equities to be?	11:14:46	2	who I just ran through, in any way would qualify to	11:17:37
3	A. Sterling Equities I'm not 100 percent	11:14:48	3	be investment professionals. And I thought that was	11:17:42
4	sure what it was, but I believe it was an entity	11:14:52	4	a real lack in the reports, that they made these	11:17:44
5	that the partners used in certain transaction	11:14:54	5	allegations, but really backed it up with no	11:17:48
б	transactional functions.	11:14:59	6	training, with no prior expertise.	11:17:51
7	Q. And what transactional functions	11:15:02	7	Q. Was it your understanding based on	11:17:53
8	would those be, if you know?	11:15:05	8	reading the depositions you've spoken of that each	11:17:55
9	A. I'm not 100 percent clear how they	11:15:06	9	of the defendants dealt directly with Mr. Madoff?	11:17:57
10	use it. But what I focused on Sterling Equities was	11:15:08	10	A. No. I don't believe that I don't	11:18:03
11	not as much from a functional standpoint as a	11:15:12	11	believe some of them interfaced directly with	11:18:06
12	staffing standpoint, an expertise standpoint,	11:15:16	12	Mr. Madoff.	11:18:08
13	whether they whether housed in Sterling was any	11:15:20	13	Q. Do you know how many, if any, of the	11:18:08
14	invest equity investment expertise,	11:15:26	14	defendants interfaced with Mr. Madoff in connection	11:18:11
15	decision-making, research capability. Those types	11:15:29	15	with all of these accounts?	11:18:13
16	of things. Because I was trying to ferret out	11:15:34	16	A. When you say interfaced, do you mean	11:18:16
17	whether there in fact was a professional investor	11:15:36	17	met him met him, or talked to him about the	11:18:19
18	involved with Sterling.	11:15:39	18	accounts? In other words	11:18:22
19	Q. And did you reach a conclusion as to	11:15:41	19	Q. All of the above.	11:18:23
20	whether or not there was such an investor?	11:15:43	20	A. Well, I think more met him in terms	11:18:25
21	A. Yes.	11:15:44	21	of just social interaction. But I think the only	11:18:27
22	Q. And what is that conclusion?	11:15:45	22	ones that I really could say spoke to him about the	11:18:34
23	A. That there was not.	11:15:46	23	accounts were Mr. Friedman and perhaps Mr. Saul	11:18:38
24	Q. And what is the basis for that	11:15:47	24	Katz. I don't think many of them had substantive	11:18:46
25	opinion?	11:15:49	25	conversations about the accounts.	11:18:49
		73			75
1	A. The basis for that opinion would be	73 11:15:50	1	Q. Do you know, based on your reading of	75 11:18:51
1 2	A. The basis for that opinion would be the deposition testimony, the way, it's my		1 2	Q. Do you know, based on your reading of this record that you've spoken of, whether any of	
	*	11:15:50			11:18:51
2	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my	11:15:50 11:15:53	2	this record that you've spoken of, whether any of	11:18:51 11:18:56
2 3	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59	2 3	this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58
2 3 4	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03	2 3 4	this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff?	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00
2 3 4 5	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07	2 3 4 5	this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff?A. I don't know one way or another.	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06
2 3 4 5 6	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets.	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10	2 3 4 5 6	this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff?A. I don't know one way or another.Q. So as far as you know, they could	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08
2 3 4 5 6 7	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us?	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:27
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:27 11:19:31
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:31 11:19:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:41 11:16:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:27 11:19:31 11:19:36 11:19:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:44 11:16:53 11:16:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:27 11:19:31 11:19:36 11:19:39 11:19:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:44 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:27 11:19:31 11:19:39 11:19:42 11:19:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the table.	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:44 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:02 11:17:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? A. Well, there seem to have been other 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:31 11:19:30 11:19:39 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the table. Q. And what specifically in their 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:44 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:02 11:17:05 11:17:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? A. Well, there seem to have been other investors who wished to get into investments with 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:19:00 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:31 11:19:30 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:45 11:19:48
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the table. Q. And what specifically in their testimony led you to your conclusion that there was 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:34 11:16:44 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:02 11:17:05 11:17:06 11:17:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? A. Well, there seem to have been other investors who wished to get into investments with Mr. Madoff, and friends, family of the Wilpon/Katz 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:31 11:19:36 11:19:39 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:45 11:19:48 11:19:53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the table. Q. And what specifically in their testimony led you to your conclusion that there was no professional investor involved? 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:44 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:05 11:17:06 11:17:07 11:17:09	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? A. Well, there seem to have been other investors who wished to get into investments with Mr. Madoff, and friends, family of the Wilpon/Katz group, who were facilitated in doing that by being 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:11 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:31 11:19:30 11:19:42 11:19:48 11:19:53 11:20:02
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the table. Q. And what specifically in their testimony led you to your conclusion that there was no professional investor involved? A. I saw no indication there or, for 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:44 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:02 11:17:05 11:17:09 11:17:09	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? A. Well, there seem to have been other investors who wished to get into investments with Mr. Madoff, and friends, family of the Wilpon/Katz group, who were facilitated in doing that by being directed to Mr. Friedman. And in instances where 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:19:00 11:19:06 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:18 11:19:21 11:19:27 11:19:31 11:19:30 11:19:39 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:45 11:19:48 11:19:53 11:20:02 11:20:12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the table. Q. And what specifically in their testimony led you to your conclusion that there was no professional investor involved? A. I saw no indication there or, for that matter, the other thing which would be 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:05 11:17:06 11:17:07 11:17:09 11:17:13 11:17:16	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? A. Well, there seem to have been other investors who wished to get into investments with Mr. Madoff, and friends, family of the Wilpon/Katz group, who were facilitated in doing that by being directed to Mr. Friedman. And in instances where they did not have what I believe was a two million 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:19:00 11:19:00 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:31 11:19:30 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:45 11:19:48 11:19:53 11:20:02 11:20:12 11:20:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the table. Q. And what specifically in their testimony led you to your conclusion that there was no professional investor involved? A. I saw no indication there or, for that matter, the other thing which would be important, would be in your expert reports. They 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:44 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:02 11:17:05 11:17:06 11:17:09 11:17:13 11:17:16 11:17:18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff. I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? A. Well, there seem to have been other investors who wished to get into investments with Mr. Madoff, and friends, family of the Wilpon/Katz group, who were facilitated in doing that by being directed to Mr. Friedman. And in instances where they did not have what I believe was a two million dollar minimum, they could be linked up with another 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:18:58 11:19:00 11:19:00 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:31 11:19:30 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:45 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:45 11:20:15 11:20:11 11:20:21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the deposition testimony, the way, it's my understanding, that the assets were handled, that there was no one in-house except from a ministerial standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that term, or managing the assets. Q. What specifically are you referring to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? A. Yeah. I read the depositions of Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there any those would be ones that would bear on there may be another one or two, but those would be the ones that would bear on the question on the table. Q. And what specifically in their testimony led you to your conclusion that there was no professional investor involved? A. I saw no indication there or, for that matter, the other thing which would be 	11:15:50 11:15:53 11:15:59 11:16:03 11:16:07 11:16:10 11:16:21 11:16:23 11:16:27 11:16:29 11:16:29 11:16:34 11:16:53 11:16:59 11:17:05 11:17:06 11:17:07 11:17:09 11:17:13 11:17:16	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 this record that you've spoken of, whether any of the defendants, other than the ones you've identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I don't know one way or another. Q. So as far as you know, they could have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? A. I knew that certain outside investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I remember. Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? A. Well, there seem to have been other investors who wished to get into investments with Mr. Madoff, and friends, family of the Wilpon/Katz group, who were facilitated in doing that by being directed to Mr. Friedman. And in instances where they did not have what I believe was a two million 	11:18:51 11:18:56 11:19:00 11:19:00 11:19:08 11:19:10 11:19:16 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:21 11:19:31 11:19:30 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:42 11:19:45 11:19:48 11:19:53 11:20:02 11:20:12 11:20:15

21 (Pages 72 to 75)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

		76			78
1	those outside accounts, as you've just described	11:20:33	1	Turning to the first page, do you see	11:23:48
2	them, would deal with Mr. Madoff through	11:20:36	2	the email that's there?	11:23:51
3	Mr. Friedman?	11:20:38	3	A. Yes, sir, I do.	11:23:54
4	A. That's my understanding, yes.	11:20:40	4	Q. And have you had a chance to read it?	11:23:55
5	Q. Okay. And that in certain instances	11:20:42	5	A. Now I have.	11:24:06
6	some of those outside accounts would be aggregated	11:20:46	6	MR. SHEEHAN: Now I need a moment.	11:24:18
7	to add up to two million dollar minimums that	11:20:48	7	Let's go off the record.	11:24:20
8	Mr. Madoff required?	11:20:52	8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	11:24:21
9	A. That's my understanding.	11:20:53	9	record, the time is 11:24.	11:24:22
10	(Comments off the record.)	11:21:45	10	(Pause in proceedings.)	11:24:45
11	Q. For the record, what I've just handed	11:22:01	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	11:24:45
12	to the witness through the reporter is an exhibit	11:22:04	12	record, the time is 11:24.	11:24:46
13	that's been previously marked as Trustee Exhibit	11:22:06	13	BY MR. SHEEHAN:	11:24:49
14	110. It was previously marked on December 15th of	11:22:10	14	Q. Actually, I misspoke. We're only	11:24:49
15	last year. And it is a document that was produced	11:22:13	15	going to look at one page of this. So let's look at	11:24:51
16	by Sterling Stamos as revealed by the Bates stamp	11:22:17	16	page 6761, which is about four or five pages from	11:24:54
17	that's on here. And it's presented to you, just so	11:22:21	17	the back. It's entitled "The Sterling Stamos	11:25:01
18	you understand, Mr. Maine, as the document the	11:22:26	18	Difference."	11:25:08
19	way we received the document as it was produced to	11:22:29	19	MS. ZUBERI: It's 6781.	11:25:10
20	us. All right?	11:22:32	20	MR. SHEEHAN: 6781.	11:25:13
21	A. Yes, sir.	11:22:33	21	MR. WISE: 6761 is something else.	11:25:13
22	Q. All right. Having done that prelude,	11:22:33	22	MR. SHEEHAN: Sorry, sorry about	11:25:15
23	my question to you is have you seen this document	11:22:36	23	that.	11:25:16
24	before today?	11:22:38	24	MR. WISE: You now have us at 6781.	11:25:16
25	A. No, sir.	11:22:39	25	MR. SHEEHAN: Yup.	11:25:19
		77			79
1	Q. Okay. I want you to take a few	11:22:40	1	MR. WISE: All right.	11:25:22
2	minutes, just to take a look through it in fairness,	11:22:42	2	MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.	11:25:22
3	because I'm going to ask you a number of questions	11:22:44	3	BY MR. SHEEHAN:	11:25:40
4	about it, and I realize it's fairly extensive, so	11:22:46	4	Q. Now directing your attention, if I	11:25:40
5	take whatever time you need.	11:22:50	5	could, to the second paragraph; do you see that?	11:25:45
6	MR. WISE: Well, I'll just note for	11:22:59	6	A. Yes, sir.	11:25:49
7	the record that the document is, I don't know, it's,	11:23:01	7	Q. I'm going to read that into the	11:25:50
8	based on the Bates numbers, it appears to be almost	11:23:07	8	record and then ask you a few questions. It's	11:25:51
9	30 pages.	11:23:09	9	entitled "Internal Due Diligence Network," and it	11:25:54
10	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, it is long.	11:23:10	10	reads:	11:25:58
11	MR. WISE: Want him to sit here and	11:23:14	11	"Sterling Stamos leverages the	11:25:59
12	study this 30-page document.	11:23:16	12	business expertise of its 50 percent partner,	11:26:00
13	MR. SHEEHAN: That's a very fair	11:23:18	13	Sterling Equities. Founded over 30 years ago by the	11:26:03
14	document, Mr. Wise, and I appreciate that. Thank	11:23:19	14	Wilpon and Katz families, Sterling Equities has	11:26:07
15		11 00 01	15	developed deep expertise in hedge funds, private	11:26:11
	you.	11:23:21			
16	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up	11:23:21	16	equity, and real estate. In addition to providing a	11:26:14
17	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you	11:23:21 11:23:24	16 17	perspective that only experience can generate, the	11:26:20
17 18	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you something, I think Mr. Wise's admonition is indeed	11:23:21 11:23:24 11:23:28	16 17 18	perspective that only experience can generate, the Wilpon and Katz networks also provide unique	11:26:20 11:26:22
17 18 19	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you something, I think Mr. Wise's admonition is indeed wise, and we will not go through the entire	11:23:21 11:23:24 11:23:28 11:23:32	16 17 18 19	perspective that only experience can generate, the Wilpon and Katz networks also provide unique proprietary sourcing and due diligence	11:26:20 11:26:22 11:26:25
17 18 19 20	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you something, I think Mr. Wise's admonition is indeed wise, and we will not go through the entire document. I will direct you to certain portions of	11:23:21 11:23:24 11:23:28 11:23:32 11:23:32	16 17 18 19 20	perspective that only experience can generate, the Wilpon and Katz networks also provide unique proprietary sourcing and due diligence capabilities."	11:26:20 11:26:22 11:26:25 11:26:28
17 18 19 20 21	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you something, I think Mr. Wise's admonition is indeed wise, and we will not go through the entire document. I will direct you to certain portions of it. But in fairness to you, if you need more time,	11:23:21 11:23:24 11:23:28 11:23:32 11:23:32 11:23:33	16 17 18 19 20 21	perspective that only experience can generate, the Wilpon and Katz networks also provide unique proprietary sourcing and due diligence capabilities." Now, had you seen this prior to	11:26:20 11:26:22 11:26:25 11:26:28 11:26:32
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you something, I think Mr. Wise's admonition is indeed wise, and we will not go through the entire document. I will direct you to certain portions of it. But in fairness to you, if you need more time, because I'm moving around the document, feel free to	11:23:21 11:23:24 11:23:28 11:23:32 11:23:32 11:23:33 11:23:33	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perspective that only experience can generate, the Wilpon and Katz networks also provide unique proprietary sourcing and due diligence capabilities." Now, had you seen this prior to today?	11:26:20 11:26:22 11:26:25 11:26:28 11:26:32 11:26:34
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you something, I think Mr. Wise's admonition is indeed wise, and we will not go through the entire document. I will direct you to certain portions of it. But in fairness to you, if you need more time, because I'm moving around the document, feel free to tell me. Okay?	11:23:21 11:23:24 11:23:28 11:23:32 11:23:33 11:23:33 11:23:36 11:23:38	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	perspective that only experience can generate, the Wilpon and Katz networks also provide unique proprietary sourcing and due diligence capabilities." Now, had you seen this prior to today? A. No.	11:26:20 11:26:22 11:26:25 11:26:28 11:26:32 11:26:34 11:26:34
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you something, I think Mr. Wise's admonition is indeed wise, and we will not go through the entire document. I will direct you to certain portions of it. But in fairness to you, if you need more time, because I'm moving around the document, feel free to	11:23:21 11:23:24 11:23:28 11:23:32 11:23:32 11:23:33 11:23:33	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perspective that only experience can generate, the Wilpon and Katz networks also provide unique proprietary sourcing and due diligence capabilities." Now, had you seen this prior to today?	11:26:20 11:26:22 11:26:25 11:26:28 11:26:32 11:26:34

22 (Pages 76 to 79)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

		80			82
1	Mr. Wilpon?	11:26:39	1	And what I'd like to do is to walk	11:31:10
2	A. No.	11:26:39	2	you through some of the pages here, if I may.	11:31:12
3	Q. And why not?	11:26:40	3	A. My copy has red tabs. Should I just	11:31:17
4	A. Well, because I put this in the	11:26:41	4	go to	11:31:20
5	context of a couple of things. First of all, the 30	11:26:42	5	Q. Does it have red tabs? That's great.	11:31:20
6	years of deep expertise in hedge funds, I think I've	11:26:47	6	MR. WISE: I think we all got them.	11:31:22
7	seen that referred to as their investment with	11:26:52	7	MR. SHEEHAN: Good. Solves the	11:31:24
8	Madoff, which they incorrectly or which Mr. Stamos	11:26:58	8	problem.	11:31:27
9	put in here as investment in a hedge fund. I've	11:27:02	9	(Comments off the record.)	11:31:31
10	seen no other evidence that they invested in any	11:27:07	10	Q. So the first tab should be 5450?	11:31:31
11	other hedge funds. There may be some, but I haven't	11:27:09	11	A. It is.	11:31:36
12	seen any that they invested in any hedge funds. And	11:27:12	12	Q. Okay, thank you.	11:31:36
13	in reading Mr. Stamos' deposition, he he said	11:27:16	13	And directing your attention, take a	11:31:37
14	that this was a puff piece and meant as a marketing	11:27:21	14	look at, if you look, it's the firm background	11:31:39
15	piece, and this, and in other areas, which I may be	11:27:26	15	paragraph and I'm looking at paragraph number 3.	11:31:45
16	questioned about later	11:27:33	16	A. Yes.	11:31:48
17	Q. Sure.	11:27:34	17	Q. And I'm directing your attention to	11:31:51
18	A they, shall we say, took literary	11:27:35	18	Saul Katz and David Katz in that paragraph and their	11:31:53
19	license with the backgrounds and that this was he	11:27:40	19	identification as general partners in Sterling	11:31:58
20	did not believe that the Wilpon/Katzes were	11:27:44	20	Stamos and as portfolio and business advisers. Do	11:32:02
21	sophisticated equity investors with a deep history	11:27:48	21	you see that?	11:32:10
22	in classic hedge funds, equity private equity.	11:27:51	22	A. Yes.	11:32:11
23	Although they had done some private equity in a	11:27:56	23	Q. Do you consider this also to just be	11:32:11
24	couple of, I forget, it was network something or	11:27:59	24	puffery?	11:32:14
25	other, they'd done a little bit of that, and this	11:28:02	25	A. Well, this is not an advertising	11:32:15
		81			83
1	was just basically a puff piece.	81 11:28:09	1	piece. This is a due diligence background, so it's	83 11:32:17
1 2	was just basically a puff piece. Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit		1 2	piece. This is a due diligence background, so it's it's a different document.	
	• • • •	11:28:09			11:32:17
2	Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit	11:28:09 11:28:13	2	it's a different document.	11:32:17 11:32:19
2 3	Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then.	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15	2 3	 it's a different document.Q. So, when they say here that they're	11:32:17 11:32:19 11:32:20
2 3 4	Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25	2 3 4	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant 	11:32:17 11:32:19 11:32:20 11:32:24
2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39	2 3 4 5	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? 	11:32:17 11:32:19 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.)	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39	2 3 4 5 6	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant 	11:32:17 11:32:19 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14	2 3 4 5 6 7	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of 	11:32:17 11:32:19 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. 	11:32:17 11:32:19 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:43
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what 	11:32:17 11:32:19 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:41 11:32:43 11:32:43 11:32:48
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:32 11:30:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:46 11:32:48 11:32:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:32 11:30:34 11:30:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:48 11:32:48 11:32:49 11:32:50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all right, private equity group. It's to an individual 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:32 11:30:34 11:30:40 11:30:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this document identifies these people as key principals 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:48 11:32:49 11:32:50 11:32:57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all right, private equity group. It's to an individual that, as I understand it, is associated with the 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:32 11:30:34 11:30:40 11:30:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this document identifies these people as key principals in connection with Sterling Stamos. Do you see 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:48 11:32:48 11:32:49 11:32:50 11:32:57 11:32:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all right, private equity group. It's to an individual that, as I understand it, is associated with the government of Qatar. And it's enclosing a series of 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:34 11:30:45 11:30:48 11:30:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this document identifies these people as key principals in connection with Sterling Stamos. Do you see that? 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:42 11:32:42 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:46 11:32:48 11:32:49 11:32:50 11:32:57 11:32:59 11:33:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all right, private equity group. It's to an individual that, as I understand it, is associated with the government of Qatar. And it's enclosing a series of documents in connection with a presentation being 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:34 11:30:40 11:30:48 11:30:51 11:30:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this document identifies these people as key principals in connection with Sterling Stamos. Do you see that? A. Yes. 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:42 11:32:42 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:48 11:32:49 11:32:50 11:32:57 11:32:59 11:33:01 11:33:02
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all right, private equity group. It's to an individual that, as I understand it, is associated with the government of Qatar. And it's enclosing a series of documents in connection with a presentation being made to the government of Qatar, with regard to a 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:32 11:30:34 11:30:48 11:30:51 11:30:56 11:30:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this document identifies these people as key principals in connection with Sterling Stamos. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And based on your years of 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:43 11:32:48 11:32:49 11:32:50 11:32:57 11:32:59 11:33:01 11:33:02 11:33:02 11:33:02
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all right, private equity group. It's to an individual that, as I understand it, is associated with the government of Qatar. And it's enclosing a series of documents in connection with a presentation being made to the government of Qatar, with regard to a potential investment. That's not, obviously, 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:32 11:30:34 11:30:48 11:30:51 11:30:59 11:31:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this document identifies these people as key principals in connection with Sterling Stamos. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And based on your years of experience and you've seen documents like this 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:49 11:32:50 11:32:57 11:32:57 11:32:59 11:33:01 11:33:02 11:33:02 11:33:02 11:33:02
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all right, private equity group. It's to an individual that, as I understand it, is associated with the government of Qatar. And it's enclosing a series of documents in connection with a presentation being made to the government of Qatar, with regard to a potential investment. That's not, obviously, testimony, but that's what we understand that to be. 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:34 11:30:40 11:30:45 11:30:48 11:30:51 11:30:59 11:31:03 11:31:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this document identifies these people as key principals in connection with Sterling Stamos. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And based on your years of experience and you've seen documents like this before, have you not? 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:46 11:32:49 11:32:50 11:32:57 11:32:57 11:32:59 11:33:01 11:33:02 11:33:02 11:33:05 11:33:06
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit then. (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) (Comments off the record.) Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 that's been marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I am going to direct your attention to about three or four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all right, private equity group. It's to an individual that, as I understand it, is associated with the government of Qatar. And it's enclosing a series of documents in connection with a presentation being made to the government of Qatar, with regard to a potential investment. That's not, obviously, 	11:28:09 11:28:13 11:28:15 11:29:25 11:29:39 11:29:39 11:30:14 11:30:16 11:30:19 11:30:24 11:30:27 11:30:27 11:30:30 11:30:30 11:30:32 11:30:34 11:30:48 11:30:51 11:30:59 11:31:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it's a different document. Q. So, when they say here that they're portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean to you? A. I really don't know what they meant by this business. I can tell you what came out of Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but just looking at the words I can't give you an interpretation. Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar with him, and your understanding of that is not what I'm looking for. A. Okay. Q. You're reading what, as you say, this document identifies these people as key principals in connection with Sterling Stamos. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And based on your years of experience and you've seen documents like this 	11:32:17 11:32:20 11:32:24 11:32:27 11:32:32 11:32:33 11:32:36 11:32:39 11:32:41 11:32:42 11:32:42 11:32:43 11:32:49 11:32:50 11:32:57 11:32:57 11:32:59 11:33:01 11:33:02 11:33:02 11:33:02 11:33:02

