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|  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We | 09:38:20 | 1 | questions. I'd ask that you verbalize your response | 09:41:21 |
| 2 | are now on the record. My name is Daniel McClutchy | 09:39:07 | 2 | because a head nod or whatever could be | 09:41:23 |
| 3 | representing Bendish Reporting. The date today is | 09:39:12 | 3 | misinterpreted, so if you verbalize, it makes it | 09:41:26 |
| 4 | January 5th, 2012 and the time is approximately 9:39 | 09:39:15 | 4 | better. | 09:41:26 |
| 5 | a.m. This deposition is being held at Baker | 09:39:20 | 5 | If I have a question that's | 09:41:29 |
| 6 | Hostetler, located at 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New | 09:39:24 | 6 | complicated or that you can't understand it, which | 09:41:30 |
| 7 | York, New York. The caption of this case is Irving | 09:39:27 | 7 | is probably not unlikely, then that -- I ask you to | 09:41:32 |
| 8 | Picard versus Saul B. Katz, et al. This case is | 09:39:30 | 8 | tell me that. I don't want you to guess at what I'm | 09:41:35 |
| 9 | filed in the United States District Court, Southern | 09:39:34 | 9 | asking you, whatever, and your counsel may very well | 09:41:37 |
| 10 | District of New York, Case No. 11-CV-03605 | 09:39:37 | 10 | object in that it's not understandable, and I'll try | 09:41:40 |
| 11 | (JSR)(HBP). The name of the witness is John Maine. | 09:39:45 | 11 | to clarify it and make it clear. Okay? | 09:41:44 |
| 12 | At this time the attorneys present | 09:39:48 | 12 | A. Yes, sir. | 09:41:46 |
| 13 | will identify themselves for the record and the | 09:39:50 | 13 | Q. All right. And, you know, it may | 09:41:47 |
| 14 | parties they represent, and our court reporter, | 09:39:53 | 14 | very well be that you want to take a break, because | 09:41:47 |
| 15 | Nancy Bendish, will swear in the witness and we can | 09:39:55 | 15 | I sort of get enthusiastic about what we're doing | 09:41:50 |
| 16 | proceed. | 09:39:58 | 16 | here and then you decide we're going a little too | 09:41:53 |
| 17 | MR. SHEEHAN: David Sheehan with | 09:40:00 | 17 | long, just let me know. And anybody else who needs | 09:41:55 |
| 18 | Baker Hostetler for the Trustee. | 09:40:01 | 18 | that, just let me know and we'll take a break. Bio | 09:41:58 |
| 19 | MS. ZUBERI: Madiha Zuberi with Baker | 09:40:06 | 19 | breaks are always a good idea and I think it's a | 09:42:01 |
| 20 | Hostetler for the Trustee. | 09:40:07 | 20 | good thing that we should have that available. Just | 09:42:04 |
| 21 | MR. KORNFELD: Mark Kornfeld, Baker | 09:40:08 | 21 | let me know. Okay? | 09:42:06 |
| 22 | Hostetler for the Trustee. | 09:40:10 | 22 | A. Certainly. | 09:42:07 |
| 23 | MR. WISE: It's Bob Wise of Davis | 09:40:10 | 23 | Q. Okay. Let me start off by -- do | 09:42:07 |
| 24 | Polk representing the defendants. | 09:40:13 | 24 | we have -- by asking, do we have the two reports? | 09:42:09 |
| 25 | MS. HOWARD: Lauren Howard of Davis | 09:40:16 | 25 | Okay. Just get those out. | 09:42:14 |
|  |  | 5 |  |  | 7 |
| 1 | Polk representing the defendants. | 09:40:17 | 1 | The -- I'm going to show you in a | 09:42:15 |
| 2 | MS. WAGNER: Karen Wagner, Davis | 09:40:19 | 2 | moment, once we retrieve them, the two reports that | 09:42:16 |
| 3 | Polk, representing the defendants. | 09:40:19 | 3 | you've issued in this case, ask you to identify | 09:42:19 |
| 4 |  | 09:40:19 | 4 | them, because that's what we're going to really be | 09:42:21 |
| 5 | J OHN D A VENPORT M AIN E, sworn. | 09:40:35 | 5 | talking about here today, okay? | 09:42:23 |
| 6 | EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEEHAN: | 09:40:35 | 6 | A. Yes, sir. | 09:42:25 |
| 7 | Q. Mr. Maine, I'm going to ask you a few | 09:40:37 | 7 | Q. All right. Just by way of | 09:42:25 |
| 8 | questions today about the reports that you've given | 09:40:39 | 8 | background, though, while we're digging those out, | 09:42:26 |
| 9 | in this case that we've just talked about here in | 09:40:43 | 9 | could you give me the benefit of a description of | 09:42:29 |
| 10 | identifying ourselves. It's actually the Trustee | 09:40:47 | 10 | your educational background. | 09:42:31 |
| 11 | versus Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Katz and a number of other | 09:40:51 | 11 | A. Yes. I graduated from Dartmouth | 09:42:32 |
| 12 | defendants. And before I do so, I want to ask you a | 09:40:53 | 12 | College in 1964 with a degree in history. I | 09:42:37 |
| 13 | question. Have you ever been deposed before? | 09:40:55 | 13 | graduated magna cum laude. | 09:42:39 |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 09:40:57 | 14 | Q. Okay. And following your graduation | 09:42:42 |
| 15 | Q. How many times? | 09:40:57 | 15 | from Dartmouth, did you pursue any other degrees? | 09:42:43 |
| 16 | A. I don't know. 40, 50, something like | 09:41:01 | 16 | A. No, sir. | 09:42:47 |
| 17 | that. | 09:41:02 | 17 | Q. Other than the Dartmouth education, | 09:42:48 |
| 18 | Q. Okay. I'm going to assume, | 09:41:03 | 18 | did you attend any other courses, such as industry | 09:42:51 |
| 19 | therefore, with that experience, that you have some | 09:41:05 | 19 | courses that might be related to an industry, such | 09:42:56 |
| 20 | knowledge about the process we're about to engage | 09:41:07 | 20 | as the securities industry or anything along those | 09:42:59 |
| 21 | in. But notwithstanding that, I want to just have a | 09:41:09 | 21 | lines? | 09:43:02 |
| 22 | couple of ground rules just so we're clear and | 09:41:12 | 22 | A. The Wharton Business School ran a | 09:43:03 |
| 23 | Mr. Wise and I are clear on where we're going to be | 09:41:15 | 23 | three-year -- a course that went for three years, | 09:43:05 |
| 24 | going here today, okay? | 09:41:18 | 24 | but it was, I think, two weeks at a shot for three | 09:43:08 |
| 25 | I'm going to be, as I say, asking you | 09:41:18 | 25 | years, so a total of six weeks. | 09:43:11 |


|  |  | 8 |  |  | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Okay. And when did you attend that | 09:43:13 | 1 | Q. That's quite all right. Probably | 09:45:06 |
| 2 | course? | 09:43:14 | 2 | bother the videographer a lot more than me. But he | 09:45:09 |
| 3 | A. Sometime in the '70s. | 09:43:15 | 3 | looks okay down there, all right. | 09:45:13 |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And what was the focus of that | 09:43:16 | 4 | After you left -- I assume at some | 09:45:15 |
| 5 | course? | 09:43:18 | 5 | point you left Smith Barney? | 09:45:18 |
| 6 | A. Would have been just general | 09:43:21 | 6 | A. Yes, sir. | 09:45:20 |
| 7 | securities, investments, things such as that. | 09:43:23 | 7 | Q. Okay. Where did you go after you | 09:45:21 |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Other than that course that | 09:43:26 | 8 | left Smith Barney? | 09:45:23 |
| 9 | you've just described for us, any other formal or | 09:43:29 | 9 | A. I went to a West Coast-based regional | 09:45:25 |
| 10 | informal education that you may have engaged in? | 09:43:33 | 10 | firm named Mitchum, and I'll spell these words as we | 09:45:27 |
| 11 | A. Well, in the firms I worked for, of | 09:43:37 | 11 | go along -- | 09:45:32 |
| 12 | course we had a lot of training sessions along the | 09:43:39 | 12 | Q. Yeah, that would be good. | 09:45:32 |
| 13 | way. So that -- | 09:43:41 | 13 | A. -- if that's acceptable. | 09:45:32 |
| 14 | Q. Okay. | 09:43:43 | 14 | M-i-t-c-h-u-m, Mitchum, Jones \& Templeton, | 09:45:35 |
| 15 | A. -- would be the other. | 09:43:43 | 15 | T-e-m-p-l-e-t-o-n, in San Francisco and my job there | 09:45:40 |
| 16 | Q. We're going to talk about your | 09:43:45 | 16 | was national institutional sales manager. | 09:45:43 |
| 17 | employment history, so maybe we can cover that then, | 09:43:46 | 17 | Q. Okay. And, again, just for the | 09:45:47 |
| 18 | okay. | 09:43:48 | 18 | record, could you give us a description of what your | 09:45:49 |
| 19 | Other than that, is there anything | 09:43:49 | 19 | duties were on a regular basis as -- in that | 09:45:52 |
| 20 | else? | 09:43:50 | 20 | capacity that you've just described. | 09:45:55 |
| 21 | A. No, sir. | 09:43:50 | 21 | A. Sure, sure. I set up a regional | 09:45:56 |
| 22 | Q. Okay, fine. | 09:43:52 | 22 | institutionally-based research operation, which | 09:46:02 |
| 23 | So, when you leave Dartmouth, you | 09:43:53 | 23 | meant that we researched and wrote analytics on West | 09:46:04 |
| 24 | enjoy -- join the employment world. What was your | 09:43:57 | 24 | Coast firms. That would include Bank America, | 09:46:10 |
| 25 | first job? | 09:44:00 | 25 | Weyerhaeuser, Boise Cascade, Hewlett Packard, Intel, | 09:46:16 |
|  |  | 9 |  |  | 11 |
| 1 | A. With Smith Barney as a registered | 09:44:01 | 1 | companies such as that. And then we marketed this | 09:46:20 |
| 2 | representative. | 09:44:04 | 2 | product to institutional investors, professional | 09:46:24 |
| 3 | Q. And when was that? | 09:44:04 | 3 | investors around the country. And I set up five | 09:46:27 |
| 4 | A. Immediately upon graduation. | 09:44:05 | 4 | sales offices, one in San Francisco, one in Los | 09:46:31 |
| 5 | Q. Okay. And what were your duties as a | 09:44:08 | 5 | Angeles, one in Chicago, one in Boston and one in | 09:46:34 |
| 6 | registered representative of Smith Barney? | 09:44:10 | 6 | New York. | 09:46:38 |
| 7 | A. I handled individual accounts for | 09:44:13 | 7 | Q. Since this is a term that we're going | 09:46:43 |
| 8 | private investors. | 09:44:16 | 8 | to be talking about, could you give me your | 09:46:45 |
| 9 | Q. Okay. And could you -- just, I don't | 09:44:18 | 9 | definition of what you mean by an institutional | 09:46:47 |
| 10 | want to break this down too much because I realize a | 09:44:22 | 10 | investor? | 09:46:49 |
| 11 | lot of people in this room are very knowledgeable | 09:44:24 | 11 | A. Certainly. It's someone who is | 09:46:50 |
| 12 | and that we assume a lot of knowledge, but could you | 09:44:26 | 12 | compensated for handling investments, in -- in the | 09:46:52 |
| 13 | just tell me on a day-to-day basis what you would do | 09:44:29 | 13 | most simplistic terms. | 09:46:58 |
| 14 | at Smith Barney. | 09:44:32 | 14 | Q. Is that -- is there a basis for that | 09:47:01 |
| 15 | A. Well, of course it changed over the | 09:44:33 | 15 | definition? | 09:47:05 |
| 16 | years, because as I was there, then I accumulated | 09:44:35 | 16 | A. Just industry -- that's just the | 09:47:06 |
| 17 | some institutional accounts. | 09:44:38 | 17 | industry terminology. I've never seen it codified | 09:47:08 |
| 18 | But just focusing on the retail side | 09:44:40 | 18 | anyplace. | 09:47:12 |
| 19 | of it, I would, first of all, obviously prospect for | 09:44:42 | 19 | Q. Okay. So it's not based on any | 09:47:13 |
| 20 | clients, try and build my client base. And with my | 09:44:46 | 20 | literature that you've read or documents you may | 09:47:15 |
| 21 | clients I would identify their needs and objectives | 09:44:49 | 21 | have referred to? | 09:47:18 |
| 22 | and then try and match those needs and objectives | 09:44:52 | 22 | A. No. But anyone in the industry would | 09:47:19 |
| 23 | with whatever securities I felt were suitable. | 09:44:56 | 23 | give you the same exact definition. | 09:47:21 |
| 24 | Q. Okay. | 09:44:59 | 24 | Q. Okay. Getting back to the work you | 09:47:23 |
| 25 | A. Excuse me, I do have a cough. | 09:45:04 | 25 | were doing, I think my notes may be wrong, so you | 09:47:29 |


|  |  | 12 |  |  | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | correct me, but you did research and wrote | 09:47:33 | 1 | Q. And again, what were your duties as | 09:49:43 |
| 2 | analyticals with regard to specific securities | 09:47:35 | 2 | the resident manager of Smith Barney in | 09:49:45 |
| 3 | offerings. Is that a fair statement? | 09:47:38 | 3 | Philadelphia? | 09:49:48 |
| 4 | A. The people who reported to me -- | 09:47:40 | 4 | A. I could simplistically say to manage | 09:49:49 |
| 5 | Q. Oh, did that. | 09:47:40 | 5 | the office. But to give you a little bit more | 09:49:51 |
| 6 | A. -- did the research and wrote the | 09:47:43 | 6 | color -- | 09:49:53 |
| 7 | analytics. | 09:47:44 | 7 | Q. No. I assumed you were doing that, | 09:49:54 |
| 8 | Q. Okay. | 09:47:45 | 8 | but on your day-to-day basis, what did you do, | 09:49:55 |
| 9 | A. And then we also had a sales force | 09:47:46 | 9 | Mr. Maine? | 09:49:57 |
| 10 | that then marketed these products. | 09:47:48 | 10 | A. The Philadelphia office was the | 09:49:58 |
| 11 | Q. Well, the analytics, can you tell me | 09:47:50 | 11 | largest office in Smith Barney. Smith Barney was | 09:50:00 |
| 12 | what you mean by that? | 09:47:52 | 12 | founded in Philadelphia by two old Philadelphia | 09:50:03 |
| 13 | A. Well, sure. You would take a company | 09:47:55 | 13 | gentlemen, E.B. Smith and C.D. Barney. And it was | 09:50:08 |
| 14 | such as Hewlett Packard. We would have analysts who | 09:47:56 | 14 | the largest office at Smith Barney at that time. We | 09:50:11 |
| 15 | specialized in specific industries so they'd have a | 09:48:03 | 15 | had about a hundred folks in the office. | 09:50:14 |
| 16 | broader base of knowledge. | 09:48:06 | 16 | We had a combination sales force that | 09:50:16 |
| 17 | So we would have a technical analyst | 09:48:08 | 17 | serviced retail clients, institutional clients. We | 09:50:19 |
| 18 | who would look at Hewlett Packard and Intel and | 09:48:10 | 18 | had a fixed income trading desk and we had a taxable | 09:50:26 |
| 19 | technical companies such as that. We might have | 09:48:15 | 19 | fixed income trading desk. So taxable and tax-free | 09:50:34 |
| 20 | another Forest products analyst who would look at | 09:48:17 | 20 | fixed income trading desk and a sales force that | 09:50:38 |
| 21 | Boise Cascade and Weyerhaeuser and Potlatch Forest. | 09:48:20 | 21 | worked with those products. | 09:50:39 |
| 22 | That's P-o-t-l-a-c-h. | 09:48:23 | 22 | And my -- my job as the resident | 09:50:42 |
| 23 | And they would look at these | 09:48:29 | 23 | manager is similar to running your own business. In | 09:50:47 |
| 24 | companies, do a top-down approach, in other words, | 09:48:31 | 24 | other words, I was responsible for sales, | 09:50:49 |
| 25 | where do we think the macro economy is going and | 09:48:33 | 25 | compliance, operations, recruiting, personnel, | 09:50:52 |
|  |  | 13 |  |  | 15 |
| 1 | then how that will devolve into the individual | 09:48:36 | 1 | expense control. | 09:50:56 |
| 2 | industries. And then within the individual | 09:48:40 | 2 | (Comments off the record.) | 09:51:08 |
| 3 | industries, which companies seem the best | 09:48:42 | 3 | Q. The only one I want you to give me an | 09:51:08 |
| 4 | positioned, which companies seem to be selling at | 09:48:47 | 4 | inside into, what did you mean by the term | 09:51:11 |
| 5 | the cheapest price versus their earnings, and which | 09:48:49 | 5 | "compliance"? | 09:51:14 |
| 6 | have the best growth prospects, what we think a | 09:48:52 | 6 | A. Well, the securities industry is a | 09:51:17 |
| 7 | reasonable price target would be. And that would be | 09:48:56 | 7 | highly regulated industry, and by various regulators | 09:51:19 |
| 8 | the analytics. | 09:48:58 | 8 | and sub-regulatory organizations. And so compliance | 09:51:27 |
| 9 | Q. Okay. I want to go back to Smith | 09:48:59 | 9 | would mean making certain that my brokers and other | 09:51:31 |
| 10 | Barney for just one minute. The customers that you | 09:49:01 | 10 | employees complied with various security rules and | 09:51:35 |
| 11 | were, and I'm directing your reference to there, | 09:49:08 | 11 | regulations, and in-house rules and regulations. | 09:51:40 |
| 12 | were they retail or institutional customers? | 09:49:09 | 12 | Q. In connection with that, did you ever | 09:51:43 |
| 13 | A. Both. | 09:49:12 | 13 | deal with complaints about failure to comply with | 09:51:46 |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And how long were you with | 09:49:13 | 14 | those regulations? | 09:51:49 |
| 15 | Mitchum? | 09:49:15 | 15 | A. Certainly. | 09:51:50 |
| 16 | A. With Mitchum for two years. | 09:49:16 | 16 | Q. And who would those complaints be | 09:51:50 |
| 17 | Q. And when that came to an end what, if | 09:49:20 | 17 | made by? | 09:51:54 |
| 18 | anything, did you do after that? | 09:49:22 | 18 | A. Excuse me down there. | 09:51:54 |
| 19 | A. That was, to put it in time frame, | 09:49:24 | 19 | Well, it would depend upon the nature | 09:52:00 |
| 20 | that was in '74. Mitchum went out of business. | 09:49:27 | 20 | of a complaint. If it was a -- and in our process, | 09:52:03 |
| 21 | Q. Okay. | 09:49:29 | 21 | I'll answer the question and then give you a little | 09:52:07 |
| 22 | A. And I returned to Smith Barney in | 09:49:31 | 22 | elucidation -- | 09:52:10 |
| 23 | Philadelphia, which is where I had served as a | 09:49:34 | 23 | Q. Okay. | 09:52:10 |
| 24 | retail broker, and became the resident manager of | 09:49:37 | 24 | A. -- unless you just have me -- | 09:52:10 |
| 25 | the Philadelphia office. | 09:49:42 | 25 | Q. Sure, sure, absolutely. | 09:52:10 |


|  |  | 16 |  |  | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. And in the nature -- it would depend | 09:52:11 | 1 | of times a client would complain that -- that | 09:54:50 |
| 2 | upon the nature of the complaint. If it was a sales | 09:52:16 | 2 | they -- the commission burden was too high on the | 09:54:53 |
| 3 | practice complaint, it would probably be brought by | 09:52:18 | 3 | equity account, and that the trading was not in line | 09:54:56 |
| 4 | a customer. If it was a complaint about somebody | 09:52:21 | 4 | with their objectives. | 09:54:59 |
| 5 | stealing somebody else's lunch, it might be brought | 09:52:26 | 5 | Q. All right. Now, were you ever a | 09:55:01 |
| 6 | by an employee, probably by an employee. That was | 09:52:28 | 6 | retail customer? | 09:55:03 |
| 7 | -- they were the most frequent complaints I dealt | 09:52:30 | 7 | A. Was I ever a retail customer? Yes. | 09:55:06 |
| 8 | with. | 09:52:33 | 8 | Q. Okay. And did you receive | 09:55:11 |
| 9 | And if it was a -- something that was | 09:52:35 | 9 | statements? | 09:55:14 |
| 10 | discovered on an office audit by an in-house | 09:52:38 | 10 | A. Yes. | 09:55:14 |
| 11 | auditor, that might be brought by -- that might be | 09:52:43 | 11 | Q. And the customer who would complain | 09:55:16 |
| 12 | brought to my attention by the firm. In other | 09:52:46 | 12 | to you about churning, would that customer be | 09:55:19 |
| 13 | words, if someone was not submitting their | 09:52:48 | 13 | receiving statements? | 09:55:22 |
| 14 | correspondence to be initialed or something such as | 09:52:50 | 14 | A. Yes. | 09:55:23 |
| 15 | that. | 09:52:52 | 15 | Q. And how would they figure out that | 09:55:23 |
| 16 | Q. When you say a sales practice | 09:52:53 | 16 | there was churning going on, if you know? | 09:55:25 |
| 17 | complaint by a customer, could you give me an | 09:52:56 | 17 | A. I don't know. I mean, it might -- | 09:55:29 |
| 18 | example of what those sales practices might be that | 09:52:58 | 18 | they might just see the number -- mostly they'd see | 09:55:32 |
| 19 | a customer might complain about? | 09:53:01 | 19 | the number of confirmations coming through and say | 09:55:35 |
| 20 | A. Certainly. Recommendations that | 09:53:02 | 20 | this is not in line with my objectives. | 09:55:37 |
| 21 | weren't suitable. | 09:53:05 | 21 | Q. Well, would -- would the statement | 09:55:40 |
| 22 | Q. Are you familiar with the term | 09:53:14 | 22 | reflect the trading activity in the account? | 09:55:41 |
| 23 | "churning"? | 09:53:16 | 23 | A. Yes. | 09:55:44 |
| 24 | A. Certainly. | 09:53:17 | 24 | Q. All right. Would that statement | 09:55:44 |
| 25 | Q. What do you understand that term to | 09:53:17 | 25 | reveal to them the facts that you were suggesting | 09:55:46 |
|  |  | 17 |  |  | 19 |
| 1 | mean? | 09:53:19 | 1 | would constitute trading? | 09:55:49 |
| 2 | A. Churning means that a broker is doing | 09:53:20 | 2 | A. Well, it would reveal -- it would | 09:55:50 |
| 3 | transactions based primarily on generating | 09:53:27 | 3 | reveal the number of trades. | 09:55:52 |
| 4 | commissions for him or herself as opposed to the | 09:53:33 | 4 | Q. Okay. So when someone came to you | 09:55:55 |
| 5 | best interests of a client. And then there are | 09:53:35 | 5 | with a churning complaint, did you ask them how they | 09:55:57 |
| 6 | certain statistical measures that have been applied | 09:53:39 | 6 | came to the conclusion that churning was occurring | 09:56:01 |
| 7 | as thresholds, not cut and dried, because every | 09:53:44 | 7 | in their account? | 09:56:04 |
| 8 | client's objectives are different. But there are | 09:53:47 | 8 | A. No. | 09:56:05 |
| 9 | certain thresholds which commissions relative to | 09:53:50 | 9 | Q. Did they tell you? | 09:56:05 |
| 10 | equity need to achieve before it's implied at one | 09:53:54 | 10 | A. No. They'd just say looks like | 09:56:07 |
| 11 | level and implied more strongly at another level and | 09:53:58 | 11 | there's too much trading. | 09:56:10 |
| 12 | considered a fait accompli at a certain level. | 09:54:03 | 12 | Q. And did you then look at the | 09:56:12 |
| 13 | Q. In your experience as the resident | 09:54:10 | 13 | accounts? | 09:56:14 |
| 14 | manager at Smith Barney, did you ever encounter a | 09:54:11 | 14 | A. Of course. | 09:56:14 |
| 15 | situation where a retail customer complained about | 09:54:14 | 15 | Q. And did you discuss those with the | 09:56:15 |
| 16 | churning in his account, or her account? | 09:54:17 | 16 | customer as to what was going on in the account? | 09:56:17 |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 09:54:20 | 17 | A. Well, I would discuss the customer's | 09:56:22 |
| 18 | Q. And to your knowledge, what was -- | 09:54:20 | 18 | account with the customer, yes. | 09:56:23 |
| 19 | what would be the nature of that complaint? | 09:54:30 | 19 | Q. And in doing so would you go over the | 09:56:25 |
| 20 | A. Well, that there were too many trades | 09:54:32 | 20 | statements with the customer? | 09:56:27 |
| 21 | based upon the objectives of the client. There's | 09:54:35 | 21 | A. No. We would run analytics. In | 09:56:28 |
| 22 | another measure that goes along with churning which | 09:54:37 | 22 | other words, we would be able to look at the | 09:56:30 |
| 23 | is called cost equity, which means the cost of | 09:54:39 | 23 | turnover ratio, which is the primary measure. My | 09:56:34 |
| 24 | maintaining the account was an inordinately large | 09:54:43 | 24 | compliance department would provide that for me. | 09:56:39 |
| 25 | percent of the equity in the account. And so lots | 09:54:47 | 25 | And we'd look at the cost equity ratio, or the | 09:56:40 |


