EXHIBIT A

1 1 CONFIDENTIAL 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 11-CV-03605(JSR)(HBP) 4 5 -----x 6 IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. 7 Madoff Investment Securities LLC, Videotaped Deposition of: Plaintiff, 8 CHRISTOPHER STAMOS 9 vs. 10 SAUL B. KATZ, et al., Defendants. 11 -----x 12 13 14 15 Transcript of testimony as taken by and before 16 LESLIE ROCKWOOD, Certified Court Reporter, RPR and Notary Public of the State of California, at the offices of 17 Shearman & Sterling, Four Embarcadero, Suite 3800, 18 19 San Francisco, California, on Wednesday, January 4, 2012, 20 commencing at 9:31 a.m. 21 22 23 24 25

PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL CHRISTOPHER STAMOS 1/4/12 1 MR. DITCHFIELD: Objection. THE WITNESS: Again, I don't like the word 2 3 "have to." So I would say no, he didn't have to do 4 anything, but... 5 BY MS. KOSACK: Did Peter ever consult with 0. Saul Katz in connection with the co-branding projects, to 6 7 the best of your knowledge? MS. BIEBER: Objection. 8 9 You can answer. 10 MR. DITCHFIELD: Objection. THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge, he 11 didn't because I don't think Saul would be interested in 12 the logo or --13 Q. BY MS. KOSACK: Did Peter ever consult, to 14 the best of your knowledge, with David Katz in connection 15 16 with the co-branding projects? 17 Again, to the best of my knowledge, no, but Α. that was eight years ago and ... 18 To the best of your knowledge, did Peter ever 19 0. consult with Fred Wilpon in connection with the 20 co-branding projects? 21 22 Α. That I'm pretty sure he didn't. Again, if Saul was removed, Fred was even more removed. So I would 23 24 be surprised if Fred wanted to look at our logo. 25 Q. And going back to the halo effect, what, if

50

PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL CHRISTOPHER STAMOS 1/4/12

51

any, halo effect did you hope to obtain from Sterling
Equities?

A. My understanding was the 30-some years of doing business in New York, Saul and Fred had a reputation for taking care of their partners, being very ethical, being very generous, their philanthropic work, they've earned a reputation for being very quiet and generous in their giving.

9 And baseball, in our business, baseball 10 carries a lot of weight. So owning the New York Mets, 11 having access to the owner's box is a great thing for 12 clients, and hedge fund managers like baseball in 13 general.

Q. And when you say in your business baseball carries a lot of weight, what else did you mean in addition to what you just said, if anything?

A. I don't think I meant anything more than if you could call up someone and say, "Do you guys want to come to the owner's box," they would be pretty happy about it.

21 Q. Where did you obtain your understanding of 22 the information you just described as part of the halo 23 effect of Sterling Equities?

24MR. DITCHFIELD: Objection to the form.25MS. BIEBER: Objection.

BENDISH REPORTING, INC. 877.404.2193

	PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL CHRISTOPHER	STAMOS 1/4/12
		118
1	Q. Did he provide you any more specific	cs when
2	2 he referred to Fred Wilpon being invested with	
3	3 Bernie Madoff?	
4	A. No. I never really knew how much wa	as Saul,
5	5 Fred, or if it was through an entity or a trust	or
б	6 Q. Did Peter Stamos ever tell you that	any other
7	7 Sterling Equities partners were invested with	
8	8 Bernie Madoff?	
9	9 A. It was implied, but I never knew the	e specific
10	0 people or the specific amounts or anything like	that.
11	Q. When you say "it was implied," what	do you
12	2 mean by that?	
13	A. Meaning the way Peter talked, it was	s that
14	4 "Our clients" "A lot of our clients will have	e money
15	5 with Bernie. We're going to be compared to Bern	nie. So
16	6 you should know that."	
17	Q. And when you say "we're going to be	compared
18	8 to Bernie," what do you mean by that?	
19	9 A. Meaning	
20	0 MR. DITCHFIELD: Objection to the fo	orm.
21	1 THE WITNESS: Bernie's returns we	ould be
22	2 our benchmark, because people in our community t	thought
23	3 Bernie was a God. You know, back then no one wo	ould have
24	4 conceived that Bernie was the guy that he turned	d out to
25	5 be. And to get access to Bernie was like gold.	

BENDISH REPORTING, INC. 877.404.2193

PICARD v. KATZ, et al. CONFIDENTIAL CHRISTOPHER STAMOS 1/4/12 119 And so him being the gold standard, you're 1 2 going to be compared to his numbers. Basically numbers. 3 That's all we could compare. Q. BY MS. KOSACK: Did Peter ever tell you which 4 5 investors compared Bernie's returns to the Sterling 6 Stamos returns? 7 Α. No. Q. Did Peter ever tell you how the Sterling 8 9 Stamos investors that were invested with Bernie Madoff got their access to Bernie Madoff? 10 11 Α. No. 12 Did you have any understanding as to whether 0. the Sterling Stamos investors who were invested with 13 Bernie became Sterling Stamos investors because of 14 15 Saul Katz? 16 MR. DITCHFIELD: Objection to the form. 17 MS. BIEBER: Objection. 18 You can answer. 19 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question 20 for me? 21 MS. KOSACK: Can you read the question? 22 (The record was read by the reporter as 23 follows: 24 "QUESTION: Did you have any understanding as 25 to whether the Sterling Stamos investors who

> BENDISH REPORTING, INC. 877.404.2193