23 (Pages 80 to 83)

CONFIDENTIAL

		84			86
1	documents like this?	11:33:10	1	your fund of funds?	11:35:16
2	A. No, sir.	11:33:11	2	A. Well, professional training. In	11:35:17
3	Q. All right. But when you examined	11:33:12	3	other words, if you look at the background of	11:35:18
4	them in the past, would you look at who the key	11:33:14	4	Mr. Chachra, for instance, he was a hands-on fund	11:35:21
5	principals are as a basis for making your	11:33:17	5	manager. These people, if you read the depositions,	11:35:24
6	investment?	11:33:19	6	they really did not participate and this would	11:35:27
7	A. Yes.	11:33:20	7	come from Mr. Stamos' deposition, Mr. Chachra's	11:35:30
8	Q. And would you expect, based on your	11:33:20	8	deposition and the Katzes' deposition, they didn't	11:35:33
9	experience, that the people listed were people who	11:33:23	9	participate in the due diligence. They were	11:35:36
10	were sophisticated with regard to the nature of	11:33:26	10	presented with the end product and decided in some	11:35:39
11	Sterling Stamos' business?	11:33:29	11	cases whether that sounded like an agreeable money	11:35:42
12	A. The nature of their business?	11:33:32	12	manager.	11:35:45
13	Q. Yeah. What they did.	11:33:33	13	Q. All right, fine. Let's go to the	11:35:46
14	A. As opposed to well, an investment	11:33:34	14	next page, if we could.	11:35:49
15	manager does a lot of things. They run a business,	11:33:37	15	A. Okay. It isn't the next page	11:35:53
16	they prospect for new clients, they have personnel,	11:33:39	16	MR. WISE: The next page or the next	11:35:53
17	they have offices, they have staffing. And then	11:33:43	17	one that's tabbed?	11:35:54
18	they have other people who you see identified as	11:33:46	18	MR. SHEEHAN: The next one that's	11:35:55
19	portfolio managers who run the portfolios.	11:33:48	19	tabbed. Well, mine was the next page.	11:35:57
20	Q. That's right. So you would therefore	11:33:50	20	MR. WISE: Mine too. Okay. I didn't	11:36:04
21	understand those people to be have the capability	11:33:53	21	see it.	11:36:18
22	to run a portfolio?	11:33:55	22	Q. Okay. I'm directing your attention	11:36:18
23	A. Yes. Mr. Chachra and Ms. Horing.	11:33:56	23	again, this is still under this is under Roman	11:36:22
24	Q. And also Mr. Katz?	11:33:59	24	Numeral II, investment strategy, it's, again,	11:36:27
25	A. No, Mr. Katz is a portfolio and	11:34:01	25	paragraph 3 and directing your attention to	11:36:28
		85			87
1	business adviser.	85 11:34:03	1	"Internal Due Diligence Network," which I don't know	87 11:36:30
1 2	business adviser. Q. And what would you understand that to		1 2	"Internal Due Diligence Network," which I don't know if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's	
		11:34:03		-	11:36:30
2	Q. And what would you understand that to	11:34:03 11:34:05	2	if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's	11:36:30 11:36:32
2 3	Q. And what would you understand that to be?	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07	2 3	if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36
2 3 4	Q. And what would you understand that to be?A. That's some sort of an overall	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08	2 3 4	if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38
2 3 4 5	Q. And what would you understand that to be?A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10	2 3 4 5	if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that?	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15	2 3 4 5 6	if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that?A. Yes.	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15	2 3 4 5 6 7	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:17	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:46 11:36:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24 11:34:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:46 11:36:49 11:36:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:46 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:46 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:54 11:36:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:32 11:34:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:32 11:34:34 11:34:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:36 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:46 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would assume that at some level they would give advice on 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:32 11:34:34 11:34:38 11:34:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? A. Yes. I think it's poetic license 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:56 11:36:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would assume that at some level they would give advice on macro portfolio things. In other words, maybe 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:29 11:34:34 11:34:38 11:34:40 11:34:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? A. Yes. I think it's poetic license because, again, their deep expertise in hedge funds 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:51 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:59 11:37:02
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would assume that at some level they would give advice on macro portfolio things. In other words, maybe listen to a presentation from a money manager and 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:17 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:29 11:34:34 11:34:38 11:34:40 11:34:45 11:34:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? A. Yes. I think it's poetic license because, again, their deep expertise in hedge funds was their investment which with Madoff, which 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:46 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:56 11:36:59 11:37:02 11:37:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would assume that at some level they would give advice on macro portfolio things. In other words, maybe listen to a presentation from a money manager and make a decision. Remembering that this is a fund of 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:24 11:34:29 11:34:29 11:34:29 11:34:32 11:34:34 11:34:40 11:34:45 11:34:48 11:34:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? A. Yes. I think it's poetic license because, again, their deep expertise in hedge funds was their investment which with Madoff, which actually wasn't a hedge fund, but that's what the 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:59 11:37:02 11:37:02 11:37:07 11:37:12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would assume that at some level they would give advice on macro portfolio things. In other words, maybe listen to a presentation from a money manager and make a decision. Remembering that this is a fund of funds, make a decision whether that fund manager was 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:29 11:34:32 11:34:34 11:34:40 11:34:45 11:34:48 11:34:50 11:34:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? A. Yes. I think it's poetic license because, again, their deep expertise in hedge funds was their investment which with Madoff, which actually wasn't a hedge fund, but that's what the testimony in depositions says that this refers to. 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:59 11:37:02 11:37:07 11:37:12 11:37:14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would assume that at some level they would give advice on macro portfolio things. In other words, maybe listen to a presentation from a money manager and make a decision. Remembering that this is a fund of funds, make a decision whether that fund manager was somebody that should be included in the portfolio to 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:32 11:34:34 11:34:38 11:34:40 11:34:45 11:34:48 11:34:50 11:34:56 11:34:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? A. Yes. I think it's poetic license because, again, their deep expertise in hedge funds was their investment which with Madoff, which actually wasn't a hedge fund, but that's what the testimony in depositions says that this refers to. And the private equity I believe were a couple of 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:59 11:37:02 11:37:12 11:37:14 11:37:18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would assume that at some level they would give advice on macro portfolio things. In other words, maybe listen to a presentation from a money manager and make a decision. Remembering that this is a fund of funds, make a decision whether that fund manager was somebody that should be included in the portfolio to 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:29 11:34:34 11:34:38 11:34:40 11:34:45 11:34:48 11:34:50 11:34:59 11:35:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? A. Yes. I think it's poetic license because, again, their deep expertise in hedge funds was their investment which with Madoff, which actually wasn't a hedge fund, but that's what the testimony in depositions says that this refers to. And the private equity I believe were a couple of individual companies which they had made equity 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:59 11:37:02 11:37:12 11:37:18 11:37:22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And what would you understand that to be? A. That's some sort of an overall supervisory role. But the business adviser would mean that the Katzes would be more involved with the business end of the business. In other words, making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how many people to hire, how much advertising to do, things such as that. Q. What about the first half, portfolio adviser, what would that entail? A. Well, I really don't the words speak for themselves. It would assume I would assume that at some level they would give advice on macro portfolio things. In other words, maybe listen to a presentation from a money manager and make a decision. Remembering that this is a fund of funds, make a decision whether that fund manager was somebody that should be included in the portfolio to be managed by the other people. But this is a fund of funds, which is very different than a money 	11:34:03 11:34:05 11:34:07 11:34:08 11:34:10 11:34:12 11:34:15 11:34:15 11:34:24 11:34:28 11:34:29 11:34:29 11:34:29 11:34:34 11:34:38 11:34:40 11:34:40 11:34:40 11:34:50 11:34:50 11:34:50 11:35:02 11:35:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling Equities. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. All right. I could read this, starts out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business experience" " expertise of its 50 percent partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm referring to. A. Yes. Q. All right. Is it your testimony that as it appears here it's still puffery? A. Yes. I think it's poetic license because, again, their deep expertise in hedge funds was their investment which with Madoff, which actually wasn't a hedge fund, but that's what the testimony in depositions says that this refers to. And the private equity I believe were a couple of individual companies which they had made equity investments in, which is stretching the term 	11:36:30 11:36:32 11:36:38 11:36:41 11:36:43 11:36:44 11:36:44 11:36:49 11:36:51 11:36:51 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:36:55 11:37:02 11:37:12 11:37:18 11:37:22 11:37:27

24 (Pages 84 to 87)

CONFIDENTIAL

					/ - /
		88			90
1	opportunities. So	11:37:39	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	11:54:12
2	Q. Okay. So let's flip this around now.	11:37:40	2	record. The time is 11:54. This is disk number 3.	11:54:14
3	You're now, using your expertise, you're sitting	11:37:43	3	MR. SHEEHAN: Which number is this?	11:54:21
4	there and this is presented to you. You haven't	11:37:46	4	258. Okay.	11:54:24
5	read the Stamos deps, you haven't done any of that.	11:37:48	5	(Exhibit Trustee 258, Emails and	11:54:34
6	You're in your capacity as in your earlier roles in	11:37:52	6	Presentation to Dupont, Bates SSMT01238214-266,	11:54:34
7	the securities industry; this is presented to you	11:37:54	7	marked for identification.)	11:54:34
8	and you read this. What would it mean to you?	11:37:57	8	BY MR. SHEEHAN:	11:54:34
9	A. Well, this would be a starting place	11:38:00	9	Q. For the record again, Mr. Maine, I've	11:54:44
10	and then you'd talk to if this passed the smell	11:38:01	10	handed you a document that's been marked by the	11:54:46
11	test, this whole document, then you'd get in-depth	11:38:06	11	reporter as Trustee Exhibit 258 which, again, is a	11:54:48
12	as to what their expertise was, and I think what	11:38:09	12	multi-page document. Again, we have tabbed it so	11:54:52
13	would come out would be exactly what I've said.	11:38:12	13	that we won't have to worry about where we're going.	11:54:57
14	Q. Okay. Let's go I guess to the next	11:38:15	14	But, again, just for purposes of the record, again	11:55:00
15	tab, which I guess is 5537?	11:38:21	15	what this the initial page of this is a document	11:55:04
16	A. Yes.	11:38:27	16	that again was produced by Sterling Stamos, and it	11:55:09
17	Q. Directing your attention to the last	11:38:43	17	references a meeting for a presentation to Dupont,	11:55:16
18	entry which is Saul Katz.	11:38:46	18	and people that are attendees are listed here and	11:55:21
19	A. Yes.	11:38:47	19	attached to it is what purports to be a presentation	11:55:25
20	Q. Do you see that? And it starts off	11:38:48	20	put together in December of 2004. That's on page	11:55:34
21	with, and this is what I'm focusing on, is	11:38:51	21	8219 which is where the emails stop and the document	11:55:44
22	actively "Mr. Katz is a general partner of	11:38:55	22	begins. Do you see that?	11:55:52
23	Sterling Stamos and actively involved in the	11:38:57	23	A. Yes.	11:55:53
24	investment decisions, as well as the management of	11:39:00	24	Q. Okay. Again, just do me a favor.	11:55:54
25	Sterling Stamos." Do you see that?	11:39:04	25	Take a quick look at this document in its entirety,	11:55:59
		89			91
1	A. Yes.	11:39:06	1	if you would. I don't mean for you to read it, but	11:56:02
2	Q. And what would you understand that to	11:39:08	2	in your experience in the industry, what do you	11:56:05
3	mean in terms of him being involved in investment	11:39:11	3	understand this document to be? Starting at that	11:56:08
4	decisions?	11:39:15	4	page, Sterling Stamos presentation.	11:56:10
5	A. Just what the words say, they're	11:39:16	5	(Witness examining document.)	11:57:51
6	implying that he was involved in the investment	11:39:18	6	A. Okay. And your question was what do	11:58:11
7	decisions.	11:39:21	7	I understand this document to be.	11:58:14
8	Q. Okay, fine. Let's just go to the	11:39:22	8	Q. Yeah.	11:58:16
9	next page, which will be the last question. Do you	11:39:28	9	A. It appears to be I was just	11:58:17
10	see "David Katz"?	11:39:31	10	restating your question.	11:58:18
11	A. Yes, sir.	11:39:32	11	Q. Yeah, sure.	11:58:19
12	Q. And would your answer be the same,	11:39:33	12	A. It appears to be a background	11:58:21
13	that when it talks about his being involved in	11:39:35	13	marketing document by Sterling Stamos.	11:58:25
14	investment decisions, it simply means what it	11:39:37	14	Q. Okay. And I'm just going to walk	11:58:27
15	implies, that he's involved in investment decisions?	11:39:40	15	through a couple of those tabs. Let's go to the	11:58:29
16	A. Yes, sir.	11:39:45	16	first one, if we could. It's 8226. Do you see	11:58:33
17	Q. All right. Let's go to the next one.	11:39:48	17	that?	11:58:36
18	THE WITNESS: I'm going to take you	11:39:51	18	A. Yes, sir.	11:58:36
19	up on one of your short breaks.	11:39:52	19	Q. I should have asked you this but, I	11:58:38
20	MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. Take your time.	11:39:54	20	think have you ever seen this document before	11:58:41
21	Let's try to get back here at ten to. Ten minutes	11:39:57	21	today?	11:58:43
22	good?	11:40:00	22	A. No, sir.	11:58:44
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	11:40:01	23	Q. Okay, fine.	11:58:45
24	record, the time is 11:40. This ends disk 2.	11:40:06	24	So, directing your attention to page	11:58:46
25	(Recess taken.)	11:40:15	25	8226, and it's entitled at the top, "Security Fund,	11:58:47

25 (Pages 88 to 91)

CONFIDENTIAL

		92			94
1	Overview - Differentiating Characteristics of the	11:58:52	1	people that we talked about earlier. The document	12:00:52
2	Security Fund." Do you see that?	11:58:57	2	showed them just before.	12:00:54
3	A. Yes.	11:59:00	3	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right.	12:00:55
4	Q. And I'm specifically identifying	11:59:01	4	Q. I direct your attention to Saul Katz	12:00:57
5	the or directing your attention to the second	11:59:03	5	and David Katz. Do you see them?	12:00:58
6	entry there, "Access to Sterling Equities'	11:59:05	6	A. Yes.	12:01:00
7	Experience and Unique Proprietary Sourcing." Do you	11:59:08	7	Q. They purport here to be members of	12:01:00
8	see that?	11:59:11	8	the senior investment team. Based on your	12:01:02
9	A. Yes.	11:59:12	9	experience again, looking at this document, what	12:01:04
10	Q. And then it says, "Sterling Stamos is	11:59:12	10	would you understand their role to be?	12:01:07
11	able to leverage Sterling Equities' 40 years of	11:59:15	11	A. They would not look to me to be part	12:01:09
12	alternative investment experience." Do you see	11:59:17	12	of the investment group because if you notice	12:01:11
13	that?	11:59:21	13	Mr. Chachra is a portfolio manager, Ms. Horing is a	12:01:15
14	A. Yes.	11:59:21	14	portfolio manager, and these guys are part of the	12:01:18
15	Q. Based on your background, what would	11:59:22	15	ownership group of Sterling Stamos and general	12:01:24
16	that have meant to you when you read that?	11:59:24	16	partner. So it does not imply any investment role	12:01:30
17	A. Well, that they had alternative	11:59:28	17	except at the top where it says "senior investment	12:01:34
18	investments other than stocks.	11:59:30	18	team."	12:01:37
19	Q. Right.	11:59:31	19	The other thing I focus on is if you	12:01:37
20	A. So it could be an asset class such as	11:59:32	20	look at the backgrounds and experience of the two	12:01:39
21	real estate. So, in other words, they had real	11:59:35	21	people identified as portfolio managers, Morgan	12:01:41
22	estate is an in modern portfolio theory real	11:59:37	22	Stanley, Chase Securities and then you've got	12:01:44
23	estate is an alternative to asset class, so it means	11:59:41	23	Highgate, Gabelli, Weiss, Peck, Greer, which is a	12:01:46
24	something like real estate, could be private equity.	11:59:44	24	brokerage firm, and Merrill Lynch.	12:01:52
25	Something such as that.	11:59:48	25	So, clearly these people, there are	12:01:52
		93			95
1	Q. Okay, fine.	11:59:49	1	certain people who are the investment people and	12:01:55
2	Let's go to the next entry.	11:59:51	2	there are other people who are the executives. Why	12:01:56
3	A. Next tab?	11:59:55	3	they're listed under senior investment team, I don't	12:01:59
4	Q. Yeah, next tab, I'm sorry.	11:59:56	4	know, but it's not borne out by the titles, the	12:02:01
5	Apologize.	11:59:59	5	functional titles after their names.	12:02:04
6	And I believe that's page 8242.	11:59:59	6	Q. Okay. Let's go to the next one.	12:02:25
7	A. Yes.	12:00:04	7	This is page 8244. Do you see that?	12:02:31
8	Q. It lists "Professionals, Senior	12:00:05	8	A. Yes, sir.	12:02:39
9	Investment Team." Do you see that?	12:00:08	9	Q. Just to move this along a little more	12:02:44
10	A. Yes.	12:00:10	10	quickly, the only entry I'm interested in is	12:02:46
11	Q. This lists on this page five people,	12:00:11	11	obviously the one at the top, Fred Wilpon. Do you	12:02:49
12	Mr. Stamos, Mr. Chachra, Mr. Wong or Ms. Wong,	12:00:15	12	see that?	12:02:52
13	Saul Katz and David Katz. Do you see that?	12:00:20	13	A. Yes, sir.	12:02:52
14	A. Yes. Ms. Wong, you said?	12:00:23	14	Q. This is on the category of other	12:02:54
15	Q. I thought well, I'm pronouncing	12:00:25	15	investment professionals and they're listing Mr.	12:02:56
16	that	12:00:27	16	Wilpon as a part of that group. Do you see that?	12:02:58
17	MR. WISE: It's Ms. Horing.	12:00:31	17	A. Yes.	12:03:00
18	MR. SHEEHAN: Horing? I can't even	12:00:36	18	Q. If you'd have seen this, again in	12:03:01
19	see it.	12:00:37	19	your experience, what would your reaction have been	12:03:02
20	MR. WISE: Horing. It's Ellen	12:00:37	20	to seeing Mr. Wilpon as another investment	12:03:04
21	Horing.	12:00:38	21	professional?	12:03:06
22	MR. SHEEHAN: Oh, good. Well, my	12:00:39	22	A. The same that I said for the Katzes.	12:03:06
	T TILL STATES TO A	12.00.42	23	Q. Well, here it says that he is the	12:03:09
23	copy I couldn't get it, but appreciate the	12:00:42	25		
23 24	copy I couldn't get it, but appreciate the correction for the record, thank you.	12:00:42	24	his background is that he's at Bear Stearns on the	12:03:12

26 (Pages 92 to 95)

CONFIDENTIAL

		96			98
1	impact your opinion in any way?	12:03:19	1	next document. Thanks.	12:05:54
2	A. No.	12:03:21	2	(Comments off the record.)	12:06:09
3	Q. Did you do you know what	12:03:22	3	MS. ZUBERI: This has been marked	12:06:23
4	Mr. Wilpon did when he was on the board at Bear	12:03:24	4	before, so it's 187.	12:06:25
5	Stearns?	12:03:27	5	Q. Okay, Mr. Maine, you've been handed	12:06:57
6	A. No.	12:03:28	6	an exhibit that's been previously marked as Trustee	12:06:59
7	Q. Okay. Let's go to what's called the	12:03:35	7	Exhibit 187, which purports to be a Sterling Stamos	12:07:01
8	"Executive Summary" on page 8255. And again, I	12:03:39	8	company overview discussion with Merrill Lynch. I'm	12:07:07
9	think we're familiar with this language. Do you see	12:04:05	9	just reading, again not testifying, as to what the	12:07:10
10	it? I'm directing your attention to access to	12:04:07	10	document purports to be. Do you see that?	12:07:14
11	investment expertise and experience of Sterling	12:04:09	11	A. Yes, sir.	12:07:16
12	Equities. Do you see that?	12:04:12	12	Q. Have you seen this document before	12:07:17
13	A. Yes, I do.	12:04:13	13	today?	12:07:18
14	Q. Okay. The fact that it's in this	12:04:14	14	A. No, sir, I have not.	12:07:18
15	context, a marketing document, does it change in any	12:04:15	15	Q. All right, fine.	12:07:20
16	way your opinion of what this purports to be?	12:04:18	16	Again, what you did a moment ago for	12:07:21
17	A. No.	12:04:20	17	me would be helpful. Could you just take a quick	12:07:24
18	Q. Do you still see this as just mere	12:04:20	18	look at this and then, based on your experience in	12:07:27
19	puffery?	12:04:23	19	the industry, what would you understand this	12:07:29
20	A. Well, no, this is functionally close	12:04:23	20	document to be?	12:07:30
21	to accurate in that they did their investment	12:04:29	21	(Witness examining document.)	12:10:18
22	with Madoff was not in a hedge fund, but they were,	12:04:34	22	A. The question was, what did I	12:11:35
23	I believe from testimony that's what this is	12:04:39	23	understand this document to be, and it appears to be	12:11:38
24	referring to, the hedge funds. Private equity were	12:04:41	24	a profile of Sterling Stamos.	12:11:41
25	companies that they bought, with the view toward	12:04:44	25	Q. Have you seen this document prior to	12:11:56
		97			99
1	operating. So not a classic private equity thing,	12:04:48	1	today?	12:11:57
2	but they did do some private equity investments, and	12:04:51	2	A. No.	12:11:58
3	real estate. So, there's really no change.	12:04:54	3	Q. Okay, fine. Again, directing your	12:11:58
4	Q. Okay. Let's go to the last entry	12:04:58	4	attention to the first tab	12:12:02
5	here. And actually you have to go to the page just	12:05:00	5	A. I don't have any tabs.	12:12:09
6	prior to that, if you would, that's page 8261 and	12:05:11	6	Q. Oh, you don't have any tabs this	12:12:10
7	the title of this is "Sterling Stamos Senior	12:05:15	7	time. I apologize.	12:12:12
8	Investment Team." Do you see that?	12:05:18	8	A. I'm tabless.	12:12:14
9	A. Yes.	12:05:20	9	Q. Let me tell you all the pages.	12:12:15
10	Q. And it lists Mr. Stamos, Mr. Chachra,	12:05:21	10	A. Bait and switching here.	12:12:17
11	Ellen Horing, H-o-r-i-n-g.	12:05:24	11	Q. I apologize.	12:12:19
12	A. Right.	12:05:24	12	If you look down, there's an SE	12:12:20
13	Q. Is that correct?	12:05:27	13	number. Do you see that, in the if you hold it	12:12:22
14	A. Yes, sir.	12:05:28	14	this way, in the lower right-hand corner?	12:12:25
15	Q. And then the next page it carries	12:05:29	15	A. Yes, sir, I do.	12:12:27
16	over and again has Saul Katz and David Katz. Do you	12:05:31	16	MR. WISE: And if you've got really	12:12:28
17	see that?	12:05:33	17	good eyes.	12:12:29
18	A. Yes.	12:05:34	18	MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, I know.	12:12:30
19	Q. And what would that have meant to you	12:05:37	19	Q. 4281. And, actually, it's page 8, I	12:12:33
20	if you'd seen this as a marketing document in your	12:05:39	20	just realized that, they're actually paginated.	12:12:37
0.1	experience?	12:05:42	21	Wow. So it's page 8.	12:12:43
21	•				
22	A. Well, in other words, they're just	12:05:44	22	A. Yes.	12:12:45
22 23	A. Well, in other words, they're just part of the that's what the word says, they're	12:05:48	23	Q. And the title of this page is simply	12:12:48
22	A. Well, in other words, they're just				