|  |  | 20 |  |  | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | commission equity ratio, there's a little nuance. | 09:56:44 | 1 | they don't look at turnover. They look at the | 09:59:04 |
| 2 | And that would be provided by analytics from my | 09:56:47 | 2 | bottom line and if they're happy, they don't | 09:59:07 |
| 3 | compliance department. | 09:56:51 | 3 | complain. | 09:59:09 |
| 4 | Q. And would you share those analytics | 09:56:52 | 4 | If a client is losing money, then | 09:59:10 |
| 5 | with the retail customer? | 09:56:55 | 5 | they will focus on the account and they'll say, wow, | 09:59:12 |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 09:56:56 | 6 | there's been too much trading or, gee, this stock | 09:59:15 |
| 7 | Q. And it would be your expectation that | 09:56:56 | 7 | doesn't look like it fits my investment objectives. | 09:59:19 |
| 8 | the customer would understand those analytics? | 09:56:59 | 8 | So generally they're initiated | 09:59:22 |
| 9 | A. Not necessarily. Not necessarily. | 09:57:03 | 9 | because, almost universally, because a client has | 09:59:24 |
| 10 | In fact, I don't think they would. I wouldn't | 09:57:06 | 10 | lost money. And then I'm trying to figure out | 09:59:26 |
| 11 | expect them to understand that. I'd have to explain | 09:57:08 | 11 | whether it's just sour grapes and they were involved | 09:59:29 |
| 12 | it to them. | 09:57:10 | 12 | in every decision, and totally aware what was going | 09:59:32 |
| 13 | Q. Okay. And in doing so you'd walk | 09:57:11 | 13 | on, or, in fact, whether the broker was doing | 09:59:35 |
| 14 | through the analytics with them? | 09:57:13 | 14 | something that he or she shouldn't have been doing. | 09:59:37 |
| 15 | A. Well, I'd say -- it was more | 09:57:16 | 15 | So it varied from situation to situation. | 09:59:40 |
| 16 | complicated than that. Because really where we'd | 09:57:18 | 16 | Q. In your experience, did you ever | 09:59:41 |
| 17 | start would be with their account objectives. In | 09:57:22 | 17 | encounter customers that, you know, retail customers | 09:59:46 |
| 18 | other words, if someone had a municipal bond | 09:57:24 | 18 | that never lost money? | 09:59:49 |
| 19 | account, buy and hold municipal bond account, and | 09:57:29 | 19 | A. Never? Not one single month? | 09:59:53 |
| 20 | the turnover ratio, which was another thing that we | 09:57:31 | 20 | Q. Not -- never lost money. | 09:59:56 |
| 21 | looked at, was four times, which meant that the | 09:57:38 | 21 | A. Not one single month? | 09:59:57 |
| 22 | equity in that account was turned over four times on | 09:57:40 | 22 | Q. Nope. Every month they won. | 09:59:59 |
| 23 | an annualized basis, that would clearly not be in | 09:57:42 | 23 | A. I just never analyzed that. | 10:00:01 |
| 24 | line with the objectives of that client. | 09:57:46 | 24 | Q. I'm asking you in your experience, | 10:00:03 |
| 25 | On the other hand, if a client was an | 09:57:48 | 25 | people who trade in equities, retail customers in | 10:00:05 |
|  |  | 21 |  |  | 23 |
| 1 | aggressive trader and they had a four times turnover | 09:57:51 | 1 | your entire experience, have you ever encountered | 10:00:08 |
| 2 | ratio, that trading might be totally suitable. | 09:57:55 | 2 | customers, more than one, or even just one, who | 10:00:12 |
| 3 | So, it's not as simple as just saying | 09:57:59 | 3 | never lost, every month they were a winner? | 10:00:17 |
| 4 | we'd go over the analytics. But then I'd say I | 09:58:02 | 4 | MR. WISE: Object, answered. Asked | 10:00:20 |
| 5 | would get into the costs and other things. We'd | 09:58:05 | 5 | and answered. | 10:00:21 |
| 6 | look at, I'd discuss the way the client interacted | 09:58:07 | 6 | A. I never looked. I mean, I did not go | 10:00:22 |
| 7 | with the broker. I'd look to see whether the trades | 09:58:10 | 7 | through and look at people's accounts. What would | 10:00:25 |
| 8 | were solicited or unsolicited. There would be a | 09:58:13 | 8 | float up to me were the people who tripped one of | 10:00:28 |
| 9 | whole panoply of things I would do. | 09:58:16 | 9 | our systems, their -- their account statements would | 10:00:30 |
| 10 | Q. And all that panoply would be | 09:58:18 | 10 | come to me, or client complaints. But to go through | 10:00:34 |
| 11 | discussed with the customer? | 09:58:20 | 11 | and do a study of whether somebody had ever lost | 10:00:39 |
| 12 | A. No. Would be on an account by | 09:58:21 | 12 | money would be something that I would never do. | 10:00:41 |
| 13 | account basis, depending upon what was important in | 09:58:23 | 13 | Q. Well, then is it your suggestion then | 10:00:43 |
| 14 | that specific situation. | 09:58:25 | 14 | that if you didn't get a complaint, that meant that | 10:00:46 |
| 15 | Q. And in your experience in discussing | 09:58:28 | 5 | the customer never lost money? | 10:00:49 |
| 16 | these -- these churning complaints that you would | 09:58:30 | 6 | MR. WISE: Object to form. | 10:00:51 |
| 17 | receive, what was usually the outcome of those? | 09:58:34 | 17 | A. No. Not never lost money. Either | 10:00:53 |
| 18 | A. Oh, it would vary. It would vary all | 09:58:38 | 8 | never lost money or the amount of money they lost | 10:00:56 |
| 19 | over the lot. They generally -- they generally | 09:58:41 | 9 | didn't seem to bother them, or they took | 10:01:01 |
| 20 | started because the customer had lost money. So | 09:58:45 | 0 | responsibility for it. No, it wouldn't be. | 10:01:03 |
| 21 | normally if a customer loses money, they focus on | 09:58:47 | 21 | Q. When you were representing customers, | 10:01:07 |
| 22 | their account. If clients -- universally if clients | 09:58:53 | 2 | would you look at the customers' accounts as you | 10:01:09 |
| 23 | are making money in their accounts, they're happy. | 09:58:57 | 23 | were giving them advice as to what to buy and sell? | 10:01:12 |
| 24 | They look at the bottom line, they don't analyze | 09:58:59 | 24 | A. Yes. | 10:01:15 |
| 25 | things, they don't look at specific stock selection, | 09:59:01 | 25 | Q. And would you notice whether or not | 10:01:15 |


|  |  | 24 |  |  | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | they were winning or losing? | 10:01:17 | 1 | risk? | 10:03:11 |
| 2 | A. With my customers? | 10:01:20 | 2 | A. Again, you're using terms which I | 10:03:13 |
| 3 | Q. Yes. | 10:01:21 | 3 | really have trouble with, the nature of the game. | 10:03:14 |
| 4 | A. Yes, I would know whether they were | 10:01:22 | 4 | If you're in equities, by definition you're always | 10:03:16 |
| 5 | winning. | 10:01:23 | 5 | at risk unless you're hedged. But if you're just in | 10:03:19 |
| 6 | Q. And would all of your customers | 10:01:24 | 6 | naked equities, you're always at risk. If you hedge | 10:03:23 |
| 7 | always win? | 10:01:25 | 7 | it, you're not always at risk. | 10:03:26 |
| 8 | A. No, not my customers. | 10:01:26 | 8 | Q. There's no risk whatsoever when you | 10:03:28 |
| 9 | Q. Did they all get exposed to equity | 10:01:27 | 9 | hedge? | 10:03:30 |
| 10 | risk? | 10:01:30 | 10 | A. Your risk is down to your stop hedge. | 10:03:33 |
| 11 | A. No. I had a lot of, I had a lot of | 10:01:31 | 11 | Q. Meaning that you can still lose, but | 10:03:35 |
| 12 | bond accounts. | 10:01:34 | 12 | your loss might be less because you've hedged it? | 10:03:38 |
| 13 | Q. And did the bond accounts always win? | 10:01:35 | 13 | A. Well, hedged it. But on the other | 10:03:42 |
| 14 | A. You know, you're using terms which | 10:01:40 | 14 | hand, if you hedge it properly, for instance, in | 10:03:44 |
| 15 | are difficult to put into an investment context, so | 10:01:42 | 15 | something like the split-strike strategy which we're | 10:03:47 |
| 16 | that's why I'm having trouble with your questions | 10:01:45 | 16 | talking about here, if you bring in five or six | 10:03:50 |
| 17 | Q. All right. | 10:01:47 | 17 | dollars worth of premium on part of the split that | 10:03:54 |
| 18 | A. -- because over what bond accounts, | 10:01:48 | 18 | you've sold, and you pay five or six dollars for the | 10:03:57 |
| 19 | for instance, to answer your question, if you buy | 10:01:50 | 19 | insurance that you bought, theoretically you're | 10:04:01 |
| 20 | high quality bonds, they're subject to interim | 10:01:52 | 20 | perfectly hedged. | 10:04:04 |
| 21 | interest rate fluctuations. The price of the bond | 10:01:56 | 21 | Q. Meaning that you will never lose? | 10:04:05 |
| 22 | will move up or down based upon interest rate | 10:01:58 | 22 | A. Shouldn't. | 10:04:09 |
| 23 | fluctuations. | 10:02:02 | 23 | Q. So that split-strike conversion, as | 10:04:11 |
| 24 | But when they pay off at maturity, | 10:02:03 | 24 | you understand that strategy, will result in -- if | 10:04:13 |
| 25 | that's -- that's what you're looking for. And | 10:02:06 | 25 | executed properly as you just described -- in the | 10:04:16 |
|  |  | 25 |  |  | 27 |
| 1 | winning in a bond account means that they pay their | 10:02:09 | 1 | customer never having a losing month? | 10:04:20 |
| 2 | interest as advertised on a regular basis. | 10:02:12 | 2 | A. Well, they may have a losing month. | 10:04:23 |
| 3 | Q. And did you ever have an experience | 10:02:14 | 3 | They may have -- then it goes to the skill of the | 10:04:25 |
| 4 | with bond accounts where they didn't pay the | 10:02:17 | 4 | person exercising it. Their market feel, how nimble | 10:04:28 |
| 5 | interest as advertised? | 10:02:19 | 5 | they are, how they're doing it. But certainly | 10:04:32 |
| 6 | A. No, sir. I always stuck with high | 10:02:20 | 6 | people who execute split-strike strategies have | 10:04:35 |
| 7 | quality bonds. | 10:02:23 | 7 | varying degrees of success. | 10:04:39 |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Getting back to equities then, | 10:02:23 | 8 | Q. Have you ever encountered one where | 10:04:41 |
| 9 | in the equity customers that you represented, was it | 10:02:26 | 9 | they never lose? In other words, that every month | 10:04:44 |
| 10 | your experience that all of the equity -- that any | 10:02:31 | 10 | their customers always have a positive return. | 10:04:47 |
| 11 | of your equity customers, those you represented, | 10:02:34 | 11 | A. I've never analyzed any broad field | 10:04:49 |
| 12 | those accounts you watched, that every month, that | 10:02:36 | 12 | of split-strike strategies where they always lose or | 10:04:52 |
| 13 | any of them always had a positive return every | 10:02:40 | 13 | always win. It's just not something I've looked at. | 10:04:55 |
| 14 | month? | 10:02:43 | 14 | Q. Well, in this case have you looked at | 10:04:59 |
| 15 | A. No. I was an unusually bad stock | 10:02:44 | 15 | the performance of Mr. Madoff in his split-strike | 10:05:00 |
| 16 | picker, which is why I went into management. | 10:02:48 | 16 | conversion strategy? | 10:05:04 |
| 17 | Q. Okay. But you think it was because | 10:02:50 | 17 | A. Not in depth, no. Anecdotally I | 10:05:06 |
| 18 | you're a bad stock picker that -- | 10:02:53 | 18 | have. | 10:05:10 |
| 19 | A. I do. I do. | 10:02:54 | 19 | Q. Tell me what you've looked at | 10:05:10 |
| 20 | Q. Would you -- | 10:02:54 | 20 | anecdotally. | 10:05:12 |
| 21 | A. My wife would agree with that. | 10:02:57 | 21 | A. I've looked at, I believe, something | 10:05:14 |
| 22 | Q. My wife would certainly agree with | 10:02:59 | 22 | which showed his year in, year out performance. I | 10:05:15 |
| 23 | that as well. Which is probably, leads to my next | 10:03:02 | 23 | haven't seen it month in, month out. Although I | 10:05:19 |
| 24 | question. Isn't that the nature of the game, so to | 10:03:05 | 24 | understand that the predominance of the months where | 10:05:23 |
| 25 | speak, that if you are in equities, you're always at | 10:03:08 | 25 | -- where he was in the market were profitable. | 10:05:25 |


|  |  | 28 |  |  | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And could you identify for me what | 10:05:27 | 1 | What happened? | 10:07:55 |
| 2 | document you're speaking of here? | 10:05:29 | 2 | A. Well, a couple things happened. I | 10:07:57 |
| 3 | A. Not specifically. It was just a -- I | 10:05:32 | 3 | found a lot of political problems at McCormick. I | 10:08:01 |
| 4 | think a comparison to the, if I remember correctly, | 10:05:34 | 4 | was from the north. I was an outsider. I'm not -- | 10:08:05 |
| 5 | comparison to the Dow Jones average, and something | 10:05:37 | 5 | I'm a pretty easygoing guy. I was not comfortable | 10:08:10 |
| 6 | else. | 10:05:39 | 6 | with the political side of it and my family was | 10:08:14 |
| 7 | Q. Okay. We're a little ahead of | 10:05:40 | 7 | hesitant to move to Houston. And so after about | 10:08:16 |
| 8 | ourselves. I want to go back to that later, okay? | 10:05:42 | 8 | seven or eight months we parted company on very | 10:08:19 |
| 9 | Let's -- I digressed and I apologize. But let's go | 10:05:45 | 9 | friendly terms. | 10:08:22 |
| 10 | back to your employment history here, if we could. | 10:05:48 | 10 | Q. Okay. And when you left | 10:08:24 |
| 11 | Let's talk about Smith Barney, you're | 10:05:51 | 11 | Mr. McCormick's employ in seven or eight months, | 10:08:27 |
| 12 | the resident manager, I think we've talked about | 10:05:53 | 12 | what did you do next? | 10:08:30 |
| 13 | that. How long were you in that position? | 10:05:56 | 13 | A. Went back to Smith Barney. | 10:08:31 |
| 14 | A. Eight years. | 10:05:58 | 14 | Q. Okay. In what capacity? | 10:08:33 |
| 15 | Q. Okay. | 10:05:58 | 15 | A. My first role was again managing the | 10:08:35 |
| 16 | A. Seven or eight years. | 10:05:59 | 16 | Philadelphia office. But I was hired back to take | 10:08:38 |
| 17 | Q. And when did that come to an end? | 10:06:01 | 17 | over the northeast region, which I did within a | 10:08:41 |
| 18 | A. 1981. 1982, somewhere in there. | 10:06:04 | 18 | matter of months. | 10:08:43 |
| 19 | Q. And what, if anything, did you do | 10:06:09 | 19 | Q. Okay. What did the northeast region | 10:08:45 |
| 20 | after you left Smith Barney? | 10:06:11 | 20 | consist of? | 10:08:47 |
| 21 | A. I went to work in Houston, Texas in | 10:06:13 | 21 | A. Consisted of about a third of the | 10:08:48 |
| 22 | the oil business | 10:06:16 | 22 | revenues of Smith Barney at that time. We did | 10:08:51 |
| 23 | Q. Okay. And that's a fairly large | 10:06:22 | 23 | approximately \$250 million in revenues in my region. | 10:08:55 |
| 24 | field, so could you break down for me what you were | 10:06:24 | 24 | We had a thousand employees. It was all of the | 10:08:58 |
| 25 | doing in the oil business, Mr. Maine? | 10:06:27 | 25 | production units from Virginia north, Ohio east up | 10:09:03 |
|  |  | 29 |  |  | 31 |
| 1 | A. Certainly. | 10:06:27 | 1 | through New England. So, for instance, I had five | 10:09:07 |
| 2 | Q. Sure. | 10:06:29 | 2 | offices in New York, I had two offices in Boston, | 10:09:11 |
| 3 | A. I was the assistant to the chairman | 10:06:29 | 3 | two offices in Washington. | 10:09:14 |
| 4 | of an independent oil and gas producer named | 10:06:31 | 4 | Q. And again, I may have missed this, | 10:09:17 |
| 5 | McCormick, that's M-c C-o-r-m-i-c-k, Oil \& Gas. | 10:06:35 | 5 | did you have a title when you were running this | 10:09:19 |
| 6 | Q. And what did you do for | 10:06:41 | 6 | regional northeast region? | 10:09:21 |
| 7 | Mr. McCormick? | 10:06:44 | 7 | A. Yes, I did. I was the regional | 10:09:26 |
| 8 | A. Mr. McCormick had had a very | 10:06:46 | 8 | director. | 10:09:28 |
| 9 | successful drilling company and he thought -- and he | 10:06:50 | 9 | Q. Okay. | 10:09:28 |
| 10 | and I had been friends for many years. And he felt | 10:06:54 | 10 | A. And I was senior vice president of | 10:09:29 |
| 11 | that this was a -- going back, interrupting myself. | 10:06:58 | 11 | the firm. | 10:09:31 |
| 12 | The early '80s were a time of tremendous | 10:07:04 | 12 | Q. Okay. In this capacity as regional | 10:09:32 |
| 13 | fluctuations in the energy markets, and he felt that | 10:07:07 | 13 | director, did you have any direct interactions with | 10:09:42 |
| 14 | there was a business that could be built where | 10:07:16 | 14 | either retail or institutional customers? | 10:09:46 |
| 15 | exploration companies linked up with end users such | 10:07:21 | 15 | A. Yes. | 10:09:48 |
| 16 | as McCormick Oil \& Gas and Brooklyn Union Gas, to | 10:07:26 | 16 | Q. Okay. With both? | 10:09:49 |
| 17 | take a local company. And they would provide | 10:07:32 | 17 | A. Yes. | 10:09:51 |
| 18 | drilling funds, but would have a call on the product | 10:07:34 | 18 | Q. And how did that come about? | 10:09:51 |
| 19 | that we found. | 10:07:38 | 19 | A. Well, being a regional director is | 10:09:58 |
| 20 | So it would be sort of a joint | 10:07:39 | 20 | sort of like being a glorified branch manager in | 10:09:59 |
| 21 | venture with the two. So they could ensure their | 10:07:41 | 21 | that things drift up to you that the branch manager | 10:10:03 |
| 22 | source of supply and get some sort of price | 10:07:43 | 22 | wants advice on. Either interface with very | 10:10:09 |
| 23 | stability because they controlled the product. We | 10:07:47 | 23 | substantial accounts in relationship building, both | 10:10:15 |
| 24 | would get money to drill. | 10:07:49 | 24 | retail and institutional. All too often problem | 10:10:18 |
| 25 | Q. Sounds like it should have worked. | 10:07:53 | 25 | solving with accounts, both institutional and retail | 10:10:23 |


|  |  | 32 |  |  | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | where some problem had developed in the | 10:10:25 | 1 | A. Look at cases, try and help people | 10:13:11 |
| 2 | relationship. A regional director is the ultimate | 10:10:29 | 2 | value cases one way or another. And sometimes if | 10:13:13 |
| 3 | person in a region in terms of compliance, | 10:10:32 | 3 | that doesn't work out, then it turns into expert | 10:13:19 |
| 4 | supervision, personnel, hiring, firing. So it's -- | 10:10:37 | 4 | testimony. | 10:13:22 |
| 5 | again, it's the jobs that you did as a branch | 10:10:43 | 5 | Q. Okay. | 10:13:23 |
| 6 | manager except it's the areas where the branch | 10:10:46 | 6 | A. I've also acted as an arbitrator and | 10:13:24 |
| 7 | manager wants additional input. | 10:10:49 | 7 | a mediator, and I've given in-house compliance | 10:13:29 |
| 8 | Q. Okay. And in that role, as you say, | 10:10:52 | 8 | seminars to a San Francisco firm. For a San | 10:13:35 |
| 9 | came up from the branch manager for your assistance | 10:10:56 | 9 | Francisco firm. | 10:13:41 |
| 10 | in problem solving, I think is how you put it? | 10:11:00 | 10 | Q. Let's talk a little bit about each. | 10:13:41 |
| 11 | A. Yes, sir. Also development. There's | 10:11:02 | 11 | And I ask this just so I understand | 10:13:45 |
| 12 | problem solving and business development. | 10:11:04 | 12 | it. So that in your capacity dealing as a | 10:13:52 |
| 13 | Q. Business development. And would you | 10:11:06 | 13 | consultant, it's -- is it always or usually in the | 10:13:56 |
| 14 | deal directly with the customers at that point? | 10:11:08 | 14 | case of an adversary type of position? Do you | 10:13:58 |
| 15 | A. Often. | 10:11:10 | 15 | understand what I mean by that? | 10:14:05 |
| 16 | Q. Okay, fine. How long were you in the | 10:11:10 | 16 | What I'm asking is this: When you're | 10:14:07 |
| 17 | regional director position? | 10:11:14 | 17 | asked to consult, is it always in a situation where | 10:14:09 |
| 18 | A. Well, my position kind of morphed in | 10:11:16 | 18 | there's a complaint on one side or the other of a | 10:14:11 |
| 19 | 1985, I went on the board of directors and I was | 10:11:20 | 19 | transaction and you're consulting trying to help | 10:14:14 |
| 20 | promoted to executive vice president of the firm. | 10:11:24 | 20 | resolve? | 10:14:16 |
| 21 | But I continued to be the regional director until I | 10:11:27 | 21 | A. No, not necessarily. | 10:14:17 |
| 22 | retired from Smith Barney in 1990. | 10:11:30 | 22 | Q. Okay. Well, explain to me what you | 10:14:18 |
| 23 | Q. Okay. 1990 you retire. What did you | 10:11:34 | 23 | mean when you say you're consulting in sort of a | 10:14:20 |
| 24 | do next? | 10:11:52 | 24 | pretrial capacity. | 10:14:23 |
| 25 | A. I moved back to the West Coast, where | 10:11:53 | 25 | A. Well, not the wordsmith, but when you | 10:14:26 |
|  |  | 33 |  |  | 35 |
| 1 | I'd always wanted to live, and I really didn't have | 10:11:56 | 1 | said in terms of some sort of a transaction -- | 10:14:31 |
| 2 | any clear idea of what I wanted to do at that time. | 10:11:59 | 2 | Q. Right. | 10:14:35 |
| 3 | And actually my first business was trying to raise | 10:12:05 | 3 | A. -- or something else, I do -- a fair | 10:14:38 |
| 4 | trout on my place in Idaho. That didn't work out | 10:12:09 | 4 | amount of my work is in personnel, a fair amount of | 10:14:40 |
| 5 | very well. And my trout herd swam away one morning, | 10:12:12 | 5 | my work is in inner firm raiding, r-a-i-d-i-n-g. A | 10:14:43 |
| 6 | but... | 10:12:18 | 6 | fair amount of my work is in note collection. So | 10:14:51 |
| 7 | Q. I hate when that happens. | 10:12:18 | 7 | it's not all transaction-based. I didn't -- | 10:14:56 |
| 8 | A. It ruins the whole night. | 10:12:21 | 8 | Q. Okay. That's very helpful, thank | 10:14:58 |
| 9 | Q. Right, exactly. Collision at sea. | 10:12:23 | 9 | you. | 10:15:01 |
| 10 | There you go. | 10:12:27 | 10 | A. Okay. | 10:15:02 |
| 11 | A. But everybody else up and down the | 10:12:27 | 11 | Q. I think I understand the first two, | 10:15:03 |
| 12 | river was happy. | 10:12:29 | 12 | I'm not so sure what you meant by note collection. | 10:15:04 |
| 13 | Q. I'm sure they were. | 10:12:30 | 13 | Could you expand upon that for me? | 10:15:07 |
| 14 | A. All these dumb hatchery-raised fish | 10:12:33 | 14 | A. Certainly. The industry has | 10:15:10 |
| 15 | just eating anything that came along. | 10:12:36 | 15 | developed over the years a process by which they | 10:15:13 |
| 16 | So, I gave up that venture. But some | 10:12:40 | 16 | recruit brokers and give them what are called | 10:15:16 |
| 17 | folks were nice enough to ask me to do some | 10:12:42 | 17 | forgivable notes, which is upfront money and in the | 10:15:19 |
| 18 | consulting work and that's what I've done ever | 10:12:45 | 18 | form of a loan to be forgiven over a period of | 10:15:24 |
| 19 | since, an expert witness in securities. | 10:12:47 | 19 | years. And some brokers become anxious and leave | 10:15:33 |
| 20 | Q. Okay, let's break that down. You say | 10:12:49 | 20 | before the note has extinguished and then the firms | 10:15:36 |
| 21 | consulting work in -- I'm not so sure I quote this | 10:12:52 | 21 | will try and collect that money from the brokers. | 10:15:39 |
| 22 | correctly, but expert testimony, is that what you... | 10:12:56 | 22 | Q. In addition to the personnel issues, | 10:15:44 |
| 23 | A. Well, I do a lot of consulting that | 10:12:58 | 23 | inter-firm raiding and note collection, are there | 10:15:47 |
| 24 | is pretrial consulting. | 10:13:06 | 24 | situations where you will also act as a consultant | 10:15:51 |
| 25 | Q. Okay. | 10:13:09 | 25 | in connection with the trading activities that might | 10:15:54 |


