EXHIBIT H

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

JT COLBY AND COMPANY, INC., D/B/A BRICK TOWER PRESS, J. BOYLESTON AND COMPANY PUBLISHERS, LLC, AND IPICTUREBOOKS, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

Index No. 11-CV-4060(DLC)

APPLE, INC.,

Defendant.

-----X

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

MIKE SHATZKIN

New York, New York

December 4, 2012, 9:35 a.m.

Reported By:

Nicole Sesta

Ref: 8575

	Page 232
1	
2	JURAT
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)
5	:55
6	COUNTY OF New York)
7	
8	I, MIKE SHATZKIN, hereby certify that I
9	have read the transcript of my testimony taken
10	under oath in my deposition of December 4, 2012;
11	that the transcript is a true and complete
12	record of my testimony, and that the answers on
13	the record as given by me are true and correct.
14	Rekethatel
16	MIKE SHATZKIN
17	
18	Signed and subscribed to before me this
19	17th day of December, 2012.
20	
21	Vijay seemargel
22	Notary Public State of New York
23	VIJAY SEEMANGAL Notary Public - State of New York
24	NO. 01SE6165227 Qualified in Queens County My Commission Expires 577 2815
25	12/17/12

ERRATA SHEET

J.T. Colby & Co., Inc., et al. v. Apple, Inc. Deposition of Mike Shatzkin, December 4, 2012

			×
PAGE	LINE(S)	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE
46	20	"royals" should read "roils"	Mistranscription by court reporter.
53	5	"text" should read "tech"	Mistranscription by court reporter.
54	20	"jobs" should read "chops"	Mistranscription by court reporter.
5.5	7	"described" should read "describe"	Mistranscription by court reporter.
132	20	"search optimization" should read	Mistranscription by court reporter.
	•	"search engine optimization"	
144	3	"Toucan is" should read "Two	Mistranscription by court reporter.
		Continents"	
205	9	"etchings" should read "edgings"	Mistranscription by court reporter.

Varte -

Mike Shatzkin

Subscribed before me, this 17 day of December, 2012.

Vijay len

May 7, 2015

Notary Public

Date Commission Expires

VIJAY SEEMANGAL Notary Public - State of New York NO. 01SE6165227 Qualified in Queens County My Commission Expires _5/7/20 2015 Mintin

Page 46

1	M. Shatzkin
2	So there were when I stopped working at Two
3	Continents, it was already true that lots of
4	small publishers were distributed by large
5	publishers. And I had a number of clients over
6	the years where I would help them get more out
7	of their distributor because I had seen that
8	from both sides.
9	Then, in the last 20 years, it's
10	really been about digital change. A lot of my
11	work has been around digital change. Not
12	exclusively. I'd say that the two biggest
13	pieces are digital change and the supply chain.
14	Q When you say "digital change,"
15	what do you mean by that?
16	A What I mean is that we are in the
17	midst of a transition from everything being read
18	on paper, just about, to everything being read
19	on screens, just about. And that transition,
20	which we are no where near done with, royals the
21	publishing industry because it changes the
22	economics and it changes the value propositions.
23	And therefore, it presents a combination of
24	threats and opportunities to anybody who's in
25	the business. And understanding those dynamics
1	

		Page	61
1	M. Shatzkin		
2	A "At digital publishing events		
3	globally," yes.		
4	Q For the conferences that you have		
5	run, are there any particular subject matter		
6	that those address?		
7	A Yeah, once again, it's really, as		
8	somebody once put it, looking at the same house,		
9	looking in the same house through different		
10	windows. It's all about digital change in		
11	publishing. That is the subject about which I'm		
12	an expert and about which people are interested		
13	in having me organize the presentations.		
14	Q And by "digital change," you mean		
15	the changes in the publishing industry as a		
16	result of the internet?		
17	A I mean the commercial challenges		
18	presented to publishers by the fact that we are		
19	in the transition that I mentioned earlier. So		
20	sometimes the topic is not a digital topic. We		
21	may be talking about how you change sales force		
22	coverage because there aren't as many		
23	bookstores. It might have to do with paper		
24	books, but it has to do with the change that		
25	we're living through because of digital impacts.		

		Page	97
1	M. Shatzkin		
2	a biography, followed by, you know, there's no		
3	particular consistency to what they read. Those		
4	people are very unlikely to have much of an		
5	informed opinion about publishers or imprints.		
6	But then there are other readers		
7	who are genre readers. And their		
8	characteristics are different and they tend to		
9	read many, many, many books in the same genre.		
10	And in that case, there's a much higher		
11	likelihood that they will be conscious of		
12	publisher brands within the genre.		
13	Q Have you ever done any research to		
14	examine consumer awareness of brands either on		
15	the part of general readers or genre readers?		
16	MR. RASKOPF: Objection.		
17	Asked and answered.		
18	A No.		
19	Q Are you aware of any research that		
20	looks at awareness of brands among general		
21	readers or genre readers?		
22	A No.		
23	Q You mentioned Harlequin as an		
24	example of a niche publisher, correct?		
25	A Yes.		

Page 147 1 M. Shatzkin 2 has spawned companies that really don't have 3 much presence except on the internet because it's a big enough market to support that. 4 That's well understood by people who are working 5 the digital revolution. 6 7 In your report when you say which 0 have turned out to be of substantial interest on 8 the internet and sold well as ebooks, were you 9 talking about genre fiction generally or the 10 books published by iBooks in particular? 11 12 Α No, I was actually talking about the genres in which they publish, not iBooks 13 I don't think I ever knew or do know itself. 14 15 how those books have sold on the internet, iBooks books particularly have sold on the 16 17 internet. 18 0 Looking in the next line you say, "Although the sales of iBooks overall were 19 modest (5,689, 950 units) with sales of science 20 21 fiction titles alone totally 1,944,314 units," 22 do you see that? Uh-huh. Α 23 Looking at that and doing the 24 0 math, is it fair to say that 34 percent of the 25

Page 202

1	M. Shatzkin
2	If anyone built a brand by saying
3	I'm going to make this brand understood by a
4	bunch of people and advertise and promote to
5	them to do that, I didn't see it. Somehow or
6	another I missed it. Even if it happened once I
7	would be amazed but it certainly did not happen
8	repeatedly.
9	Q So is it your testimony that all
10	brands in publishing including the Dummies brand
11	for that series of books exist solely because of
12	the sales of books that happened to happen?
13	MR. RASKOPF: Objection to
14	the form of the question.
15	A It is my testimony that all
16	brands, that is author brands, title brand,
17	author brands sorry, imprint brands, series
18	brands and publishing house brands are the sum
19	total of awareness created by the books sold and
20	read under those brands. There is very, very
21	minimal impact of anything else.
22	Q Do publishing houses undertake
23	marketing activities with respect to authors,
24	for example?
25	MR. RASKOPF: Objection to