27 (Pages 96 to 99)

CONFIDENTIAL

		100			102
1	this is speaking again of Sterling Stamos.	12:12:59	1	discussion with Merrill Lynch. And it talks about	12:15:29
2	A. Right.	12:13:01	2	20 years experience investing in hedge funds. Do	12:15:32
3	Q. As you understand it. Let me stop	12:13:01	3	you see that?	12:15:35
4	right there.	12:13:04	4	A. Yes.	12:15:36
5	MR. WISE: I'm not sure that's	12:13:06	5	Q. And it says, "Over \$600 million of	12:15:36
6	correct. You want to take a look at that more	12:13:07	6	principals' capital invested." Do you see that?	12:15:39
7	closely?	12:13:09	7	A. Yes.	12:15:42
8	MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Well, I was	12:13:10	8	Q. Would that indicate to you that that	12:15:43
9	basing that, Mr. Wise, on the fact that it's	12:13:13	9	experience demonstrated a degree of sophistication	12:15:47
10	Sterling Stamos company overview. So and then it	12:13:16	10	on the part of Sterling Stamos?	12:15:49
11	talks about the background of Sterling Stamos, is	12:13:23	11	A. No. There's a big difference in	12:15:52
12	what I thought it did. So if I'm wrong about that,	12:13:25	12	investing in hedge funds and running a hedge fund.	12:15:55
13	I'd rather have the record clear.	12:13:30	13	I invest have invested in the same hedge fund for	12:15:59
14	MR. WISE: I only say that because	12:13:32	14	ten years. I have no idea how he makes and loses	12:16:03
15	looking at the looking at the page, it says 40	12:13:34	15	money for me.	12:16:06
16	years experience. Well, Sterling Stamos was only	12:13:38	16	Q. Um-hum.	12:16:07
17	formed in 2003, so I can't that can't possibly be	12:13:41	17	A. So, it's different in managing a fund	12:16:08
18	referring to Sterling Stamos.	12:13:46	18	rather than just owning a fund. And I think this	12:16:11
19	MR. SHEEHAN: I think what they're	12:13:47	19	does relate to the Madoff, Madoff thing because they	12:16:14
20	referring to there, because they then had 20 years	12:13:48	20	started investing in hedge funds in '84, which is I	12:16:18
21	experience, I think obviously Mr. Maine, this	12:13:51	21	think when they started investing with Madoff. If	12:16:21
22	little colloquy with counsel here I think what	12:13:54	22	you look at the next page.	12:16:24
23	we're talking about here is that if you combine what	12:13:56	23	Q. Right. So, is your testimony based	12:16:26
24	Sterling brought and what Stamos brings, these are	12:13:58	24	on your personal experience then? Because you don't	12:16:29
25	the advantages. I think that's what this I'm not	12:14:01	25	know what your hedge fund does, you assume everybody	12:16:33
		101			103
1	testifying, again, that's what I understand the	12:14:04	1	else doesn't know what their hedge fund does?	12:16:35
2	document to be. So what Sterling had was 40 years	12:14:05	2	A. No. I don't I make no assumptions	12:16:37
3	of experience in real estate, 20 years experience in	12:14:09	3	about that. What I'm saying	12:16:39
4	hedge funds, many years in private equity.	12:14:12	4	Q. (Inaudible.)	12:16:41
5	MR. WISE: I think we can agree on	12:14:17	5	A I'm saying it's not conclusiary	12:16:41
6	this: It's not clear from the face of the page	12:14:18	6	that if you engage in a hedge fund if you buy a	12:16:43
7	itself what the who they're referring to there,	12:14:21	7	hedge fund, that you know anything about hedge	12:16:46
8	although I think you and I can agree they're	12:14:23	8	funds. You may know everything about a hedge fund,	12:16:47
9	obviously not referring to Sterling Stamos. They	12:14:25	9	but it's not ownership doesn't connote knowledge.	12:16:50
10	must be referring to something, Sterling Equities or	12:14:28	10	It just connotes ownership. Knowledge is a separate	12:16:54
11	something else. I think the record is pretty clear	12:14:32	11	thing.	12:16:58
12	that Sterling Stamos only started in 2003.	12:14:35	12	Q. Well, if you're putting this in a	12:16:58
13	MR. SHEEHAN: That's true. And I	12:14:38	13	document for having a discussion with a potential	12:17:00
14 15	don't think anyone disagrees with that.	12:14:40	14	investor in your fund, what would you understand,	12:17:03
15 16	MR. WISE: Mr. Stamos I think was how old is Mr. Stamos? Peter Stamos?	12:14:49	15	based on your experience, the purpose for putting	12:17:06
16		12:14:50	16	that in there?	12:17:08
17 18	MS. WAGNER: I don't know what he is	12:14:54	17 18	A. I think it's just giving their background and, again, if you go to the very back of	12:17:09
18	but I think he's MR. WISE: I don't know that he's	12:14:55 12:14:57	10	this document, you'll see that when they put in the	12:17:11 12:17:15
20	been around 40 years. But anyway		20	selected biographies they don't list the Katzes and	
20	BY MR. SHEEHAN:	12:14:58 12:15:17	20	Mr. Wilpon as part of their real investment	12:17:18 12:17:22
21	Q. Okay. With that background, let's	12:15:17	22	management team, if you go to the very back.	12:17:22
22	just deal with it this way, Mr. Maine, all right.	12:15:17	23	So I think they do, in that regard,	12:17:27
23	This is a document, at least appears from the cover	12:15:19	24	sort of separate the importance of the various	12:17:23
	rins is a document, at least appears from the cover				12.17.00
25	of it, put together by Sterling Stamos for a	12:15:26	25	people in terms of what they do because at the back	12:17:36

28 (Pages 100 to 103)

CONFIDENTIAL

		104			106
1	they give selected biographies of the investment	12:17:38	1	Q. All right. It's the lawyer thing, is	12:19:51
2	people.	12:17:41	2	he dead yet. I apologize. But we do get carried	12:19:53
3	Q. I want to go back to your earlier	12:17:42	3	away and thank you for pointing it out.	12:19:58
4	testimony where you said you're clueless with regard	12:17:44	4	All right. Let's go to the next	12:20:11
5	to what your hedge fund does. Is that a fair	12:17:48	5	page. Oh, they're not tabbed, I forgot. It's 13.	12:20:14
6	statement?	12:17:50	6	A. 13 was not my next page. 13 is	12:20:25
7	A. Well, no, I know he invests in small	12:17:52	7	Sterling Stamos people. Is that	12:20:28
8	cap value stocks.	12:17:54	8	Q. Yeah, Sterling Stamos people, 13.	12:20:30
9	Q. Um-hum.	12:17:58	9	A. Yeah, that's it. It wasn't the next	12:20:33
10	A. But I have no idea which stocks he's	12:17:59	10	page.	12:20:34
11	investing in. He also has the ability to go short.	12:18:02	11	Q. I'm using next in the sense of tab.	12:20:34
12	I have no idea on an ongoing basis how much he's	12:18:06	12	Apologize.	12:20:38
13	short and how much he's long. So I know the basic	12:18:10	13	And you see that it lists here the	12:20:41
14	overview, very similar to, say, Madoff investors	12:18:13	14	investment professionals, including Mr. Katz,	12:20:43
15	knew what he did, but they didn't know what the	12:18:17	15	Mr. Wilpon and David Katz? Do you see that?	12:20:47
16	secret sauce was. I know what Arnie Schneider does,	12:18:19	16	A. I see that.	12:20:50
17	but I don't know what his secret sauce is.	12:18:23	17	Q. All right. And then I'm going to go	12:20:51
18	Q. Well, then is it your testimony that	12:18:26	18	to the I don't know if I skipped a page or not?	12:20:52
19	you would know what he does but what's the secret	12:18:28	19	I might have. Hang on a second here.	12:20:56
20	sauce, by the way?	12:18:33	20	Q. Just for sake of completeness, and	12:21:07
21	A. In other words, how somebody can	12:18:33	21	I'm going to ask you some other questions about it,	12:21:09
22	say, for instance, that they are a split-strike	12:18:36	22	but on page 10, if you go back, I did skip a page.	12:21:11
23	manager or a small cap value manager. But then how	12:18:38	23	I'm directing your attention to origins of Sterling	12:21:14
24	they then manipulate that, what they do is up to the	12:18:43	24	Stamos. Do you see that?	12:21:18
25	manager how to go from there.	12:18:49	25	A. Yes, sir.	12:21:21
		105			107
1	Q. Would a hedge fund investor in	105 12:18:51	1	Q. It talks about the Katz, Wilpon and	107 12:21:22
1 2	Q. Would a hedge fund investor in your experience now, your own included understand		1 2	Q. It talks about the Katz, Wilpon and Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz	
		12:18:51			12:21:22
2	your experience now, your own included understand	12:18:51 12:18:53	2	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz	12:21:22 12:21:24
2 3	your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is?	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57	2 3	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26
2 3 4	your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not.	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57 12:19:02	2 3 4	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:29
2 3 4 5	your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57 12:19:02 12:19:04	2 3 4 5	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that?	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:29 12:21:33
2 3 4 5 6	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06	2 3 4 5 6	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33
2 3 4 5 6 7	your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07	2 3 4 5 6 7	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:17	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you?	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42 12:21:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. You said in my experience. I do know what a short sale is. 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:17 12:19:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42 12:21:46 12:21:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. You said in my experience. I do know what a short sale 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:17 12:19:20 12:19:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds,	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42 12:21:46 12:21:51 12:21:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. You said in my experience. I do know what a short sale is. 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:17 12:19:20 12:19:23 12:19:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42 12:21:46 12:21:51 12:21:54 12:21:57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. Q. Let me ask you that question: Are you aware of any studies in which an analysis has been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:17 12:19:20 12:19:23 12:19:25 12:19:28 12:19:28 12:19:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:42 12:21:42 12:21:51 12:21:54 12:21:57 12:21:59 12:22:00 12:22:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. Q. Let me ask you that question: Are you aware of any studies in which an analysis has been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors have with regard to their investments? 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:18:57 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:17 12:19:20 12:19:23 12:19:25 12:19:25 12:19:28 12:19:32 12:19:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, Mr. Fred Wilpon and David Katz have expertise with 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42 12:21:46 12:21:51 12:21:51 12:21:57 12:21:57 12:22:00 12:22:01 12:22:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. Q. Let me ask you that question: Are you aware of any studies in which an analysis has been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors have with regard to their investments? A. No. 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:17 12:19:20 12:19:23 12:19:25 12:19:25 12:19:28 12:19:32 12:19:36 12:19:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, Mr. Fred Wilpon and David Katz have expertise with regard to investing in hedge funds?	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:42 12:21:42 12:21:51 12:21:54 12:21:57 12:21:59 12:22:00 12:22:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. Q. Let me ask you that question: Are you aware of any studies in which an analysis has been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors have with regard to their investments? A. No. Q. Have you ever done such a study? 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:15 12:19:23 12:19:23 12:19:25 12:19:25 12:19:28 12:19:36 12:19:39 12:19:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, Mr. Fred Wilpon and David Katz have expertise with regard to investing in hedge funds? A. No. I don't think again, I don't 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:46 12:21:51 12:21:54 12:21:57 12:21:59 12:22:00 12:22:01 12:22:04 12:22:09 12:22:12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. Q. Let me ask you that question: Are you aware of any studies in which an analysis has been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors have with regard to their investments? A. No. Q. Have you ever done such a study? A. No. 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:23 12:19:23 12:19:25 12:19:25 12:19:28 12:19:32 12:19:39 12:19:39 12:19:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, Mr. Fred Wilpon and David Katz have expertise with regard to investing in hedge funds? A. No. I don't think again, I don't think making an investment connotes knowledge one 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:26 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:42 12:21:46 12:21:51 12:21:54 12:21:57 12:21:59 12:22:00 12:22:01 12:22:04 12:22:09 12:22:12 12:22:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. Q. Let me ask you that question: Are you aware of any studies in which an analysis has been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors have with regard to their investments? A. No. Q. Have you ever done such a study? A. No. Q. Have you ever consulted anything 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:12 12:19:12 12:19:13 12:19:23 12:19:25 12:19:25 12:19:28 12:19:28 12:19:30 12:19:39 12:19:39 12:19:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, Mr. Fred Wilpon and David Katz have expertise with regard to investing in hedge funds? A. No. I don't think again, I don't think making an investment connotes knowledge one way or another. In other words, private equity, you 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42 12:21:42 12:21:51 12:21:57 12:21:57 12:22:00 12:22:01 12:22:01 12:22:09 12:22:12 12:22:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. Q. Let me ask you that question: Are you aware of any studies in which an analysis has been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors have with regard to their investments? A. No. Q. Have you ever done such a study? A. No. Q. Have you ever consulted anything like a study like that? 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:15 12:19:15 12:19:20 12:19:23 12:19:23 12:19:25 12:19:28 12:19:28 12:19:30 12:19:39 12:19:41 12:19:41 12:19:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, Mr. Fred Wilpon and David Katz have expertise with regard to investing in hedge funds? A. No. I don't think again, I don't think making an investment connotes knowledge one way or another. In other words, private equity, you might think somebody has expertise in investing in 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42 12:21:42 12:21:51 12:21:57 12:22:00 12:22:01 12:22:01 12:22:04 12:22:09 12:22:12 12:22:18 12:22:18 12:22:22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 your experience now, your own included understand what a short sale is? A. Well, they might or they might not. In other words, I Q. Well, in your experience would it more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know what a short sale is? A. I would think they might. I just don't I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a survey, I've never queried people about that, so I don't know. I do know what a short sale is. Q. Let me ask you that question: Are you aware of any studies in which an analysis has been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors have with regard to their investments? A. No. Q. Have you ever done such a study? A. No. Q. Have you ever consulted anything 	12:18:51 12:18:53 12:19:02 12:19:04 12:19:04 12:19:06 12:19:07 12:19:10 12:19:12 12:19:12 12:19:12 12:19:13 12:19:23 12:19:25 12:19:25 12:19:28 12:19:28 12:19:30 12:19:39 12:19:39 12:19:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Stamos families jointly I'm reading now. "Katz Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge funds, public equities and private equities." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you were to read those two pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz listed as investment professionals, based on your experience, what would that mean to you? A. That they invest this is what Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, public equities and private equities. I mean, it's just the words. Q. Would it suggest to you that Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, Mr. Fred Wilpon and David Katz have expertise with regard to investing in hedge funds? A. No. I don't think again, I don't think making an investment connotes knowledge one way or another. In other words, private equity, you 	12:21:22 12:21:24 12:21:29 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:33 12:21:34 12:21:35 12:21:38 12:21:42 12:21:42 12:21:51 12:21:57 12:21:57 12:22:00 12:22:01 12:22:01 12:22:09 12:22:12 12:22:15

29 (Pages 104 to 107)

CONFIDENTIAL

		108			110
1	know, you might have to have more expertise there	12:22:34	1	A. Yes, sir.	01:13:16
2	because it's more of a hands-on thing. But hedge	12:22:39	2	Q. Okay. Prior to today, had you seen	01:13:17
3	fund is just looking at somebody's records, at its	12:22:42	3	this document?	01:13:19
4	very basic level, listening to a description of how	12:22:45	4	A. No, sir.	01:13:20
5	they invest their money, and then saying, I'll go	12:22:48	5	Q. All right. I'm only going to ask a	01:13:21
6	with it. Because by their nature hedge funds are	12:22:50	6	couple of questions about it, but if you could	01:13:22
7	opaque. That's one of the drawbacks of investing in	12:22:53	7	turn is this tabbed? It is.	01:13:27
8	hedge funds. They don't give you much information	12:22:58	8	A. Yes, it is.	01:13:29
9	about what's going on, they don't give you	12:23:01	9	Q. Good. So if you could go to the	01:13:29
10	statements or confirmations about what's happening.	12:23:03	10	first tab, and I'm directing your attention to C.	01:13:32
11	Q. Okay.	12:23:07	11	Do you see that?	01:13:51
12	I'm going to start a new line; I want	12:23:08	12	A. Yes, sir.	01:13:52
13	to break for lunch here, okay? Okay, good. Thanks.	12:23:11	13	Q. And it identifies the employer as	01:13:53
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	12:23:14	14	Sterling Equities Associates?	01:13:55
15	record, the time is 12:23.	12:23:16	15	A. Yes.	01:13:57
16	(Luncheon recess taken.)	12:23:20	16	Q. And then E identifies the employer as	01:13:58
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	01:11:10	17	the plan administrator; do you see that?	01:14:01
18	record. The time is 1:11.	01:11:15	18	A. Yes.	01:14:06
19	BY MR. SHEEHEN:	01:11:19	19	Q. If you turn the page, just one last	01:14:06
20	Q. Just a couple of other questions	01:11:21	20	item, you'll see that G says the trustees are Arthur	01:14:09
21	before I get into some more documents, Mr. Maine.	01:11:22	21	Friedman and Michael Katz. Do you see that?	01:14:13
22	A. Okay.	01:11:25	22	A. Yes, sir.	01:14:15
23	Q. One of them is this, is that what	01:11:25	23	Q. Do you have any understanding who	01:14:16
24	is your understanding, if any, of the relationship	01:11:28	24	Arthur Friedman is?	01:14:18
25	of Sterling partners to Sterling Stamos?	01:11:31	25	A. Yes, sir.	01:14:20
		109			111
1	A. I really don't I've never looked	01:11:38	1	Q. And what is your understanding?	01:14:21
2	at the structure. I have no understanding.	01:11:40	2	A. Mr. Friedman, I believe, is a partner	01:14:24
3	Q. If I were to suggest to you	01:11:43	3	of Sterling, and that's my understanding.	01:14:27
4	something, and just exploring this, that Sterling	01:11:46	4	Q. What about Mr. Michael Katz; do you	01:14:35
5	partners are in fact general partners of Sterling	01:11:51	5	have an understanding of who he is?	01:14:37
6	Stamos, would that mean anything to you?	01:11:53	6	A. Same understanding.	01:14:38
7	A. No. Again, I don't have any I	01:11:55	7	Q. When you say Sterling, do you mean	01:14:39
8	couldn't say yes or no.	01:11:59	8	Sterling Equities?	01:14:41
9	Q. Okay. Do you have any understanding	01:11:59	9	A. Again, I never really delved into the	01:14:42
10	of any compensation that the Sterling partners may	01:12:02	10	corporate structure because it wasn't of particular	01:14:45
11	derive from their being general partners in Sterling	01:12:06	11	interest to me.	01:14:47
12	Stamos?	01:12:11	12	Q. So your understanding, it could be	01:14:48
13	A. No, I don't.	01:12:12	13	either one, Sterling Stamos or Sterling Equities?	01:14:49
14	MR. SHEEHAN: All right.	01:12:12	14	A. Yes, sir.	01:14:52
15	Let's go to this.	01:12:20	15	Q. Okay, thanks.	01:14:54
16	MS. ZUBERI: Trustee Exhibit 95.	01:12:20	16	Let's go to the next one. It's been	01:15:01
17	MR. SHEEHAN: 95.	01:12:20	17	marked. 34.	01:15:04
18	A. Thank you.	01:12:47	18	MS. ZUBERI: Trustee 34.	01:15:05
19	Q. Mr. Maine, the reporter has handed	01:12:53	19	Q. Mr. Maine, you've now been handed	01:15:25
20	you an exhibit previously marked as Trustee 95, and	01:12:56	20	Trustee Exhibit 34 that's been previously marked.	01:15:28
21	for purposes of identification only, I'll read the	01:12:59	21	The first two pages were attached to this exhibit	01:15:32
22	face page which says, "Sterling Equities Associates,	01:13:03	22	when it was produced, but we're not interested in	01:15:35
23	Sterling Equities Associates Employees Retirement	01:13:08	23	that today, so you can disregard that. What I would	01:15:37
24	Plan, Summary Plan Description," and it's dated	01:13:11	24	ask you to take a brief look at is the pages that	01:15:40
25	January 1, 2003. Do you see that?	01:13:14	25	follow, up through and including, I'll give you the	01:15:45

30 (Pages 108 to 111)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

		112			114
1	Bates number well, it's the next-to-last page.	01:15:49	1	Sterling Equities partners are suggesting in this	01:19:11
2	If you would look at that, I think the last page is	01:15:52	2	write-up that we see here that they've had many	01:19:17
3	actually sort of blank. It's not really blank,	01:15:55	3	years of success with Mr. Madoff be viewed, from	01:19:20
4	it's	01:15:59	4	your professional experience, as an endorsement of	01:19:23
5	A. No, I mean I'm sorry. I was	01:15:59	5	that investment over any other investment?	01:19:26
6	starting to read. You want me to read everything	01:16:00	6	A. I think they're just giving	01:19:30
7	Q. No, no. I don't just want you to	01:16:05	7	background. I don't know whether because they	01:19:32
8	read it. I just want you to get familiar enough	01:16:07	8	give the records of the others and since Madoff	01:19:36
9	with it that if I start asking you questions, you'll	01:16:08	9	doesn't have a published record, they're giving	01:19:39
10	feel comfortable.	01:16:11	10	their experience with it as, I would think and,	01:19:42
11	(Witness examining document.)	01:16:59	11	again, I don't know, but I think that would be for	01:19:45
12	A. Okay, I've	01:17:18	12	employees to judge some of the other records of the	01:19:48
13	Q. Prior to today, did you have any	01:17:22	13	other choices within the plan.	01:19:51
14	understanding that Sterling Equities had a 401(k)	01:17:24	14	Q. If the facts were that the vast	01:19:53
15	plan?	01:17:26	15	majority of investors did indeed invest in the	01:19:56
16	A. Yes.	01:17:27	16	Madoff option, would that in any way affect the	01:20:00
17	Q. Okay. What was your understanding	01:17:27	17	opinion you just expressed?	01:20:03
18	with regard to that plan?	01:17:29	18	A. No.	01:20:10
19	A. Just that they had a plan, and it was	01:17:32	19	Q. I've got to go back to the beginning,	01:20:18
20	trusteed by the two gentlemen that we looked at a	01:17:35	20	didn't ask some foundation questions here. I	01:20:19
21	second ago, Mr. Friedman and Mr. Michael Katz.	01:17:39	21	thought I did ask, but I guess I didn't.	01:20:21
22	Q. Did you have any understanding of the	01:17:42	22	Prior to today had you ever seen	01:20:24
23	nature of the investments that were offered to plan	01:17:44	23	Exhibit Trustee 34?	01:20:26
24	participants?	01:17:46	24	A. No, sir.	01:20:28
25	A. Only that I think at some point an	01:17:47	25	Q. Okay. So therefore it wasn't part of	01:20:31
		113			115
1	investment in Madoff became part of the investment	01:17:48	1	your it wasn't anything that you considered in	01:20:33
2	mix.	01:17:50	2	rendering your opinion in your two reports?	01:20:35
3	Q. Okay. Does the fact that Madoff	01:17:52	3	A. No, sir.	01:20:38
4	became part of the investment mix in any way affect	01:17:56	4	Q. Okay.	01:20:39
5	your testimony as to whether or not Mr. Katz,	01:17:58	5	Mr. Maine, are you familiar with the	01:20:51
6	Mr. Wilpon or any of the defendants were acting in	01:18:03	6	term "hell sheets"?	01:20:53
7	an institutional capacity?	01:18:05	7	A. Yes.	01:20:54
8	A. No, sir.	01:18:08	8	Q. What does that term mean to you?	01:20:55
9	Q. What do you understand and I'm not	01:18:09	9	A. There was a woman in somewhere in	01:20:59
10	asking you a legal question here, all right but	01:18:14	10	the Madoff structure in the Sterling structure	01:21:02
11	what do you understand the obligation of the	01:18:16	11	whose first name, I believe, was Helene or something	01:21:05
12	trustees to be in offering various investment	01:18:21	12	such as that, and it's my understanding that she,	01:21:08
13	vehicles to employees?	01:18:24	13	for a period of time, I think she's now retired, she	01:21:13
14	A. That's not really an area of my	01:18:27	14	for a period of time put together sheets which would	01:21:17
15	expertise.	01:18:29	15	show, I believe the monthly performance. I haven't	01:21:20
16	Q. Okay, fine. And this may be beyond	01:18:30	16	seen these, but from reading deposition testimony,	01:21:23
17	it too, but if it falls within it you'll tell me.	01:18:39	17	would show the monthly performance of select Madoff	01:21:26
18	Is it does the fact that Sterling Equities	01:18:45	18	accounts. I think they took a large account and a	01:21:30
19	partners had investments in Madoff in any way, and	01:18:47	19	smaller account, and they were known as the hell	01:21:33
20	from your point of view, in your experience, seem	01:18:53	20	sheets. And then I think they were picked up by	01:21:37
21	out of the ordinary that it would be offered as part	01:18:56	21	somebody else within the organization.	01:21:40
22	of the 401(k) plan?	01:18:59	22	MS. ZUBERI: Exhibit 259.	01:21:49
23	A. I don't really have any basis to say	01:19:03	23	(Exhibit Trustee 259, Sterling	01:22:03
24	anything one way or another.	01:19:05	24	Equities Investments 12/31/07, Bates SE_T579076,	01:22:03
25	Q. Okay. Would the fact that the	01:19:08	25	marked for identification.)	01:22:16