|  |  | 36 |  |  | 38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | take place at a brokerage house? | 10:15:57 | 1 | either one of us will clean that up if that happens. | 10:18:02 |
| 2 | A. Yeah, and the other -- to be | 10:16:00 | 2 | In how many of those instances, 40 of | 10:18:05 |
| 3 | complete -- | 10:16:02 | 3 | those, was testimony provided in a proceeding such | 10:18:07 |
| 4 | Q. Sure. | 10:16:02 | 4 | as this one? | 10:18:12 |
| 5 | A. -- the other area that I also do a | 10:16:02 | 5 | A. You'll have to be... | 10:18:13 |
| 6 | fair amount of work in is in disciplinary | 10:16:05 | 6 | Q. Okay. What I mean by that is, is | 10:18:15 |
| 7 | proceedings brought by SROs against brokers. | 10:16:09 | 7 | that you do all this other work and you've been | 10:18:16 |
| 8 | Q. Give me an example of an SRO that | 10:16:16 | 8 | deposed 40 times. | 10:18:19 |
| 9 | you're speaking of. | 10:16:19 | 9 | A. Right. | 10:18:21 |
| 10 | A. The New York Stock Exchange, now | 10:16:20 | 10 | Q. And in those 40 cases, how many of | 10:18:21 |
| 11 | FINRA. | 10:16:22 | 11 | those were situations where what you were doing was | 10:18:24 |
| 12 | Q. Right. | 10:16:23 | 12 | providing expert testimony on behalf of a party in | 10:18:26 |
| 13 | A. SEC was not an SRO, but was a | 10:16:28 | 13 | connection with a dispute over a securities | 10:18:30 |
| 14 | regulatory. | 10:16:31 | 14 | transaction? | 10:18:32 |
| 15 | Q. It might become an SRO the way it's | 10:16:31 | 15 | A. And the 40 was my best guess. | 10:18:35 |
| 16 | going. But in any event, take away all the funding, | 10:16:34 | 16 | Q. Yeah, yeah, sure. | 10:18:37 |
| 17 | they'll be out of business. | 10:16:38 | 17 | A. But I would say, again, it would be | 10:18:40 |
| 18 | Let me go back to what you were | 10:16:39 | 18 | more than half. And the reason I hesitate on that | 10:18:42 |
| 19 | doing, or what you're doing as a consultant. | 10:16:42 | 19 | is that most pure brokerage situations are subject | 10:18:46 |
| 20 | A. Excuse me. | 10:16:49 | 20 | to arbitration agreements signed by the client. | 10:18:52 |
| 21 | Q. Today you're here in behalf of the | 10:16:50 | 21 | Most arbitrations don't have depositions. But there | 10:18:57 |
| 22 | defendants and acting in a capacity that all of us | 10:16:52 | 22 | are fairly frequent times where the side -- not | 10:19:05 |
| 23 | in this room understand. How much of that type of | 10:16:55 | 23 | frequent times, but there are times when the panel, | 10:19:09 |
| 24 | work is what you have been doing over the last 21 | 10:16:58 | 24 | for instance, may want or grant depositions even in | 10:19:13 |
| 25 | years? | 10:17:00 | 25 | their arbitration. So, there's some there. | 10:19:19 |
|  |  | 37 |  |  | 39 |
| 1 | A. Gosh, I've never broken it down. | 10:17:06 | 1 | Triple A or JAMS appearances that | 10:19:23 |
| 2 | Never broken it down. | 10:17:08 | 2 | I've done, they lots of times will have depositions | 10:19:28 |
| 3 | Q. Okay. How many -- well, maybe we can | 10:17:09 | 3 | and the rest, of course, will be court proceedings | 10:19:34 |
| 4 | try to quantify it a little bit differently. How | 10:17:11 | 4 | where the parties have not signed an arbitration | 10:19:37 |
| 5 | many cases, whether they be arbitrations, mediations | 10:17:15 | 5 | agreement. And many of those will be, for instance, | 10:19:41 |
| 6 | or litigations, have you been involved in where | 10:17:17 | 6 | registered investment advisory relationships where | 10:19:44 |
| 7 | there has been a dispute, hard to say similar to | 10:17:20 | 7 | the client and the adviser haven't signed a | 10:19:47 |
| 8 | this one, but a dispute involving a securities | 10:17:25 | 8 | relationship. | 10:19:51 |
| 9 | transaction where you've been called in to provide | 10:17:28 | 9 | Q. FINRA used to be called the NASD. Do | 10:19:56 |
| 10 | expert testimony? | 10:17:32 | 10 | you remember that? | 10:20:00 |
| 11 | A. I would say that that would be more | 10:17:33 | 11 | A. Well, half of FINRA used to be called | 10:20:01 |
| 12 | than half of the work I do. | 10:17:34 | 12 | the NASD. | 10:20:03 |
| 13 | Q. Okay. And -- | 10:17:36 | 13 | Q. Right. And there is such a thing as | 10:20:04 |
| 14 | A. It varies. It varies. But I would | 10:17:38 | 14 | an NASD arbitration. Are you familiar with that? | 10:20:06 |
| 15 | say if you looked at my career, it would be more | 10:17:41 | 15 | A. Yes, sir. | 10:20:09 |
| 16 | than half of the work that I do. | 10:17:44 | 16 | Q. Okay. Have you appeared as a witness | 10:20:09 |
| 17 | Q. Okay. And at the outset I asked you | 10:17:45 | 17 | in any NASD arbitrations? | 10:20:10 |
| 18 | how many times you've been deposed and you gave us a | 10:17:47 | 18 | A. Yes, sir. | 10:20:13 |
| 19 | ballpark of 40 I think or somewhere in -- | 10:17:49 | 19 | Q. How many times? | 10:20:14 |
| 20 | A. Yeah. | 10:17:53 | 20 | A. I don't know. | 10:20:15 |
| 21 | Q. -- that range. | 10:17:53 | 21 | Q. Once? | 10:20:16 |
| 22 | A. I'm sorry, I interrupted you. | 10:17:55 | 22 | A. No. I don't want to play games here. | 10:20:16 |
| 23 | Q. No, that's all right. That's all | 10:17:57 | 23 | Q. No, I don't either. That's why I'm | 10:20:18 |
| 24 | right. Doesn't matter. It's only important if we | 10:17:58 | 24 | just trying to get a ballpark. I'm not going to | 10:20:20 |
| 25 | can't understand what's on the record and I'm sure | 10:18:00 | 25 | hold you to it. | 10:20:22 |


|  |  | 40 |  |  | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. It would be the bulk of -- prior to | 10:20:23 | 1 | Davis Polk. | 10:23:00 |
| 2 | New York Stock Exchange and the NASD merging their | 10:20:26 | 2 | Q. And who do you understand your client | 10:23:01 |
| 3 | arbitration forums, the NASD was the predominant | 10:20:32 | 3 | to be? | 10:23:04 |
| 4 | arbitration forum. So I believe that perhaps the | 10:20:36 | 4 | A. Gosh, I haven't given it any thought. | 10:23:08 |
| 5 | bulk of my arbitrations and the bulk of my testimony | 10:20:38 | 5 | I would imagine it's the defendants. | 10:23:10 |
| 6 | took place in NASD forums. | 10:20:43 | 6 | Q. I think so. And have you met any of | 10:23:14 |
| 7 | Q. And would that be hundreds of | 10:20:46 | 7 | the defendants? | 10:23:16 |
| 8 | arbitrations? | 10:20:48 | 8 | A. No. | 10:23:17 |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 10:20:49 | 9 | Q. And just for the record, have you | 10:23:25 |
| 10 | Q. More than 500 ? | 10:20:51 | 10 | entered into a retainer agreement in connection with | 10:23:27 |
| 11 | A. I don't know, but that would --500 | 10:20:57 | 11 | this testimony you're giving here today? | 10:23:30 |
| 12 | would probably be a reasonable guess. | 10:20:59 | 12 | A. I'm not sure. | 10:23:32 |
| 13 | Q. Okay. | 10:21:02 | 13 | Q. We're about to get into that area | 10:23:42 |
| 14 | This litigation that we're involved | 10:21:08 | 14 | which gets a little dicey. I'm only asking for who | 10:23:44 |
| 15 | in here is in the United States District Court. | 10:21:11 | 15 | here, all right. Who at Davis Polk did you talk to? | 10:23:47 |
| 16 | Have you appeared before as a witness in any | 10:21:14 | 16 | A. At what time? | 10:23:50 |
| 17 | proceeding involving the United States District | 10:21:16 | 17 | Q. In connection with your retention. | 10:23:51 |
| 18 | Court? | 10:21:19 | 18 | A. Well, I spoke with Mr. Wise and Ms. | 10:23:56 |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 10:21:20 | 19 | Wagner. And at various points then I've also spoken | 10:24:00 |
| 20 | Q. And how many times have you done | 10:21:20 | 20 | with Ms. Howard. | 10:24:07 |
| 21 | that? | 10:21:22 | 21 | Q. Outside of those three individuals, | 10:24:10 |
| 22 | A. I don't know. | 10:21:22 | 22 | did you speak to anyone else in connection with your | 10:24:13 |
| 23 | Q. More than once? | 10:21:24 | 23 | retention here? | 10:24:15 |
| 24 | A. More than once. | 10:21:26 | 24 | A. With just, strictly with my | 10:24:19 |
| 25 | Q. Less than a hundred? | 10:21:27 | 25 | retention? Or in general? | 10:24:23 |
|  |  | 41 |  |  | 43 |
| 1 | A. Less than a hundred. | 10:21:29 | 1 | Q. Well, I'm using that term kind of | 10:24:25 |
| 2 | Q. Less than 50? | 10:21:30 | 2 | broadly, so I apologize. I don't just mean your | 10:24:27 |
| 3 | A. I would say, again cut to the chase, | 10:21:32 | 3 | actual retention, like, John, will you testify in | 10:24:29 |
| 4 | I would say probably, I can't remember any, but I | 10:21:34 | 4 | this case, but in terms of your -- your role in this | 10:24:32 |
| 5 | would guess it's probably around five. I appeared | 10:21:38 | 5 | case, all right. Between the time you first got | 10:24:35 |
| 6 | in the Martha Stewart trial, for instance, so. And | 10:21:40 | 6 | contacted and today, besides these three folks that | 10:24:39 |
| 7 | there are probably a handful of others. | 10:21:44 | 7 | are here today, who else have you talked to about | 10:24:43 |
| 8 | Q. Did you make it into James Stewart's | 10:21:49 | 8 | your retention, the work you're doing here, et | 10:24:45 |
| 9 | book? Do you know the book? | 10:21:53 | 9 | cetera? Who else have you talked to? | 10:24:47 |
| 10 | A. No, sir. | 10:21:54 | 10 | A. The only other -- I still don't 100 | 10:24:49 |
| 11 | Q. Okay, fine. He wrote a book and in | 10:21:55 | 11 | percent understand your question, but the only other | 10:24:52 |
| 12 | it it's about the Martha Stewart trial. Part of it | 10:22:01 | 12 | person I've spoken to at Davis Polk is an attorney | 10:24:54 |
| 13 | is, anyway. | 10:22:04 | 13 | named Seth, and we really have not had any | 10:24:58 |
| 14 | A. I probably didn't make it. | 10:22:07 | 14 | substantive conversations, and I've also forgotten | 10:25:01 |
| 15 | Q. I'm going to have to check now. | 10:22:10 | 15 | his last name. | 10:25:05 |
| 16 | A. I was a very minor witness. My | 10:22:13 | 16 | Q. That's fine, I'm sure we can figure | 10:25:06 |
| 17 | mother was so disappointed my picture was never | 10:22:15 | 17 | that out. Let me ask you this, and I'm not trying | 10:25:07 |
| 18 | taken. | 10:22:18 | 18 | to -- I'm just asking poor questions, so let me try | 10:25:10 |
| 19 | Q. Oh, well. All right. | 10:22:19 | 19 | to clean it up. | 10:25:13 |
| 20 | (Comments off the record.) | 10:22:19 | 20 | What I'm looking for is this, all | 10:25:14 |
| 21 | Q. Let's talk a little bit about what | 10:22:44 | 21 | right, is that in preparing for your testimony and | 10:25:17 |
| 22 | you did to prepare for today, okay? Or actually, | 10:22:46 | 22 | preparing for your reports, outside of talking to | 10:25:20 |
| 23 | more importantly, for your reports. So let me ask | 10:22:50 | 23 | people at Davis Polk, who we've already identified, | 10:25:23 |
| 24 | you, first of all, by whom were you retained? | 10:22:53 | 24 | have you talked to anybody else in connection with | 10:25:26 |
| 25 | A. I was retained by the attorneys from | 10:22:58 | 25 | preparing your report or your testimony here today? | 10:25:28 |


|  |  | 44 |  |  | 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. No. | 10:25:31 | 1 | since 1990 in your retirement from Smith Barney? | 10:40:27 |
| 2 | Q. Okay. | 10:25:31 | 2 | A. No. | 10:40:34 |
| 3 | A. My wife knows it. She always likes | 10:25:33 | 3 | Q. Let's turn back to -- | 10:40:36 |
| 4 | to know where I am, so she knows I'm here. | 10:25:36 | 4 | MR. SHEEHAN: What's that number | 10:40:37 |
| 5 | Q. As far as I know she's not a | 10:25:39 | 5 | again, 254? | 10:40:41 |
| 6 | defendant, is she? | 10:25:41 | 6 | THE REPORTER: Yes. | 10:40:42 |
| 7 | A. I slipped that I was here today. | 10:25:41 | 7 | MR. SHEEHAN: All right. Thanks. | 10:40:46 |
| 8 | Q. All right, good. All right. | 10:25:48 | 8 | Q. I direct your attention, if you | 10:40:46 |
| 9 | Now, let me do -- let's now mark the | 10:25:50 | 9 | could, to the first page, Roman Numeral III, "Facts | 10:40:48 |
| 10 | reports, okay? Thanks. | 10:25:52 | 10 | and Data Relied Upon." Do you see that? | 10:40:53 |
| 11 | This is Trustee Exhibit 254. | 10:25:52 | 11 | A. Yes. | 10:40:55 |
| 12 | (Exhibit Trustee 254, Expert Report | 10:26:25 | 12 | Q. Okay, fine. I want to go through | 10:40:56 |
| 13 | of John Maine, marked for identification.) | 10:26:37 | 13 | each of these and just have you explain in a little | 10:41:03 |
| 14 | Q. Let's go through the identification | 10:26:37 | 14 | bit more detail, if you could, what exactly you | 10:41:07 |
| 15 | process. You've been shown Trustee Exhibit 254. Do | 10:26:39 | 15 | looked at here. | 10:41:09 |
| 16 | you identify -- can you identify that document for | 10:26:42 | 16 | Let's start with, you say you | 10:41:11 |
| 17 | us, please. | 10:26:44 | 17 | reviewed sample account documents and you identify | 10:41:14 |
| 18 | A. It appears to be my report. | 10:26:44 | 18 | those as trade confirmations. Let's start with | 10:41:17 |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And separate, in terms of | 10:26:50 | 19 | that. Do you recall what period of time, how many | 10:41:20 |
| 20 | physically they're separate, there's a couple other | 10:26:52 | 20 | you looked at? Could you just give me an insight | 10:41:23 |
| 21 | documents that we've also handed you. Could you | 10:26:54 | 21 | into that? | 10:41:26 |
| 22 | identify those for us, please. | 10:26:57 | 22 | A. I looked at a -- a handful of them. | 10:41:29 |
| 23 | A. Yes. Exhibit A is my CV. Exhibit B | 10:26:59 | 23 | I didn't look at a tremendous number. I think some | 10:41:33 |
| 24 | is a list of cases within approximately the last | 10:27:09 | 24 | of them were relatively old. And the reason I'm | 10:41:37 |
| 25 | four years. | 10:27:13 | 25 | being a little less than exact here is some things I | 10:41:42 |
|  |  | 45 |  |  | 47 |
| 1 | MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. I'm going to | 10:27:14 | 1 | know I looked at in connection with your expert | 10:41:45 |
| 2 | take a break because I need a bio break. | 10:27:16 | 2 | reports. They would have exemplars of various | 10:41:47 |
| 3 | (Comments off the record.) | 10:27:25 | 3 | things and all. So some of them I may have seen | 10:41:51 |
| 4 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the | 10:27:28 | 4 | there. But in terms of trade confirmations, I | 10:41:54 |
| 5 | record, the time is 10:27. This is the end of disk 1. | 10:27:29 | 5 | probably saw eight or ten. The same would probably | 10:41:57 |
| 6 | (Recess taken.) | 10:28:12 | 6 | be true of monthly statements. | 10:42:01 |
| 7 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the | 10:39:31 | 7 | MR. SHEEHAN: You know, I'm probably | 10:42:04 |
| 8 | record. The time is 10:39. This is disk number 2. | 10:39:41 | 8 | missing this because I'm in and out here, to be | 10:42:05 |
| 9 | BY MR. SHEEHAN: | 10:39:47 | 9 | honest. Have we asked for these documents and are | 10:42:08 |
| 10 | Q. Of course when we were off the record | 10:39:48 | 10 | you guys producing them and do we have them? | 10:42:12 |
| 11 | it got suggested I ask you a couple of questions I'd | 10:39:51 | 11 | Lauren? I'm asking the one person in the room that | 10:42:21 |
| 12 | forgotten. And one of them was when actually were | 10:39:53 | 12 | might know the answer to that question. | 10:42:22 |
| 13 | you actually retained for this case? | 10:39:56 | 13 | MS. HOWARD: They have all already | 10:42:22 |
| 14 | A. Well, again, since I don't remember | 10:39:58 | 14 | been produced, so we submitted a list with | 10:42:25 |
| 15 | signing a retention letter, because I generally | 10:40:00 | 15 | production numbers -- | 10:42:26 |
| 16 | don't sign retention letters -- | 10:40:02 | 16 | MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right. | 10:42:29 |
| 17 | Q. Sure. | 10:40:05 | 17 | So we already have all those. Okay, all right, | 10:42:30 |
| 18 | A. -- but the answer to your question is | 10:40:06 | 18 | fine. | 10:42:33 |
| 19 | I think the first conversation was a couple of | 10:40:08 | 19 | BY MR. SHEEHAN: | 10:42:35 |
| 20 | months ago, maybe November. Late October, November, | 10:40:11 | 20 | Q. When you looked at the trade | 10:42:35 |
| 21 | something like that. | 10:40:15 | 21 | confirmations, based on your experience, did | 10:42:37 |
| 22 | Q. Thank you. And the other question | 10:40:15 | 22 | anything on them appear unusual to you? | 10:42:39 |
| 23 | was other than your excursion into the trout | 10:40:20 | 23 | A. Something appeared old-fashioned. | 10:42:43 |
| 24 | industry and the consulting we've been talking about | 10:40:23 | 24 | Q. And what was that? | 10:42:45 |
| 25 | here this morning, have you done any other work | 10:40:24 | 25 | A. Well, old-fashioned brokers, in other | 10:42:47 |


|  |  | 48 |  |  | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | words, sometimes will put on the trade confirmation | 10:42:52 | 1 | A. Just again, a handful, and I forget | 10:44:48 |
| 2 | "we sold," which means we sold to the customer. | 10:42:55 | 2 | whose they were. | 10:44:52 |
| 3 | Now, now most people use "customer bought" if the | 10:42:59 | 3 | Q. Then you refer to the broker check | 10:44:54 |
| 4 | customer bought as opposed to "we sold." | 10:43:04 | 4 | report prepared by FINRA. | 10:44:57 |
| 5 | Q. All right. And did -- was that on | 10:43:07 | 5 | A. Yes, sir. | 10:45:01 |
| 6 | all of the -- on all trade confirmations you looked | 10:43:09 | 6 | Q. Okay. Did you obtain that yourself? | 10:45:02 |
| 7 | at? | 10:43:15 | 7 | A. Yes, sir. | 10:45:04 |
| 8 | A. I always hesitate to say all -- | 10:43:16 | 8 | Q. And you say you -- is it fair to say | 10:45:05 |
| 9 | Q. Right. | 10:43:16 | 9 | you found it on the FINRA website? | 10:45:08 |
| 10 | A. -- but it's my memory that it was. | 10:43:18 | 10 | A. Yes, sir. | 10:45:10 |
| 11 | But I'm not sure. | 10:43:21 | 11 | Q. Okay. And why did you look at the | 10:45:12 |
| 12 | Q. And I don't want to keep repeating | 10:43:23 | 12 | broker check report? | 10:45:16 |
| 13 | this, so I'm going to just ask it here once, just to | 10:43:26 | 13 | A. I was curious. | 10:45:17 |
| 14 | make it clear for the record. | 10:43:29 | 14 | Q. About what? | 10:45:17 |
| 15 | Did you speak to anybody at -- any of | 10:43:30 | 15 | A. Well, I was just curious about the | 10:45:19 |
| 16 | the defendants about these trade confirmations? | 10:43:35 | 16 | compliance history of the Madoff firm. | 10:45:22 |
| 17 | A. No, sir. | 10:43:37 | 17 | Q. And what did it reveal to you? | 10:45:24 |
| 18 | Q. And just for the record so I won't | 10:43:37 | 18 | A. An exceedingly clean compliance | 10:45:25 |
| 19 | keep asking it, it's fair to say that you spoke to | 10:43:40 | 19 | history. Virtually, I was shocked that a firm could | 10:45:28 |
| 20 | no defendants about any of the documents that you | 10:43:43 | 20 | be in business that long through the period of time | 10:45:31 |
| 21 | reviewed in preparation for this report; is that | 10:43:45 | 21 | and -- and have as few entries on the broker check | 10:45:36 |
| 22 | correct? | 10:43:47 | 22 | report. Because as you know, broker check reports | 10:45:40 |
| 23 | A. That's correct. | 10:43:47 | 23 | report things, whether they have any validity or | 10:45:46 |
| 24 | Q. All right, good. | 10:43:48 | 24 | not, so, and then they stay on the broker check | 10:45:51 |
| 25 | You also said that you looked at the | 10:43:52 | 25 | report. | 10:45:53 |
|  |  | 49 |  |  | 51 |
| 1 | monthly statements. Again, could you give me a | 10:43:54 | 1 | Q. Well, just for the record, what -- | 10:45:53 |
| 2 | sense of how many those were and what you looked at? | 10:43:56 | 2 | what does a brokerage check report consist of? What | 10:45:57 |
| 3 | A. Again, probably eight or ten. I | 10:43:59 | 3 | does it reveal? | 10:45:59 |
| 4 | didn't -- I didn't count them up, and again, some of | 10:44:02 | 4 | A. A broker check report is a report | 10:46:01 |
| 5 | them I saw as exemplars to your experts' reports, or | 10:44:04 | 5 | which the SROs have made available to the public in | 10:46:04 |
| 6 | sections of them. | 10:44:10 | 6 | response to a perceived need for the public to be | 10:46:09 |
| 7 | Q. Do you remember what specific | 10:44:11 | 7 | able to get some sort of a feeling as to the | 10:46:16 |
| 8 | defendants were involved in either the confirmations | 10:44:12 | 8 | compliance history of both a firm and a broker. | 10:46:19 |
| 9 | or the statements? | 10:44:14 | 9 | So you can do a broker check report | 10:46:22 |
| 10 | A. I think Mr. Wilpon was one that I | 10:44:17 | 10 | on the Madoff firm, or you can do a broker check on | 10:46:24 |
| 11 | looked at. | 10:44:19 | 11 | Merrill Lynch and then pick a specific Merrill Lynch | 10:46:27 |
| 12 | Q. Any other recollection? | 10:44:21 | 12 | broker and do a broker check on that broker, or the | 10:46:30 |
| 13 | A. No, I didn't really focus on the | 10:44:22 | 13 | whole firm. And it shows -- and this would not be a | 10:46:33 |
| 14 | names, I'm sorry. | 10:44:24 | 14 | complete list -- but it shows the states in which | 10:46:37 |
| 15 | Q. That's okay. Then you also said the | 10:44:25 | 15 | the firm is licensed to do business, other | 10:46:41 |
| 16 | 1099s. | 10:44:30 | 16 | background information on the firm, and then it | 10:46:45 |
| 17 | A. No, I didn't say the 1099s, I'm | 10:44:31 | 17 | shows if there are any client complaints about the | 10:46:47 |
| 18 | sorry. | 10:44:33 | 18 | firm or the broker. | 10:46:51 |
| 19 | Q. Well, I'm sorry. I'm quoting your | 10:44:34 | 19 | Q. Based upon your review of the record | 10:46:54 |
| 20 | report, not what you testified to. | 10:44:36 | 20 | that you've looked at here, do you understand BLMIS | 10:46:59 |
| 21 | A. Oh, right, okay, yeah. | 10:44:38 | 21 | to be acting as a broker-dealer in this case? | 10:47:05 |
| 22 | Q. Sorry about that. Now, continuing | 10:44:40 | 22 | A. Yes, I think they were a | 10:47:11 |
| 23 | after "Monthly statements," it says you also | 10:44:42 | 23 | broker-dealer, yes. I know they later in 2006 | 10:47:12 |
| 24 | reviewed some 1099s. Same question: Would you look | 10:44:44 | 24 | registered as an RIA. But in this case, dealing | 10:47:15 |
| 25 | at how many -- | 10:44:47 | 25 | with these clients, it acted as a broker-dealer. | 10:47:18 |


