## **EXHIBIT 95**

```
Page 1
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
                SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
    J.T. COLBY & COMPANY, INC.,
    d/b/a BRICK TOWER PRESS,
    J. BOYLSTON & COMPANY,
5
    PUBLISHERS, LLC and
    IPICTUREBOOKS, LLC,
6
               Plaintiff,
7
                                 Case No. 11-CIV4060 (DLC)
    vs.
8
    APPLE, INC.,
9
               Defendant.
10
11
12
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
13
     Attorneys' Eyes Only Confidential Pages 300 - 302
14
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF THOMAS LA PERLE
15
                       30(b)(6) Capacity
16
                   Redwood Shores, California
17
                Wednesday, September 19, 2012
18
19
20
21
22
    Reported by:
23
     LORRIE L. MARCHANT, CSR No. 10523
                          RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR
24
25
     JOB NO. 53418
```

Page 59

- 1 Q. Why did Apple decide not the use the name
- 2 " "?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Were there any legal reasons?
- 5 A. There may have been. I just don't recall
- at this time. I don't believe we provided the
- business with our trademark clearance report. It
- 8 was in process.
- 9 Q. So if I understand your testimony
- 10 correctly, the name " was rejected or
- abandoned as an idea before you provided the
- business side with your trademark clearance report?
- MS. CENDALI: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I don't recall -- I didn't --
- we did not finalize our report. There may have been
- some correspondence with the business on the
- availability of the mark. I just don't recall.
- BY MR. CHATTORAJ:
- Q. When you use the phrase "availability of
- the mark," what do you mean?
- A. I mean availability without high risk of
- trademark conflict.
- Q. What do you mean by "trademark conflict"?
- A. Conflict with prior right -- right holders.
- Q. Did the Dechert firm ultimately render an

Page 60

- opinion with respect to the clearance process for
- the "iBooks" mark?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you convey that opinion to others
- 5 within Apple?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. What was their opinion?
- MS. CENDALI: Again, I instruct you not to
- 9 answer. We're not relying on an advice of counsel
- defense in this action, and that's privileged.
- BY MR. CHATTORAJ:
- 12 Q. Was any trademark clearance work done other
- than the work done by the Dechert firm in connection
- with the "iBooks" mark?
- A. I don't recall. I sus- -- well, I assume
- that there were foreign searches done. I just don't
- 17 recall at this time.
- O. So the foreign searches would have been
- carried out by firms other than Dechert?
- A. By foreign counsel.
- Q. Did foreign counsel eventually prepare
- opinions with respect to the "iBooks" mark and their
- respective foreign jurisdictions?
- A. Typically, that's what happens. I just
- don't recall if that was the case with this -- this