31 (Pages 112 to 115)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

		116			118
1	MR. WISE: My goodness.	01:22:16	1	MS. ZUBERI: Right.	01:24:14
2	MR. SHEEHEN: It's a test.	01:22:18	2	MR. WISE: Was this printed from a,	01:24:15
3	MR. WISE: Well, I flunked.	01:22:20	3	what do you call it, native file, spreadsheet?	01:24:17
4	THE WITNESS: You've got it upside	01:22:22	4	MS. ZUBERI: Yes.	01:24:20
5	down.	01:22:24	5	MR. WISE: I see. And were the	01:24:21
6	Q. Mr. Maine, while you're doing this,	01:22:29	6	yellow stripes on is that something that you all	01:24:22
7	let me just ask, for the record, again not	01:22:31	7	put on there?	01:24:25
8	testifying, just identifying, I've handed you	01:22:35	8	MS. ZUBERI: I don't know.	01:24:26
9	Exhibit 259. Do you see that?	01:22:40	9	MR. WISE: You don't know where those	01:24:27
10	A. Yes, sir.	01:22:42	10	came from?	01:24:29
11	Q. This is, as I understand it, is a	01:22:43	11	MS. ZUBERI: No.	01:24:30
12	document that constitutes one of the hell sheets	01:22:46	12	MR. WISE: Okay. I just wanted to	01:24:31
13	that was prepared for Sterling Equities investments?	01:22:49	13	clarify what we were looking at. So this is a	01:24:33
14	A. I guess.	01:22:55	14	printout from a native file prepared two days ago,	01:24:35
15	Q. Okay. Again, I'm not testifying.	01:22:56	15	and you can't tell us whether the highlighting that	01:24:38
16	A. Oh, okay.	01:22:56	16	appears on the document was put there by somebody	01:24:41
17	Q. It's my understanding that that's	01:22:59	17	from Baker Hostetler or whether that was in the	01:24:43
18	what this is and I'm representing to you for	01:23:00	18	native file?	01:24:46
19	purposes of your testimony here that you consider it	01:23:03	19	MS. ZUBERI: Yeah.	01:24:47
20	as such.	01:23:05	20	MR. WISE: Okay. No problem.	01:24:49
21	A. Okay.	01:23:06	21	MR. SHEEHEN: Just to complete that,	01:24:58
22	Q. So, my first question would be, have	01:23:06	22	though, at least as I understand looking at the	01:25:00
23	you ever seen it prior to today?	01:23:08	23	document, I certainly didn't ask for the yellow	01:25:02
24	A. I'm not certain because I may have	01:23:11	24	markings, is that this was a Sterling Equities	01:25:04
25	seen it looks vaguely familiar. I certainly	01:23:14	25	document that was produced to us.	01:25:06
		117			119
1	never studied it or never analyzed it, but whether	01:23:19	1	MR. WISE: I think we produced the	119 01:25:07
1 2	never studied it or never analyzed it, but whether it's part of something that your experts produced or		1 2	MR. WISE: I think we produced the native file.	
	•	01:23:19		-	01:25:07
2	it's part of something that your experts produced or	01:23:19 01:23:23	2	native file.	01:25:07 01:25:09
2 3	it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26	2 3	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly.	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10
2 3 4	it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember.	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31	2 3 4	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10 01:25:11
2 3 4 5	it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34	2 3 4 5	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10 01:25:11 01:25:12
2 3 4 5 6	it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway.	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:36	2 3 4 5 6	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10 01:25:11 01:25:12 01:25:13
2 3 4 5 6 7	it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:36 01:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document.	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10 01:25:11 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:36 01:23:38 01:23:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know.	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:11 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:36 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay.	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:11 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:16 01:25:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:36 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN:	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:36 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN:	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:26 01:25:26 01:25:35
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:49 01:23:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir.	01:25:07 01:25:09 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:26 01:25:35 01:25:35
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:49 01:23:52 01:23:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it?	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:11 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:35 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:38 01:25:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a little bit. The typing is very small. It appears 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:52 01:23:52 01:23:53 01:23:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it? A. Well, that woman Helene did and then	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:11 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:35 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:38 01:25:39 01:25:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a little bit. The typing is very small. It appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page, I 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:59 01:23:59 01:23:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it? A. Well, that woman Helene did and then I think it probably would have and this is not a	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:39 01:25:42 01:25:42 01:25:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a little bit. The typing is very small. It appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page, I see a date of 1/3/2012, which would be the day 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:52 01:23:53 01:23:59 01:23:59 01:24:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it? A. Well, that woman Helene did and then I think it probably would have and this is not a guess but my assumption, but not certainty, is that	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:35 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:38 01:25:39 01:25:42 01:25:44 01:25:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a little bit. The typing is very small. It appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page, I see a date of 1/3/2012, which would be the day before yesterday. Is that right? 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:52 01:23:53 01:23:59 01:23:59 01:24:01 01:24:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it? A. Well, that woman Helene did and then I think it probably would have and this is not a guess but my assumption, but not certainty, is that somebody under Mr. Friedman prepared it and then I	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:16 01:25:26 01:25:25 01:25:35 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:38 01:25:39 01:25:42 01:25:44 01:25:49 01:25:53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a little bit. The typing is very small. It appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page, I see a date of 1/3/2012, which would be the day before yesterday. Is that right? MS. ZUBERI: The print date. 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:49 01:23:52 01:23:53 01:23:59 01:23:59 01:24:01 01:24:06 01:24:09	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it? A. Well, that woman Helene did and then I think it probably would have and this is not a guess but my assumption, but not certainty, is that somebody under Mr. Friedman prepared it and then I think that probably was Ms. Rongione, but I'm not	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:16 01:25:26 01:25:25 01:25:35 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:37 01:25:38 01:25:39 01:25:44 01:25:49 01:25:53 01:25:57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a little bit. The typing is very small. It appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page, I see a date of 1/3/2012, which would be the day before yesterday. Is that right? MS. ZUBERI: The print date. MR. WISE: I'm sorry, what? 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:52 01:23:52 01:23:59 01:23:59 01:24:01 01:24:09 01:24:09	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it? A. Well, that woman Helene did and then I think it probably would have and this is not a guess but my assumption, but not certainty, is that somebody under Mr. Friedman prepared it and then I think that probably was Ms. Rongione, but I'm not certain, toward the end.	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:26 01:25:35 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:37 01:25:49 01:25:49 01:25:57 01:25:57 01:26:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a little bit. The typing is very small. It appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page, I see a date of 1/3/2012, which would be the day before yesterday. Is that right? MS. ZUBERI: The print date. MR. WISE: I'm sorry, what? MS. ZUBERI: Printed. 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:52 01:23:53 01:23:59 01:23:59 01:23:59 01:24:01 01:24:09 01:24:09 01:24:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it? A. Well, that woman Helene did and then I think it probably would have and this is not a guess but my assumption, but not certainty, is that somebody under Mr. Friedman prepared it and then I think that probably was Ms. Rongione, but I'm not certain, toward the end. Q. Do you know what was done with this	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:25 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:38 01:25:39 01:25:42 01:25:44 01:25:44 01:25:49 01:25:57 01:26:01 01:26:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it's part of something that your experts produced or excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I just I may have seen it, but I don't remember. Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm going to ask you anyway. At the time that you may have seen it, do you recall having any discussions with anybody about it? A. I would recall that I have not had any discussions. Q. All right, fine. MR. WISE: Could I ask this MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. MR. WISE: As a point of information, Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a little bit. The typing is very small. It appears in the upper right-hand corner of the first page, I see a date of 1/3/2012, which would be the day before yesterday. Is that right? MS. ZUBERI: The print date. MR. WISE: I'm sorry, what? 	01:23:19 01:23:23 01:23:26 01:23:31 01:23:34 01:23:38 01:23:39 01:23:40 01:23:40 01:23:41 01:23:43 01:23:44 01:23:47 01:23:52 01:23:52 01:23:59 01:23:59 01:24:01 01:24:09 01:24:09	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	native file. MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. MR. WISE: And then of course what you do with it MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever document. MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: The more I look at this, the less familiar it becomes. BY MR. SHEEHEN: Q. Do you know how often this was prepared? A. No, sir. Q. Do you know who prepared it? A. Well, that woman Helene did and then I think it probably would have and this is not a guess but my assumption, but not certainty, is that somebody under Mr. Friedman prepared it and then I think that probably was Ms. Rongione, but I'm not certain, toward the end.	01:25:07 01:25:10 01:25:12 01:25:13 01:25:13 01:25:16 01:25:16 01:25:25 01:25:26 01:25:35 01:25:35 01:25:37 01:25:37 01:25:49 01:25:49 01:25:57 01:25:57 01:26:01

32 (Pages 116 to 119)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

		120			122
1	Q. Do you know whether it was ever	01:26:06	1	Q. No. My first question will be, have	01:29:36
2	circulated to the Sterling Equities partners?	01:26:08	2	you ever seen it before today?	01:29:38
3	A. That would be my assumption. I don't	01:26:11	3	A. No, sir, I have not.	01:29:40
4	know for sure. That would be my assumption.	01:26:13	4	Q. All right. And did you consider	01:29:41
5	Q. Do you know the purpose for which	01:26:15	5	you know, this is one of those lawyer questions, but	01:29:45
6	this document was prepared?	01:26:17	6	did you consider it in connection with rendering	01:29:46
7	A. I don't know.	01:26:21	7	your report in this case?	01:29:48
8	Q. Okay. I think we're done with that	01:26:37	8	A. No, sir.	01:29:49
9	document.	01:26:41	9	Q. All right, fine.	01:29:52
10	I'm eliminating stuff.	01:27:09	10	I'm going to direct your attention,	01:29:53
11	I've got one last document here.	01:27:29	11	if I may, to page 3, and under Roman Numeral II	01:29:55
12	(Comments off the record.)	01:27:46	12	where it says, "Exclusion of sophisticated	01:30:03
13	MS. ZUBERI: Exhibit 260.	01:27:54	13	investors." Do you see that?	01:30:07
14	(Exhibit Trustee 260, Documents Bates	01:28:04	14	A. Yes.	01:30:08
15	SSKW00012772-893, marked for identification.)	01:28:04	15	Q. All right. And I'm going to direct	01:30:09
16	MR. SHEEHAN: This is a compilation	01:28:12	16	your attention to the last sentence of the first	01:30:11
17	of a number of documents, only one of which I want	01:28:13	17	paragraph under Roman II, and I'm going to read it	01:30:15
18	to ask you a couple of questions about. It's a	01:28:16	18	into the record and ask you a question. It reads:	01:30:18
19	document as a matter of fact, it's got the	01:28:23	19	"In line with the Commission's stated	01:30:20
20	letterhead of the law firm of Davis Polk & Wardell	01:28:26	20	objective of monitoring the retailization of hedge	01:30:22
21	on it.	01:28:30	21	fund investors, we believe that the Commission	01:30:26
22	MR. WISE: Wardwell.	01:28:31	22	should exclude from the proposed rule hedge fund	01:30:30
23	MS. ZUBERI: The number is on the	01:28:34	23	advisers that provide advice primarily to wealthy,	01:30:33
24	bottom.	01:28:35	24	sophisticated investors that are well positioned to	01:30:38
25	MR. SHEEHEN: Wardwell. How many	01:28:32	25	safeguard their own interests."	01:30:41
		121			123
1	people make that mistake?	01:28:37	1	My question simply is: Do you agree	
2	MR. WISE: You'd be surprised.	01:28:37	2	with that statement?	01:30:43
3	MR. WISE. Tou d be surprised. MR. SHEEHEN: I apologize to	01:28:38	3	A. I don't even know what it means.	01:30:45
4	Mr. Wardwell.	01:28:40	4	Q. It was clearly written by a lawyer.	01:30:46 01:30:49
- 5	MR. WISE: He's a very nice		5	That's the problem. With all due respect to my	
6	•	01:28:44 01:28:46	6	colleagues across the table here.	01:30:52
7	gentleman. THE WITNESS: Can you give me a clue		7	-	01:30:54
8		01:28:52	8	A. And I'm not trying to be obtuse.Q. No, no. Why don't you reread it and	01:30:56
9	as to MR. WISE: It's three documents in.	01:28:54 01:28:54	9	if you don't understand, that's your answer, but if	01:30:58
10			10		01:31:00
11	(Multiple speakers.) MR. WISE: Take the clip off. Now go	01:28:54 01:28:58	11	you could reread it, rather than have me read it out loud to you, might be better.	01:31:02 01:31:05
12	by the staples, it's about three documents in.	01:28:58	12	A. Okay. I think I understand it.	01:31:05
13	(Comments off the record.)		13	Q. You understand now? Maybe it would	
14	MR. SHEEHAN: This is 250 what	01:29:02 01:29:14	14	be good if you told me what your understanding of it	01:31:26 01:31:28
14	260. Well, can we you guys all right with making	01:29:14	14	is before you tell me whether you agree with it or	01:31:28
16	this 260A so that we can talk about it as a separate	01:29:17	16	not.	01:31:31
17	document? Is that all right?	01:29:21	17	A. Yes. I may understand but it may not	01:31:34
18	MR. WISE: Sure.	01:29:24	18	be the correct	01:31:34
10	BY MR. SHEEHAN:	01:29:25	10	In the last ten years or so, in	01:31:38
20	Q. Okay. So we're going to call this	01:29:26	20	response to demand, the securities industry has	01:31:39
20	260A, Mr. Maine.	01:29:28	20	developed hedge fund type products that are	01:31:44
22	A. Okay.	01:29:20	22	available to a broader array of investors than	01:31:52
22	Q. And my first question to you is	01:29:30	23	traditionally, where they were only for qualified	01:31:57
24	well, have you had a chance to look at it?	01:29:30	24	investors or whatever it might be, Reg D investors.	01:32:03
24	A. Do you want me to read it?	01:29:32	24	So, in line with that, in an effort	
20	A. Do you want me to read it?	01.29:35	20	50, in mie with that, in all choit	01:32:12

33 (Pages 120 to 123)

CONFIDENTIAL

	-			-	
		124			126
1	to try and monitor that because some of these folks	01:32:15	1	A. Yes, sir. And it's also based on my	01:34:38
2	might be unsuitable, and the people selling them the	01:32:18	2	interpretation of this, which I may be incorrect,	01:34:43
3	product might not be properly schooled, the SEC	01:32:21	3	but that's	01:34:48
4	encouraged the brokerage firms or the SROs, they	01:32:25	4	Q. Okay.	01:34:50
5	encouraged FINRA to put out a series of rules and	01:32:29	5	Ajust my best horseback guess, so	01:34:51
6	regulations and guidelines for those firms who would	01:32:35	6	to speak.	01:34:54
7	be selling more of a retail product. They had to	01:32:38	7	Q. Let me turn your attention to the	01:34:55
8	perform a basic suitability that this product would	01:32:43	8	next page. It's the second full paragraph. Again,	01:34:57
9	be suitable for anybody. Then you had to	01:32:46	9	for sake of the record, I'll read it in but you take	01:35:00
10	do whatever was suitable for that specific investor,	01:32:49	10	your time and read it yourself. It reads:	01:35:03
11	and whether the broker properly you had to have,	01:32:53	11	"We note that sophisticated investors	01:35:08
12	the firm had to have a reasonable basis that the	01:32:57	12	frequently undertake an extensive due diligence	01:35:10
13	broker selling the product understood the product	01:32:59	13	process prior to investing with a hedge fund	01:35:13
14	that he or she was selling.	01:33:02	14	adviser. This due diligence tends to address many	01:35:17
15	So I think that's what it's talking	01:33:04	15	of the concerns identified by the Commission, such	01:35:19
16	about when it says this word in quotes,	01:33:05	16	as valuation of assets and disclosures of conflict	01:35:22
17	"retailization" of hedge fund investors. And then I	01:33:08	17	of interest."	01:35:26
18	guess what the law firm is saying, that the	01:33:12	18	My question again is, do you agree	01:35:28
19	Commission should exclude from any of these rules	01:33:14	19	with that statement?	01:35:29
20	advisers that provide advice primarily to wealthy,	01:33:20	20	A. They may or they may I don't know.	01:35:37
21	sophisticated investors.	01:33:26	21	They may have done some study when they used the	01:35:39
22	I think what they're doing here is	01:33:27	22	word "frequently."	01:35:42
23	trying to shear out from this group not as much the	01:33:29	23	Q. Right.	01:35:43
24	investors but the firms, the boutique firms that	01:33:34	24	A. I don't know what statistically	01:35:43
25	only cater to a certain group of investors in	01:33:38	25	frequently would be. Some may or some may not.	01:35:44
		125			127
1	selling hedge funds.	01:33:43	1	Q. Right.	01:35:48
2	Q. And that group would be?	01:33:44	2	A. I just I don't have any basis to	01:35:49
3	A. Well, I don't know. It says	01:33:47	3	agree or disagree.	01:35:52
4	Q. Well, it says wealthy, sophisticated	01:33:49	4	Q. Okay.	01:35:53
5	investors.	01:33:52	5	MR. SHEEHEN: I think I'm done. I	01:35:57
6	A. Yeah, primarily. No, but I'm	01:33:53	6	just need to consult with my colleagues.	01:35:59
7	terribly sorry, I interrupted you.	01:33:54	7	MR. WISE: Sure.	01:36:01
8	Q. No, no. Is that your understanding?	01:33:57	8	MR. SHEEHAN: All right? Thanks.	01:36:01
9	I'm not trying to	01:34:00	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	01:36:01
10	A. I think what they were trying to do	01:34:01	10	record, the time is 1:35.	01:36:02
11	was exclude advisers that provide.	01:34:02	11	(Recess taken.)	01:36:06
12	Q. Services to who?	01:34:07	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	01:41:38
13	A. To wealthy investors. In other	01:34:08	13	record. The time is 1:41.	01:41:39
14	words, saying that they would not have to have the	01:34:11	14	MR. SHEEHEN: Thank you, Mr. Maine,	01:41:42
15	same group of guidelines since it was assumed that	01:34:13	15	we're done today.	01:41:44
16	since that's what they did, they were already going	01:34:19	16	THE WITNESS: Pleasure.	01:41:44
17	through those steps. This was for the new entrants	01:34:23	17	MR. WISE: Thank you.	01:41:46
18	into the field as opposed to the people who had been	01:34:26	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	01:41:46
19	doing it forever.	01:34:28	19	record, the time is 1:41.	01:41:46
20	Q. And is your testimony based on the	01:34:30	20	(Deposition concluded.)	01:41:50
21	history that you just related to us in your	01:34:31	21	-000-	
22	testimony a moment ago?	01:34:34	22		
23	A. Yes.	01:34:35	23		
24	O Of sub-state have the industry have	01.24.25	24		
24	Q. Of what how the industry has	01:34:35	21		
24 25	evolved?	01:34:35	25		

34 (Pages 124 to 127)

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

		128			130
1	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE		1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	
2			2		
2	WITNESS NAME: JOHN D. MAINE		3	I, NANCY C. BENDISH, a Certified Court	
3	PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON		4	Reporter and Notary Public of the States of New York	
4	PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON		5	and New Jersey, do hereby certify that prior to the commencement of the aforementioned examination, JOHN	
5			7	D. MAINE, was sworn by me to testify the truth, the	
6			8	whole truth and nothing but the truth.	
7			9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the	
8			10	foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the	
9			11	testimony as taken stenographically by and before me	
10			12	at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set	
11			13	forth.	
12			14	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither	
13			15	a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of	
14			16	any party in this action and that I am neither a	
15			17	relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel,	
16			18	and that I am not financially interested in the	
17			19	event nor outcome of this action.	
18			20		
19			21		
20					
21			22	Notary Public of the State of New York	
22			23		
23			24		
24				Dated: January 6, 2012	
25			25		
		129			
1	LIQUND MAINE have mad the formation				
1 2	I, JOHN D. MAINE, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same				
3	is true and correct, except as noted above.				
4	is the and correct, except as noted above.				
5					
	JOHN D. MAINE				
6					
7					
8	THE STATE OF				
9	COUNTY OF				
10					
11	Before me,, on this day				
12	personally appeared JOHN D. MAINE, known to me (or				
13	proved to me on the oath of or through				
14	(description of identity card or other document) to				
15	be the person whose name is subscribed to the				
16	foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that				
17	he/she executed the same for the purpose and consideration therein expressed.				
18 19	Given under my hand and seal of office on this				
20	day of,				
20	uay of,				
22					
	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR				
23	THE STATE OF				
24					

BENDISH REPORTING, INC. 877.404.2193 35 (Pages 128 to 130)