|  |  | 52 |  |  | 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. And why do you say that? | 10:47:24 | 1 | A. Yes. | 10:50:06 |
| 2 | A. Well, they maintained regular stock | 10:47:25 | 2 | Q. Are there other criteria besides just | 10:50:07 |
| 3 | accounts, individual accounts. They received | 10:47:27 | 3 | assets under management that would cause you to be | 10:50:10 |
| 4 | individual statements. They were not pooled. They | 10:47:33 | 4 | required to register as an investment adviser? | 10:50:13 |
| 5 | could take money in or out of their accounts at | 10:47:37 | 5 | A. I'm not aware one way or another. | 10:50:15 |
| 6 | will. They received -- just a normal brokerage | 10:47:41 | 6 | Q. Okay. Do you know whether that was a | 10:50:18 |
| 7 | relationship. | 10:47:47 | 7 | factor, assets under management, in Madoff | 10:50:21 |
| 8 | Q. And you testified that they, in 2006, | 10:47:48 | 8 | registering as an investment adviser in 2006? | 10:50:24 |
| 9 | registered as an investment adviser. Do you have | 10:47:50 | 9 | A. I don't know. | 10:50:27 |
| 10 | any understanding as to why that happened? | 10:47:53 | 10 | Q. Okay. Then lastly, just for the sake | 10:50:52 |
| 11 | A. There was a -- not specifically. | 10:48:00 | 11 | of completeness, there's the last sentence in your | 10:50:54 |
| 12 | Q. What is your understanding, if any? | 10:48:01 | 12 | facts and data relied upon where you referred to a | 10:50:56 |
| 13 | A. Well, during the 2000s, there was a | 10:48:03 | 13 | number of items that are actually filed in this | 10:50:59 |
| 14 | pressure by the regulators for all firms, whether it | 10:48:09 | 14 | lawsuit. Do you see those? | 10:51:01 |
| 15 | was UBS or Smith Barney or Madoff Securities, to | 10:48:14 | 15 | A. Yes, sir. | 10:51:03 |
| 16 | register as broker-dealers and -- as registered | 10:48:21 | 16 | Q. Okay. And is it -- let me just ask | 10:51:03 |
| 17 | investment advisers. So I assumed that that's why | 10:48:25 | 17 | you. Did you in fact read each of these items? | 10:51:06 |
| 18 | they did it. | 10:48:28 | 18 | A. I did. | 10:51:08 |
| 19 | Q. What is your understanding of what a | 10:48:29 | 19 | Q. You read the amended complaint? | 10:51:09 |
| 20 | registered investment adviser is? | 10:48:30 | 20 | A. Yes, sir. | 10:51:11 |
| 21 | A. It's an entity that's registered with | 10:48:33 | 21 | Q. Okay. When you said the memoranda of | 10:51:14 |
| 22 | the SEC. Or it can be registered with the state, | 10:48:36 | 22 | law with respect to defendants' motion to dismiss, | 10:51:16 |
| 23 | and not the SEC. | 10:48:41 | 23 | did you read the memorandum submitted by the | 10:51:19 |
| 24 | Q. Is it registered for a specific | 10:48:42 | 24 | Trustee? | 10:51:24 |
| 25 | purpose? | 10:48:44 | 25 | A. I believe so. I'm not sure. I know | 10:51:27 |
|  |  | 53 |  |  | 55 |
| 1 | A. Well, it's registered in that being | 10:48:50 | 1 | I read something that -- yes, I believe I did. I'm | 10:51:29 |
| 2 | registered, it therefore has to file form ADVs and | 10:48:51 | 2 | not sure, though. | 10:51:32 |
| 3 | they fall under the scrutiny of the SEC. In other | 10:48:55 | 3 | Q. Okay. Does the term "double-up" mean | 10:51:33 |
| 4 | words, a regular broker-dealer, while the SEC has | 10:48:59 | 4 | anything to you? | 10:51:56 |
| 5 | ultimate responsibility for anybody operating within | 10:49:04 | 5 | A. It didn't until this, this | 10:51:56 |
| 6 | the securities industry, day-in/day-out supervision | 10:49:08 | 6 | proceeding. | 10:51:58 |
| 7 | generally falls to NASD, FINRA, New York Stock | 10:49:12 | 7 | Q. Really? And what does it mean to you | 10:51:58 |
| 8 | Exchange, various people such as that. | 10:49:17 | 8 | in the context of this proceeding? | 10:52:00 |
| 9 | When you register with the SEC or a | 10:49:19 | 9 | A. It means somebody -- somebody who's | 10:52:01 |
| 10 | state registration, smaller RIAs, and the number has | 10:49:21 | 10 | not sophisticated in securities, and I guess it's | 10:52:06 |
| 11 | changed of assets under management which allows you | 10:49:28 | 11 | the defendants, calling what's a traditional margin | 10:52:11 |
| 12 | to only register with the state, then becomes | 10:49:31 | 12 | account or loan account a double-up account. That's | 10:52:16 |
| 13 | (indiscernible) by either the state regulators or | 10:49:37 | 13 | why I'd never -- it's really just a simple old | 10:52:17 |
| 14 | the SEC. | 10:49:38 | 14 | margin account. | 10:52:19 |
| 15 | Q. You mentioned assets under | 10:49:39 | 15 | Q. And how did you come to understand | 10:52:21 |
| 16 | management. Is there certain criteria that when | 10:49:42 | 16 | that there were double-ups being used by the | 10:52:22 |
| 17 | they're met it triggers a requirement under the | 10:49:44 | 17 | defendants here? | 10:52:26 |
| 18 | regulations to register as an investment adviser? | 10:49:47 | 18 | A. From the depositions. | 10:52:27 |
| 19 | A. When you say assets under management, | 10:49:52 | 19 | Q. And was there a specific deposition | 10:52:33 |
| 20 | I'm unclear what -- | 10:49:53 | 20 | that you spoke of? | 10:52:35 |
| 21 | Q. Well, I was referring to as perhaps, | 10:49:55 | 21 | A. No. I think they -- I know | 10:52:38 |
| 22 | and I'm suggesting to you, is -- is the amount of | 10:49:57 | 22 | Mr. Friedman spoke of them I believe in his | 10:52:38 |
| 23 | assets under management, is that a factor in whether | 10:50:00 | 23 | deposition, and I think several of the other | 10:52:40 |
| 24 | or not you have to register as an investment | 10:50:03 | 24 | defendants spoke of them in their depositions. I | 10:52:43 |
| 25 | adviser? | 10:50:06 | 25 | just forget exactly which ones, but it was a | 10:52:45 |


|  |  | 56 |  |  | 58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | commonly used term in the depositions. | 10:52:47 | 1 | institutional investors? | 10:55:26 |
| 2 | Q. And do you understand how the | 10:52:50 | 2 | A. Yes. | 10:55:27 |
| 3 | double-ups functioned in the context of what the | 10:52:52 | 3 | Q. And what is that opinion? | 10:55:27 |
| 4 | defendants did? | 10:52:54 | 4 | A. No, they're not institutional | 10:55:29 |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 10:52:55 | 5 | investors. | 10:55:30 |
| 6 | Q. And how did they function? | 10:52:55 | 6 | Q. And what is that based upon? | 10:55:31 |
| 7 | A. The defendants used Madoff | 10:52:57 | 7 | A. 40 years of experience in the | 10:55:34 |
| 8 | investments as collateral for bank loans with Fleet, | 10:53:02 | 8 | industry, reading the depositions. They could not | 10:55:35 |
| 9 | later Fleet/B of A, and borrowed against those and | 10:53:06 | 9 | in any stretch of the imagination be considered | 10:55:39 |
| 10 | then invested the proceeds of the loan in additional | 10:53:11 | 10 | institutional investors. | 10:55:43 |
| 11 | investments with Madoff. | 10:53:15 | 11 | Q. I want to show you -- I don't know if | 10:55:48 |
| 12 | Q. And who is the obligor on the bank | 10:53:16 | 12 | this has been marked. Exhibit 255. | 10:55:51 |
| 13 | loan? | 10:53:20 | 13 | (Exhibit Trustee 255, FINRA Customer | 10:56:10 |
| 14 | A. I've never seen the paperwork. | 10:53:21 | 14 | Account Information, marked for identification.) | 10:56:19 |
| 15 | Q. Do you know who the obligor was on | 10:53:23 | 15 | Q. Mr. Maine, I'm showing you Exhibit | 10:56:19 |
| 16 | the bank loan? | 10:53:25 | 16 | 255. First of all, have you ever seen this document | 10:56:22 |
| 17 | A. I hate to confess this, but you'll | 10:53:29 | 17 | before? | 10:56:26 |
| 18 | have to tell me, the obligor is the? | 10:53:30 | 18 | A. Yes. | 10:56:27 |
| 19 | Q. The person who owed the bank the | 10:53:33 | 19 | Q. The contents of this document. | 10:56:27 |
| 20 | money. | 10:53:35 | 20 | A. Yes. | 10:56:31 |
| 21 | A. I would assume, I don't know, but I | 10:53:37 | 21 | Q. Okay. What do you understand it to | 10:56:31 |
| 22 | would assume it would be the defendants. | 10:53:40 | 22 | be? | 10:56:32 |
| 23 | Collateralized by the -- again, I don't know, but I | 10:53:45 | 23 | A. It's a -- it's a FINRA document that | 10:56:33 |
| 24 | would assume it would be whoever borrowed the money | 10:53:48 | 24 | talks about information about clients and what's | 10:56:36 |
| 25 | collateralized by whatever collateral they put up. | 10:53:51 | 25 | needed to be retained. | 10:56:38 |
|  |  | 57 |  |  | 59 |
| 1 | Q. Are you guessing? | 10:53:54 | 1 | Q. Okay. I direct your attention | 10:56:40 |
| 2 | A. I said I assume. That's a guess. | 10:53:55 | 2 | towards the bottom quarter of it where there's a | 10:56:42 |
| 3 | Q. Okay. If I were to tell you it was | 10:53:58 | 3 | paren, B, close paren, lower case B; do you see | 10:56:46 |
| 4 | Sterling Equities was the obligor, would you be able | 10:54:01 | 4 | that? | 10:56:50 |
| 5 | to contradict that? | 10:54:05 | 5 | A. Yes. | 10:56:51 |
| 6 | A. No. | 10:54:07 | 6 | Q. Then underneath that there's C, it | 10:56:51 |
| 7 | Q. Assume Sterling Equities, assume that | 10:54:08 | 7 | says, "For purposes of this rule." Do you see that? | 10:56:53 |
| 8 | there's evidence in this record that Sterling | 10:54:12 | 8 | A. Yes. | 10:56:57 |
| 9 | Equities is the obligor, would that have any impact | 10:54:14 | 9 | Q. And I'm going to read this into the | 10:56:57 |
| 10 | on the opinions you've expressed in this case? | 10:54:18 | 10 | record and going to ask you a few questions about | 10:57:00 |
| 11 | A. None. | 10:54:22 | 11 | it, okay? | 10:57:03 |
| 12 | Q. Let's -- I want to start in this | 10:54:41 | 12 | A. Certainly. | 10:57:03 |
| 13 | specific area, so let me tell you what it is and | 10:54:45 | 13 | Q. It reads, paren, C, close paren: | 10:57:04 |
| 14 | then we can get the ground rules right. | 10:54:47 | 14 | "For purposes of this rule, the term 'institutional | 10:57:07 |
| 15 | As I understand it, based on your | 10:54:50 | 15 | account' shall mean the account of," colon. I'm | 10:57:09 |
| 16 | review of the record here, it's your opinion that | 10:54:53 | 16 | dropping down to paren, 3, close paren: "Any other | 10:57:13 |
| 17 | the defendants were retail brokerage customers; is | 10:54:55 | 17 | person, whether a natural person, corporation, | 10:57:17 |
| 18 | that a fair statement? | 10:55:00 | 18 | partnership, trust or otherwise, with total assets | 10:57:20 |
| 19 | A. That's an accurate statement. | 10:55:02 | 19 | of at least \$50 million." | 10:57:23 |
| 20 | Q. Okay. And what is that based upon? | 10:55:03 | 20 | Do you see that? | 10:57:26 |
| 21 | A. Well, it's based upon my review of | 10:55:04 | 21 | A. Yes. | 10:57:27 |
| 22 | the depositions, my review of the monthly statements | 10:55:07 | 22 | Q. Is it your understanding that none of | 10:57:27 |
| 23 | and trade confirmations. | 10:55:13 | 23 | the defendants here have personal assets of \$50 | 10:57:30 |
| 24 | Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether | 10:55:19 | 24 | million? | 10:57:32 |
| 25 | or not they are -- any of the defendants are | 10:55:22 | 25 | A. No. I believe they do. | 10:57:33 |


|  |  | 60 |  |  | 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. All right. And does that -- does | 10:57:34 | 1 | takes the money in from the foundation and sends it | 10:59:44 |
| 2 | this definition in any way affect your opinion then | 10:57:36 | 2 | out to JPMorgan to be managed. That clerk is not an | 10:59:46 |
| 3 | that they are institutional investors? | 10:57:39 | 3 | institutional investor. The account at JPMorgan | 10:59:51 |
| 4 | A. Not from a functional standpoint. | 10:57:43 | 4 | could be an institutional account. I hope I made | 10:59:55 |
| 5 | Maybe from some sort of a heading standpoint, but | 10:57:45 | 5 | that clear. | 10:59:59 |
| 6 | not from a functional standpoint. In other words, | 10:57:48 | 6 | Q. But if the person who has the account | 11:00:00 |
| 7 | they're not institutional investors as that term | 10:57:50 | 7 | is the same as the person that has the $\$ 50$ million | 11:00:05 |
| 8 | would be understood in the trade. | 10:57:53 | 8 | in assets, would that make them an institutional | 11:00:08 |
| 9 | Q. Okay. Based on your expertise, why | 10:57:54 | 9 | investor? | 11:00:11 |
| 10 | then does FINRA suggest that somebody that has \$50 | 10:57:58 | 10 | A. Well, the -- the foundation in this | 11:00:12 |
| 11 | million of assets is an institutional investor? | 10:58:01 | 11 | instance has the account. But they're not an | 11:00:14 |
| 12 | MR. WISE: Object to the form of the | 10:58:04 | 12 | institutional investor. The institutional investor | 11:00:17 |
| 13 | question. | 10:58:06 | 13 | refers to the person who actually is a professional | 11:00:20 |
| 14 | A. I have absolutely no idea, but | 10:58:07 | 14 | money manager who's handling the money. This refers | 11:00:24 |
| 15 | they're not institutional investors. | 10:58:08 | 15 | to a pool of assets. It's totally different. | 11:00:27 |
| 16 | Q. FINRA is suggesting that if you have | 10:58:10 | 16 | Q. If someone has -- so to the broker -- | 11:00:46 |
| 17 | \$50 million in assets that you are an institutional | 10:58:13 | 17 | Mr. Wilpon has an account, it's an institutional | 11:00:51 |
| 18 | investor. | 10:58:16 | 18 | account because he's worth more than \$50 million. | 11:00:54 |
| 19 | MR. WISE: Object to the form of the | 10:58:16 | 19 | Can we agree on that? | 11:00:57 |
| 20 | question. That's not what it says. | 10:58:19 | 20 | A. Under this definition. | 11:00:59 |
| 21 | MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. | 10:58:21 | 21 | Q. Okay. What are the obligations of | 11:01:00 |
| 22 | Q. Rule -- I'm going to read the rule | 10:58:23 | 22 | the broker-dealer in dealing with an institutional | 11:01:03 |
| 23 | again. The rule says: "For purposes of this rule, | 10:58:25 | 23 | account? | 11:01:05 |
| 24 | the term 'institutional account,'" thank you, "shall | 10:58:29 | 24 | A. That's such a vague question, I can't | 11:01:09 |
| 25 | mean the account of any other person, whether a | 10:58:33 | 25 | answer it. | 11:01:11 |
|  |  | 61 |  |  | 63 |
| 1 | natural person, with total assets of at least \$50 | 10:58:37 | 1 | Q. Well, in other words, FINRA has a | 11:01:11 |
| 2 | million." Do you see that? | 10:58:41 | 2 | purpose presumably in establishing a rule in | 11:01:14 |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 10:58:43 | 3 | defining what an institutional account is. What are | 11:01:16 |
| 4 | Q. All right. Do you have any | 10:58:43 | 4 | the implications for an account being denominated as | 11:01:20 |
| 5 | understanding -- that's my question -- do you have | 10:58:44 | 5 | institutional? | 11:01:23 |
| 6 | any understanding of why FINRA suggests that \$50 | 10:58:47 | 6 | A. I have no -- | 11:01:25 |
| 7 | million in assets constitutes an institutional | 10:58:50 | 7 | MR. WISE: Object, object to the form | 11:01:26 |
| 8 | account of a person? | 10:58:54 | 8 | of the question. | 11:01:27 |
| 9 | A. No. It must have something to do | 10:58:56 | 9 | A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what FINRA | 11:01:28 |
| 10 | with the internal -- the bookkeeping or the way the | 10:58:57 | 10 | had in their mind, in this or many things that FINRA | 11:01:30 |
| 11 | firm accounts for it. But they're not an | 10:59:00 | 11 | does, I don't know what -- | 11:01:33 |
| 12 | institutional investor. An institutional investor | 10:59:03 | 12 | Q. What does the term "institutional | 11:01:33 |
| 13 | is different than an institutional account. | 10:59:06 | 13 | account" mean to you based on your experience and | 11:01:35 |
| 14 | Q. Well, what's the difference? | 10:59:09 | 14 | expertise? | 11:01:39 |
| 15 | A. Well, an institutional investor is a | 10:59:11 | 15 | A. I would relate it back to an | 11:01:40 |
| 16 | paid person who is paid to manage money. Their | 10:59:13 | 16 | institutional investor managing an account. I don't | 11:01:42 |
| 17 | purpose in life, one of the ways in which they're | 10:59:17 | 17 | believe that the sheer size of an account, whether | 11:01:47 |
| 18 | judged is the management of money. They're | 10:59:19 | 18 | it's \$50 million, or it's a little old lady with | 11:01:50 |
| 19 | compensated for it. That's an institutional | 10:59:21 | 19 | \$100 million, she is not an institutional investor. | 11:01:54 |
| 20 | investor. | 10:59:23 | 20 | She may have for some purpose that FINRA has a | 11:01:57 |
| 21 | An institutional account, according | 10:59:24 | 21 | definition of an institutional account. Maybe -- | 11:02:03 |
| 22 | to FINRA, is an account that's over \$50 million. | 10:59:27 | 22 | maybe there's some recordkeeping requirement; I'm | 11:02:06 |
| 23 | I'll give you an example. Let's say | 10:59:30 | 23 | not aware of it. But, again, the lady that inherits | 11:02:09 |
| 24 | you have a foundation and the person who's in charge | 10:59:33 | 24 | \$100 million does not become an institutional | 11:02:13 |
| 25 | of the money at that foundation is a clerk who just | 10:59:38 | 25 | investor. | 11:02:16 |