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

1

	l
A	admini
	110:1
ability 104:11	admon
able 19:22 51:7 57:4	
92:11	advant
absolutely 15:25	100:2
60:14	adversa
acceptable 10:13	adverti
access 92:6 96:10	adverti
	85:9
accompli 17:12	advice
account 3:15 17:16	85:15
17:16,24,25 18:3	124:2
18:22 19:7,16,18	
20:17,19,19,22	adviser
21:12,13,22 22:5	53:18
23:9 25:1 46:17	66:5,
55:12,12,12,14	68:1
58:14 59:15,15	126:1
60:24,25 61:8,13	adviser
	82:20
61:21,22 62:3,4,6	124:2
62:11,17,18,23	advisor
63:3,4,13,16,17,21	
64:14,15,21,23	advs 53
65:7 66:4,4,14,20	affect 6
66:21,24 68:3,17	114:1
69:24 71:6 115:18	affiliate
115:19	affix 12
accounts 9:7,17	aforem
19:13 21:23 23:7	130:6
	agency
23:22 24:12,13,18	aggrega
25:4,12 31:23,25	aggress
52:3,3,5 61:11	ago 45:
64:15 67:10,15	ago 43. 98:16
71:5,6,7,8,11,12,19	
74:15,18,23,25	118:1
75:9,16 76:1,6	agree 2
115:18	101:5
accumulated 9:16	126:1
accurate 57:19 96:21	agreeal
130:10	agreem
achieve 17:10	42:10
	agreem
acknowledged	ahead 2
129:16	al 1:9 4
act 35:24 66:7	allegati
acted 34:6 51:25	
acting 36:22 51:21	allows :
113:6	alter 79
action 130:16,19	alterna
actively 88:22,23	92:23
activities 35:25	amende
activity 18:22	america
actual 43:3	americ
add 76:7	amoun
	35:6
addition 35:22 79:16	analysi
additional 32:7	67:15
56:10	68:16
address 126:14	00.10
	1

strator 7 ition 77:18 ages 99:24,25 5 **ary** 34:14 sed 25:2,5 sing 82:25 23:23 31:22 122:23 0 39:7 52:9,20 ,25 54:4,8 15,18,21,25 85:1,5,12 4 s 52:17 66:7 83:4 122:23 0 125:11 **y** 39:6 :2 50:2 113:4 6 ed 2:10 9:2 entioned 66:8 ated 76:6 sive 21:1 20 79:13 5 112:21 4 125:22 5:21,22 62:19 .8 123:1,15 8 127:3 **ble** 86:11 ent 39:5 ents 38:20 28:7 117:14 :8 ions 74:5 53:11 9:24 tive 92:12,17 ed 54:19 **a** 10:24 an 107:25 t 23:18 35:4,4 36:6 53:22 s 67:5.6.11.14 6,20 68:2,12 5 105:16

analyst 12:17.20 analysts 12:14 analyticals 12:2 analytics 10:23 12:7 12:11 13:8 19:21 20:2,4,8,14 21:4 analyze 21:24 analyzed 22:23 27:11 117:1 anecdotally 27:17,20 angeles 11:5 annualized 20:23 answer 15:21 24:19 45:18 47:12 62:25 89:12 123:9 answered 23:4,5 anxious 35:19 anybody 6:17 43:24 48:15 53:5 117:9 124:9 anyplace 11:18 **anyway** 41:13 101:20 117:6 **apologize** 28:9 43:2 93:5 99:7,11 106:2 106:12 121:3 apparently 81:16 **appear** 47:22 appearances 39:1 appeared 39:16 40:16 41:5 47:23 71:13 129:12 appears 44:18 65:5 77:8 87:14 91:9,12 98:23 101:24 117:17 118:16 applicable 64:22 applied 17:6 appreciate 77:14,24 93:23 approach 12:24 approximately 4:4 30:23 44:24 arbitration 38:20,25 39:4,14 40:3,4 arbitrations 37:5 38:21 39:17 40:5,8 arbitrator 34:6 area 36:5 42:13 57:13 113:14 areas 32:6 80:15 **arnie** 104:16 array 123:22 arthur 110:20.24 articulate 71:2 aside 83:12

asked 23:4 34:17 37:17 47:9 70:13 91:19 asking 5:25 6:9,24 22:24 34:16 42:14 43:18 47:11 48:19 112:9 113:10 assess 67:24 68:13 assessing 87:25 assessment 85:25 asset 92:20,23 assets 53:11,15,19,23 54:3,7 59:18,23 60:11,17 61:1,7 62:8,15 73:3,6 126:16 assistance 32:9 assistant 29:3 associated 64:18 65:2.9 67:24 68:6 68:13 70:3 81:18 **associates** 109:22.23 110:14 **assume** 5:18 9:12 10:4 56:21,22,24 57:2,7,7 85:14,15 102:25 assumed 14:7 52:17 125:15 assumption 119:19 120:3,4 assumptions 103:2 attached 3:24 90:19 111:21 attend 7:18 8:1 attendees 90:18 attention 16:12 46:8 59:1 79:4 81:10 82:13,17 86:22,25 88:17 91:24 92:5 94:4 96:10 99:4.25 106:23 110:10 122:10,16 126:7 attorney 43:12 130:15,17 attorneys 4:12 41:25 audit 16:10 auditor 16:11 available 6:20 51:5 123:22 avenue 2:7 average 28:5 aware 22:12 54:5 63:23 70:5 105:16 B

back 11:24 13:9 25:8 28:8,10 29:11 30:13,16 32:25 36:18 45:7 46:3 63:15 64:8 65:18 65:23 78:11,17 89:21 90:1 103:18 103:22,25 104:3 106:22 108:17 114:19 127:12 backed 74:5 background 7:8,10 51:16 82:14 83:1 86:3 91:12 92:15 95:24 100:11 101:22 103:18 114:7 backgrounds 80:19 94:20 bad 25:15,18 bait 99:10 **baker** 1:18 2:2 4:5 4:18.19.21 118:17 **ballpark** 37:19 39:24 **bank** 10:24 56:8,12 56:16,19 barney 9:1,6,14 10:5 10:8 13:10,22 14:2 14:11,11,13,14 17:14 28:11,20 30:13,22 32:22 46:1 52:15 base 9:20 12:16 based 11:19 17:3,21 24:22 47:21 51:19 57:15,20,21 58:6 60:9 63:13 66:14 74:7 75:1 77:8 83:21 84:8 92:15 94:8 98:18 102:23 103:15 107:10 125:20 126:1 basic 104:13 108:4 124:8 basically 81:1 **basing** 100:9 basis 9:13 10:19 11:14 14:8 20:23 21:13 25:2 72:24 73:1 84:5 104:12 113:23 124:12 127:2 bates 3:17,19,20,21 76:16 77:8 81:4 90:6 112:1 115:24 120:14

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

2

				· · ·
heer 72.14 16 05.24	b	aamahilittiaa 70.20	ahaah 41,15 50,2 12	an uk ina 100.22
bear 73:14,16 95:24 96:4	brings 100:24 broad 27:11 67:21	capabilities 79:20 87:4	check 41:15 50:3,12 50:21,22,24 51:2,4	combine 100:23 combined 71:17
beginning 114:19	73:24	capability 72:15	51:9,10,12	come 23:10 28:17
begins 90:22	broader 12:16	84:21	chicago 11:5	31:18 55:15 71:20
behalf 36:21 38:12	123:22	capacity 10:20 30:14	choices 114:13	86:7 88:13
believe 27:21 40:4	broadly 43:2	31:12 34:12,24	churning 16:23 17:2	comfortable 30:5
54:25 55:1,22	broken 37:1,2	36:22 88:6 113:7	17:16,22 18:12,16	112:10
59:25 63:17 66:16	broker 13:24 17:2	capital 102:6	19:5,6 21:16	coming 18:19
71:7 72:4 74:10,11	21:7 22:13 50:3,12	caption 4:7	circulated 120:2	commencement
75:22 80:20 87:20	50:21,22,24 51:4,8	card 129:14	clarify 6:11 118:13	130:6
93:6 96:23 111:2	51:9,10,12,12,12	career 37:15	clarity 71:25	commencing 1:20
115:11,15 122:21	51:18 62:16 65:6	carried 106:2	class 92:20,23	comments 15:2
bendish 1:16 4:3,15	69:9 70:3,6 124:11	carries 97:15	classic 71:19 80:22	41:20 45:3 76:10
130:3	124:13	cascade 10:25 12:21	97:1	81:6 82:9 98:2
benefit 7:9	brokerage 36:1	case 4:7,8,10 5:9 7:3	clean 38:1 43:19	120:12 121:13
bernard 1:6	38:19 51:2 52:6	27:14 34:14 43:4,5	50:18	commission 18:2
best 13:3,6 17:5	57:17 65:12 71:10	45:13 51:21,24	clear 5:22,23 6:11	20:1 66:7 122:21
38:15 126:5	71:12,19 94:24	57:10 59:3 67:4,8	33:2 48:14 62:5	124:19 126:15
better 6:4 123:11	124:4	67:9 68:6 122:7	72:9 100:13 101:6	129:25
beyond 113:16	brokerdealer 51:21	cases 34:1,2 37:5	101:11	commissions 17:4,9
big 102:11	51:23,25 53:4	38:10 44:24 86:11	cleared 69:5,11 70:1	122:19
bio 6:18 45:2	62:22	category 95:14	clearing 69:14,18,22	commonly 56:1
biographies 103:20	brokerdealers 52:16	cater 124:25	clearly 20:23 81:13	communications
104:1	brokers 15:9 35:16	cause 54:3	94:25 123:4	69:22
bit 14:5 34:10 37:4	35:19,21 36:7	certain 2:10 15:9	clears 69:9 70:4	companies 11:1
41:21 46:14 80:25	47:25	17:6,9,12 53:16	clerk 61:25 62:2	12:19,24 13:3,4
117:5,17	brooklyn 29:16	65:7,12 72:5 75:8	client 9:20 17:5,21	29:15 87:21 96:25
blackrock 87:24	brought 16:3,5,11,12	76:5 77:20 95:1	18:1 20:24,25 21:6	company 12:13 29:9 29:17 30:8 64:17
blank 112:3,3	36:7 100:24	116:24 119:22 124:25	22:4,9 23:10 38:20	98:8 100:10
blmis 51:20 board 32:19 95:25	build 9:20 building 31:23	certainly 6:22 11:11	39:7 42:2 51:17 clients 9:20,21 14:17	comparison 28:4,5
95:25 96:4	built 29:14	15:15 16:20,24	14:17 17:8 21:22	comparison 28.4,5 compensated 11:12
bob 4:23	bulk 40:1,5,5	25:22 27:5 29:1	21:22 51:25 58:24	61:19
boise 10:25 12:21	burden 18:2	35:14 59:12 81:14	84:16	compensation
bond 20:18,19 24:12	business 7:22 13:20	116:25 118:23	clip 121:11	109:10
24:13,18,21 25:1,4	14:23 28:22,25	certainty 119:19	close 59:3,13,16	compilation 120:16
bonds 24:20 25:7	29:14 32:12,13	certification 130:1	96:20	complain 16:19 18:1
book 41:9,9,11	33:3 36:17 50:20	certified 1:16 130:3	closely 100:7	18:11 22:3
bookkeeping 61:10	51:15 79:12 82:20	certify 130:5,9,14	clue 121:7	complained 17:15
borne 95:4	83:4,7 84:11,12,15	cetera 43:9	clueless 104:4	complaint 15:20
borrowed 56:9,24	85:1,5,7,7 87:8,25	chachra 73:12 84:23	coast 10:24 32:25	16:2,3,4,17 17:19
boston 11:5 31:2	buy 20:19 23:23	86:4 93:12 94:13	coastbased 10:9	19:5 23:14 34:18
bother 10:2 23:19	24:19 103:6	97:10	codified 11:17	54:19
bottom 21:24 22:2		chachras 86:7	collateral 56:8,25	complaints 15:13,16
59:2 120:24	<u> </u>	chairman 29:3	collateralized 56:23	16:7 21:16 23:10
bought 26:19 48:3,4	call 29:18 75:13	chance 64:10 78:4	56:25	51:17
96:25	118:3 121:20	121:24	colleagues 123:6	complete 36:3 51:14
boutique 124:24	called 17:23 35:16	change 96:15 97:3	127:6	118:21
branch 31:20,21	37:9 39:9,11 96:7	128:3	collect 35:21	completely 64:11
32:5,6,9	calling 55:11 75:14	changed 9:15 53:11	collection 35:6,12,23	completeness 54:11
break 6:14,18 9:10	cant 6:6 37:25 41:4	changes 128:1	college 7:12	106:20
28:24 33:20 45:2,2	62:24 65:4 67:22	characteristics 92:1	collision 33:9	compliance 14:25
108:13	69:3 75:7 83:9	characterize 70:20	colloquy 100:22	15:5,8 19:24 20:3
breaks 6:19 89:19	93:18 100:17,17	charge 61:24	colon 59:15	32:3 34:7 50:16,18
brief 111:24	118:15 con 104:8 22	chase 41:3 94:22	color 14:6	51:8
bring 26:16	cap 104:8,23	cheapest 13:5	combination 14:16	complicated 6:6
	I	I	I	1

CONFIDENTIAL

3

20:16 complied 15:10 **comply** 15:13 concerns 126:15 concluded 127:20 conclusiary 103:5 conclusion 19:6 71:21 72:19,22 73:19 confess 56:17 confirmation 48:1 confirmations 18:19 46:18 47:4,21 48:6 48:16 49:8 57:23 71:23 108:10 conflict 126:16 connection 15:12 35:25 38:13 42:10 42:17.22 43:24 47:1 67:2.4.8.9.15 74:14 81:20 83:18 122:6 **connote** 103:9 connotes 103:10 107:21 consider 82:23 116:19 122:4,6 consideration 129:18 considered 17:12 58:9 115:1 consist 30:20 51:2 consisted 30:21 constitute 19:1 constitutes 61:7 116:12 consult 34:17 127:6 consultant 34:13 35:24 36:19 consulted 105:22 consulting 33:18,21 33:23,24 34:19,23 45:24 contacted 43:6 contents 58:19 **context** 24:15 55:8 56:3 80:5 96:15 continued 32:21 continuing 49:22 contradict 57:5 control 15:1 controlled 29:23 conversation 45:19 conversations 43:14 74:25 conversion 26:23

27:16 copy 82:3 93:23 cormick 29:5 corner 99:14 117:18 corporate 111:10 corporation 59:17 95:25 correct 12:1 48:22 48:23 70:21 97:13 100:6 123:18 129:3 correction 93:24 correctly 28:4 33:22 correspondence 16:14 cost 17:23,23 19:25 costs 21:5 cough 9:25 couldnt 93:23 109:8 **counsel** 6:9 100:22 130:15.17 **count** 49:4 country 11:3 county 129:9 couple 5:22 30:2 44:20 45:11,19 80:5,24 87:20 91:15 108:20 110:6 120:18 course 7:23 8:2,5,8 8:12 9:15 19:14 39:3 45:10 119:4 courses 7:18,19 court 1:2,16 4:9,14 39:3 40:15,18 130:3 cover 8:17 81:15 101:24 create 119:6 criteria 53:16 54:2 criticism 71:3 criticisms 70:20,22 **crr** 1:16 cs 65:20 cum 7:13 curious 50:13.15 **customer** 3:15 16:4 16:17,19 17:15 18:6,7,11,12 19:16 19:18,20 20:5,8 21:11,20,21 23:15 27:1 48:2,3,4 58:13 65:2,12,13 customers 13:10.12 19:17 22:17.17.25 23:2,21,22 24:2,6,8

25:9,11 27:10 31:14 32:14 57:17 64:23 **cut** 17:7 41:3 cv 44:23 D daniel 2:14 4:2 dartmouth 7:11,15 7:17 8:23 data 46:10 54:12 date 4:3 117:19,21 130:12 dated 109:24 130:24 david 2:4 4:17 71:9 73:10 75:11 82:18 89:10 93:13 94:5 97:16 106:15 107:9.18 davis 2:7 4:23,25 5:2 42:1,15 43:12,23 120:20 day 117:19 129:11 129:20 dayin 53:6 dayout 53:6 days 118:14 davtodav 9:13 14:8 dead 106:2 deal 15:13 32:14 76:2 101:23 dealing 34:12 51:24 62:22 69:9 70:3 dealt 16:7 74:9 december 76:14 90:20 decide 6:16 decided 86:10 decision 22:12 85:18 85:19 decisionmaking 72:15 decisions 85:8 88:24 89:4,7,14,15 deep 79:15 80:6,21 87:16 defendant 44:6 defendants 1:10 4:24 5:1,3,12 36:22 42:5.7 48:16.20 49:8 54:22 55:11 55:17,24 56:4,7,22 57:17,25 59:23 70:23 74:9,14 75:3 113:6 defined 107:24

defining 63:3 **definition** 11:9,15,23 26:4 60:2 62:20 63:21 65:5 degree 7:12 102:9 degrees 7:15 27:7 delved 111:9 demand 123:20 demise 68:14 demonstrated 102:9 denominated 63:4 department 19:24 20:3 depend 15:19 16:1 depending 21:13 deposed 5:13 37:18 38:8 deposition 1:7 4:5 55:19.23 71:22 73:2.8 80:13 83:8 86:7,8,8 115:16 127:20 129:2 depositions 38:21.24 39:2 55:18,24 56:1 57:22 58:8 73:9 74:8 86:5 87:19 deps 88:5 depth 27:17 derive 109:11 described 8:9 10:20 26:25 76:1 description 3:13 7:9 10:18 108:4 109:24 129:14 desk 14:18,19,20 detail 46:14 determined 68:5 developed 32:1 35:15 79:15 123:21 development 32:11 32:12,13 devolve 13:1 dicey 42:14 didnt 23:14,19 25:4 33:1,4 35:7 41:14 46:23 49:4,4,13,17 55:5 75:13 86:8,20 104:15 105:24 114:20,21 118:23 difference 61:14 78:18 102:11 different 17:8 61:13 62:15 83:2 85:22 102:17 differentiating 92:1

differently 37:4 difficult 24:15 digging 7:8 digressed 28:9 diligence 79:9,19 83:1 86:9 87:1,4 126:12,14 direct 31:13 46:8 59:1 77:20 81:10 94:4 122:10,15 directed 75:21 directing 13:11 79:4 82:13,17 86:22,25 88:17 91:24 92:5 96:10 99:3,25 106:23 110:10 directly 32:14 74:9 74:11 director 31:8.13.19 32:2.17.21 directors 32:19 disagree 127:3 disagrees 101:14 disappointed 41:17 disciplinary 36:6 disclosures 126:16 discovered 16:10 discuss 19:15.17 21:6 discussed 21:11 discussing 21:15 discussion 98:8 102:1 103:13 discussions 117:8,11 disk 45:5,8 89:24 90:2 dismiss 54:22 dispute 37:7,8 38:13 disregard 111:23 **district** 1:2,2 4:9,10 40:15,17 document 28:2 44:16 58:16,19,23 64:12 65:16 76:15 76:18,19,23 77:7 77:12,14,20,22 81:9 83:2,17 88:11 90:10,12,15,21,25 91:3,5,7,13,20 94:1 94:9 96:15 97:20 98:1,10,12,20,21 98:23,25 101:2,24 103:13,19 110:3 112:11 116:12 118:16,23,25 119:7 119:24 120:6,9,11

CONFIDENTIAL

4

	I	I		I
120:19 121:17	due 79:9,19 83:1	enlighten 73:8	examining 91:5	88:3,12 96:11
129:14	86:9 87:1,4 123:5	ensure 29:21	98:21 112:11	107:18,23 108:1
documents 3:17,21	126:12,14	entail 85:12	example 16:18 36:8	113:15
11:20 44:21 46:17	dumb 33:14	entered 42:10 65:14	61:23	experts 49:5 117:2
47:9 48:20 67:3	dupont 3:18 90:6,17	enthusiastic 6:15	exceedingly 50:18	expires 129:25
81:20 83:22 84:1	duties 9:5 10:19 14:1	entire 23:1 77:19	excerpted 117:3	explain 20:11 34:22
108:21 120:14,17		entirety 90:25	exchange 36:10 40:2	46:13 71:2
121:9,12	E	entities 2:10	53:8 65:11	exploration 29:15
doesnt 22:7 34:3	earlier 65:24 87:3	entitled 78:17 79:9	exclude 122:22	exploring 109:4
37:24 69:18 103:1	88:6 94:1 104:3	91:25	124:19 125:11	exposed 24:9
103:9 114:9	early 29:12	entity 52:21 71:17,18	exclusion 65:15	expressed 57:10
doing 6:15 11:25	earnings 13:5	72:4	66:17,18 122:12	114:17 129:18
14:7 17:2 19:19	east 30:25	entrants 125:17	excursion 45:23	extensive 77:4 81:9
20:13 22:13,14	easygoing 30:5	entries 50:21	excuse 9:25 15:18	126:12
27:5 28:25 36:19	eating 33:15	entry 88:18 92:6	36:20	extent 64:22
36:19,24 38:11	economy 12:25	93:2 95:10 97:4	execute 27:6	extinguished 35:20
43:8 65:4 67:19	education 7:17 8:10	equities 2:10 3:20	executed 26:25	extrapolation 65:10
68:1 75:20 116:6	educational 7:10	22:25 25:8,25 26:4	129:17	eyes 99:17 117:16
124:22 125:19	effort 123:25	26:6 57:4,7,9 72:2	executive 32:20 96:8	
dollar 75:23 76:7	efforts 64:19	72:3,10 79:13,14	executives 95:2	F
dollars 26:17,18	eight 28:14,16 30:8	87:5,10 92:6,11	exemplars 47:2 49:5	face 101:6 109:22
dont 5:16 6:8 9:9	30:11 47:5 49:3	96:12 101:10	exercising 27:4	facilitated 75:20
18:17 20:10 21:24	either 23:17 31:14	107:4,4,14,14	exhibit 44:11,12,15	fact 20:10 22:13
21:25 22:1,2 38:21	31:22 38:1 39:23	109:22,23 110:14	44:23,23 58:12,13	54:17 69:4 72:17
39:20,22,23 40:11	49:8 53:13 66:6	111:8,13 112:14	58:15 70:11,14	96:14 100:9 109:5
40:22 43:2,10	67:19 69:15	113:18 114:1	76:12,13 81:2,4,8	113:3,18,25 120:19
45:14,16 48:12	111:13	115:24 116:13	90:5,11 98:6,7	factor 53:23 54:7
54:9 56:21,23	eliminating 120:10	118:24 120:2	109:16,20 111:20	facts 18:25 46:9
58:11 63:11,16	ellen 93:20 97:11	equity 17:10,23,25	111:21 114:23	54:12 114:14
65:3 74:10,10,24	elses 16:5	18:3 19:25 20:1,22	115:22,23 116:9	failure 15:13
75:5,13 77:7,16	elucidation 15:22	24:9 25:9,10,11	120:13,14	fair 12:3 35:3,4,6
83:6 85:13 87:1	email 78:2 81:4,16	67:25 72:14 79:16	exhibits 3:23	36:6 48:19 50:8
91:1 95:3 99:5,6	emails 3:18 90:5,21	80:21,22,22,23	expand 35:13	57:18 66:19 68:5
101:14,17,19	employ 30:11	81:17 87:20,21,23	expect 20:11 84:8	77:13 104:5
102:24 103:2,20	employee 16:6,6	87:25 92:24 96:24	expectation 20:7	fairly 28:23 38:22
104:17 105:10,10	130:15,17	97:1,2 101:4	expense 15:1	77:4
105:12 106:18	employees 15:10	107:22,24,25	experience 5:19	fairness 77:2,17,21
107:20,20 108:8,9	30:24 109:23	esq 2:4,4,5,8,9,9	17:13 21:15 22:16	fait 17:12
109:1,7,13 112:7	113:13 114:12	establishing 63:2	22:24 23:1 25:3,10	fall 53:3
113:23 114:7,11	employer 110:13,16	estate 79:16 92:21,22	47:21 58:7 63:13	falls 53:7 66:18
117:4 118:8,9	employment 8:17,24	92:23,24 97:3	69:8 79:17 83:22	113:17
119:8 120:3,7	28:10	101:3	84:9 87:9 91:2	familiar 16:22 39:14
123:3,8,9 125:3	enclosing 81:19	et 1:9 4:8 43:8	92:7,12 94:9,20	83:12 96:9 112:8
126:20,24 127:2	encounter 17:14	event 36:16 69:2	95:19 96:11 97:21	115:5 116:25
doubleup 55:3,12	22:17	130:19	98:18 100:16,21	119:11
doubleups 55:16	encountered 23:1	everybody 33:11	101:3,3 102:2,9,24	families 79:14 107:2
56:3	27:8	102:25	103:15 105:2,6,13	107:3
dow 28:5	encouraged 75:10	evidence 57:8 80:10	107:11 113:20	family 30:6 75:19
dr 70:22 71:3,13	124:4,5	evolved 125:25	114:4,10	far 44:5 75:6
drawbacks 108:7	endorsement 114:4	exact 11:23 46:25	expert 3:14 33:19,22	favor 90:24
dried 17:7	ends 89:24	exactly 33:9 46:14	34:3 37:10 38:12	feel 27:4 77:22
drift 31:21	energy 29:13	55:25 69:12 88:13	44:12 47:1 73:23	112:10
drill 29:24	engage 5:20 103:6	119:3	expertise 60:9 63:14	feeling 51:7
drilling 29:9,18	engaged 8:10	examination 3:5 5:6	68:25 72:12,14 72:25 74:6 70:12	felt 9:23 29:10,13
dropping 59:16 dtc 69:23	england 31:1	130:6	73:25 74:6 79:12	ferret 72:16
uit 09.25	enjoy 8:24	examined 84:3	79:15 80:6 87:9,16	field 27:11 28:24
	I	I	l	I

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

guy 30:5

5

	1		l
125:18	folks 14:15 33:17	87:18 91:25 92:2	95:6 96:7 97:4,5
figure 18:15 22:10	43:6 124:1	96:22 102:12,13,17	97:25 103:18,22
43:16	follow 111:25	102:18,25 103:1,6	104:3,11,25 106:4
file 53:2 118:3,14,18	following 7:14 64:21	102:18,25 105:1,0	106:17,22 108:5
	force 12:9 14:16,20		
119:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	105:1,7,17 108:3	109:15 110:9
filed 4:9 54:13	foregoing 129:1,16	122:21,22 123:21	111:16 114:19
financially 130:18	130:10	124:17 126:13	117:14 121:11
find 69:3	forest 12:20,21	funding 36:16	goes 17:22 27:3
fine 8:22 32:16 41:11	forever 125:19	funds 29:18 79:15	66:10
43:16 46:12 47:16	forget 50:1 55:25	80:6,11,12,22	going 5:7,18,23,24
47:18 81:24,25	80:24	85:19,22 86:1	5:25 6:16 7:1,4
86:13 89:8 91:23	forgivable 35:17	87:16 96:24 101:4	8:16 11:7 12:25
93:1 94:3 98:15	forgiven 35:18	102:2,12,20 103:8	18:16 19:16 22:12
99:3 113:16	forgot 106:5	102:2,12,20 105:0	29:11 36:16 39:24
117:12 122:9	forgotten 43:14	107.4,13,19 108.0	41:15 45:1,4 48:13
finra 3:15 36:11 39:9	45:12	further 130:9,14	59:9,10 60:22 64:2
39:11 50:4,9 53:7	form 23:16 35:18		64:5 65:23 67:23
58:13,23 60:10,16	53:2 60:12,19 63:7	G	70:20 77:3 78:8,15
61:6,22 63:1,9,10	formal 8:9	gabelli 94:23	79:7 81:10 89:18
63:20 65:3 124:5	formed 100:17	game 25:24 26:3	89:23 90:13 91:14
firing 32:4	forth 130:13	games 39:22	106:17,21 108:9,12
firm 10:10 16:12	forum 40:4	gas 29:4,5,16,16	108:14 110:5
31:11 32:20 34:8,9	forums 40:3,6	gee 22:6	117:6 121:20
35:5 50:16,19 51:8	found 29:19 30:3	general 8:6 42:25	122:10,15,17
51:10,13,15,16,18	50:9	82:19 88:22 94:15	125:16 127:9,18
61:11 65:12 82:14	foundation 61:24,25	109:5,11	good 4:1 6:19,20
94:24 120:20	62:1,10 114:20	generalities 73:24	10:12 44:8 48:24
124:12,18	founded 14:12 79:13	generally 21:19,19	77:25 82:7 89:22
firms 8:11 10:24	four 20:21,22 21:1	22:8 45:15 53:7	93:22 99:17
35:20 52:14 124:4	44:25 78:16 81:11	generate 79:17	108:13 110:9
124:6,24,24	frame 13:19	generating 17:3	123:14
first 8:25 9:19 30:15	francisco 10:15 11:4	gentleman 121:6	goodness 116:1
33:3 35:11 41:24	34:8,9	gentlemen 14:13	gosh 37:1 42:4
43:5 45:19 46:9	fred 73:10 95:11	112:20	government 81:19
58:16 70:19 78:1	107:9,18	getting 11:24 25:8	81:21
80:5 82:10 85:11	free 77:22	66:25	graduated 7:11,13
91:16 99:4 110:10	frequent 16:7 38:22	give 7:9 10:18 11:8	graduation 7:14 9:4
111:21 115:11	38:23	11:23 14:5 15:3,21	grant 38:24
116:22 117:18	frequently 126:12,22	16:17 35:16 36:8	grapes 22:11
121:23 122:1,16	126:25	46:20 49:1 61:23	great 82:5
fish 33:14	friedman 55:22	83:9 85:15 104:1	greer 94:23
fits 22:7	73:11 74:23 75:21	108:8,9 111:25	ground 5:22 57:14
five 11:3 26:16,18	76:3 110:21,24	114:8 121:7	group 75:20,24
31:1 41:5 78:16	111:2 112:21	given 5:8 34:7 42:4	81:17 87:24 94:12
93:11	119:20	129:19	94:15 95:16
fixed 14:18,19,20	friendly 30:9	giving 23:23 42:11	124:23,25 125:2,15
fleet 56:8,9	friends 29:10 75:19	103:17 114:6,9	growth 13:6
flip 88:2	full 126:8	glorified 31:20	guess 6:8 38:15
float 23:8	function 56:6	go 10:7,11 13:9	40:12 41:5 55:10
fluctuations 24:21	functional 60:4,6	19:19 21:4 23:6,10	57:2 88:14,15
24:23 29:13	66:24 72:11 95:5	28:8,9 33:10 36:18	114:21 116:14
flunked 116:3	functionally 96:20	44:14 46:12 64:3	119:19 124:18
focus 8:4 21:21 22:5	functioned 56:3	65:18 68:1 77:19	126:5
49:13 94:19	functions 66:9 72:6,7	78:7 81:2 82:4	guessing 57:1
focused 72:10	fund 80:9 85:18,19	86:13 88:14 89:8	guidelines 124:6
focusing 9:18 88:21	85:21,25 86:1,4	89:17 91:15 93:2	125:15
	, ,		
	1	I	I

guys 47:10 94:14 121:15 Η half 37:12,16 38:18 39:11 85:11 hand 20:25 26:14 129:19 handed 44:21 70:14 76:11 81:8 90:10 98:5 109:19 111:19 116:8 handful 41:7 46:22 50:1 handled 9:7 73:3 handling 11:12 62:14 handson 86:4 108:2 hang 106:19 **happened** 30:1,2 52:10 happening 108:10 happens 33:7 38:1 happy 21:23 22:2 33:12 harbor 65:6 hard 37:7 hatcheryraised 33:14 hate 33:7 56:17 havent 27:23 39:7 42:4 80:11 88:4,5 115:15 hbp 1:3 4:11 head 6:2 heading 60:5 hedge 26:6,9,10,14 79:15 80:6,9,11,12 80:22 87:16,18 96:22,24 101:4 102:2,12,12,13,20 102:25 103:1,6,7,7 103:8 104:5 105:1 105:7,17 107:3,13 107:19 108:2,6,8 122:20,22 123:21 124:17 125:1 126:13 hedged 26:5,12,13 26:20 held 4:5 helene 115:11 119:17 hell 115:6,19 116:12 help 34:1,19

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

6

b - b - f - 1 - 25 - 0 - 0.0 - 17	
helpful 35:8 98:17	
herd 33:5	:4
hereinbefore 130:12	id
hes 62:18 89:15	• •
95:24 101:18,19	id
104:10,12,13 121:5	
hesitant 30:7	• •
hesitate 38:18 48:8	id
hewlett 10:25 12:14	
12:18	id
high 18:2 24:20 25:6	ii
highgate 94:23	iii
highlighting 118:15	ill
highly 15:7	
hire 85:9	
hired 30:16	
hiring 32:4	in
history 7:12 8:17	
28:10 50:16,19	
51:8 80:21 125:21	
hold 20:19 39:25	
99:13	
honest 47:9	
hope 62:4	
horing 84:23 93:17	
93:18,20,21 94:13	
97:11,11	
horseback 126:5	
hostetler 1:18 2:2	
4:6,18,20,22	
118:17	
house 36:1	
housed 72:13	
houston 28:21 30:7	
howard 2:9 4:25,25	
42:20 47:13	
hundred 14:15 40:25	
41:1	
hundreds 40:7	
<u> </u>	
id 6:1 20:11,15 21:4	
21:6,7 33:1 45:11	
55:13 64:23 65:8	
82:1 100:13	
idaho 33:4	
idea 6:19 33:2 60:14	
63:9 102:14	
104:10,12	in
ident 3:13	in
identification 44:13	in
44:14 58:14 70:12	in
81:5,14 82:19 90:7	in
109:21 115:25	in
120:15	in
identified 43:23 75:4	in
	-

	Ì
84:18 94:21	
126:15	
dentifies 83:17	
110:13,16	
dentify 4:13 7:3	
9:21 28:1 44:16,16	
44:22 46:17 70:15	
dentifying 5:10 92:4	
116:8	
dentity 129:14	
i 86:24 122:11,17	
ii 46:9	
ll 6:10 10:10 15:21	
61:23 77:6 108:5	
109:21 111:25	
126:9	
m 5:7,18,25 6:8 7:1	
13:11 22:10,24	
24:16 30:4,5 33:13	
33:21 34:16 35:12 37:22,25 39:23,24	
37:22,25 39:23,24	
41:15 42:12,14	
43:1,16,17,18,20	
44:4 45:1 46:24	
47:7,8,11 48:11,13	
49:14,17,19,19	
53:20,22 54:5,25	
55:1 58:15 59:9,15	
60:22 63:9,22 64:2	
64:2,3 65:23 66:3	
67:7,21 68:10,21	
68:22 70:5,20 72:3	
72:9 77:3,22 79:7	
81:14 82:15,17	
83:14 86:22 87:10	
88:21 89:18 91:14	
92:4 93:4,15 95:10	
96:10 98:8 99:8	
100:5,12,25 103:3	
103:5 106:11,17,21	
105.5 100.11,17,21	
106:23 107:2 108:12 110:5,10	
112:5 113:9	
112.3 113.9	
117:22 119:21	
120:10 122:10,15	
122:17 123:7	
125:6,9 127:5	
magination 58:9	
magine 42:5	
mmediately 9:4	
mpact 57:9 96:1	
mplications 63:4	
mplied 17:10,11	
mplies 87:23 89:15	
mply 94:16	

implying 89:6 importance 103:24 important 21:13 37:24 73:23 importantly 41:23 inaudible 103:4 **include** 10:24 included 85:20 105:2 including 106:14 111:25 income 14:18,19,20 incorrect 126:2 incorrectly 80:8 independent 29:4 indepth 88:11 indicate 102:8 indication 73:21 indiscernible 53:13 individual 9:7 13:1.2 52:3,4 71:4,10,12 81:17 87:21 individually 71:18 individuals 42:21 industries 12:15 13:2,3 **industry** 7:18,19,20 11:16,17,22 15:6,7 35:14 45:24 53:6 58:8 69:19 88:7 91:2 98:19 123:20 125:24 informal 8:10 information 3:15 51:16 58:14,24 64:22 65:13 67:1 108:8 117:15 inherits 63:23 inhouse 15:11 16:10 34:7 73:4 initial 64:20 90:15 initialed 16:14 initiated 22:8 **inner** 35:5 inordinately 17:24 input 32:7 inside 15:4 insight 46:20 insolvent 70:6 **instance** 24:19 26:14 31:1 38:24 39:5 41:6 62:11 73:25 86:4 104:22 instances 38:2 75:21 76:5 institution 65:6 institutional 9:17

10:16 11:2,9 13:12 14:17 31:14,24,25 58:1,4,10 59:14 60:3,7,11,15,17,24 61:7,12,12,13,15 61:19,21 62:3,4,8 62:12,12,17,22 63:3,5,12,16,19,21 63:24 64:15 66:4 66:14,20 67:25 70:24 71:17 113:7 institutionallybased 10:22 instructed 83:8 instrument 129:16 insurance 26:19 intel 10:25 12:18 interacted 21:6 interaction 74:21 interactions 31:13 interest 24:21,22 25:2,5 111:11 126:17 interested 95:10 111:22 130:18 interests 17:5 122:25 interface 31:22 **interfaced** 74:11,14 74:16 interfirm 35:23 interim 24:20 internal 61:10 79:9 87:1 interpretation 83:10 126:2 interrupted 37:22 125:7 interrupting 29:11 invest 72:14 102:13 107:3,12 108:5 114:15 **invested** 56:10 80:10 80:12 102:6,13 investing 68:6,14 102:2,12,20,21 104:11 107:19,23 108:7 126:13 investment 1:7 22:7 24:15 39:6 52:9,17 52:20 53:18,24 54:4,8 64:17 66:5 66:6,15,18,21,25 67:11,16,20,25 72:14 73:24 74:3 80:7.9 81:22 84:6 84:14 86:24 87:17

88:24 89:3,6,14,15 92:12 93:9 94:8,12 94:16,17 95:1,3,15 95:20 96:11,21 97:8,24 103:21 104:1 106:14 107:10,21 113:1,1 113:4,12 114:5,5 investments 3:20 8:7 11:12 56:8,11 64:16 75:18 87:22 92:18 97:2 105:18 112:23 113:19 115:24 116:13 investor 11:10 60:11 60:18 61:12,12,15 61:20 62:3,9,12,12 63:16,19,25 72:17 72:20 73:20 75:24 103:14 105:1.7 124:10 investors 9:8 11:2,3 58:1.5.10 60:3.7.15 74:1 75:9,18 80:21 104:14 105:17 114:15 122:13,21 122:24 123:22,24 123:24 124:17,21 124:24,25 125:5,13 126:11 invests 104:7 107:13 involved 22:11 37:6 40:14 49:8 72:18 73:20 85:6 88:23 89:3,6,13,15 involves 87:23 involving 37:8 40:17 irving 1:6 2:5 4:7 isnt 25:24 66:19,19 86:15 isolated 73:25 issued 7:3 issues 35:22 item 110:20 items 54:13,17 99:24 ive 11:17 27:11,13 27:21 33:18 34:6,7 37:1 39:2 42:19 43:12,14 56:14 70:13 76:11 80:6,9 88:13 90:9 105:10 105:11 109:1 112:12 114:19 116:8 120:11

J

CONFIDENTIAL

7

james 41:8 jams 39:1 january 1:20 4:4 109:25 130:24 jersey 1:18 130:5 **job** 8:25 10:15 14:22 jobs 32:5 john 1:8 3:4,14,16 4:11 43:3 44:13 70:12 128:2 129:1 129:5,12 130:6 join 8:24 joint 29:20 jointly 107:2,3 jones 10:14 28:5 jpmorgan 62:2,3 ir 2:8 **isr** 1:3 4:11 judge 114:12 judged 61:18 Κ karen 2:9 5:2 katz 1:9 4:8 5:11 71:9,9 73:10,10 74:24 75:11,12,19 79:14,18,25 82:18 82:18 84:24,25 88:18,22 89:10 93:13,13 94:4,5 97:16,16 106:14,15 107:1,2,8,9,17,18 110:21 111:4 112:21 113:5 katzes 80:20 85:6 86:8 95:22 103:20 keep 48:12,19 kept 71:16 key 83:17 84:4 kind 32:18 43:1 knew 75:8 104:15 know 5:16 6:13,17 6:18,21 18:16,17 22:17 24:4,14 39:20 40:11,22 41:9 44:4,5 47:1,7 47:12 50:22 51:23 54:6,9,25 55:21 56:15,21,23 58:11 63:11 65:3.12 67:18 68:9,10 72:8 74:13 75:1,5,6,13 77:7 83:6 87:1 95:4 96:3 99:18 101:17,19 102:25 103:1,7,8 104:7,13

104:15,16,17,19 105:7,10,12,12,13 105:24 106:18 108:1 114:7,11 118:8,9 119:8,13 119:16,23 120:1,4 120:5,7 122:5 123:3 125:3 126:20,24 knowledge 5:20 9:12 12:16 17:18 103:9 103:10 105:17 107:21 knowledgeable 9:11 known 115:19 129:12 knows 44:3,4 kornfeld 2:5 4:21,21 L lack 74:4 lady 63:18,23 language 96:9 large 17:24 28:23 65:15 115:18 largest 14:11,14 lastly 54:10 late 45:20 laude 7:13 lauren 2:9 4:25 47:11 law 54:22 120:20 124:18 lawsuit 54:14 lawyer 106:1 122:5 123:4 leads 25:23 leave 8:23 35:19 led 73:19 left 10:4,5,8 28:20 30:10 legal 113:10 letter 45:15 letterhead 120:20 **letters** 45:16 level 17:11,11,12 85:15 108:4 leverage 92:11 leverages 79:11 87:8 lexington 2:7 license 80:19 87:15 licensed 51:15 life 61:17 likes 44:3 limited 64:16 line 18:3,20 20:24

21:24 22:2 108:12 122:19 123:25 128:3 lines 7:21 linked 29:15 75:23 liquidation 1:6 list 44:24 47:14 51:14 103:20 listed 84:9 90:18 95:3 107:10 listen 85:17 listening 108:4 listing 95:15 99:24 lists 64:23 93:8,11 97:10 106:13 literary 80:18 literature 11:20 litigation 40:14 litigations 37:6 **little** 6:16 14:5 15:21 20:1 28:7 34:10 37:4 41:21 42:14 46:13,25 63:18 80:25 95:9 100:22 117:17 **live** 33:1 **llc** 1:7 **llp** 2:2,7 loan 35:18 55:12 56:10,13,16 loans 56:8 local 29:17 located 4:6 long 6:17 13:14 28:13 32:16 50:20 77:10 104:13 look 12:18,20,23 19:12,22,25 21:6,7 21:24,25 22:1,1,7 23:7,22 34:1 46:23 49:24 50:11 64:13 77:2 78:15,15 82:14,14 84:4 86:3 90:25 94:11,20 98:18 99:12 100:6 102:22 111:24 112:2 119:10 121:24 looked 20:21 23:6 27:13,14,19,21 37:15 46:15,20,22 47:1,20 48:6,25 49:2,11 51:20 109:1 112:20 looking 24:25 43:20 64:4,11 65:16,17

67:10 71:23 82:15 83:9,14 94:9 100:15,15 108:3 118:13,22 looks 10:3 19:10 116:25 los 11:4 lose 26:11,21 27:9,12 loses 21:21 102:14 losing 22:4 24:1 27:1 27:2 loss 26:12 lost 21:20 22:10,18 22:20 23:3,11,15 23:17,18,18 lot 8:12 9:11,12 10:2 21:19 24:11,11 30:3 33:23 84:15 lots 17:25 39:2 loud 123:11 lower 59:3 99:14 lowes 95:25 lunch 16:5 108:13 luncheon 108:16 lynch 51:11,11 81:16 94:24 98:8 102:1 Μ macro 12:25 85:16 madiha 2:4 4:19 madoff 1:7 27:15 50:16 51:10 52:15 54:7 56:7,11 68:7 68:14 69:5,25 74:9 74:12,14 75:4,7,11 75:13,19 76:2,8 80:8 87:17 96:22 102:19,19,21 104:14 113:1,3,19 114:3,8,16 115:10 115:17 magna 7:13 **maine** 1:8 3:4,14,16 4:11 5:7 14:9 28:25 44:13 58:15 70:12,13 76:18 81:7 87:2 90:9 98:5 100:21 101:23 108:21 109:19 111:19 115:5 116:6 121:21 127:14 128:2 129:1,5,12 130:7 maintained 52:2 71:18

maintaining 17:24 majority 114:15 making 15:9 21:23 84:5 85:8 107:21 121:15 manage 14:4 61:16 managed 62:2 85:21 management 25:16 53:11,16,19,23 54:3,7 61:18 88:24 103:22 manager 10:16 13:24 14:2,23 17:14 28:12 31:20 31:21 32:6,7,9 62:14 84:15 85:17 85:19,23,25 86:5 86:12 94:13,14 104:23.23.25 managers 84:19 94:21 managing 30:15 63:16 73:6 102:17 mandated 65:11 manipulate 104:24 margin 55:11,14 mark 2:5 4:21 44:9 70:8.14 marked 3:23 44:13 58:12,14 70:12 76:13,14 81:5,9 90:7,10 98:3,6 109:20 111:17,20 115:25 120:15 market 27:4,25 marketed 11:1 12:10 marketing 80:14 91:13 96:15 97:20 markets 29:13 markings 118:24 martha 41:6,12 match 9:22 matter 30:18 37:24 73:22 120:19 maturity 24:24 mc 29:5 mcclutchy 2:14 4:2 **mccormick** 29:5,7,8 29:16 30:3 mccormicks 30:11 mean 11:9 12:12 15:4,9 17:1 18:17 23:6 34:15,23 38:6 43:2 55:3.7 59:15 60:25 63:13 66:4