|  |  | 64 |  |  | 66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Okay. One moment, please. | 11:02:17 | 1 | rule." Do you see that? | 11:06:15 |
| 2 | MR. SHEEHAN: I'm sorry, I'm going to | 11:02:54 | 2 | A. Yes, sir. | 11:06:16 |
| 3 | go off the record just for a second because I'm | 11:02:57 | 3 | Q. And now I'm referring to, "The term | 11:06:17 |
| 4 | looking for something. | 11:02:59 | 4 | 'institutional account' shall mean account of," and | 11:06:18 |
| 5 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the | 11:03:00 | 5 | then it says, "2, an investment adviser registered | 11:06:20 |
| 6 | record, the time is 11:02. | 11:03:01 | 6 | either with the SEC under 203 of the Investment | 11:06:25 |
| 7 | (Pause in proceedings.) | 11:03:59 | 7 | Advisers Act or with a state securities commission," | 11:06:28 |
| 8 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the | 11:03:59 | 8 | paren, "or any agency or office performing like | 11:06:32 |
| 9 | record. The time is 11:04. | 11:04:10 | 9 | functions," paren, and then it's "or any other | 11:06:34 |
| 10 | A. I actually had a chance, while you | 11:04:18 | 10 | person," and then it goes into the \$50 million. Do | 11:06:37 |
| 11 | were looking for something, to completely read this | 11:04:19 | 11 | you see that? | 11:06:42 |
| 12 | document, which I should have done before. But if | 11:04:21 | 12 | A. Yes. | 11:06:42 |
| 13 | you look at subheading number 2, it says: | 11:04:23 | 13 | Q. All right. Is it your understanding, | 11:06:43 |
| 14 | "For each account other than an | 11:04:27 | 14 | based upon reading that, that institutional account | 11:06:46 |
| 15 | institutional account, and accounts in which the | 11:04:29 | 15 | and investment adviser are the same? | 11:06:48 |
| 16 | investments are limited to transactions in | 11:04:32 | 16 | A. No. I believe what it's saying is | 11:06:52 |
| 17 | open-ended investment company shares that are not | 11:04:35 | 17 | that for the purposes of the exclusion above, that | 11:06:55 |
| 18 | recommended by the member or its associated person, | 11:04:37 | 18 | an investment adviser falls under that exclusion. | 11:07:00 |
| 19 | each member shall also make reasonable efforts to | 11:04:39 | 19 | Q. Isn't -- isn't it saying -- a fair | 11:07:07 |
| 20 | obtain, prior to settlement of the initial | 11:04:43 | 20 | reading is that the term "institutional account" is | 11:07:10 |
| 21 | transaction in the account, the following | 11:04:46 | 21 | an account of an investment adviser? | 11:07:14 |
| 22 | information to the extent it is applicable to the | 11:04:50 | 22 | A. No. I think what it's saying, I | 11:07:18 |
| 23 | account." And then it lists the customer's tax ID | 11:04:52 | 23 | think what it's saying is that you can open, from a | 11:07:20 |
| 24 | number -- | 11:04:55 | 24 | functional standpoint you can open an account for an | 11:07:23 |
| 25 | Q. Right. | 11:04:56 | 25 | investment adviser without getting all the requisite | 11:07:26 |
|  |  | 65 |  |  | 67 |
| 1 | A. -- the occupation and whether the | 11:04:56 | 1 | information that's up under subheading 2 above. | 11:07:30 |
| 2 | customer is an associated person. | 11:04:58 | 2 | Q. Okay. Now, in connection with your | 11:07:34 |
| 3 | So, again, I don't know what FINRA is | 11:05:01 | 3 | review of the documents that you did review in | 11:07:48 |
| 4 | doing for sure because I can't read their mind, but | 11:05:05 | 4 | connection with this case, did you do any | 11:07:49 |
| 5 | it appears to me here that this definition of | 11:05:08 | 5 | qualitative analysis? And do you understand what I | 11:07:51 |
| 6 | institution provides a safe harbor for the broker | 11:05:13 | 6 | mean by qualitative analysis? | 11:07:57 |
| 7 | opening an account of a certain size not to have to | 11:05:15 | 7 | A. I understand the term. I'm not sure | 11:07:59 |
| 8 | get tax ID numbers, not to have to get occupation, | 11:05:19 | 8 | what you mean in connection with this case. | 11:08:01 |
| 9 | and whether the person is an associated member. And | 11:05:24 | 9 | Q. Well, in connection with this case | 11:08:02 |
| 10 | this would seem to be an extrapolation of a merged | 11:05:27 | 10 | and looking at these accounts, did you do a | 11:08:05 |
| 11 | rule, New York Stock Exchange 405, which mandated | 11:05:31 | 11 | qualitative analysis of the investment? | 11:08:07 |
| 12 | that a customer -- a brokerage firm know certain | 11:05:36 | 12 | A. No. | 11:08:09 |
| 13 | preliminary information about every customer and | 11:05:40 | 13 | Q. Okay. And did you do -- same | 11:08:11 |
| 14 | every order entered, and I think this provides an | 11:05:43 | 14 | question. Did you do any analysis -- a quantitative | 11:08:14 |
| 15 | exclusion to that for very large pools of money. | 11:05:46 | 15 | analysis of any of the accounts in connection with | 11:08:17 |
| 16 | Q. Sticking with the document, looking | 11:05:53 | 16 | the investment? | 11:08:19 |
| 17 | at, as you just did, looking at other portions of | 11:05:58 | 17 | A. No. | 11:08:21 |
| 18 | it. Let's go back to subparagraph C. | 11:06:00 | 18 | Q. Do you know what the purpose is to be | 11:08:26 |
| 19 | MR. WISE: There are a number of | 11:06:03 | 19 | served by doing either a qualitative or quantitative | 11:08:33 |
| 20 | subparagraph C's. | 11:06:06 | 20 | analysis of an investment? | 11:08:36 |
| 21 | MR. SHEEHAN: You're right. Thank | 11:06:08 | 21 | A. That's, I'm sorry, that's so broad I | 11:08:40 |
| 22 | you, Mr. Wise. | 11:06:09 | 22 | can't... | 11:08:42 |
| 23 | Q. I'm going back to the one we were | 11:06:09 | 23 | Q. Well, if you were going to try to | 11:08:44 |
| 24 | talking about earlier down at the last quarter of | 11:06:11 | 24 | assess the risk associated with a particularly -- an | 11:08:46 |
| 25 | the page where it starts, "For the purposes of the | 11:06:13 | 25 | equity investment with a particular institutional | 11:08:50 |
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|  |  | 68 |  |  | 70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | adviser, how would you go about doing that? | 11:08:53 | 1 | A. He cleared his own trades. | 11:11:25 |
| 2 | A. You would do an analysis of the | 11:08:59 | 2 | Q. All right. And my question remains: | 11:11:27 |
| 3 | account. | 11:09:00 | 3 | Is there a risk associated in dealing with a broker | 11:11:28 |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And did you -- would it be | 11:09:01 | 4 | who clears his own trades? | 11:11:31 |
| 5 | fair to say, then, that you have not determined in | 11:09:04 | 5 | A. I'm not aware of any, unless the | 11:11:35 |
| 6 | this case the risk associated in investing with | 11:09:07 | 6 | broker becomes insolvent. | 11:11:37 |
| 7 | Mr. Madoff? | 11:09:10 | 7 | Q. Now, in your -- | 11:12:10 |
| 8 | A. You mean before the end? Because we | 11:09:13 | 8 | MR. SHEEHAN: Let's -- did we mark | 11:12:13 |
| 9 | know that there was -- | 11:09:15 | 9 | the second report? Let's do that. | 11:12:15 |
| 10 | Q. Well, we know the outcome. I'm | 11:09:16 | 10 | MS. ZUBERI: 256. | 11:12:53 |
| 11 | talking about whether or not you, having not done a | 11:09:17 | 11 | (Exhibit Trustee 256, Rebuttal Report | 11:12:53 |
| 12 | quantitative or qualitative analysis, that you're | 11:09:22 | 12 | of John Maine, marked for identification.) | 11:12:54 |
| 13 | not in a position to assess the risk associated | 11:09:25 | 13 | Q. Mr. Maine, I've asked the reporter to | 11:12:54 |
| 14 | prior to the demise of investing with Mr. Madoff. | 11:09:27 | 14 | mark the next exhibit as 256 and it's been handed to | 11:12:57 |
| 15 | A. If I understand your -- your | 11:09:33 | 15 | you. Can you identify it for us for the record? | 11:13:01 |
| 16 | question, I -- I did not do any analysis of the | 11:09:34 | 16 | A. Yes. This is my rebuttal report. | 11:13:03 |
| 17 | account, so I could not render an opinion in that | 11:09:37 | 17 | Q. Okay. And I want to walk through | 11:13:06 |
| 18 | regard. | 11:09:41 | 18 | some of this, if we could. | 11:13:07 |
| 19 | Q. Okay. Just in that regard, turn, if | 11:09:41 | 19 | Starting on the first page, and one | 11:13:11 |
| 20 | you would, to page 10 of your report. | 11:09:57 | 20 | of the criticisms -- I'm going to characterize it | 11:13:14 |
| 21 | A. I'm there. | 11:10:11 | 21 | and then you can correct me if I mischaracterize it. | 11:13:17 |
| 22 | Q. For some reason I'm not there. Sorry | 11:10:13 | 22 | One of your criticisms of Dr. Pomerantz's report is | 11:13:20 |
| 23 | about that. | 11:10:19 | 23 | that he is referring to the defendants in sort of an | 11:13:24 |
| 24 | A. It's between 9 and 11. | 11:10:23 | 24 | institutional way as Sterling. Do you recall that? | 11:13:27 |
| 25 | Q. Your expertise is just overwhelming | 11:10:24 | 25 | A. Yes, sir. | 11:13:29 |
|  |  | 69 |  |  | 71 |
| 1 | me. | 11:10:27 | 1 | Q. Okay. And rather than have me | 11:13:31 |
| 2 | In any event... let me just ask the | 11:10:30 | 2 | articulate it, could you explain to me what your | 11:13:32 |
| 3 | question because I can't find it in your report. | 11:10:38 | 3 | criticism is of Dr. Pomerantz there? | 11:13:35 |
| 4 | You speak in your report of the fact | 11:10:40 | 4 | A. Yes. Because these were individual | 11:13:39 |
| 5 | that Mr. Madoff cleared his own trades. Do you | 11:10:42 | 5 | accounts. There was no -- I mean, Sterling may well | 11:13:42 |
| 6 | remember that? | 11:10:45 | 6 | have had an account. But the accounts in question, | 11:13:47 |
| 7 | A. Yeah. | 11:10:46 | 7 | I believe, are the accounts -- and maybe Sterling is | 11:13:49 |
| 8 | Q. Is there any risk in your experience | 11:10:46 | 8 | one of the accounts in question, but we're talking | 11:13:52 |
| 9 | with dealing with a broker who clears his own | 11:10:49 | 9 | about Mr. Katz, Mr. Wilpon, Mr. David Katz, all | 11:13:54 |
| 10 | trades? | 11:10:53 | 10 | those other people, they were individual brokerage | 11:13:56 |
| 11 | A. When you say cleared their own | 11:10:55 | 11 | accounts similar to the millions and millions of | 11:13:59 |
| 12 | trades, exactly what do you mean by that? | 11:10:57 | 12 | other individual brokerage accounts that are opened. | 11:14:02 |
| 13 | Q. Let me ask you that. What do you | 11:10:59 | 13 | And it appeared to me that Dr. Pomerantz seemed | 11:14:04 |
| 14 | mean by clearing your own trades? | 11:11:00 | 14 | unclear about that. | 11:14:10 |
| 15 | A. Well, it can mean either processing | 11:11:02 | 15 | Q. In what sense? | 11:14:12 |
| 16 | the trades or paying for the trades or -- can mean | 11:11:03 | 16 | A. Well, that he kept referring to them | 11:14:14 |
| 17 | various things. | 11:11:08 | 17 | as sort of an institutional entity, a combined | 11:14:16 |
| 18 | Q. Doesn't clearing the trade have a | 11:11:08 | 18 | entity as opposed to the individually maintained | 11:14:19 |
| 19 | very traditional meaning in your industry? | 11:11:10 | 19 | classic brokerage accounts, which these were. | 11:14:22 |
| 20 | A. Yes, that's what I just said. But it | 11:11:14 | 20 | Q. And how did you come to that | 11:14:25 |
| 21 | can mean several things; the processing of the | 11:11:16 | 21 | conclusion, that that's what they were? | 11:14:27 |
| 22 | trade, the clearing of the trade, the communications | 11:11:18 | 22 | A. Well, from reading the deposition | 11:14:29 |
| 23 | with the DTC. | 11:11:20 | 23 | testimony and looking at the confirmations and trade | 11:14:31 |
| 24 | Q. Taking all that into account, what | 11:11:21 | 24 | tickets. | 11:14:34 |
| 25 | did Mr. Madoff do? | 11:11:24 | 25 | Q. Just so we can get some clarity here, | 11:14:36 |


|  |  | 72 |  |  | 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | can you tell me what you understand Sterling | 11:14:44 | 1 | I could see which would show that these investors, | 11:17:32 |
| 2 | Equities to be? | 11:14:46 | 2 | who I just ran through, in any way would qualify to | 11:17:37 |
| 3 | A. Sterling Equities I'm not 100 percent | 11:14:48 | 3 | be investment professionals. And I thought that was | 11:17:42 |
| 4 | sure what it was, but I believe it was an entity | 11:14:52 | 4 | a real lack in the reports, that they made these | 11:17:44 |
| 5 | that the partners used in certain transaction -- | 11:14:54 | 5 | allegations, but really backed it up with no | 11:17:48 |
| 6 | transactional functions. | 11:14:59 | 6 | training, with no prior expertise. | 11:17:51 |
| 7 | Q. And what transactional functions | 11:15:02 | 7 | Q. Was it your understanding based on | 11:17:53 |
| 8 | would those be, if you know? | 11:15:05 | 8 | reading the depositions you've spoken of that each | 11:17:55 |
| 9 | A. I'm not 100 percent clear how they | 11:15:06 | 9 | of the defendants dealt directly with Mr. Madoff? | 11:17:57 |
| 10 | use it. But what I focused on Sterling Equities was | 11:15:08 | 10 | A. No. I don't believe that -- I don't | 11:18:03 |
| 11 | not as much from a functional standpoint as a | 11:15:12 | 11 | believe some of them interfaced directly with | 11:18:06 |
| 12 | staffing standpoint, an expertise standpoint, | 11:15:16 | 12 | Mr. Madoff. | 11:18:08 |
| 13 | whether they -- whether housed in Sterling was any | 11:15:20 | 13 | Q. Do you know how many, if any, of the | 11:18:08 |
| 14 | invest -- equity investment expertise, | 11:15:26 | 14 | defendants interfaced with Mr. Madoff in connection | 11:18:11 |
| 15 | decision-making, research capability. Those types | 11:15:29 | 15 | with all of these accounts? | 11:18:13 |
| 16 | of things. Because I was trying to ferret out | 11:15:34 | 16 | A. When you say interfaced, do you mean | 11:18:16 |
| 17 | whether there in fact was a professional investor | 11:15:36 | 17 | met him -- met him, or talked to him about the | 11:18:19 |
| 18 | involved with Sterling. | 11:15:39 | 18 | accounts? In other words -- | 11:18:22 |
| 19 | Q. And did you reach a conclusion as to | 11:15:41 | 19 | Q. All of the above. | 11:18:23 |
| 20 | whether or not there was such an investor? | 11:15:43 | 20 | A. Well, I think more met him in terms | 11:18:25 |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 11:15:44 | 21 | of just social interaction. But I think the only | 11:18:27 |
| 22 | Q. And what is that conclusion? | 11:15:45 | 22 | ones that I really could say spoke to him about the | 11:18:34 |
| 23 | A. That there was not. | 11:15:46 | 23 | accounts were Mr. Friedman and perhaps Mr. Saul | 11:18:38 |
| 24 | Q. And what is the basis for that | 11:15:47 | 24 | Katz. I don't think many of them had substantive | 11:18:46 |
| 25 | opinion? | 11:15:49 | 25 | conversations about the accounts. | 11:18:49 |
|  |  | 73 |  |  | 75 |
| 1 | A. The basis for that opinion would be | 11:15:50 | 1 | Q. Do you know, based on your reading of | 11:18:51 |
| 2 | the deposition testimony, the way, it's my | 11:15:53 | 2 | this record that you've spoken of, whether any of | 11:18:56 |
| 3 | understanding, that the assets were handled, that | 11:15:59 | 3 | the defendants, other than the ones you've | 11:18:58 |
| 4 | there was no one in-house except from a ministerial | 11:16:03 | 4 | identified, were permitted to talk to Mr. Madoff? | 11:19:00 |
| 5 | standpoint at Sterling who was running, to use that | 11:16:07 | 5 | A. I don't know one way or another. | 11:19:06 |
| 6 | term, or managing the assets. | 11:16:10 | 6 | Q. So as far as you know, they could | 11:19:08 |
| 7 | Q. What specifically are you referring | 11:16:21 | 7 | have been told they can't talk to Mr. Madoff? | 11:19:10 |
| 8 | to as deposition testimony? Can you enlighten us? | 11:16:23 | 8 | A. I knew that certain outside | 11:19:16 |
| 9 | A. Yeah. I read the depositions of | 11:16:27 | 9 | investors, if you were one of the outside accounts, | 11:19:18 |
| 10 | Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Fred Katz, Mr. David Katz, | 11:16:29 | 10 | so to speak, that I think they were encouraged not | 11:19:21 |
| 11 | Mr. Peskin, Mr. Stamos, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Rongierio | 11:16:34 | 11 | to speak to Mr. Madoff. Whether Mr. David Katz or | 11:19:27 |
| 12 | (phonetic). I think I said Mr. Chachra, I think I | 11:16:41 | 12 | Mr. Michael Katz or somebody could pick up the phone | 11:19:31 |
| 13 | said Mr. Stamos before. Let me think, are there | 11:16:44 | 13 | and call Mr. Madoff, I don't know. I didn't see any | 11:19:36 |
| 14 | any -- those would be ones that would bear on -- | 11:16:53 | 14 | of them saying I was precluded from calling, that I | 11:19:39 |
| 15 | there may be another one or two, but those would be | 11:16:59 | 15 | remember. | 11:19:42 |
| 16 | the ones that would bear on the question on the | 11:17:02 | 16 | Q. What do you mean by outside accounts? | 11:19:42 |
| 17 | table. | 11:17:05 | 17 | A. Well, there seem to have been other | 11:19:45 |
| 18 | Q. And what specifically in their | 11:17:06 | 18 | investors who wished to get into investments with | 11:19:48 |
| 19 | testimony led you to your conclusion that there was | 11:17:07 | 19 | Mr. Madoff, and friends, family of the Wilpon/Katz | 11:19:53 |
| 20 | no professional investor involved? | 11:17:09 | 20 | group, who were facilitated in doing that by being | 11:20:02 |
| 21 | A. I saw no indication there or, for | 11:17:13 | 21 | directed to Mr. Friedman. And in instances where | 11:20:12 |
| 22 | that matter, the other thing which would be | 11:17:16 | 22 | they did not have what I believe was a two million | 11:20:15 |
| 23 | important, would be in your expert reports. They | 11:17:18 | 23 | dollar minimum, they could be linked up with another | 11:20:21 |
| 24 | referred in broad generalities to investment | 11:17:22 | 24 | investor or group to make that minimum. | 11:20:25 |
| 25 | expertise, but they never isolated one instance that | 11:17:27 | 25 | Q. And is it your understanding that | 11:20:31 |


|  |  | 76 |  |  | 78 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | those outside accounts, as you've just described | 11:20:33 | 1 | Turning to the first page, do you see | 11:23:48 |
| 2 | them, would deal with Mr. Madoff through | 11:20:36 | 2 | the email that's there? | 11:23:51 |
| 3 | Mr. Friedman? | 11:20:38 | 3 | A. Yes, sir, I do. | 11:23:54 |
| 4 | A. That's my understanding, yes. | 11:20:40 | 4 | Q. And have you had a chance to read it? | 11:23:55 |
| 5 | Q. Okay. And that in certain instances | 11:20:42 | 5 | A. Now I have. | 11:24:06 |
| 6 | some of those outside accounts would be aggregated | 11:20:46 | 6 | MR. SHEEHAN: Now I need a moment. | 11:24:18 |
| 7 | to add up to two million dollar minimums that | 11:20:48 | 7 | Let's go off the record. | 11:24:20 |
| 8 | Mr. Madoff required? | 11:20:52 | 8 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the | 11:24:21 |
| 9 | A. That's my understanding. | 11:20:53 | 9 | record, the time is 11:24. | 11:24:22 |
| 10 | (Comments off the record.) | 11:21:45 | 10 | (Pause in proceedings.) | 11:24:45 |
| 11 | Q. For the record, what I've just handed | 11:22:01 | 11 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the | 11:24:45 |
| 12 | to the witness through the reporter is an exhibit | 11:22:04 | 12 | record, the time is 11:24. | 11:24:46 |
| 13 | that's been previously marked as Trustee Exhibit | 11:22:06 | 13 | BY MR. SHEEHAN: | 11:24:49 |
| 14 | 110. It was previously marked on December 15th of | 11:22:10 | 14 | Q. Actually, I misspoke. We're only | 11:24:49 |
| 15 | last year. And it is a document that was produced | 11:22:13 | 15 | going to look at one page of this. So let's look at | 11:24:51 |
| 16 | by Sterling Stamos as revealed by the Bates stamp | 11:22:17 | 16 | page 6761, which is about four or five pages from | 11:24:54 |
| 17 | that's on here. And it's presented to you, just so | 11:22:21 | 17 | the back. It's entitled "The Sterling Stamos | 11:25:01 |
| 18 | you understand, Mr. Maine, as the document -- the | 11:22:26 | 18 | Difference." | 11:25:08 |
| 19 | way we received the document as it was produced to | 11:22:29 | 19 | MS. ZUBERI: It's 6781. | 11:25:10 |
| 20 | us. All right? | 11:22:32 | 20 | MR. SHEEHAN: 6781. | 11:25:13 |
| 21 | A. Yes, sir. | 11:22:33 | 21 | MR. WISE: 6761 is something else. | 11:25:13 |
| 22 | Q. All right. Having done that prelude, | 11:22:33 | 22 | MR. SHEEHAN: Sorry, sorry about | 11:25:15 |
| 23 | my question to you is have you seen this document | 11:22:36 | 23 | that. | 11:25:16 |
| 24 | before today? | 11:22:38 | 24 | MR. WISE: You now have us at 6781. | 11:25:16 |
| 25 | A. No, sir. | 11:22:39 | 25 | MR. SHEEHAN: Yup. | 11:25:19 |
|  |  | 77 |  |  | 79 |
| 1 | Q. Okay. I want you to take a few | 11:22:40 | 1 | MR. WISE: All right. | 11:25:22 |
| 2 | minutes, just to take a look through it in fairness, | 11:22:42 | 2 | MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. | 11:25:22 |
| 3 | because I'm going to ask you a number of questions | 11:22:44 | 3 | BY MR. SHEEHAN: | 11:25:40 |
| 4 | about it, and I realize it's fairly extensive, so | 11:22:46 | 4 | Q. Now directing your attention, if I | 11:25:40 |
| 5 | take whatever time you need. | 11:22:50 | 5 | could, to the second paragraph; do you see that? | 11:25:45 |
| 6 | MR. WISE: Well, I'll just note for | 11:22:59 | 6 | A. Yes, sir. | 11:25:49 |
| 7 | the record that the document is, I don't know, it's, | 11:23:01 | 7 | Q. I'm going to read that into the | 11:25:50 |
| 8 | based on the Bates numbers, it appears to be almost | 11:23:07 | 8 | record and then ask you a few questions. It's | 11:25:51 |
| 9 | 30 pages. | 11:23:09 | 9 | entitled "Internal Due Diligence Network," and it | 11:25:54 |
| 10 | MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, it is long. | 11:23:10 | 10 | reads: | 11:25:58 |
| 11 | MR. WISE: Want him to sit here and | 11:23:14 | 11 | "Sterling Stamos leverages the | 11:25:59 |
| 12 | study this 30-page document. | 11:23:16 | 12 | business expertise of its 50 percent partner, | 11:26:00 |
| 13 | MR. SHEEHAN: That's a very fair | 11:23:18 | 13 | Sterling Equities. Founded over 30 years ago by the | 11:26:03 |
| 14 | document, Mr. Wise, and I appreciate that. Thank | 11:23:19 | 14 | Wilpon and Katz families, Sterling Equities has | 11:26:07 |
| 15 | you. | 11:23:21 | 15 | developed deep expertise in hedge funds, private | 11:26:11 |
| 16 | Q. Why don't I try to shorten it up | 11:23:21 | 16 | equity, and real estate. In addition to providing a | 11:26:14 |
| 17 | then, okay. But in fairness, then, if I do ask you | 11:23:24 | 17 | perspective that only experience can generate, the | 11:26:20 |
| 18 | something, I think Mr. Wise's admonition is indeed | 11:23:28 | 18 | Wilpon and Katz networks also provide unique | 11:26:22 |
| 19 | wise, and we will not go through the entire | 11:23:32 | 19 | proprietary sourcing and due diligence | 11:26:25 |
| 20 | document. I will direct you to certain portions of | 11:23:32 | 20 | capabilities." | 11:26:28 |
| 21 | it. But in fairness to you, if you need more time, | 11:23:33 | 21 | Now, had you seen this prior to | 11:26:32 |
| 22 | because I'm moving around the document, feel free to | 11:23:36 | 22 | today? | 11:26:34 |
| 23 | tell me. Okay? | 11:23:38 | 23 | A. No. | 11:26:34 |
| 24 | A. I appreciate that. | 11:23:38 | 24 | Q. Does this in any way alter your | 11:26:34 |
| 25 | Q. That's good. | 11:23:40 | 25 | opinion as to the sophistication of Mr. Katz and | 11:26:36 |


|  |  | 80 |  |  | 82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mr. Wilpon? | 11:26:39 | 1 | And what I'd like to do is to walk | 11:31:10 |
| 2 | A. No. | 11:26:39 | 2 | you through some of the pages here, if I may. | 11:31:12 |
| 3 | Q. And why not? | 11:26:40 | 3 | A. My copy has red tabs. Should I just | 11:31:17 |
| 4 | A. Well, because I put this in the | 11:26:41 | 4 | go to -- | 11:31:20 |
| 5 | context of a couple of things. First of all, the 30 | 11:26:42 | 5 | Q. Does it have red tabs? That's great. | 11:31:20 |
| 6 | years of deep expertise in hedge funds, I think I've | 11:26:47 | 6 | MR. WISE: I think we all got them. | 11:31:22 |
| 7 | seen that referred to as their investment with | 11:26:52 | 7 | MR. SHEEHAN: Good. Solves the | 11:31:24 |
| 8 | Madoff, which they incorrectly or which Mr. Stamos | 11:26:58 | 8 | problem. | 11:31:27 |
| 9 | put in here as investment in a hedge fund. I've | 11:27:02 | 9 | (Comments off the record.) | 11:31:31 |
| 10 | seen no other evidence that they invested in any | 11:27:07 | 10 | Q. So the first tab should be 5450 ? | 11:31:31 |
| 11 | other hedge funds. There may be some, but I haven't | 11:27:09 | 11 | A. It is. | 11:31:36 |
| 12 | seen any that they invested in any hedge funds. And | 11:27:12 | 12 | Q. Okay, thank you. | 11:31:36 |
| 13 | in reading Mr. Stamos' deposition, he -- he said | 11:27:16 | 13 | And directing your attention, take a | 11:31:37 |
| 14 | that this was a puff piece and meant as a marketing | 11:27:21 | 14 | look at, if you look, it's the firm background | 11:31:39 |
| 15 | piece, and this, and in other areas, which I may be | 11:27:26 | 15 | paragraph and I'm looking at paragraph number 3. | 11:31:45 |
| 16 | questioned about later -- | 11:27:33 | 16 | A. Yes. | 11:31:48 |
| 17 | Q. Sure. | 11:27:34 | 17 | Q. And I'm directing your attention to | 11:31:51 |
| 18 | A. -- they, shall we say, took literary | 11:27:35 | 18 | Saul Katz and David Katz in that paragraph and their | 11:31:53 |
| 19 | license with the backgrounds and that this was -- he | 11:27:40 | 19 | identification as general partners in Sterling | 11:31:58 |
| 20 | did not believe that the Wilpon/Katzes were | 11:27:44 | 20 | Stamos and as portfolio and business advisers. Do | 11:32:02 |
| 21 | sophisticated equity investors with a deep history | 11:27:48 | 21 | you see that? | 11:32:10 |
| 22 | in classic hedge funds, equity private equity. | 11:27:51 | 22 | A. Yes. | 11:32:11 |
| 23 | Although they had done some private equity in a | 11:27:56 | 23 | Q. Do you consider this also to just be | 11:32:11 |
| 24 | couple of, I forget, it was network something or | 11:27:59 | 24 | puffery? | 11:32:14 |
| 25 | other, they'd done a little bit of that, and this | 11:28:02 | 25 | A. Well, this is not an advertising | 11:32:15 |
|  |  | 81 |  |  | 83 |
| 1 | was just basically a puff piece. | 11:28:09 | 1 | piece. This is a due diligence background, so it's | 11:32:17 |
| 2 | Q. Okay. Let's go to the next exhibit | 11:28:13 | 2 | -- it's a different document. | 11:32:19 |
| 3 | then. | 11:28:15 | 3 | Q. So, when they say here that they're | 11:32:20 |
| 4 | (Exhibit Trustee 257, Email, Bates | 11:29:25 | 4 | portfolio and business advisers, what does that mean | 11:32:24 |
| 5 | SSMT01855447-584, marked for identification.) | 11:29:39 | 5 | to you? | 11:32:27 |
| 6 | (Comments off the record.) | 11:29:39 | 6 | A. I really don't know what they meant | 11:32:32 |
| 7 | Q. Mr. Maine, sorry about that, the | 11:30:14 | 7 | by this business. I can tell you what came out of | 11:32:33 |
| 8 | reporter has handed you an Exhibit 2 -- that's been | 11:30:16 | 8 | Mr. Stamos' deposition as to how he instructed, but | 11:32:36 |
| 9 | marked as 257. It's a very extensive document. I | 11:30:19 | 9 | just looking at the words I can't give you an | 11:32:39 |
| 10 | am going to direct your attention to about three or | 11:30:24 | 10 | interpretation. | 11:32:41 |
| 11 | four pages on it. Have you seen it prior to today? | 11:30:27 | 11 | Q. Yeah, I understand that. Just | 11:32:42 |
| 12 | A. No, sir. | 11:30:30 | 12 | putting aside Mr. Stamos, because we're familiar | 11:32:43 |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Clearly for purposes of | 11:30:30 | 13 | with him, and your understanding of that is not what | 11:32:46 |
| 14 | identification, because I'm certainly not a witness, | 11:30:32 | 14 | I'm looking for. | 11:32:48 |
| 15 | but the cover page here, at least, purports to be an | 11:30:34 | 15 | A. Okay. | 11:32:49 |
| 16 | email from a person apparently at Merrill Lynch, all | 11:30:40 | 16 | Q. You're reading what, as you say, this | 11:32:50 |
| 17 | right, private equity group. It's to an individual | 11:30:45 | 17 | document identifies these people as key principals | 11:32:57 |
| 18 | that, as I understand it, is associated with the | 11:30:48 | 18 | in connection with Sterling Stamos. Do you see | 11:32:59 |
| 19 | government of Qatar. And it's enclosing a series of | 11:30:51 | 19 | that? | 11:33:01 |
| 20 | documents in connection with a presentation being | 11:30:56 | 20 | A. Yes. | 11:33:02 |
| 21 | made to the government of Qatar, with regard to a | 11:30:59 | 21 | Q. And based on your years of | 11:33:02 |
| 22 | potential investment. That's not, obviously, | 11:31:03 | 22 | experience -- and you've seen documents like this | 11:33:05 |
| 23 | testimony, but that's what we understand that to be. | 11:31:05 | 23 | before, have you not? | 11:33:06 |
| 24 | A. Fine. | 11:31:09 | 24 | A. Yes, sir. | 11:33:08 |
| 25 | Q. All right, fine. | 11:31:09 | 25 | Q. All right. Did you put together | 11:33:09 |


|  |  | 84 |  |  | 86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | documents like this? | 11:33:10 | 1 | your fund of funds? | 11:35:16 |
| 2 | A. No, sir. | 11:33:11 | 2 | A. Well, professional training. In | 11:35:17 |
| 3 | Q. All right. But when you examined | 11:33:12 | 3 | other words, if you look at the background of | 11:35:18 |
| 4 | them in the past, would you look at who the key | 11:33:14 | 4 | Mr. Chachra, for instance, he was a hands-on fund | 11:35:21 |
| 5 | principals are as a basis for making your | 11:33:17 | 5 | manager. These people, if you read the depositions, | 11:35:24 |
| 6 | investment? | 11:33:19 | 6 | they really did not participate -- and this would | 11:35:27 |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 11:33:20 | 7 | come from Mr. Stamos' deposition, Mr. Chachra's | 11:35:30 |
| 8 | Q. And would you expect, based on your | 11:33:20 | 8 | deposition and the Katzes' deposition, they didn't | 11:35:33 |
| 9 | experience, that the people listed were people who | 11:33:23 | 9 | participate in the due diligence. They were | 11:35:36 |
| 10 | were sophisticated with regard to the nature of | 11:33:26 | 10 | presented with the end product and decided in some | 11:35:39 |
| 11 | Sterling Stamos' business? | 11:33:29 | 11 | cases whether that sounded like an agreeable money | 11:35:42 |
| 12 | A. The nature of their business? | 11:33:32 | 12 | manager. | 11:35:45 |
| 13 | Q. Yeah. What they did. | 11:33:33 | 13 | Q. All right, fine. Let's go to the | 11:35:46 |
| 14 | A. As opposed to -- well, an investment | 11:33:34 | 14 | next page, if we could. | 11:35:49 |
| 15 | manager does a lot of things. They run a business, | 11:33:37 | 15 | A. Okay. It isn't the next page -- | 11:35:53 |
| 16 | they prospect for new clients, they have personnel, | 11:33:39 | 16 | MR. WISE: The next page or the next | 11:35:53 |
| 17 | they have offices, they have staffing. And then | 11:33:43 | 17 | one that's tabbed? | 11:35:54 |
| 18 | they have other people who you see identified as | 11:33:46 | 18 | MR. SHEEHAN: The next one that's | 11:35:55 |
| 19 | portfolio managers who run the portfolios. | 11:33:48 | 19 | tabbed. Well, mine was the next page. | 11:35:57 |
| 20 | Q. That's right. So you would therefore | 11:33:50 | 20 | MR. WISE: Mine too. Okay. I didn't | 11:36:04 |
| 21 | understand those people to be -- have the capability | 11:33:53 | 21 | see it. | 11:36:18 |
| 22 | to run a portfolio? | 11:33:55 | 22 | Q. Okay. I'm directing your attention | 11:36:18 |
| 23 | A. Yes. Mr. Chachra and Ms. Horing. | 11:33:56 | 23 | again, this is still under -- this is under Roman | 11:36:22 |
| 24 | Q. And also Mr. Katz? | 11:33:59 | 24 | Numeral II, investment strategy, it's, again, | 11:36:27 |
| 25 | A. No, Mr. Katz is a portfolio and | 11:34:01 | 25 | paragraph 3 and directing your attention to | 11:36:28 |
|  |  | 85 |  |  | 87 |
| 1 | business adviser. | 11:34:03 | 1 | "Internal Due Diligence Network," which I don't know | 11:36:30 |
| 2 | Q. And what would you understand that to | 11:34:05 | 2 | if it's word for word the same, Mr. Maine, but it's | 11:36:32 |
| 3 | be? | 11:34:07 | 3 | very similar to what I showed you earlier in terms | 11:36:36 |
| 4 | A. That's some sort of an overall | 11:34:08 | 4 | of the due diligence capabilities of Sterling | 11:36:38 |
| 5 | supervisory role. But the business adviser would | 11:34:10 | 5 | Equities. Do you see that? | 11:36:41 |
| 6 | mean that -- the Katzes would be more involved with | 11:34:12 | 6 | A. Yes. | 11:36:43 |
| 7 | the business end of the business. In other words, | 11:34:15 | 7 | Q. All right. I could read this, starts | 11:36:44 |
| 8 | making decisions as to how much space to occupy, how | 11:34:17 | 8 | out, "Sterling Stamos leverages the business | 11:36:46 |
| 9 | many people to hire, how much advertising to do, | 11:34:24 | 9 | experience --" "-- expertise of its 50 percent | 11:36:49 |
| 10 | things such as that. | 11:34:28 | 10 | partner, Sterling Equities." That's what I'm | 11:36:51 |
| 11 | Q. What about the first half, portfolio | 11:34:29 | 11 | referring to. | 11:36:54 |
| 12 | adviser, what would that entail? | 11:34:32 | 12 | A. Yes. | 11:36:55 |
| 13 | A. Well, I really don't -- the words | 11:34:34 | 13 | Q. All right. Is it your testimony that | 11:36:55 |
| 14 | speak for themselves. It would assume -- I would | 11:34:38 | 14 | as it appears here it's still puffery? | 11:36:56 |
| 15 | assume that at some level they would give advice on | 11:34:40 | 15 | A. Yes. I think it's poetic license | 11:36:59 |
| 16 | macro portfolio things. In other words, maybe | 11:34:45 | 16 | because, again, their deep expertise in hedge funds | 11:37:02 |
| 17 | listen to a presentation from a money manager and | 11:34:48 | 17 | was their investment which -- with Madoff, which | 11:37:07 |
| 18 | make a decision. Remembering that this is a fund of | 11:34:50 | 18 | actually wasn't a hedge fund, but that's what the | 11:37:12 |
| 19 | funds, make a decision whether that fund manager was | 11:34:56 | 19 | testimony in depositions says that this refers to. | 11:37:14 |
| 20 | somebody that should be included in the portfolio to | 11:34:59 | 20 | And the private equity I believe were a couple of | 11:37:18 |
| 21 | be managed by the other people. But this is a fund | 11:35:02 | 21 | individual companies which they had made equity | 11:37:22 |
| 22 | of funds, which is very different than a money | 11:35:07 | 22 | investments in, which is stretching the term | 11:37:27 |
| 23 | manager. | 11:35:11 | 23 | "private equity" which involves -- which implies | 11:37:30 |
| 24 | Q. What would it take to make that | 11:35:11 | 24 | that you're a BlackRock or a TPG Group or somebody | 11:37:32 |
| 25 | assessment that somebody should be a fund manager in | 11:35:13 | 25 | whose business is assessing private equity | 11:37:36 |


|  |  | 88 |  |  | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | opportunities. So... | 11:37:39 | 1 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the | 11:54:12 |
| 2 | Q. Okay. So let's flip this around now. | 11:37:40 | 2 | record. The time is 11:54. This is disk number 3. | 11:54:14 |
| 3 | You're now, using your expertise, you're sitting | 11:37:43 | 3 | MR. SHEEHAN: Which number is this? | 11:54:21 |
| 4 | there and this is presented to you. You haven't | 11:37:46 | 4 | 258. Okay. | 11:54:24 |
| 5 | read the Stamos deps, you haven't done any of that. | 11:37:48 | 5 | (Exhibit Trustee 258, Emails and | 11:54:34 |
| 6 | You're in your capacity as in your earlier roles in | 11:37:52 | 6 | Presentation to Dupont, Bates SSMT01238214-266, | 11:54:34 |
| 7 | the securities industry; this is presented to you | 11:37:54 | 7 | marked for identification.) | 11:54:34 |
| 8 | and you read this. What would it mean to you? | 11:37:57 | 8 | BY MR. SHEEHAN: | 11:54:34 |
| 9 | A. Well, this would be a starting place | 11:38:00 | 9 | Q. For the record again, Mr. Maine, I've | 11:54:44 |
| 10 | and then you'd talk to -- if this passed the smell | 11:38:01 | 10 | handed you a document that's been marked by the | 11:54:46 |
| 11 | test, this whole document, then you'd get in-depth | 11:38:06 | 11 | reporter as Trustee Exhibit 258 which, again, is a | 11:54:48 |
| 12 | as to what their expertise was, and I think what | 11:38:09 | 12 | multi-page document. Again, we have tabbed it so | 11:54:52 |
| 13 | would come out would be exactly what I've said. | 11:38:12 | 13 | that we won't have to worry about where we're going. | 11:54:57 |
| 14 | Q. Okay. Let's go I guess to the next | 11:38:15 | 14 | But, again, just for purposes of the record, again | 11:55:00 |
| 15 | tab, which I guess is 5537? | 11:38:21 | 15 | what this -- the initial page of this is a document | 11:55:04 |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 11:38:27 | 16 | that again was produced by Sterling Stamos, and it | 11:55:09 |
| 17 | Q. Directing your attention to the last | 11:38:43 | 17 | references a meeting for a presentation to Dupont, | 11:55:16 |
| 18 | entry which is Saul Katz. | 11:38:46 | 18 | and people that are attendees are listed here and | 11:55:21 |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 11:38:47 | 19 | attached to it is what purports to be a presentation | 11:55:25 |
| 20 | Q. Do you see that? And it starts off | 11:38:48 | 20 | put together in December of 2004. That's on page | 11:55:34 |
| 21 | with, and this is what I'm focusing on, is | 11:38:51 | 21 | 8219 which is where the emails stop and the document | 11:55:44 |
| 22 | actively -- "Mr. Katz is a general partner of | 11:38:55 | 22 | begins. Do you see that? | 11:55:52 |
| 23 | Sterling Stamos and actively involved in the | 11:38:57 | 23 | A. Yes. | 11:55:53 |
| 24 | investment decisions, as well as the management of | 11:39:00 | 24 | Q. Okay. Again, just do me a favor. | 11:55:54 |
| 25 | Sterling Stamos." Do you see that? | 11:39:04 | 25 | Take a quick look at this document in its entirety, | 11:55:59 |
|  |  | 89 |  |  | 91 |
| 1 | A. Yes. | 11:39:06 | 1 | if you would. I don't mean for you to read it, but | 11:56:02 |
| 2 | Q. And what would you understand that to | 11:39:08 | 2 | in your experience in the industry, what do you | 11:56:05 |
| 3 | mean in terms of him being involved in investment | 11:39:11 | 3 | understand this document to be? Starting at that | 11:56:08 |
| 4 | decisions? | 11:39:15 | 4 | page, Sterling Stamos presentation. | 11:56:10 |
| 5 | A. Just what the words say, they're | 11:39:16 | 5 | (Witness examining document.) | 11:57:51 |
| 6 | implying that he was involved in the investment | 11:39:18 | 6 | A. Okay. And your question was what do | 11:58:11 |
| 7 | decisions. | 11:39:21 | 7 | I understand this document to be. | 11:58:14 |
| 8 | Q. Okay, fine. Let's just go to the | 11:39:22 | 8 | Q. Yeah. | 11:58:16 |
| 9 | next page, which will be the last question. Do you | 11:39:28 | 9 | A. It appears to be -- I was just | 11:58:17 |
| 10 | see "David Katz"? | 11:39:31 | 10 | restating your question. | 11:58:18 |
| 11 | A. Yes, sir. | 11:39:32 | 11 | Q. Yeah, sure. | 11:58:19 |
| 12 | Q. And would your answer be the same, | 11:39:33 | 12 | A. It appears to be a background | 11:58:21 |
| 13 | that when it talks about his being involved in | 11:39:35 | 13 | marketing document by Sterling Stamos. | 11:58:25 |
| 14 | investment decisions, it simply means what it | 11:39:37 | 14 | Q. Okay. And I'm just going to walk | 11:58:27 |
| 15 | implies, that he's involved in investment decisions? | 11:39:40 | 15 | through a couple of those tabs. Let's go to the | 11:58:29 |
| 16 | A. Yes, sir. | 11:39:45 | 16 | first one, if we could. It's 8226. Do you see | 11:58:33 |
| 17 | Q. All right. Let's go to the next one. | 11:39:48 | 17 | that? | 11:58:36 |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: I'm going to take you | 11:39:51 | 18 | A. Yes, sir. | 11:58:36 |
| 19 | up on one of your short breaks. | 11:39:52 | 19 | Q. I should have asked you this but, I | 11:58:38 |
| 20 | MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. Take your time. | 11:39:54 | 20 | think -- have you ever seen this document before | 11:58:41 |
| 21 | Let's try to get back here at ten to. Ten minutes | 11:39:57 | 21 | today? | 11:58:43 |
| 22 | good? | 11:40:00 | 22 | A. No, sir. | 11:58:44 |
| 23 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the | 11:40:01 | 23 | Q. Okay, fine. | 11:58:45 |
| 24 | record, the time is 11:40. This ends disk 2. | 11:40:06 | 24 | So, directing your attention to page | 11:58:46 |
| 25 | (Recess taken.) | 11:40:15 | 25 | 8226, and it's entitled at the top, "Security Fund, | 11:58:47 |


|  |  | 92 |  |  | 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Overview - Differentiating Characteristics of the | 11:58:52 | 1 | people that we talked about earlier. The document | 12:00:52 |
| 2 | Security Fund." Do you see that? | 11:58:57 | 2 | showed them just before. | 12:00:54 |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 11:59:00 | 3 | MR. SHEEHAN: Okay, fine. All right. | 12:00:55 |
| 4 | Q. And I'm specifically identifying | 11:59:01 | 4 | Q. I direct your attention to Saul Katz | 12:00:57 |
| 5 | the -- or directing your attention to the second | 11:59:03 | 5 | and David Katz. Do you see them? | 12:00:58 |
| 6 | entry there, "Access to Sterling Equities' | 11:59:05 | 6 | A. Yes. | 12:01:00 |
| 7 | Experience and Unique Proprietary Sourcing." Do you | 11:59:08 | 7 | Q. They purport here to be members of | 12:01:00 |
| 8 | see that? | 11:59:11 | 8 | the senior investment team. Based on your | 12:01:02 |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 11:59:12 | 9 | experience again, looking at this document, what | 12:01:04 |
| 10 | Q. And then it says, "Sterling Stamos is | 11:59:12 | 10 | would you understand their role to be? | 12:01:07 |
| 11 | able to leverage Sterling Equities' 40 years of | 11:59:15 | 11 | A. They would not look to me to be part | 12:01:09 |
| 12 | alternative investment experience." Do you see | 11:59:17 | 12 | of the investment group because if you notice | 12:01:11 |
| 13 | that? | 11:59:21 | 13 | Mr. Chachra is a portfolio manager, Ms. Horing is a | 12:01:15 |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 11:59:21 | 14 | portfolio manager, and these guys are part of the | 12:01:18 |
| 15 | Q. Based on your background, what would | 11:59:22 | 15 | ownership group of Sterling Stamos and general | 12:01:24 |
| 16 | that have meant to you when you read that? | 11:59:24 | 16 | partner. So it does not imply any investment role | 12:01:30 |
| 17 | A. Well, that they had alternative | 11:59:28 | 17 | except at the top where it says "senior investment | 12:01:34 |
| 18 | investments other than stocks. | 11:59:30 | 18 | team." | 12:01:37 |
| 19 | Q. Right. | 11:59:31 | 19 | The other thing I focus on is if you | 12:01:37 |
| 20 | A. So it could be an asset class such as | 11:59:32 | 20 | look at the backgrounds and experience of the two | 12:01:39 |
| 21 | real estate. So, in other words, they had -- real | 11:59:35 | 21 | people identified as portfolio managers, Morgan | 12:01:41 |
| 22 | estate is an -- in modern portfolio theory real | 11:59:37 | 22 | Stanley, Chase Securities and then you've got | 12:01:44 |
| 23 | estate is an alternative to asset class, so it means | 11:59:41 | 23 | Highgate, Gabelli, Weiss, Peck, Greer, which is a | 12:01:46 |
| 24 | something like real estate, could be private equity. | 11:59:44 | 24 | brokerage firm, and Merrill Lynch. | 12:01:52 |
| 25 | Something such as that. | 11:59:48 | 25 | So, clearly these people, there are | 12:01:52 |
|  |  | 93 |  |  | 95 |
| 1 | Q. Okay, fine. | 11:59:49 | 1 | certain people who are the investment people and | 12:01:55 |
| 2 | Let's go to the next entry. | 11:59:51 | 2 | there are other people who are the executives. Why | 12:01:56 |
| 3 | A. Next tab? | 11:59:55 | 3 | they're listed under senior investment team, I don't | 12:01:59 |
| 4 | Q. Yeah, next tab, I'm sorry. | 11:59:56 | 4 | know, but it's not borne out by the titles, the | 12:02:01 |
| 5 | Apologize. | 11:59:59 | 5 | functional titles after their names. | 12:02:04 |
| 6 | And I believe that's page 8242. | 11:59:59 | 6 | Q. Okay. Let's go to the next one. | 12:02:25 |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 12:00:04 | 7 | This is page 8244. Do you see that? | 12:02:31 |
| 8 | Q. It lists "Professionals, Senior | 12:00:05 | 8 | A. Yes, sir. | 12:02:39 |
| 9 | Investment Team." Do you see that? | 12:00:08 | 9 | Q. Just to move this along a little more | 12:02:44 |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 12:00:10 | 10 | quickly, the only entry I'm interested in is | 12:02:46 |
| 11 | Q. This lists on this page five people, | 12:00:11 | 11 | obviously the one at the top, Fred Wilpon. Do you | 12:02:49 |
| 12 | Mr. Stamos, Mr. Chachra, Mr. Wong -- or Ms. Wong, | 12:00:15 | 12 | see that? | 12:02:52 |
| 13 | Saul Katz and David Katz. Do you see that? | 12:00:20 | 13 | A. Yes, sir. | 12:02:52 |
| 14 | A. Yes. Ms. Wong, you said? | 12:00:23 | 14 | Q. This is on the category of other | 12:02:54 |
| 15 | Q. I thought -- well, I'm pronouncing | 12:00:25 | 15 | investment professionals and they're listing Mr. | 12:02:56 |
| 16 | that... | 12:00:27 | 16 | Wilpon as a part of that group. Do you see that? | 12:02:58 |
| 17 | MR. WISE: It's Ms. Horing. | 12:00:31 | 17 | A. Yes. | 12:03:00 |
| 18 | MR. SHEEHAN: Horing? I can't even | 12:00:36 | 18 | Q. If you'd have seen this, again in | 12:03:01 |
| 19 | see it. | 12:00:37 | 19 | your experience, what would your reaction have been | 12:03:02 |
| 20 | MR. WISE: Horing. It's Ellen | 12:00:37 | 20 | to seeing Mr. Wilpon as another investment | 12:03:04 |
| 21 | Horing. | 12:00:38 | 21 | professional? | 12:03:06 |
| 22 | MR. SHEEHAN: Oh, good. Well, my | 12:00:39 | 22 | A. The same that I said for the Katzes. | 12:03:06 |
| 23 | copy I couldn't get it, but appreciate the | 12:00:42 | 23 | Q. Well, here it says that he is the -- | 12:03:09 |
| 24 | correction for the record, thank you. | 12:00:44 | 24 | his background is that he's at Bear Stearns on the | 12:03:12 |
| 25 | MR. WISE: Well, they're the same | 12:00:51 | 25 | board, Lowes Corporation on the board. Would that | 12:03:17 |