67:6,8 68:8 69:12

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

8

,		
		1
69:14,15,16,21	missing 47:8	119:2
71:5 74:16 75:16	misspoke 78:14	natural 59:17 61:1
83:4 85:6 88:8	mistake 121:1	nature 15:19 16:1.
89:3 91:1 105:10	mitchum 10:10,14	17:19 25:24 26:3
105:25 107:11,14	10:14 13:15,16,20	84:10,12 108:6
109:6 111:7 112:5	mix 113:2,4	112:23
115:8	modern 92:22	necessarily 20:9,9
meaning 26:11,21	moment 7:2 64:1	34:21
69:19	78:6 98:16 125:22	need 17:10 45:2 51
means 17:2,23 25:1	money 21:20,21,23	77:5,21 78:6 127
48:2 55:9 89:14	22:4,10,18,20	needed 58:25
92:23 123:3	23:12,15,17,18,18	needs 6:17 9:21,22
meant 10:23 20:21	29:24 35:17,21	neither 130:14,16
23:14 35:12 80:14	52:5 56:20,24	network 79:9 80:2
83:6 92:16 97:19	61:16,18,25 62:1	87:1
measure 17:22 19:23	62:14,14 65:15	networks 79:18
		never 11:17 22:18
measures 17:6	85:17,22 86:11	
mediations 37:5	102:15 108:5	22:20,23 23:3,6,
mediator 34:7	monitor 124:1	23:15,17,18 26:2
meeting 90:17	monitoring 122:20	27:1,9,11 37:1,2
member 64:18,19	month 22:19,21,22	41:17 55:13 56:1
65:9	23:3 25:12,14 27:1	73:25 105:10,11
members 94:7	27:2,9,23,23	109:1 111:9 117
memoranda 54:21	monthly 47:6 49:1	117:1
memorandum 54:23	49:23 57:22	new 1:2,17,17,19,1
memory 48:10	115:15,17	2:3,3,8 4:6,7,10
mentioned 53:15	months 27:24 30:8	11:6 31:1,2 36:1 40:2 53:7 65:11
mere 96:18	30:11,18 45:20	
merged 65:10	morgan 94:21	84:16 108:12
merging 40:2	morning 4:1 33:5	125:17 130:4,5,2
merrill 51:11,11	45:25	nexttolast 112:1
81:16 94:24 98:8 102:1	morphed 32:18 mother 41:17	nice 33:17 121:5
	motion 54:22	night 33:8 nimble 27:4
met 42:6 53:17 74:17		
74:17,20	move 24:22 30:7	nod 6:2
michael 75:12 110:21 111:4	95:9 moved 32:25	nope 22:22 normal 52:6
110.21 111.4	moved 32.23 moving 77:22	normally 21:21
million 30:23 59:19		north 30:4,25
59:24 60:11,17	multipage 90:12 multiple 121:10	northeast 30:17,19
61:2,7,22 62:7,18	municipal 20:18,19	31:6
63:18,19,24 66:10	inumerpar 20.10,17	notary 1:17 129:22
75:22 76:7 102:5	N	130:4,22
millions 71:11,11	naked 26:6	note 35:6,12,20,23
mind 63:10 65:4	name 4:2,11 43:15	77:6 126:11
mine 86:19,20	115:11 128:2	noted 129:3
minimum 75:23,24	129:15	notes 11:25 35:17
minimum 75:25,21	named 10:10 29:4	notice 23:25 94:12
ministerial 73:4	43:13	notwithstanding
minor 41:16	names 49:14 95:5	5:21
minute 13:10	nancy 1:16 4:15	november 45:20,2
minutes 77:2 89:21	130:3	nuance 20:1
mischaracterize	nasd 39:9,12,14,17	number 5:11 18:1
70:21	40:2,3,6 53:7	18:19 19:3 45:8
misinterpreted 6:3	national 10:16	46:4,23 53:10
missed 31:4	native 118:3,14,18	54:13 64:13,24
	, ,	,
	•	1

1 ,2 :3) 51:6 7:6 2 24 3,19 5,12 21 2 :14 7:1 .19 0 22 9 2 3 2 20 8

05:19 / 7:3 82:15
90:2,3 99:13 112:1
120:17,23
numbers 47:15 65:8
77:8
numeral 46:9 86:24
122:11
ny 2:8
0
o0o 127:21
oath 129:13
object 6:10 23:4,16
60:12,19 63:7,7
objective 122:20
objectives 9:21,22
17:8,21 18:4,20
20:17,24 22:7
obligation 113:11
obligations 62:21
obligor 56:12,15,18
57:4,9
57.7.9
obtain 50:6 64:20 obtuse 123:7
obviously 9:19 81:22
95:11 100:21
101:9
occupation 65:1,8
occupy 85:8
occurring 19:6
october 45:20
offered 112:23
113:21
offering 113:12
offerings 12:3
office 13:25 14:5,10
14:11,14,15 16:10
30:16 66:8 129:19
offices 1:18 11:4
31:2,2,3 84:17
oh 12:5 21:18 41:19
49:21 93:22 99:6
106:5 116:16
117:24
ohio 30:25
oil 28:22,25 29:4,5
29:16
okay 5:18,24 6:11,21
6:23,25 7:5,14 8:1
8:4,8,14,18,22 9:5
9:9,24 10:3,7,17
11:19,24 12:8 13:9 13:14,21 15:23
13:14,21 15:23
18:8 19:4 20:13
25:8,17 28:7,8,15
28:23 30:10,14,19
20.20 00.10,17,17

65:19 77:3 82:15

31:9,12,16 32:8,16 32:23 33:20,25 34:5,22 35:8,10 37:3,13,17 38:6 39:16 40:13 41:11 41:22 44:2,10,19 45:1 46:12 47:16 47:17 49:15,21 50:6,11 54:6,10,16 54:21 55:3 57:3,20 58:21 59:1,11 60:9 62:21 64:1 67:2,13 68:4,19 70:17 71:1 76:5 77:1,17,23 81:2,13 82:12 83:15 86:15,20,22 88:2,14 89:8 90:4 90:24 91:6,14,23 93:1 94:3 95:6 96:7,14 97:4,25 98:5 99:3 100:8 101:22 108:11,13 108:13,22 109:9 110:2 111:15 112:12,17 113:3,16 113:25 114:25 115:4 116:15,16,21 118:12,20 119:9 120:8 121:20,22 123:12 126:4 127:4 **old** 14:12 46:24 55:13 63:18 101:16 oldfashioned 47:23 47:25 once 7:2 39:21 40:23 40:24 48:13 119:24 ones 55:25 73:14,16 74:22 75:3 ongoing 104:12 **opaque** 108:7 open 66:23,24 opened 71:12 openended 64:17 opening 65:7 **operating** 53:5 97:1 operation 10:22 operations 14:25 opinion 57:16,24 58:3 60:2 68:17 72:25 73:1 79:25 96:1.16 114:17 115:2 opinions 57:10

CONFIDENTIAL

9

	I
opportunities 88:1	94
opposed 17:4 48:4	97
71:18 84:14	10
125:18	11
option 114:16	part
order 65:14	part
ordinary 113:21	part
organization 115:21	part
organizations 15:8	11
origins 106:23 107:8	part
outcome 21:17 68:10	part
130:19	part
outset 37:17	88
outside 42:21 43:22	part
75:8,9,16 76:1,6	10
outsider 30:4	10
overall 85:4	11
overview 92:1 98:8	part
100:10 104:14	part
overwhelming 68:25	part
owed 56:19	pass
ownership 94:15	pau
	-
103:9,10	pay
owning 102:18	26
	pay
P	pecl
	-
packard 10:25 12:14	peop
12:18	22
page 3:2,13 46:9	34
65:25 68:20 70:19	53
78:1,15,16 81:15	84
86:14,15,16,19	85
89:9 90:15,20 91:4	93
91:24 93:6,11 95:7	95
96:8 97:5,6,15	10
99:19,21,23 100:15	10
	12
101:6 102:22	
106:5,6,10,18,22	peop
106:22 109:22	perc
110:19 112:1,2	perc
117:18 122:11	72
126:8 128:3	perf
pages 77:9 78:16	perf
81:11 82:2 99:9	perf
107:8 111:21,24	27
paginated 99:20	perf
paid 61:16,16	peri
	-
panel 38:23	50
panoply 21:9,10	peri
paperwork 56:14	pers
paragraph 79:5	43
82:15,15,18 86:25	59
122:17 126:8	61
paren 59:3,3,13,13	62
59:16,16 66:8,9	66
part 26:17 41:12	12
F	

94:11,14 95:16
97:23,24 102:10
103:21 113:1,4,21
114:25 117:2
parted 30:8
participants 112:24
participate 86:6,9
particular 67:25
111:10
particularly 67:24
oarties 4:14 39:4
partner 79:12 87:10
88:22 94:16 111:2
partners 72:5 82:19
108:25 109:5,5,10 109:11 113:19
109:11 113:19
114:1 120:2
oartnership 59:18
oartnerships 107:25
party 38:12 130:16
bassed 88:10
bause 64:7 78:10
Day 24:24 25:1,4
26:18
20:18 Daying 69:16
peck 94:23
people 9:11 12:4
22:25 23:8 27:6
34:1 43:23 48:3
53:8 71:10 83:17
84:9,9,18,21 85:9
85:21 86:5 90:18
93:11 94:1,21,25
95:1,1,2 103:25
104:2 105:11
106:7,8 121:1
124:2 125:18
peoples 23:7
perceived 51:6
percent 17:25 43:11
72:3,9 79:12 87:9
perfectly 26:20
perform 124:8
performance 27:15
27:22 115:15,17
berforming 66:8
period 35:18 46:19
50:20 115:13,14
permitted 75:4
person 27:4 32:3
43:12 47:11 56:19
59:17,17 60:25
61:1,8,16,24 62:6,7
62:13 64:18 65:2,9
66:10 81:16
129:15

personal 59:23 102:24 personally 129:12 personnel 14:25 32:4 35:4,22 84:16 perspective 79:17 peskin 73:11 peter 101:16 philadelphia 13:23 13:25 14:3,10,12 14:12 30:16 phone 75:12 phonetic 73:12 physically 44:20 picard 1:6 2:5 4:8 pick 51:11 75:12 picked 115:20 picker 25:16.18 **picture** 41:17 piece 80:14,15 81:1 83:1 place 33:4 36:1 40:6 88:9 130:12 plaintiff 1:8 2:5 plan 109:24,24 110:17 112:15,18 112:19,23 113:22 114:13 play 39:22 plaza 1:19 2:3 4:6 please 44:17,22 64:1 pleasure 127:16 poetic 87:15 point 10:5 32:14 112:25 113:20 117:15 pointing 106:3 points 42:19 **political** 30:3,6 polk 2:7 4:24 5:1,3 42:1,15 43:12,23 120:20 pomerantz 71:3,13 pomerantzs 70:22 pool 62:15 pooled 52:4 pools 65:15 **poor** 43:18 portfolio 82:20 83:4 84:19,22,25 85:11 85:16,20 92:22 94:13,14,21 portfolios 84:19 portions 65:17 77:20 position 28:13 32:17 32:18 34:14 68:13

positioned 13:4 122:24 positive 25:13 27:10 possible 117:3 possibly 100:17 potential 81:22 103:13 potlach 12:22 potlatch 12:21 practice 16:3,16 practices 16:18 precluded 75:14 predominance 27:24 predominant 40:3 preliminary 65:13 **prelude** 76:22 premium 26:17 preparation 48:21 **prepare** 41:22 prepared 50:4 116:13 118:14 119:14,16,20,24 120:6 **preparing** 43:21,22 43:25 present 2:12 4:12 presentation 3:18 81:20 85:17 90:6 90:17,19 91:4 presented 76:17 86:10 88:4,7 president 31:10 32:20 pressure 52:14 presumably 63:2 pretrial 33:24 34:24 pretty 30:5 101:11 previously 3:23 76:13,14 98:6 109:20 111:20 price 13:5,7 24:21 29:22 primarily 17:3 122:23 124:20 125:6 primary 19:23 principals 83:17 84:5 102:6 print 117:21 printed 117:23,25 118:2 **printout** 118:14 **prior** 40:1 64:20 68:14 74:6 79:21 81:11 97:6 98:25 110:2 112:13

114:22 116:23 126:13 130:5 private 9:8 79:15 80:22,23 81:17 87:20,23,25 92:24 96:24 97:1,2 101:4 107:4,14,22,24,25 probably 6:7 10:1 16:3,6 25:23 40:12 41:4,5,7,14 47:5,5 47:7 49:3 119:18 119:21 problem 31:24 32:1 32:10,12 82:8 118:20 123:5 problems 30:3 proceed 4:16 proceeding 38:3 40:17 55:6.8 proceedings 36:7 39:3 64:7 78:10 proceeds 56:10 process 5:20 15:20 35:15 44:15 126:13 **processing** 69:15,21 produced 47:14 76:15,19 90:16 111:22 117:2 118:25 119:1 producer 29:4 producing 47:10 product 11:2 29:18 29:23 86:10 124:3 124:7,8,13,13 production 30:25 47:15 products 12:10,20 14:21 123:21 professional 11:2 62:13 72:17 73:20 86:2 95:21 114:4 professionals 74:3 93:8 95:15 106:14 107:10 **profile** 98:24 profitable 27:25 promoted 32:20 pronouncing 93:15 properly 26:14,25 124:3,11 **proposed** 122:22 proprietary 79:19 92:7 prospect 9:19 84:16 prospects 13:6

CONFIDENTIAL

JOHN D. MAINE 1/5/12

10

proved 129:13 provide 19:24 29:17 37:9 79:18 122:23 124:20 125:11 provided 20:2 38:3 provides 65:6,14 providing 38:12 79:16 **public** 1:17 51:5,6 107:4,14 129:22 130:4,22 published 114:9 **puff** 80:14 81:1 puffery 82:24 87:14 96:19 pure 38:19 purport 94:7 purports 81:15 90:19 96:16 98:7 98:10 purpose 52:25 61:17 63:2,20 67:18 103:15 120:5 129:17 **purposes** 59:7,14 60:23 65:25 66:17 81:13 90:14 109:21 116:19 **pursue** 7:15 put 13:19 24:15 32:10 48:1 56:25 80:4.9 83:25 90:20 101:25 103:19 115:14 118:7,16 124:5 putting 83:12 103:12 103:15 0 qatar 81:19,21 qualified 123:23 qualify 74:2 qualitative 67:5,6,11 67:19 68:12 quality 24:20 25:7 quantify 37:4 quantitative 67:14 67:19 68:12 quarter 59:2 65:24 queried 105:11 question 5:13 6:5 15:21 24:19 25:24 43:11 45:18,22 47:12 49:24 60:13 60:20 61:5 62:24 63:8 67:14 68:16

69:3 70:2 71:6.8 73:16 76:23 89:9 91:6,10 98:22 105:15 113:10 116:22 121:23 122:1,18 123:1 126:18 questioned 80:16 questions 5:8 6:1 24:16 43:18 45:11 59:10 77:3 79:8 106:21 108:20 110:6 112:9 114:20 120:18 122:5 quick 90:25 98:17 quickly 95:10 quite 10:1 quote 33:21 quotes 124:16 quoting 49:19 R raiding 35:5,5,23 raise 33:3 ran 7:22 74:2 range 37:21 rate 24:21,22 ratio 19:23,25 20:1 20:20 21:2 reach 72:19 reaction 95:19 read 11:20 54:17,19 54:23 55:1 59:9 60:22 64:11 65:4 73:9 78:4 79:7 86:5 87:7 88:5,8 91:1 92:16 107:7 109:21 112:6,6,8 121:25 122:17 123:10 126:9,10 129:1 reading 58:8 66:14 66:20 71:22 74:8 75:1 80:13 83:16 98:9 107:2 115:16 reads 59:13 79:10 122:18 126:10 real 74:4 79:16 92:21 92:21.22.24 97:3 101:3 103:21 realize 9:10 77:4 realized 99:20 really 7:4 20:16 26:3 33:1 43:13 49:13 55:7,13 74:5,22

83:6 85:13 86:6 97:3 99:16 109:1 111:9 112:3 113:14,23 reason 38:18 46:24 68:22 128:3 reasonable 13:7 40:12 64:19 124:12 rebuttal 3:16 70:11 70.16recall 46:19 70:24 117:8,10 receive 18:8 21:17 received 52:3,6 76:19 receiving 18:13 recess 45:6 89:25 108:16 127:11 recollection 49:12 recommendations 16:20recommended 64:18 record 4:2,13 10:18 15:2 37:25 41:20 42:9 45:3,5,8,10 48:14,18 51:1,19 57:8,16 59:10 64:3 64:6,9 70:15 75:2 76:10,11 77:7 78:7 78:9,12 79:8 81:6 82:9 89:24 90:2.9 90:14 93:24 98:2 100:13 101:11 108:15,18 114:9 116:7 120:12 121:13 122:18 126:9 127:10,13,19 recordkeeping 63:22 **records** 108:3 114:8 114:12 **recruit** 35:16 recruiting 14:25 red 82:3.5 **refer** 50:3 reference 13:11 references 90:17 referred 3:24 11:21 54:12 73:24 80:7 referring 53:21 66:3 70:23 71:16 73:7 87:11 96:24 100:18,20 101:7,9 101:10 refers 62:13,14 87:19

reflect 18:22 reg 123:24 regard 12:2 68:18,19 81:21 84:10 103:23 104:4 105:18 107:19 112:18 region 30:17,19,23 31:6 32:3 regional 10:9.21 31:6,7,12,19 32:2 32:17,21 register 52:16 53:9 53:12,18,24 54:4 registered 9:1,6 39:6 51:24 52:9,16,20 52:21,22,24 53:1,2 66:5 registering 54:8 registration 53:10 regular 10:19 25:2 52:2 53:4 regulated 15:7 regulations 15:11,11 15:14 53:18 124:6 regulators 15:7 52:14 53:13 regulatory 36:14 relate 63:15 102:19 related 7:19 125:21 relationship 31:23 32:2 39:8 52:7 108:24 relationships 39:6 relative 17:9 130:15 130:17 relatively 46:24 relied 46:10 54:12 remains 70:2 remember 28:4 39:10 41:4 45:14 49:7 69:6 75:15 117:4 remembering 85:18 render 68:17 rendering 115:2 122:6 repeating 48:12 report 3:14,16 43:25 44:12,18 48:21 49:20 50:4,12,22 50:23,25 51:2,4,4,9 68:20 69:3,4 70:9 70:11.16.22 122:7 reported 12:4 reporter 1:16 4:14

46:6 70:13 76:12 81:8 90:11 109:19 130:4 reporters 130:1 reporting 4:3 reports 5:8 6:24 7:2 41:23 43:22 44:10 47:2 49:5 50:22 73:23 74:4 115:2 117:3 represent 4:14 representative 9:2,6 represented 25:9,11 representing 4:3,24 5:1,3 23:21 116:18 **required** 54:4 76:8 requirement 53:17 63:22 requisite 66:25 reread 123:8.10 research 10:22 12:1 12:6 72:15 researched 10:23 resident 13:24 14:2 14:22 17:13 28:12 **resolve** 34:20 **respect** 54:22 123:5 **response** 6:1 51:6 123:20 responsibility 23:20 53:5 responsible 14:24 rest 39:3 restating 91:10 result 26:24 retail 9:18 13:12,24 14:17 17:15 18:6.7 20:5 22:17,25 31:14,24,25 57:17 124:7 retailization 122:20 124:17 retained 41:24,25 45:13 58:25 retainer 42:10 **retention** 42:17.23 42:25 43:3,8 45:15 45:16 retire 32:23 retired 32:22 115:13 retirement 46:1 109:23 retrieve 7:2 return 25:13 27:10 returned 13:22

reveal 18:25 19:2,3

CONFIDENTIAL

11

50:17 51:3	57:14 124:5,19	123:20	seth 43:13	123:1
revealed 76:16	run 19:21 84:15,19	security 15:10 91:25	settlement 64:20	single 22:19,21
		-		0
revenues 30:22,23	84:22	92:2	seven 28:16 30:8,11	sir 6:12 7:6,16 8:21
review 51:19 57:16	running 14:23 31:5	see 18:18,18 21:7	share 20:4	10:6 25:6 32:11
57:21,22 67:3,3	73:5 102:12	46:10 54:14 59:3,7	shares 64:17	39:15,18 41:10
reviewed 46:17		59:20 61:2 66:1,11	shear 124:23	48:17 50:5,7,10
48:21 49:24	<u> </u>	74:1 75:13 78:1	sheehan 2:4 3:5 4:17	54:15,20 66:2
ria 51:24	safe 65:6	79:5 82:21 83:18	4:17 5:6 45:1,9	70:25 76:21,25
rias 53:10	safeguard 122:25	84:18 86:21 87:5	46:4,7 47:7,16,19	78:3 79:6 81:12
right 6:13 7:7 10:1,3	sake 54:10 106:20	88:20,25 89:10	60:21 64:2 65:21	83:24 84:2 89:11
18:5,24 24:17 33:9	126:9	90:22 91:16 92:2,8	70:8 77:10,13 78:6	89:16 91:18,22
35:2 36:12 37:23	sale 105:3,8,12,13	92:12 93:9,13,19	78:13,20,22,25	95:8,13 97:14
37:24 38:9 39:13	sales 10:16 11:4 12:9	94:5 95:7,12,16	79:2,3 82:7 86:18	98:11,14 99:15
41:19 42:15 43:5	14:16,20,24 16:2	96:9,12,18 97:8,17	89:20 90:3,8 93:18	106:25 110:1,4,12
43:21 44:8,8 46:7	16:16,18	98:10 99:13 102:3	93:22 94:3 99:18	110:22,25 111:14
47:16,17 48:5,9,24	sample 46:17	102:6 103:19	100:8,19 101:13,21	113:8 114:24
49:21 57:14 60:1	san 10:15 11:4 34:8	106:13,15,16,24	109:14,17 117:16	115:3 116:10
61:4 64:25 65:21	34:8	107:5,9,24 109:25	119:9 120:16	119:15 122:3,8
66:13 70:2 76:20	sauce 104:16,17,20	110:11,17,20,21	121:14,19 127:8	126:1
76:22 79:1 81:17	saul 1:9 4:8 74:23	114:2 116:9	sheehen 108:19	sit 77:11
81:25 83:25 84:3	82:18 88:18 93:13	117:19 118:5	116:2 117:14	sitting 88:3
84:20 86:13 87:7	94:4 97:16 107:9	122:13	118:21 119:3,6,12	situation 17:15
87:13 89:17 92:19	107:17	seeing 95:20	120:25 121:3	21:14 22:15,15
94:3 97:12,25	saw 47:5 49:5 73:21	seen 11:17 27:23	127:5,14	34:17
98:15 100:2,4	saying 21:3 66:16,19	47:3 56:14 58:16	sheer 63:17	situations 35:24
101:23 102:23	66:22,23 75:14	76:23 79:21 80:7	sheets 115:6,14,20	38:11,19
106:1,4,17 109:14	103:3,5 108:5	80:10,12 81:11	116:12	six 7:25 26:16,18
110:5 113:10	124:18 125:14	83:22 91:20 95:18	shes 44:5 115:13	size 63:17 65:7
117:12,14,20 118:1	says 49:23 59:7	97:20 98:12,25	shocked 50:19	skill 27:3
119:3,8 121:15,17	60:20,23 64:13	105:10 110:2	short 89:19 104:11	skip 106:22
122:4,9,15 126:23	66:5 87:19 92:10	114:22 115:16	104:13 105:3,8,12	skipped 106:18
127:1,8	94:17 95:23 97:23	116:23,25 117:4,7	105:13	slipped 44:7
righthand 99:14	100:15 102:5	122:2	shorten 77:16	small 104:7,23
117:18	109:22 110:20	select 115:17	shot 7:24	117:17
risk 24:10 26:1,5,6,7	122:12 124:16	selected 103:20	shouldnt 22:14	smaller 53:10 115:19
26:8,10 67:24 68:6	125:3,4	104:1	26:22	smell 88:10
68:13 69:8 70:3	schneider 104:16	selection 21:25	show 7:1 58:11 74:1	smith 9:1,6,14 10:5,8
river 33:12	school 7:22	sell 23:23	115:15,17	13:9,22 14:2,11,11
rmr 1:16	schooled 124:3	selling 13:4 124:2,7	showed 27:22 87:3	14:13,14 17:14
robert 2:8	scrutiny 53:3	124:13,14 125:1	94:2	28:11,20 30:13,22
rockefeller 1:19 2:3	se 3:20 99:12 115:24	seminars 34:8	showing 58:15	32:22 46:1 52:15
4:6	sea 33:9	sends 62:1	shown 44:15	social 74:21
role 30:15 32:8 43:4	seal 129:19	senior 31:10 93:8	shows 51:13,14,17	sold 26:18 48:2,2,4
85:5 94:10,16	sec 36:13 52:22,23	94:8,17 95:3 97:7	side 9:18 30:6 34:18	solicited 21:8
roles 88:6	53:3,4,9,14 66:6	sense 49:2 71:15	38:22	solves 82:7
roman 46:9 86:23	124:3	106:11	sign 45:16	solves 02.7 solving 31:25 32:10
122:11,17	second 64:3 70:9	sentence 54:11	signature 128:1	32:12
rongierio 73:11	79:5 92:5 106:19	122:16	129:2	somebody 16:4,5
rongione 119:21	112:21 126:8	separate 44:19,20	signed 38:20 39:4,7	23:11 55:9,9 60:10
room 9:11 36:23	secret 104:16,17,19	103:10,24 121:16	signing 45:15	75:12 85:20,25
47:11	sections 49:6	series 81:19 124:5	similar 14:23 37:7	87:24 104:21
ruins 33:8	securities 1:7 7:20	served 13:23 67:19	71:11 87:3 104:14	107:23 115:21
rule 59:7,14 60:22	8:7 9:23 12:2 15:6	serviced 14:17	simple 21:3 55:13	118:16 119:20
60:22,23,23 63:2	33:19 37:8 38:13	services 125:12	simple 21.5 55.15	somebodys 108:3
65:11 66:1 122:22	52:15 53:6 55:10	sessions 8:12	simplistically 14:4	sophisticated 55:10
rules 5:22 15:10,11	66:7 88:7 94:22	set 10:21 11:3 130:12	simply 89:14 99:23	80:21 84:10
	I	I	1	I