|  |  | 96 |  |  | 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | impact your opinion in any way? | 12:03:19 | 1 | next document. Thanks. | 12:05:54 |
| 2 | A. No. | 12:03:21 | 2 | (Comments off the record.) | 12:06:09 |
| 3 | Q. Did you -- do you know what | 12:03:22 | 3 | MS. ZUBERI: This has been marked | 12:06:23 |
| 4 | Mr. Wilpon did when he was on the board at Bear | 12:03:24 | 4 | before, so it's 187. | 12:06:25 |
| 5 | Stearns? | 12:03:27 | 5 | Q. Okay, Mr. Maine, you've been handed | 12:06:57 |
| 6 | A. No. | 12:03:28 | 6 | an exhibit that's been previously marked as Trustee | 12:06:59 |
| 7 | Q. Okay. Let's go to what's called the | 12:03:35 | 7 | Exhibit 187, which purports to be a Sterling Stamos | 12:07:01 |
| 8 | "Executive Summary" on page 8255. And again, I | 12:03:39 | 8 | company overview discussion with Merrill Lynch. I'm | 12:07:07 |
| 9 | think we're familiar with this language. Do you see | 12:04:05 | 9 | just reading, again not testifying, as to what the | 12:07:10 |
| 10 | it? I'm directing your attention to access to | 12:04:07 | 10 | document purports to be. Do you see that? | 12:07:14 |
| 11 | investment expertise and experience of Sterling | 12:04:09 | 11 | A. Yes, sir. | 12:07:16 |
| 12 | Equities. Do you see that? | 12:04:12 | 12 | Q. Have you seen this document before | 12:07:17 |
| 13 | A. Yes, I do. | 12:04:13 | 13 | today? | 12:07:18 |
| 14 | Q. Okay. The fact that it's in this | 12:04:14 | 14 | A. No, sir, I have not. | 12:07:18 |
| 15 | context, a marketing document, does it change in any | 12:04:15 | 15 | Q. All right, fine. | 12:07:20 |
| 16 | way your opinion of what this purports to be? | 12:04:18 | 16 | Again, what you did a moment ago for | 12:07:21 |
| 17 | A. No. | 12:04:20 | 17 | me would be helpful. Could you just take a quick | 12:07:24 |
| 18 | Q. Do you still see this as just mere | 12:04:20 | 18 | look at this and then, based on your experience in | 12:07:27 |
| 19 | puffery? | 12:04:23 | 19 | the industry, what would you understand this | 12:07:29 |
| 20 | A. Well, no, this is functionally close | 12:04:23 | 20 | document to be? | 12:07:30 |
| 21 | to accurate in that they did -- their investment | 12:04:29 | 21 | (Witness examining document.) | 12:10:18 |
| 22 | with Madoff was not in a hedge fund, but they were, | 12:04:34 | 22 | A. The question was, what did I | 12:11:35 |
| 23 | I believe from testimony that's what this is | 12:04:39 | 23 | understand this document to be, and it appears to be | 12:11:38 |
| 24 | referring to, the hedge funds. Private equity were | 12:04:41 | 24 | a profile of Sterling Stamos. | 12:11:41 |
| 25 | companies that they bought, with the view toward | 12:04:44 | 25 | Q. Have you seen this document prior to | 12:11:56 |
|  |  | 97 |  |  | 99 |
| 1 | operating. So not a classic private equity thing, | 12:04:48 | 1 | today? | 12:11:57 |
| 2 | but they did do some private equity investments, and | 12:04:51 | 2 | A. No. | 12:11:58 |
| 3 | real estate. So, there's really no change. | 12:04:54 | 3 | Q. Okay, fine. Again, directing your | 12:11:58 |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Let's go to the last entry | 12:04:58 | 4 | attention to the first tab -- | 12:12:02 |
| 5 | here. And actually you have to go to the page just | 12:05:00 | 5 | A. I don't have any tabs. | 12:12:09 |
| 6 | prior to that, if you would, that's page 8261 and | 12:05:11 | 6 | Q. Oh, you don't have any tabs this | 12:12:10 |
| 7 | the title of this is "Sterling Stamos Senior | 12:05:15 | 7 | time. I apologize. | 12:12:12 |
| 8 | Investment Team." Do you see that? | 12:05:18 | 8 | A. I'm tabless. | 12:12:14 |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 12:05:20 | 9 | Q. Let me tell you all the pages. | 12:12:15 |
| 10 | Q. And it lists Mr. Stamos, Mr. Chachra, | 12:05:21 | 10 | A. Bait and switching here. | 12:12:17 |
| 11 | Ellen Horing, H-o-r-i-n-g. | 12:05:24 | 11 | Q. I apologize. | 12:12:19 |
| 12 | A. Right. | 12:05:24 | 12 | If you look down, there's an SE | 12:12:20 |
| 13 | Q. Is that correct? | 12:05:27 | 13 | number. Do you see that, in the -- if you hold it | 12:12:22 |
| 14 | A. Yes, sir. | 12:05:28 | 14 | this way, in the lower right-hand corner? | 12:12:25 |
| 15 | Q. And then the next page it carries | 12:05:29 | 15 | A. Yes, sir, I do. | 12:12:27 |
| 16 | over and again has Saul Katz and David Katz. Do you | 12:05:31 | 16 | MR. WISE: And if you've got really | 12:12:28 |
| 17 | see that? | 12:05:33 | 17 | good eyes. | 12:12:29 |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 12:05:34 | 18 | MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, I know. | 12:12:30 |
| 19 | Q. And what would that have meant to you | 12:05:37 | 19 | Q. 4281. And, actually, it's page 8, I | 12:12:33 |
| 20 | if you'd seen this as a marketing document in your | 12:05:39 | 20 | just realized that, they're actually paginated. | 12:12:37 |
| 21 | experience? | 12:05:42 | 21 | Wow. So it's page 8. | 12:12:43 |
| 22 | A. Well, in other words, they're just | 12:05:44 | 22 | A. Yes. | 12:12:45 |
| 23 | part of the -- that's what the word says, they're | 12:05:48 | 23 | Q. And the title of this page is simply | 12:12:48 |
| 24 | part of the investment team. | 12:05:51 | 24 | "Advantages." And there's a listing of items under | 12:12:51 |
| 25 | Q. Okay, all right. Let's go to the | 12:05:52 | 25 | "Advantages." Directing your attention to the -- | 12:12:55 |


|  |  | 100 |  |  | 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | this is speaking again of Sterling Stamos. | 12:12:59 | 1 | discussion with Merrill Lynch. And it talks about | 12:15:29 |
| 2 | A. Right. | 12:13:01 | 2 | 20 years experience investing in hedge funds. Do | 12:15:32 |
| 3 | Q. As you understand it. Let me stop | 12:13:01 | 3 | you see that? | 12:15:35 |
| 4 | right there. | 12:13:04 | 4 | A. Yes. | 12:15:36 |
| 5 | MR. WISE: I'm not sure that's | 12:13:06 | 5 | Q. And it says, "Over \$600 million of | 12:15:36 |
| 6 | correct. You want to take a look at that more | 12:13:07 | 6 | principals' capital invested." Do you see that? | 12:15:39 |
| 7 | closely? | 12:13:09 | 7 | A. Yes. | 12:15:42 |
| 8 | MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Well, I was | 12:13:10 | 8 | Q. Would that indicate to you that that | 12:15:43 |
| 9 | basing that, Mr. Wise, on the fact that it's | 12:13:13 | 9 | experience demonstrated a degree of sophistication | 12:15:47 |
| 10 | Sterling Stamos company overview. So -- and then it | 12:13:16 | 10 | on the part of Sterling Stamos? | 12:15:49 |
| 11 | talks about the background of Sterling Stamos, is | 12:13:23 | 11 | A. No. There's a big difference in | 12:15:52 |
| 12 | what I thought it did. So if I'm wrong about that, | 12:13:25 | 12 | investing in hedge funds and running a hedge fund. | 12:15:55 |
| 13 | I'd rather have the record clear. | 12:13:30 | 13 | I invest -- have invested in the same hedge fund for | 12:15:59 |
| 14 | MR. WISE: I only say that because | 12:13:32 | 14 | ten years. I have no idea how he makes and loses | 12:16:03 |
| 15 | looking at the -- looking at the page, it says 40 | 12:13:34 | 15 | money for me. | 12:16:06 |
| 16 | years experience. Well, Sterling Stamos was only | 12:13:38 | 16 | Q. Um-hum. | 12:16:07 |
| 17 | formed in 2003, so I can't -- that can't possibly be | 12:13:41 | 17 | A. So, it's different in managing a fund | 12:16:08 |
| 18 | referring to Sterling Stamos. | 12:13:46 | 18 | rather than just owning a fund. And Ithink this | 12:16:11 |
| 19 | MR. SHEEHAN: I think what they're | 12:13:47 | 19 | does relate to the Madoff, Madoff thing because they | 12:16:14 |
| 20 | referring to there, because they then had 20 years | 12:13:48 | 20 | started investing in hedge funds in '84, which is I | 12:16:18 |
| 21 | experience, I think obviously -- Mr. Maine, this | 12:13:51 | 21 | think when they started investing with Madoff. If | 12:16:21 |
| 22 | little colloquy with counsel here -- I think what | 12:13:54 | 22 | you look at the next page. | 12:16:24 |
| 23 | we're talking about here is that if you combine what | 12:13:56 | 23 | Q. Right. So, is your testimony based | 12:16:26 |
| 24 | Sterling brought and what Stamos brings, these are | 12:13:58 | 24 | on your personal experience then? Because you don't | 12:16:29 |
| 25 | the advantages. I think that's what this -- I'm not | 12:14:01 | 25 | know what your hedge fund does, you assume everybody | 12:16:33 |
|  |  | 101 |  |  | 103 |
| 1 | testifying, again, that's what I understand the | 12:14:04 | 1 | else doesn't know what their hedge fund does? | 12:16:35 |
| 2 | document to be. So what Sterling had was 40 years | 12:14:05 | 2 | A. No. I don't -- I make no assumptions | 12:16:37 |
| 3 | of experience in real estate, 20 years experience in | 12:14:09 | 3 | about that. What I'm saying -- | 12:16:39 |
| 4 | hedge funds, many years in private equity. | 12:14:12 | 4 | Q. (Inaudible.) | 12:16:41 |
| 5 | MR. WISE: I think we can agree on | 12:14:17 | 5 | A. -- I'm saying it's not conclusiary | 12:16:41 |
| 6 | this: It's not clear from the face of the page | 12:14:18 | 6 | that if you engage in a hedge fund -- if you buy a | 12:16:43 |
| 7 | itself what the -- who they're referring to there, | 12:14:21 | 7 | hedge fund, that you know anything about hedge | 12:16:46 |
| 8 | although I think you and I can agree they're | 12:14:23 | 8 | funds. You may know everything about a hedge fund, | 12:16:47 |
| 9 | obviously not referring to Sterling Stamos. They | 12:14:25 | 9 | but it's not -- ownership doesn't connote knowledge. | 12:16:50 |
| 10 | must be referring to something, Sterling Equities or | 12:14:28 | 10 | It just connotes ownership. Knowledge is a separate | 12:16:54 |
| 11 | something else. I think the record is pretty clear | 12:14:32 | 11 | thing. | 12:16:58 |
| 12 | that Sterling Stamos only started in 2003. | 12:14:35 | 12 | Q. Well, if you're putting this in a | 12:16:58 |
| 13 | MR. SHEEHAN: That's true. And I | 12:14:38 | 13 | document for having a discussion with a potential | 12:17:00 |
| 14 | don't think anyone disagrees with that. | 12:14:40 | 14 | investor in your fund, what would you understand, | 12:17:03 |
| 15 | MR. WISE: Mr. Stamos I think was -- | 12:14:49 | 15 | based on your experience, the purpose for putting | 12:17:06 |
| 16 | how old is Mr. Stamos? Peter Stamos? | 12:14:50 | 16 | that in there? | 12:17:08 |
| 17 | MS. WAGNER: I don't know what he is | 12:14:54 | 17 | A. I think it's just giving their | 12:17:09 |
| 18 | but I think he's... | 12:14:55 | 18 | background and, again, if you go to the very back of | 12:17:11 |
| 19 | MR. WISE: I don't know that he's | 12:14:57 | 19 | this document, you'll see that when they put in the | 12:17:15 |
| 20 | been around 40 years. But anyway... | 12:14:58 | 20 | selected biographies they don't list the Katzes and | 12:17:18 |
| 21 | BY MR. SHEEHAN: | 12:15:17 | 21 | Mr. Wilpon as part of their real investment | 12:17:22 |
| 22 | Q. Okay. With that background, let's | 12:15:17 | 22 | management team, if you go to the very back. | 12:17:27 |
| 23 | just deal with it this way, Mr. Maine, all right. | 12:15:19 | 23 | So I think they do, in that regard, | 12:17:29 |
| 24 | This is a document, at least appears from the cover | 12:15:24 | 24 | sort of separate the importance of the various | 12:17:33 |
| 25 | of it, put together by Sterling Stamos for a | 12:15:26 | 25 | people in terms of what they do because at the back | 12:17:36 |


|  |  | 104 |  |  | 106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | they give selected biographies of the investment | 12:17:38 | 1 | Q. All right. It's the lawyer thing, is | 12:19:51 |
| 2 | people. | 12:17:41 | 2 | he dead yet. I apologize. But we do get carried | 12:19:53 |
| 3 | Q. I want to go back to your earlier | 12:17:42 | 3 | away and thank you for pointing it out. | 12:19:58 |
| 4 | testimony where you said you're clueless with regard | 12:17:44 | 4 | All right. Let's go to the next | 12:20:11 |
| 5 | to what your hedge fund does. Is that a fair | 12:17:48 | 5 | page. Oh, they're not tabbed, I forgot. It's 13. | 12:20:14 |
| 6 | statement? | 12:17:50 | 6 | A. 13 was not my next page. 13 is | 12:20:25 |
| 7 | A. Well, no, I know he invests in small | 12:17:52 | 7 | Sterling Stamos people. Is that -- | 12:20:28 |
| 8 | cap value stocks. | 12:17:54 | 8 | Q. Yeah, Sterling Stamos people, 13. | 12:20:30 |
| 9 | Q. Um-hum. | 12:17:58 | 9 | A. Yeah, that's it. It wasn't the next | 12:20:33 |
| 10 | A. But I have no idea which stocks he's | 12:17:59 | 10 | page. | 12:20:34 |
| 11 | investing in. He also has the ability to go short. | 12:18:02 | 11 | Q. I'm using next in the sense of tab. | 12:20:34 |
| 12 | I have no idea on an ongoing basis how much he's | 12:18:06 | 12 | Apologize. | 12:20:38 |
| 13 | short and how much he's long. So I know the basic | 12:18:10 | 13 | And you see that it lists here the | 12:20:41 |
| 14 | overview, very similar to, say, Madoff investors | 12:18:13 | 14 | investment professionals, including Mr. Katz, | 12:20:43 |
| 15 | knew what he did, but they didn't know what the | 12:18:17 | 15 | Mr. Wilpon and David Katz? Do you see that? | 12:20:47 |
| 16 | secret sauce was. I know what Arnie Schneider does, | 12:18:19 | 16 | A. I see that. | 12:20:50 |
| 17 | but I don't know what his secret sauce is. | 12:18:23 | 17 | Q. All right. And then I'm going to go | 12:20:51 |
| 18 | Q. Well, then is it your testimony that | 12:18:26 | 18 | to the -- I don't know if I skipped a page or not? | 12:20:52 |
| 19 | you would know what he does but -- what's the secret | 12:18:28 | 19 | I might have. Hang on a second here. | 12:20:56 |
| 20 | sauce, by the way? | 12:18:33 | 20 | Q. Just for sake of completeness, and | 12:21:07 |
| 21 | A. In other words, how -- somebody can | 12:18:33 | 21 | I'm going to ask you some other questions about it, | 12:21:09 |
| 22 | say, for instance, that they are a split-strike | 12:18:36 | 22 | but on page 10, if you go back, I did skip a page. | 12:21:11 |
| 23 | manager or a small cap value manager. But then how | 12:18:38 | 23 | I'm directing your attention to origins of Sterling | 12:21:14 |
| 24 | they then manipulate that, what they do is up to the | 12:18:43 | 24 | Stamos. Do you see that? | 12:21:18 |
| 25 | manager how to go from there. | 12:18:49 | 25 | A. Yes, sir. | 12:21:21 |
|  |  | 105 |  |  | 107 |
| 1 | Q. Would a hedge fund investor -- in | 12:18:51 | 1 | Q. It talks about the Katz, Wilpon and | 12:21:22 |
| 2 | your experience now, your own included -- understand | 12:18:53 | 2 | Stamos families jointly -- I'm reading now. "Katz | 12:21:24 |
| 3 | what a short sale is? | 12:18:57 | 3 | Wilpon and Stamos families jointly invest in hedge | 12:21:26 |
| 4 | A. Well, they might or they might not. | 12:19:02 | 4 | funds, public equities and private equities." Do | 12:21:29 |
| 5 | In other words, I -- | 12:19:04 | 5 | you see that? | 12:21:33 |
| 6 | Q. Well, in your experience would it | 12:19:06 | 6 | A. Yes. | 12:21:33 |
| 7 | more likely be that a hedge fund investor would know | 12:19:07 | 7 | Q. Now, if you were to read those two | 12:21:34 |
| 8 | what a short sale is? | 12:19:10 | 8 | pages together, the origins of Sterling Stamos, Katz | 12:21:35 |
| 9 | A. I would think they might. I just | 12:19:12 | 9 | and Wilpon, and then see Fred, Saul and David Katz | 12:21:38 |
| 10 | don't -- I don't know. I mean, I've never seen a | 12:19:15 | 10 | listed as investment professionals, based on your | 12:21:42 |
| 11 | survey, I've never queried people about that, so I | 12:19:17 | 11 | experience, what would that mean to you? | 12:21:46 |
| 12 | don't know. I do know what a short sale is. You | 12:19:20 | 12 | A. That they invest -- this is what | 12:21:51 |
| 13 | said in my experience. I do know what a short sale | 12:19:23 | 13 | Sterling Stamos does, it invests in hedge funds, | 12:21:54 |
| 14 | is. | 12:19:25 | 14 | public equities and private equities. I mean, it's | 12:21:57 |
| 15 | Q. Let me ask you that question: Are | 12:19:25 | 15 | just the words. | 12:21:59 |
| 16 | you aware of any studies in which an analysis has | 12:19:28 | 16 | Q. Would it suggest to you that | 12:22:00 |
| 17 | been made of what knowledge hedge fund investors | 12:19:32 | 17 | Mr. Wilpon, Mr. Stamos -- or, no, Mr. Saul Katz, | 12:22:01 |
| 18 | have with regard to their investments? | 12:19:36 | 18 | Mr. Fred Wilpon and David Katz have expertise with | 12:22:04 |
| 19 | A. No. | 12:19:39 | 19 | regard to investing in hedge funds? | 12:22:09 |
| 20 | Q. Have you ever done such a study? | 12:19:39 | 20 | A. No. I don't think -- again, I don't | 12:22:12 |
| 21 | A. No. | 12:19:41 | 21 | think making an investment connotes knowledge one | 12:22:15 |
| 22 | Q. Have you ever consulted anything | 12:19:41 | 22 | way or another. In other words, private equity, you | 12:22:18 |
| 23 | like -- a study like that? | 12:19:43 | 23 | might think somebody has expertise in investing in | 12:22:22 |
| 24 | A. Well, if I didn't know of a study | 12:19:45 | 24 | private equity, which I see defined in here as | 12:22:25 |
| 25 | then, I mean, it's tautology. | 12:19:48 | 25 | Sterling American partnerships. Private equity, you | 12:22:29 |


|  |  | 108 |  |  | 110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | know, you might have to have more expertise there | 12:22:34 | 1 | A. Yes, sir. | 01:13:16 |
| 2 | because it's more of a hands-on thing. But hedge | 12:22:39 | 2 | Q. Okay. Prior to today, had you seen | 01:13:17 |
| 3 | fund is just looking at somebody's records, at its | 12:22:42 | 3 | this document? | 01:13:19 |
| 4 | very basic level, listening to a description of how | 12:22:45 | 4 | A. No, sir. | 01:13:20 |
| 5 | they invest their money, and then saying, I'll go | 12:22:48 | 5 | Q. All right. I'm only going to ask a | 01:13:21 |
| 6 | with it. Because by their nature hedge funds are | 12:22:50 | 6 | couple of questions about it, but if you could | 01:13:22 |
| 7 | opaque. That's one of the drawbacks of investing in | 12:22:53 | 7 | turn -- is this tabbed? It is. | 01:13:27 |
| 8 | hedge funds. They don't give you much information | 12:22:58 | 8 | A. Yes, it is. | 01:13:29 |
| 9 | about what's going on, they don't give you | 12:23:01 | 9 | Q. Good. So if you could go to the | 01:13:29 |
| 10 | statements or confirmations about what's happening. | 12:23:03 | 10 | first tab, and I'm directing your attention to C. | 01:13:32 |
| 11 | Q. Okay. | 12:23:07 | 11 | Do you see that? | 01:13:51 |
| 12 | I'm going to start a new line; I want | 12:23:08 | 12 | A. Yes, sir. | 01:13:52 |
| 13 | to break for lunch here, okay? Okay, good. Thanks. | 12:23:11 | 13 | Q. And it identifies the employer as | 01:13:53 |
| 14 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the | 12:23:14 | 14 | Sterling Equities Associates? | 01:13:55 |
| 15 | record, the time is 12:23. | 12:23:16 | 15 | A. Yes. | 01:13:57 |
| 16 | (Luncheon recess taken.) | 12:23:20 | 16 | Q. And then E identifies the employer as | 01:13:58 |
| 17 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the | 01:11:10 | 17 | the plan administrator; do you see that? | 01:14:01 |
| 18 | record. The time is 1:11. | 01:11:15 | 18 | A. Yes. | 01:14:06 |
| 19 | BY MR. SHEEHEN: | 01:11:19 | 19 | Q. If you turn the page, just one last | 01:14:06 |
| 20 | Q. Just a couple of other questions | 01:11:21 | 20 | item, you'll see that G says the trustees are Arthur | 01:14:09 |
| 21 | before I get into some more documents, Mr. Maine. | 01:11:22 | 21 | Friedman and Michael Katz. Do you see that? | 01:14:13 |
| 22 | A. Okay. | 01:11:25 | 22 | A. Yes, sir. | 01:14:15 |
| 23 | Q. One of them is this, is that -- what | 01:11:25 | 23 | Q. Do you have any understanding who | 01:14:16 |
| 24 | is your understanding, if any, of the relationship | 01:11:28 | 24 | Arthur Friedman is? | 01:14:18 |
| 25 | of Sterling partners to Sterling Stamos? | 01:11:31 | 25 | A. Yes, sir. | 01:14:20 |
|  |  | 109 |  |  | 111 |
| 1 | A. I really don't -- I've never looked | 01:11:38 | 1 | Q. And what is your understanding? | 01:14:21 |
| 2 | at the structure. I have no understanding. | 01:11:40 | 2 | A. Mr. Friedman, I believe, is a partner | 01:14:24 |
| 3 | Q. If I were to suggest to you | 01:11:43 | 3 | of Sterling, and that's my understanding. | 01:14:27 |
| 4 | something, and just exploring this, that Sterling | 01:11:46 | 4 | Q. What about Mr. Michael Katz; do you | 01:14:35 |
| 5 | partners are in fact general partners of Sterling | 01:11:51 | 5 | have an understanding of who he is? | 01:14:37 |
| 6 | Stamos, would that mean anything to you? | 01:11:53 | 6 | A. Same understanding. | 01:14:38 |
| 7 | A. No. Again, I don't have any -- I | 01:11:55 | 7 | Q. When you say Sterling, do you mean | 01:14:39 |
| 8 | couldn't say yes or no. | 01:11:59 | 8 | Sterling Equities? | 01:14:41 |
| 9 | Q. Okay. Do you have any understanding | 01:11:59 | 9 | A. Again, I never really delved into the | 01:14:42 |
| 10 | of any compensation that the Sterling partners may | 01:12:02 | 10 | corporate structure because it wasn't of particular | 01:14:45 |
| 11 | derive from their being general partners in Sterling | 01:12:06 | 11 | interest to me. | 01:14:47 |
| 12 | Stamos? | 01:12:11 | 12 | Q. So your understanding, it could be | 01:14:48 |
| 13 | A. No, I don't. | 01:12:12 | 13 | either one, Sterling Stamos or Sterling Equities? | 01:14:49 |
| 14 | MR. SHEEHAN: All right. | 01:12:12 | 14 | A. Yes, sir. | 01:14:52 |
| 15 | Let's go to this. | 01:12:20 | 15 | Q. Okay, thanks. | 01:14:54 |
| 16 | MS. ZUBERI: Trustee Exhibit 95. | 01:12:20 | 16 | Let's go to the next one. It's been | 01:15:01 |
| 17 | MR. SHEEHAN: 95. | 01:12:20 | 17 | marked. 34. | 01:15:04 |
| 18 | A. Thank you. | 01:12:47 | 18 | MS. ZUBERI: Trustee 34. | 01:15:05 |
| 19 | Q. Mr. Maine, the reporter has handed | 01:12:53 | 19 | Q. Mr. Maine, you've now been handed | 01:15:25 |
| 20 | you an exhibit previously marked as Trustee 95, and | 01:12:56 | 20 | Trustee Exhibit 34 that's been previously marked. | 01:15:28 |
| 21 | for purposes of identification only, I'll read the | 01:12:59 | 21 | The first two pages were attached to this exhibit | 01:15:32 |
| 22 | face page which says, "Sterling Equities Associates, | 01:13:03 | 22 | when it was produced, but we're not interested in | 01:15:35 |
| 23 | Sterling Equities Associates Employees Retirement | 01:13:08 | 23 | that today, so you can disregard that. What I would | 01:15:37 |
| 24 | Plan, Summary Plan Description," and it's dated | 01:13:11 | 24 | ask you to take a brief look at is the pages that | 01:15:40 |
| 25 | January 1, 2003. Do you see that? | 01:13:14 | 25 | follow, up through and including, I'll give you the | 01:15:45 |


|  |  | 112 |  |  | 114 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bates number -- well, it's the next-to-last page. | 01:15:49 | 1 | Sterling Equities partners are suggesting in this | 01:19:11 |
| 2 | If you would look at that, I think the last page is | 01:15:52 | 2 | write-up that we see here that they've had many | 01:19:17 |
| 3 | actually sort of blank. It's not really blank, | 01:15:55 | 3 | years of success with Mr. Madoff be viewed, from | 01:19:20 |
| 4 | it's -- | 01:15:59 | 4 | your professional experience, as an endorsement of | 01:19:23 |
| 5 | A. No, I mean -- I'm sorry. I was | 01:15:59 | 5 | that investment over any other investment? | 01:19:26 |
| 6 | starting to read. You want me to read everything -- | 01:16:00 | 6 | A. I think they're just giving | 01:19:30 |
| 7 | Q. No, no. I don't just want you to | 01:16:05 | 7 | background. I don't know whether -- because they | 01:19:32 |
| 8 | read it. I just want you to get familiar enough | 01:16:07 | 8 | give the records of the others and since Madoff | 01:19:36 |
| 9 | with it that if I start asking you questions, you'll | 01:16:08 | 9 | doesn't have a published record, they're giving | 01:19:39 |
| 10 | feel comfortable. | 01:16:11 | 10 | their experience with it as, I would think and, | 01:19:42 |
| 11 | (Witness examining document.) | 01:16:59 | 11 | again, I don't know, but I think that would be for | 01:19:45 |
| 12 | A. Okay, I've... | 01:17:18 | 12 | employees to judge some of the other records of the | 01:19:48 |
| 13 | Q. Prior to today, did you have any | 01:17:22 | 13 | other choices within the plan. | 01:19:51 |
| 14 | understanding that Sterling Equities had a 401(k) | 01:17:24 | 14 | Q. If the facts were that the vast | 01:19:53 |
| 15 | plan? | 01:17:26 | 15 | majority of investors did indeed invest in the | 01:19:56 |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 01:17:27 | 16 | Madoff option, would that in any way affect the | 01:20:00 |
| 17 | Q. Okay. What was your understanding | 01:17:27 | 17 | opinion you just expressed? | 01:20:03 |
| 18 | with regard to that plan? | 01:17:29 | 18 | A. No. | 01:20:10 |
| 19 | A. Just that they had a plan, and it was | 01:17:32 | 19 | Q. I've got to go back to the beginning, | 01:20:18 |
| 20 | trusteed by the two gentlemen that we looked at a | 01:17:35 | 20 | didn't ask some foundation questions here. I | 01:20:19 |
| 21 | second ago, Mr. Friedman and Mr. Michael Katz. | 01:17:39 | 21 | thought I did ask, but I guess I didn't. | 01:20:21 |
| 22 | Q. Did you have any understanding of the | 01:17:42 | 22 | Prior to today had you ever seen | 01:20:24 |
| 23 | nature of the investments that were offered to plan | 01:17:44 | 23 | Exhibit Trustee 34? | 01:20:26 |
| 24 | participants? | 01:17:46 | 24 | A. No, sir. | 01:20:28 |
| 25 | A. Only that I think at some point an | 01:17:47 | 25 | Q. Okay. So therefore it wasn't part of | 01:20:31 |
|  |  | 113 |  |  | 115 |
| 1 | investment in Madoff became part of the investment | 01:17:48 | 1 | your -- it wasn't anything that you considered in | 01:20:33 |
| 2 | mix. | 01:17:50 | 2 | rendering your opinion in your two reports? | 01:20:35 |
| 3 | Q. Okay. Does the fact that Madoff | 01:17:52 | 3 | A. No, sir. | 01:20:38 |
| 4 | became part of the investment mix in any way affect | 01:17:56 | 4 | Q. Okay. | 01:20:39 |
| 5 | your testimony as to whether or not Mr. Katz, | 01:17:58 | 5 | Mr. Maine, are you familiar with the | 01:20:51 |
| 6 | Mr. Wilpon or any of the defendants were acting in | 01:18:03 | 6 | term "hell sheets"? | 01:20:53 |
| 7 | an institutional capacity? | 01:18:05 | 7 | A. Yes. | 01:20:54 |
| 8 | A. No, sir. | 01:18:08 | 8 | Q. What does that term mean to you? | 01:20:55 |
| 9 | Q. What do you understand -- and I'm not | 01:18:09 | 9 | A. There was a woman in -- somewhere in | 01:20:59 |
| 10 | asking you a legal question here, all right -- but | 01:18:14 | 10 | the Madoff structure -- in the Sterling structure | 01:21:02 |
| 11 | what do you understand the obligation of the | 01:18:16 | 11 | whose first name, I believe, was Helene or something | 01:21:05 |
| 12 | trustees to be in offering various investment | 01:18:21 | 12 | such as that, and it's my understanding that she, | 01:21:08 |
| 13 | vehicles to employees? | 01:18:24 | 13 | for a period of time, I think she's now retired, she | 01:21:13 |
| 14 | A. That's not really an area of my | 01:18:27 | 14 | for a period of time put together sheets which would | 01:21:17 |
| 15 | expertise. | 01:18:29 | 15 | show, I believe the monthly performance. I haven't | 01:21:20 |
| 16 | Q. Okay, fine. And this may be beyond | 01:18:30 | 16 | seen these, but from reading deposition testimony, | 01:21:23 |
| 17 | it too, but if it falls within it you'll tell me. | 01:18:39 | 17 | would show the monthly performance of select Madoff | 01:21:26 |
| 18 | Is it -- does the fact that Sterling Equities | 01:18:45 | 18 | accounts. I think they took a large account and a | 01:21:30 |
| 19 | partners had investments in Madoff in any way, and | 01:18:47 | 19 | smaller account, and they were known as the hell | 01:21:33 |
| 20 | from your point of view, in your experience, seem | 01:18:53 | 20 | sheets. And then I think they were picked up by | 01:21:37 |
| 21 | out of the ordinary that it would be offered as part | 01:18:56 | 21 | somebody else within the organization. | 01:21:40 |
| 22 | of the 401(k) plan? | 01:18:59 | 22 | MS. ZUBERI: Exhibit 259. | 01:21:49 |
| 23 | A. I don't really have any basis to say | 01:19:03 | 23 | (Exhibit Trustee 259, Sterling | 01:22:03 |
| 24 | anything one way or another. | 01:19:05 | 24 | Equities Investments 12/31/07, Bates SE_T579076, | 01:22:03 |
| 25 | Q. Okay. Would the fact that the | 01:19:08 | 25 | marked for identification.) | 01:22:16 |


|  |  | 116 |  |  | 118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. WISE: My goodness. | 01:22:16 | 1 | MS. ZUBERI: Right. | 01:24:14 |
| 2 | MR. SHEEHEN: It's a test. | 01:22:18 | 2 | MR. WISE: Was this printed from a, | 01:24:15 |
| 3 | MR. WISE: Well, I flunked. | 01:22:20 | 3 | what do you call it, native file, spreadsheet? | 01:24:17 |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: You've got it upside | 01:22:22 | 4 | MS. ZUBERI: Yes. | 01:24:20 |
| 5 | down. | 01:22:24 | 5 | MR. WISE: I see. And were the | 01:24:21 |
| 6 | Q. Mr. Maine, while you're doing this, | 01:22:29 | 6 | yellow stripes on -- is that something that you all | 01:24:22 |
| 7 | let me just ask, for the record, again not | 01:22:31 | 7 | put on there? | 01:24:25 |
| 8 | testifying, just identifying, I've handed you | 01:22:35 | 8 | MS. ZUBERI: I don't know. | 01:24:26 |
| 9 | Exhibit 259. Do you see that? | 01:22:40 | 9 | MR. WISE: You don't know where those | 01:24:27 |
| 10 | A. Yes, sir. | 01:22:42 | 10 | came from? | 01:24:29 |
| 11 | Q. This is, as I understand it, is a | 01:22:43 | 11 | MS. ZUBERI: No. | 01:24:30 |
| 12 | document that constitutes one of the hell sheets | 01:22:46 | 12 | MR. WISE: Okay. I just wanted to | 01:24:31 |
| 13 | that was prepared for Sterling Equities investments? | 01:22:49 | 13 | clarify what we were looking at. So this is a | 01:24:33 |
| 14 | A. I guess. | 01:22:55 | 14 | printout from a native file prepared two days ago, | 01:24:35 |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Again, I'm not testifying. | 01:22:56 | 15 | and you can't tell us whether the highlighting that | 01:24:38 |
| 16 | A. Oh, okay. | 01:22:56 | 16 | appears on the document was put there by somebody | 01:24:41 |
| 17 | Q. It's my understanding that that's | 01:22:59 | 17 | from Baker Hostetler or whether that was in the | 01:24:43 |
| 18 | what this is and I'm representing to you for | 01:23:00 | 18 | native file? | 01:24:46 |
| 19 | purposes of your testimony here that you consider it | 01:23:03 | 19 | MS. ZUBERI: Yeah. | 01:24:47 |
| 20 | as such. | 01:23:05 | 20 | MR. WISE: Okay. No problem. | 01:24:49 |
| 21 | A. Okay. | 01:23:06 | 21 | MR. SHEEHEN: Just to complete that, | 01:24:58 |
| 22 | Q. So, my first question would be, have | 01:23:06 | 22 | though, at least as I understand looking at the | 01:25:00 |
| 23 | you ever seen it prior to today? | 01:23:08 | 23 | document, I certainly didn't ask for the yellow | 01:25:02 |
| 24 | A. I'm not certain because I may have | 01:23:11 | 24 | markings, is that this was a Sterling Equities | 01:25:04 |
| 25 | seen -- it looks vaguely familiar. I certainly | 01:23:14 | 25 | document that was produced to us. | 01:25:06 |
|  |  | 117 |  |  | 119 |
| 1 | never studied it or never analyzed it, but whether | 01:23:19 | 1 | MR. WISE: I think we produced the | 01:25:07 |
| 2 | it's part of something that your experts produced or | 01:23:23 | 2 | native file. | 01:25:09 |
| 3 | excerpted in one of their reports, it's possible. I | 01:23:26 | 3 | MR. SHEEHEN: Right, exactly. | 01:25:10 |
| 4 | just -- I may have seen it, but I don't remember. | 01:23:31 | 4 | MR. WISE: And then of course what | 01:25:11 |
| 5 | Q. This is stretching it a bit, but I'm | 01:23:34 | 5 | you do with it -- | 01:25:12 |
| 6 | going to ask you anyway. | 01:23:36 | 6 | MR. SHEEHEN: We can create whatever | 01:25:13 |
| 7 | At the time that you may have seen | 01:23:38 | 7 | document. | 01:25:16 |
| 8 | it, do you recall having any discussions with | 01:23:39 | 8 | MR. WISE: Right. I just don't know. | 01:25:16 |
| 9 | anybody about it? | 01:23:40 | 9 | MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. | 01:25:25 |
| 10 | A. I would recall that I have not had | 01:23:41 | 10 | THE WITNESS: The more I look at | 01:25:25 |
| 11 | any discussions. | 01:23:43 | 11 | this, the less familiar it becomes. | 01:25:26 |
| 12 | Q. All right, fine. | 01:23:44 | 12 | BY MR. SHEEHEN: | 01:25:35 |
| 13 | MR. WISE: Could I ask this -- | 01:23:47 | 13 | Q. Do you know how often this was | 01:25:35 |
| 14 | MR. SHEEHEN: Go right ahead. | 01:23:49 | 14 | prepared? | 01:25:37 |
| 15 | MR. WISE: As a point of information, | 01:23:52 | 15 | A. No, sir. | 01:25:38 |
| 16 | Mr. Sheehan. Again, my eyes are struggling here a | 01:23:53 | 16 | Q. Do you know who prepared it? | 01:25:39 |
| 17 | little bit. The typing is very small. It appears | 01:23:59 | 17 | A. Well, that woman Helene did and then | 01:25:42 |
| 18 | in the upper right-hand corner of the first page, I | 01:23:59 | 18 | I think it probably would have -- and this is not a | 01:25:44 |
| 19 | see a date of $1 / 3 / 2012$, which would be the day | 01:24:01 | 19 | guess but my assumption, but not certainty, is that | 01:25:49 |
| 20 | before yesterday. Is that right? | 01:24:06 | 20 | somebody under Mr. Friedman prepared it and then I | 01:25:53 |
| 21 | MS. ZUBERI: The print date. | 01:24:09 | 21 | think that probably was Ms. Rongione, but I'm not | 01:25:57 |
| 22 | MR. WISE: I'm sorry, what? | 01:24:09 | 22 | certain, toward the end. | 01:26:01 |
| 23 | MS. ZUBERI: Printed. | 01:24:10 | 23 | Q. Do you know what was done with this | 01:26:02 |
| 24 | MR. WISE: Oh, so that was when this | 01:24:10 | 24 | document once it was prepared? | 01:26:04 |
| 25 | was printed? | 01:24:13 | 25 | A. No. | 01:26:05 |


|  |  | 120 |  |  | 122 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Do you know whether it was ever | 01:26:06 | 1 | Q. No. My first question will be, have | 01:29:36 |
| 2 | circulated to the Sterling Equities partners? | 01:26:08 | 2 | you ever seen it before today? | 01:29:38 |
| 3 | A. That would be my assumption. I don't | 01:26:11 | 3 | A. No, sir, I have not. | 01:29:40 |
| 4 | know for sure. That would be my assumption. | 01:26:13 | 4 | Q. All right. And did you consider -- | 01:29:41 |
| 5 | Q. Do you know the purpose for which | 01:26:15 | 5 | you know, this is one of those lawyer questions, but | 01:29:45 |
| 6 | this document was prepared? | 01:26:17 | 6 | did you consider it in connection with rendering | 01:29:46 |
| 7 | A. I don't know. | 01:26:21 | 7 | your report in this case? | 01:29:48 |
| 8 | Q. Okay. I think we're done with that | 01:26:37 | 8 | A. No, sir. | 01:29:49 |
| 9 | document. | 01:26:41 | 9 | Q. All right, fine. | 01:29:52 |
| 10 | I'm eliminating stuff. | 01:27:09 | 10 | I'm going to direct your attention, | 01:29:53 |
| 11 | I've got one last document here. | 01:27:29 | 11 | if I may, to page 3, and under Roman Numeral II | 01:29:55 |
| 12 | (Comments off the record.) | 01:27:46 | 12 | where it says, "Exclusion of sophisticated | 01:30:03 |
| 13 | MS. ZUBERI: Exhibit 260. | 01:27:54 | 13 | investors." Do you see that? | 01:30:07 |
| 14 | (Exhibit Trustee 260, Documents Bates | 01:28:04 | 14 | A. Yes. | 01:30:08 |
| 15 | SSKW00012772-893, marked for identification.) | 01:28:04 | 15 | Q. All right. And I'm going to direct | 01:30:09 |
| 16 | MR. SHEEHAN: This is a compilation | 01:28:12 | 16 | your attention to the last sentence of the first | 01:30:11 |
| 17 | of a number of documents, only one of which I want | 01:28:13 | 17 | paragraph under Roman II, and I'm going to read it | 01:30:15 |
| 18 | to ask you a couple of questions about. It's a | 01:28:16 | 18 | into the record and ask you a question. It reads: | 01:30:18 |
| 19 | document -- as a matter of fact, it's got the | 01:28:23 | 19 | "In line with the Commission's stated | 01:30:20 |
| 20 | letterhead of the law firm of Davis Polk \& Wardell | 01:28:26 | 20 | objective of monitoring the retailization of hedge | 01:30:22 |
| 21 | on it. | 01:28:30 | 21 | fund investors, we believe that the Commission | 01:30:26 |
| 22 | MR. WISE: Wardwell. | 01:28:31 | 22 | should exclude from the proposed rule hedge fund | 01:30:30 |
| 23 | MS. ZUBERI: The number is on the | 01:28:34 | 23 | advisers that provide advice primarily to wealthy, | 01:30:33 |
| 24 | bottom. | 01:28:35 | 24 | sophisticated investors that are well positioned to | 01:30:38 |
| 25 | MR. SHEEHEN: Wardwell. How many | 01:28:32 | 25 | safeguard their own interests." | 01:30:41 |
|  |  | 121 |  |  | 123 |
| 1 | people make that mistake? | 01:28:37 | 1 | My question simply is: Do you agree | 01:30:43 |
| 2 | MR. WISE: You'd be surprised. | 01:28:38 | 2 | with that statement? | 01:30:45 |
| 3 | MR. SHEEHEN: I apologize to | 01:28:40 | 3 | A. I don't even know what it means. | 01:30:46 |
| 4 | Mr. Wardwell. | 01:28:42 | 4 | Q. It was clearly written by a lawyer. | 01:30:49 |
| 5 | MR. WISE: He's a very nice | 01:28:44 | 5 | That's the problem. With all due respect to my | 01:30:52 |
| 6 | gentleman. | 01:28:46 | 6 | colleagues across the table here. | 01:30:54 |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Can you give me a clue | 01:28:52 | 7 | A. And I'm not trying to be obtuse. | 01:30:56 |
| 8 | as to -- | 01:28:54 | 8 | Q. No, no. Why don't you reread it and | 01:30:58 |
| 9 | MR. WISE: It's three documents in. | 01:28:54 | 9 | if you don't understand, that's your answer, but if | 01:31:00 |
| 10 | (Multiple speakers.) | 01:28:54 | 10 | you could reread it, rather than have me read it out | 01:31:02 |
| 11 | MR. WISE: Take the clip off. Now go | 01:28:58 | 11 | loud to you, might be better. | 01:31:05 |
| 12 | by the staples, it's about three documents in. | 01:29:02 | 12 | A. Okay. I think I understand it. | 01:31:25 |
| 13 | (Comments off the record.) | 01:29:02 | 13 | Q. You understand now? Maybe it would | 01:31:26 |
| 14 | MR. SHEEHAN: This is 250 what -- | 01:29:14 | 14 | be good if you told me what your understanding of it | 01:31:28 |
| 15 | 260. Well, can we -- you guys all right with making | 01:29:17 | 15 | is before you tell me whether you agree with it or | 01:31:31 |
| 16 | this 260A so that we can talk about it as a separate | 01:29:21 | 16 | not. | 01:31:34 |
| 17 | document? Is that all right? | 01:29:24 | 17 | A. Yes. I may understand but it may not | 01:31:34 |
| 18 | MR. WISE: Sure. | 01:29:25 | 18 | be the correct... | 01:31:38 |
| 19 | BY MR. SHEEHAN: | 01:29:26 | 19 | In the last ten years or so, in | 01:31:39 |
| 20 | Q. Okay. So we're going to call this | 01:29:26 | 20 | response to demand, the securities industry has | 01:31:44 |
| 21 | 260A, Mr. Maine. | 01:29:28 | 21 | developed hedge fund type products that are | 01:31:52 |
| 22 | A. Okay. | 01:29:30 | 22 | available to a broader array of investors than | 01:31:57 |
| 23 | Q. And my first question to you is -- | 01:29:30 | 23 | traditionally, where they were only for qualified | 01:32:03 |
| 24 | well, have you had a chance to look at it? | 01:29:32 | 24 | investors or whatever it might be, Reg D investors. | 01:32:07 |
| 25 | A. Do you want me to read it? | 01:29:35 | 25 | So, in line with that, in an effort | 01:32:12 |


|  |  | 124 |  |  | 126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to try and monitor that because some of these folks | 01:32:15 | 1 | A. Yes, sir. And it's also based on my | 01:34:38 |
| 2 | might be unsuitable, and the people selling them the | 01:32:18 | 2 | interpretation of this, which I -- may be incorrect, | 01:34:43 |
| 3 | product might not be properly schooled, the SEC | 01:32:21 | 3 | but that's... | 01:34:48 |
| 4 | encouraged the brokerage firms -- or the SROs, they | 01:32:25 | 4 | Q. Okay. | 01:34:50 |
| 5 | encouraged FINRA to put out a series of rules and | 01:32:29 | 5 | A. ...just my best horseback guess, so | 01:34:51 |
| 6 | regulations and guidelines for those firms who would | 01:32:35 | 6 | to speak. | 01:34:54 |
| 7 | be selling more of a retail product. They had to | 01:32:38 | 7 | Q. Let me turn your attention to the | 01:34:55 |
| 8 | perform a basic suitability that this product would | 01:32:43 | 8 | next page. It's the second full paragraph. Again, | 01:34:57 |
| 9 | be suitable for anybody. Then you had to | 01:32:46 | 9 | for sake of the record, I'll read it in but you take | 01:35:00 |
| 10 | do whatever was suitable for that specific investor, | 01:32:49 | 10 | your time and read it yourself. It reads: | 01:35:03 |
| 11 | and whether the broker properly -- you had to have, | 01:32:53 | 11 | "We note that sophisticated investors | 01:35:08 |
| 12 | the firm had to have a reasonable basis that the | 01:32:57 | 12 | frequently undertake an extensive due diligence | 01:35:10 |
| 13 | broker selling the product understood the product | 01:32:59 | 13 | process prior to investing with a hedge fund | 01:35:13 |
| 14 | that he or she was selling. | 01:33:02 | 14 | adviser. This due diligence tends to address many | 01:35:17 |
| 15 | So I think that's what it's talking | 01:33:04 | 15 | of the concerns identified by the Commission, such | 01:35:19 |
| 16 | about when it says this word in quotes, | 01:33:05 | 16 | as valuation of assets and disclosures of conflict | 01:35:22 |
| 17 | "retailization" of hedge fund investors. And then I | 01:33:08 | 17 | of interest." | 01:35:26 |
| 18 | guess what the law firm is saying, that the | 01:33:12 | 18 | My question again is, do you agree | 01:35:28 |
| 19 | Commission should exclude from any of these rules | 01:33:14 | 19 | with that statement? | 01:35:29 |
| 20 | advisers that provide advice primarily to wealthy, | 01:33:20 | 20 | A. They may or they may -- I don't know. | 01:35:37 |
| 21 | sophisticated investors. | 01:33:26 | 21 | They may have done some study when they used the | 01:35:39 |
| 22 | I think what they're doing here is | 01:33:27 | 22 | word "frequently." | 01:35:42 |
| 23 | trying to shear out from this group not as much the | 01:33:29 | 23 | Q. Right. | 01:35:43 |
| 24 | investors but the firms, the boutique firms that | 01:33:34 | 24 | A. I don't know what statistically | 01:35:43 |
| 25 | only cater to a certain group of investors in | 01:33:38 | 25 | frequently would be. Some may or some may not. | 01:35:44 |
|  |  | 125 |  |  | 127 |
| 1 | selling hedge funds. | 01:33:43 | 1 | Q. Right. | 01:35:48 |
| 2 | Q. And that group would be? | 01:33:44 | 2 | A. I just -- I don't have any basis to | 01:35:49 |
| 3 | A. Well, I don't know. It says -- | 01:33:47 | 3 | agree or disagree. | 01:35:52 |
| 4 | Q. Well, it says wealthy, sophisticated | 01:33:49 | 4 | Q. Okay. | 01:35:53 |
| 5 | investors. | 01:33:52 | 5 | MR. SHEEHEN: I think I'm done. I | 01:35:57 |
| 6 | A. Yeah, primarily. No, but -- I'm | 01:33:53 | 6 | just need to consult with my colleagues. | 01:35:59 |
| 7 | terribly sorry, I interrupted you. | 01:33:54 | 7 | MR. WISE: Sure. | 01:36:01 |
| 8 | Q. No, no. Is that your understanding? | 01:33:57 | 8 | MR. SHEEHAN: All right? Thanks. | 01:36:01 |
| 9 | I'm not trying to... | 01:34:00 | 9 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the | 01:36:01 |
| 10 | A. I think what they were trying to do | 01:34:01 | 10 | record, the time is 1:35. | 01:36:02 |
| 11 | was exclude advisers that provide. | 01:34:02 | 11 | (Recess taken.) | 01:36:06 |
| 12 | Q. Services to who? | 01:34:07 | 12 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the | 01:41:38 |
| 13 | A. To wealthy investors. In other | 01:34:08 | 13 | record. The time is 1:41. | 01:41:39 |
| 14 | words, saying that they would not have to have the | 01:34:11 | 14 | MR. SHEEHEN: Thank you, Mr. Maine, | 01:41:42 |
| 15 | same group of guidelines since it was assumed that | 01:34:13 | 15 | we're done today. | 01:41:44 |
| 16 | since that's what they did, they were already going | 01:34:19 | 16 | THE WITNESS: Pleasure. | 01:41:44 |
| 17 | through those steps. This was for the new entrants | 01:34:23 | 17 | MR. WISE: Thank you. | 01:41:46 |
| 18 | into the field as opposed to the people who had been | 01:34:26 | 18 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the | 01:41:46 |
| 19 | doing it forever. | 01:34:28 | 19 | record, the time is 1:41. | 01:41:46 |
| 20 | Q. And is your testimony based on the | 01:34:30 | 20 | (Deposition concluded.) | 01:41:50 |
| 21 | history that you just related to us in your | 01:34:31 | 21 | -o00- |  |
| 22 | testimony a moment ago? | 01:34:34 | 22 |  |  |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 01:34:35 | 23 |  |  |
| 24 | Q. Of what -- how the industry has | 01:34:35 | 24 |  |  |
| 25 | evolved? | 01:34:37 | 25 |  |  |
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