CONFIDENTIAL

12

122:12,24 124:21 125:4 126:11 sophistication 79:25 102:9 sorry 37:22 49:14,18 49:19,22 63:9 64:2 67:21 68:22 78:22 78:22 81:7 93:4 112:5 117:22 125:7 sort 6:15 29:20,22 31:20 34:23 35:1 51:7 60:5 70:23 71:17 85:4 103:24 112:3 sounded 86:11 **sounds** 29:25 sour 22:11 source 29:22 sourcing 79:19 92:7 southern 1:2 4:9 **space** 85:8 speak 25:25 42:22 48:15 69:4 75:10 75:11 85:14 126:6 speakers 121:10 speaking 28:2 36:9 100:1 specialized 12:15 **specific** 12:2,15 21:14,25 49:7 51:11 52:24 55:19 57:13 124:10 specifically 28:3 52:11 73:7,18 92:4 spell 10:10 split 26:17 splitstrike 26:15,23 27:6,12,15 104:22 **spoke** 42:18 48:19 55:20,22,24 74:22 spoken 42:19 43:12 74:8 75:2 spreadsheet 118:3 sro 36:8,13,15 sros 36:7 51:5 124:4 sskw00012772893 3:21 120:15 ssmt01238214266 3:19 90:6 ssmt01855447584 3:17 81:5 stability 29:23 staffing 72:12 84:17 stamos 73:11.13 76:16 78:17 79:11

80:8,13 82:20 83:8 83:12,18 84:11 86:7 87:8 88:5,23 88:25 90:16 91:4 91:13 92:10 93:12 94:15 97:7,10 98:7 98:24 100:1,10,11 100:16,18,24 101:9 101:12,15,16,16,25 102:10 106:7,8,24 107:2,3,8,13,17 108:25 109:6,12 111:13 stamp 76:16 standpoint 60:4,5,6 66:24 72:11,12,12 73:5 stanley 94:22 staples 121:12 start 6:23 20:17 46:16,18 57:12 108:12 112:9 started 21:20 101:12 102:20,21 starting 70:19 88:9 91:3 112:6 starts 65:25 87:7 88:20 state 52:22 53:10,12 53:13 66:7 129:8 129:23 130:22 stated 122:19 statement 12:3 18:21 18:24 57:18,19 104:6 123:2 126:19 statements 18:9,13 19:20 23:9 47:6 49:1,9,23 52:4 57:22 108:10 states 1:2,17 4:9 40:15,17 51:14 130:4 statistical 17:6 statistically 126:24 stay 50:24 stealing 16:5 stearns 95:24 96:5 stenographically 130:11 steps 125:17 sterling 2:10 3:20 57:4,7,8 70:24 71:5.7 72:1.3.10.13 72:18 73:5 76:16 78:17 79:11,13,14

82:19 83:18 84:11 87:4,8,10 88:23,25 90:16 91:4,13 92:6 92:10,11 94:15 96:11 97:7 98:7,24 100:1,10,11,16,18 100:24 101:2,9,10 101:12,25 102:10 106:7,8,23 107:8 107:13,25 108:25 108:25 109:4,5,10 109:11,22,23 110:14 111:3,7,8 111:13,13 112:14 113:18 114:1 115:10,23 116:13 118:24 120:2 stewart 41:6,12 stewarts 41:8 sticking 65:16 stock 21:25 22:6 25:15,18 36:10 40:2 52:2 53:7 65:11 stocks 92:18 104:8 104:10 stop 26:10 90:21 100:3strategies 27:6,12 strategy 26:15,24 27:16 86:24 stretch 58:9 stretching 87:22 117:5 strictly 42:24 stripes 118:6 strongly 17:11 structure 109:2 111:10 115:10,10 struggling 117:16 stuck 25:6 studied 117:1 studies 105:16 study 23:11 77:12 105:20,23,24 126:21 stuff 120:10 subheading 64:13 67:1 subject 24:20 38:19 submitted 47:14 54:23 submitting 16:13 subparagraph 65:18 65:20 subregulatory 15:8

subscribed 129:15 substantial 31:23 substantive 43:14 74:24 success 27:7 114:3 successful 29:9 suggest 60:10 107:16 109:3 suggested 45:11 suggesting 18:25 53:22 60:16 114:1 suggestion 23:13 suggests 61:6 suitability 124:8 suitable 9:23 16:21 21:2 124:9,10 summary 96:8 109:24 supervision 32:4 53:6 supervisory 85:5 **supply** 29:22 sure 10:21,21 12:13 15:25,25 29:2 33:13,21 35:12 36:4 37:25 38:16 42:12 43:16 45:17 48:11 54:25 55:2 65:4 67:7 72:4 80:17 89:20 91:11 100:5 120:4 121:18 127:7 surprised 121:2 survey 105:11 swam 33:5 swear 4:15 switching 99:10 sworn 5:5 130:7 systems 23:9 Т **t579076** 3:20 115:24 tab 82:10 88:15 93:3 93:4 99:4 106:11 110:10 tabbed 86:17,19 90:12 106:5 110:7 table 73:17 123:6 tabless 99:8 tabs 82:3.5 91:15 99:5.6 take 6:14,18 12:13 29:17 30:16 36:1 36:16 45:2 52:5 77:1,2,5 82:13 85:24 89:18,20

90:25 98:17 100:6 111:24 121:11 126:9 taken 1:15 41:18 45:6 89:25 108:16 127:11 130:11 takes 62:1 talk 8:16 28:11 34:10 41:21 42:15 75:4,7 88:10 121:16 talked 5:9 28:12 43:7 43:9,24 74:17 94:1 talking 7:5 11:8 26:16 43:22 45:24 65:24 68:11 71:8 100:23 124:15 talks 58:24 89:13 100:11 102:1 107:1 target 13:7 tautology 105:25 tax 64:23 65:8 taxable 14:18.19 taxfree 14:19 team 93:9 94:8,18 95:3 97:8,24 103:22 technical 12:17.19 tell 6:8 9:13 12:11 19:9 27:19 56:18 57:3,13 72:1 77:23 83:7 99:9 113:17 118:15 123:15 templeton 10:14,15 ten 47:5 49:3 89:21 89:21 102:14 123:19 tends 126:14 term 11:7 15:4 16:22 16:25 43:1 55:3 56:1 59:14 60:7,24 63:12 66:3,20 67:7 73:6 87:22 115:6,8 terminology 11:17 terms 11:13 24:14 26:2 30:9 32:3 35:1 43:4 44:19 47:4 74:20 87:3 89:3 103:25 terribly 125:7 test 88:11 116:2 **testified** 49:20 52:8 testify 43:3 130:7 testifying 98:9 101:1 116:8.15 testimony 1:15 33:22

CONFIDENTIAL

13

24.4 27.10 29.2 12	00.20 100.10	100.10 115.12 14	Arrial 11.6 12	undonnooth 50.0
34:4 37:10 38:3,12	99:20 100:19	108:18 115:13,14	trial 41:6,12	underneath 59:6
40:5 42:11 43:21	101:7,8 106:5	117:7 126:10	triggers 53:17	understand 6:6
43:25 71:23 73:2,8	114:6,9 124:22	127:10,13,19	triple 39:1	16:25 20:8,11
73:19 81:23 87:13	theyve 114:2	130:12	tripped 23:8	26:24 27:24 34:11
87:19 96:23	thing 6:20 20:20	times 5:15 18:1	trouble 24:16 26:3	34:15 35:11 36:23
102:23 104:4,18	39:13 73:22 94:19	20:21,22 21:1	trout 33:4,5 45:23	37:25 42:2 43:11
113:5 115:16	97:1 102:19	37:18 38:8,22,23	true 47:6 101:13	51:20 55:15 56:2
116:19 125:20,22	103:11 106:1	38:23 39:2,19	129:3 130:10	57:15 58:21 67:5,7
130:11	108:2	40:20	trust 59:18	68:15 72:1 76:18
texas 28:21	things 8:7 21:5,9,25	title 31:5 97:7 99:23	trustee 1:6 2:5 3:14	81:18,23 83:11
thank 35:8 45:22	30:2 31:21 46:25	titles 95:4,5	3:15,16,17,18,20	84:21 85:2 89:2
60:21,24 65:21	47:3 50:23 63:10	today 4:3 5:8,24 7:5	3:21,23,23,23,23	91:3,7 94:10 98:19
77:14 79:2 82:12	69:17,21 72:16	36:21 41:22 42:11	4:18,20,22 5:10	98:23 100:3 101:1
93:24 106:3	80:5 84:15 85:10	43:6,7,25 44:7	44:11,12,15 54:24	103:14 105:2
109:18 127:14,17	85:16	76:24 79:22 81:11	58:13 70:11 76:13	113:9,11 116:11
thanks 44:10 46:7	think 6:19 7:24	91:21 98:13 99:1	81:4 90:5,11 98:6	118:22 123:9,12,13
98:1 108:13	11:25 12:25 13:6	110:2 111:23	109:16,20 111:18	123:17
111:15 127:8	20:10 25:17 28:4	112:13 114:22	111:20 114:23	understandable 6:10
thats 6:5 7:4 10:1,13	28:12 32:10 35:11	116:23 122:2	115:23 120:14	understanding 52:10
11:16 12:22 24:16	37:19 42:6 45:19	127:15	trusteed 112:20	52:12,19 59:22
24:25,25 28:23	46:23 49:10 51:22	told 75:7 123:14	trustees 110:20	61:5,6 66:13 73:3
29:5 33:18 35:8	55:21,23 65:14	top 91:25 94:17	113:12	74:7 75:25 76:4,9
37:23,23 39:23	66:22,23 73:12,12	95:11	truth 130:7,8,8	83:13 108:24
43:16 48:23 49:15	73:13 74:20,21,24	topdown 12:24	try 6:10 9:20,22 34:1	109:2,9 110:23
52:17,21 55:12	75:10 77:18 80:6	total 7:25 59:18 61:1	35:21 37:4 43:18	111:1,3,5,6,12
57:2,19 60:20 61:5	82:6 87:15 88:12	totally 21:2 22:12	67:23 77:16 89:21	112:14,17,22
61:19,22 62:24	91:20 96:9 100:19	62:15	124:1	115:12 116:17
67:1,21,21 69:20	100:21,22,25 101:5	tpg 87:24	trying 22:10 33:3	123:14 125:8
71:21 76:4,9,13,17		trade 22:25 46:18	34:19 39:24 43:17	understood 60:8
77:13,25 78:2 81:8	101:8,11,14,15,18 102:18,21 103:17	47:4,20 48:1,6,16	72:16 123:7	124:13
	-			undertake 126:12
81:22,23 82:5	103:23 105:9	57:23 60:8 69:18	124:23 125:9,10	
84:20 85:4 86:17	107:20,21,23 112:2	69:22,22 71:23	turn 46:3 68:19	union 29:16
86:18 87:10,18	112:25 114:6,10,11	trader 21:1	110:7,19 126:7	unique 79:18 92:7
90:10,20 93:6	115:13,18,20 119:1	trades 17:20 19:3	turned 20:22	united 1:2 4:9 40:15
96:23 97:6,23 98:6	119:18,21 120:8	21:7 69:5,10,12,14	turning 78:1	40:17
100:5,25 101:1,13	123:12 124:15,22	69:16,16 70:1,4	turnover 19:23	units 30:25
106:9 108:7 111:3	125:10 127:5	trading 14:18,19,20	20:20 21:1 22:1	universally 21:22
111:20 113:14	third 30:21	18:3,22 19:1,11	turns 34:3	22:9
116:17 123:5,9	thought 29:9 42:4	21:2 22:6 35:25	two 6:24 7:2,24	unsolicited 21:8
124:15 125:16	74:3 93:15 100:12	traditional 55:11	13:16 14:12 29:21	unsuitable 124:2
126:3	114:21	69:19	31:2,3 35:11 73:15	unusual 47:22
theoretically 26:19	thousand 30:24	traditionally 123:23	75:22 76:7 94:20	unusually 25:15
theory 92:22	three 7:23,24 42:21	training 8:12 74:6	107:7 111:21	upfront 35:17
theres 17:21 19:11	43:6 81:10 121:9	86:2	112:20 115:2	upper 117:18
20:1 22:6 26:8	121:12	transaction 34:19	118:14	upside 116:4
32:11 34:18 38:25	threeyear 7:23	35:1 37:9 38:14	type 34:14 36:23	use 48:3 72:10 73:5
44:20 54:11 57:8	thresholds 17:7,9	64:21 72:5	123:21	users 29:15
59:2,6 63:22 97:3	thursday 1:19	transactional 72:6,7	types 72:15	usually 21:17 34:13
99:12,24 102:11	tickets 71:24	transactionbased	typing 117:17	
theyll 22:5 36:17	time 4:4,12 13:19	35:7		V
theyre 21:23 22:2,8	14:14 29:12 30:22	transactions 17:3	U	vague 62:24
24:20 27:5 44:20	33:2 42:16 43:5	64:16	ubs 52:15	vaguely 116:25
53:17 58:4 60:7,15	45:5,8 46:19 50:20	transcript 1:15 3:24	ultimate 32:2 53:5	validity 50:23
61:11,17,18 62:11	64:6,9 77:5,21	130:10	umhum 102:16	valuation 126:16
83:3 89:5 93:25	78:9,12 89:20,24	tremendous 29:12	104:9	value 34:2 104:8,23
95:3,15 97:22,23	90:2 99:7 108:15	46:23	unclear 53:20 71:14	varied 22:15

CONFIDENTIAL

14

	-			
varies 37:14,14	114:16	98:21 112:11	102:14 114:3	1099s 49:16,17,24
various 15:7,10	ways 61:17	116:4 119:10	123:19	11 64:6,9 68:24 78:9
42:19 47:2 53:8	wealthy 122:23	121:7 127:16	yellow 118:6,23	78:12 89:24 90:2
	124:20 125:4,13	121.7 127.10	yesterday 117:20	108:18
69:17 103:24				
113:12	website 50:9	woman 115:9 119:17	york 1:2,17,19,19	110 3:23 76:14
vary 21:18,18	wed 19:25 20:16	won 22:22	2:3,3,8 4:7,7,10	115 3:20
varying 27:7	21:4,5	wong 93:12,12,14	11:6 31:2 36:10	11cv03605 1:3 4:10
vast 114:14	weeks 7:24,25	wont 48:18 90:13	40:2 53:7 65:11	12 3:20 108:15
vehicles 113:13	weiss 94:23	word 87:2,2 97:23	130:4,22	115:24
venture 29:21 33:16	went 7:23 10:9 13:20	124:16 126:22	youd 20:13 88:10,11	120 3:21
verbalize 6:1,3	25:16 28:21 30:13	words 10:10 12:24	95:18 97:20 121:2	13 106:5,6,6,8
versus 4:8 5:11 13:5	32:19	14:24 16:13 19:22	youll 38:5 56:17	15th 76:14
vice 31:10 32:20	west 10:9,23 32:25	20:18 27:9 48:1	103:19 110:20	187 3:23 98:4,7
videographer 2:14	weve 5:9 28:12 43:23	53:4 60:6 63:1	112:9 113:17	1964 7:12
4:1 10:2 45:4,7	44:21 45:24	74:18 83:9 85:7,13	youre 24:14,25 25:18	1981 28:18
64:5,8 78:8,11	weyerhaeuser 10:25	85:16 86:3 89:5	25:25 26:2,4,4,5,5	1982 28:18
89:23 90:1 108:14	12:21	92:21 97:22	26:6,7,19 28:2,11	1985 32:19
108:17 127:9,12,18	wharton 7:22	104:21 105:5	34:16,19,23 36:9	1990 32:22,23 46:1
videotaped 1:7	whats 37:25 46:4	107:15,22 125:14	36:19,21 42:11	
view 96:25 113:20	55:11 58:24 61:14	wordsmith 34:25	43:8 65:21 68:12	2
viewed 114:3	96:7 104:19 108:9	work 11:24 28:21	83:16 87:24 88:3,3	2 45:8 64:13 66:5
virginia 30:25	108:10	33:4,18,21 34:3	88:6 103:12 104:4	67:1 81:8 89:24
virtually 50:19	whatsoever 26:8	35:4,5,6 36:6,24	116:6	20 100:20 101:3
	whos 55:9 61:24	37:12,16 38:7 43:8	youve 5:8 7:3 8:9	102:2
W	62:14	45:25	10:20 11:20 26:12	2000s 52:13
wagner 2:9 5:2,2	wife 25:21,22 44:3	worked 8:11 14:21	26:18 27:19 37:9	2003 100:17 101:12
42:19 101:17	wilpon 5:11 49:10	29:25	37:18 38:7 44:15	109:25
walk 20:13 70:17	62:17 71:9 73:10	world 8:24	51:20 57:10 74:8	2004 90:20
82:1 91:14	75:19 79:14,18	worry 90:13	75:2,3 76:1 83:22	2006 51:23 52:8 54:8
want 5:12,21 6:8,14	80:1,20 95:11,16	worth 26:17 62:18	94:22 98:5 99:16	2012 1:20 4:4 117:19
9:10 13:9 15:3	95:20 96:4 103:21	wouldnt 20:10 23:20	111:19 116:4	130:24
28:8 38:24 39:22	106:15 107:1,3,9	wow 22:5 99:21	yup 78:25	203 66:6
46:12 48:12 57:12	107:17,18 113:6	writeup 114:2		21 36:24
58:11 70:17 77:1	win 24:7,13 27:13	written 123:4	Z	23 108:15
77:11 100:6 104:3	winner 23:3	wrong 11:25 100:12	zuberi 2:4 4:19,19	24 78:9,12
108:12 112:6,7,8	winning 24:1,5 25:1	wrote 10:23 12:1,6	70:10 78:19 98:3	250 30:23 121:14
120:17 121:25	wise 2:8 4:23,23 5:23	41:11	109:16 111:18	254 3:14 44:11,12,15
wanted 33:1,2	23:4,16 42:18		115:22 117:21,23	46:5
118:12	60:12,19 63:7	X	118:1,4,8,11,19	255 3:15 58:12,13,16
wants 31:22 32:7	65:19,22 77:6,11		120:13,23	256 3:16 70:10,11,14
wardell 120:20	77:14,19 78:21,24	<u> </u>		257 3:17 81:4,9
wardwell 2:7 120:22	79:1 82:6 86:16,20	yeah 10:12 36:2	0	258 3:18 90:4,5,11
120:25 121:4	93:17,20,25 99:16	37:20 38:16,16	02 64:6	259 3:20 115:22,23
washington 31:3	100:5,9,14 101:5	49:21 69:7 73:9	04 64:9	116:9
wasnt 87:18 106:9	101:15,19 116:1,3	77:10 83:11 84:13	07 3:20 115:24	260 3:21 120:13,14
111:10 114:25	117:13,15,22,24	91:8,11 93:4 99:18	1	121:15
115:1	118:2,5,9,12,20	106:8,9 118:19	1	260a 121:16,21
watched 25:12	119:1,4,8 120:22	125:6	1 45:5 108:18 109:25	27 45:5
way 7:7 8:13 21:6	121:2,5,9,11,18	year 27:22,22 76:15	117:19 127:10,13	3
34:2 36:15 54:5	127:7,17	years 7:23,25 9:16	127:19	
60:2 61:10 70:24	wises 77:18	13:16 28:14,16	10 45:5,8 68:20	3 59:16 82:15 86:25
73:2 74:2 75:5	wished 75:18	29:10 35:15,19	106:22	90:2 117:19
76:19 79:24 96:1	witness 2:10 3:2 4:11	36:25 44:25 58:7	100 43:10 63:19,24	122:11 30 77:0 70:13 80:5
96:16 99:14	4:15 33:19 39:16	79:13 80:6 83:21	72:3,9	30 77:9 79:13 80:5
101:23 104:20	40:16 41:16 76:12 81:14 89:18 91:5	92:11 100:16,20	10017 2:8	30page 77:12 31 3:20 115:24
107:22 113:4,19,24	01.14 07.10 71.3	101:2,3,4,20 102:2	10111 2:3	31 3:20 115:24
	I	I	I	I

PICARD v. KATZ,	et al.	CONFIDENTIAL	JOHN D.	15 MAINE 1/5/12
34 3:23 111:17,18,20 114:23 35 127:10 39 1:20 4:4 45:8 $$	9 1:20 4:4 68:24 90 3:19 95 3:23 109:16,17,20			