EXHIBIT G

```
Page 1
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3
    J.T. COLBY & COMPANY, INC.,
    d/b/a BRICK TOWER PRESS,
    J. BOYLSTON & COMPANY,
5
    PUBLISHERS, LLC and
    IPICTUREBOOKS, LLC,
6
              Plaintiff,
7
                                Case No. 11-CIV4060 (DLC)
    vs.
8
    APPLE, INC.,
              Defendant.
10
    ____/
11
12
      HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
     Attorneys' Eyes Only Confidential Pages 300 - 302
13
          VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF THOMAS LA PERLE
15
                      30(b)(6) Capacity
16
                  Redwood Shores, California
17
               Wednesday, September 19, 2012
18
19
20
21
22
    Reported by:
    LORRIE L. MARCHANT, CSR No. 10523
                         RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR
24
25
    JOB NO. 53418
```

- opinion with respect to the clearance process for
- the "iBooks" mark?
- ³ A. Yes.
- Q. Did you convey that opinion to others
- ⁵ within Apple?
- ⁶ A. Yes.
- ⁷ Q. What was their opinion?
- MS. CENDALI: Again, I instruct you not to
- 9 answer. We're not relying on an advice of counsel
- defense in this action, and that's privileged.
- BY MR. CHATTORAJ:
- Q. Was any trademark clearance work done other
- than the work done by the Dechert firm in connection
- with the "iBooks" mark?
- A. I don't recall. I sus- -- well, I assume
- that there were foreign searches done. I just don't
- 17 recall at this time.
- Q. So the foreign searches would have been
- carried out by firms other than Dechert?
- A. By foreign counsel.
- Q. Did foreign counsel eventually prepare
- opinions with respect to the "iBooks" mark and their
- respective foreign jurisdictions?
- A. Typically, that's what happens. I just
- don't recall if that was the case with this -- this

Page 190 through all the depositions. And we'll see if 2 that's even a viable argument for either side. MS. CENDALI: Okay. MR. CHATTORAJ: Okay. BY MR. CHATTORAJ: Do you remember the question? Ο. Α. I don't. MR. CHATTORAJ: Can you read it back, 9 please. 10 (Record read as follows: 11 "Q So in reading this Response to Office 12 Action dated September 4, 2002, after 13 Mr. Colby had contacted Apple, is it fair 14 to say that you saw nothing there that gave 15 you cause for concern about Mr. Colby's 16 having any rights?") 17 THE WITNESS: Well, I just want to back up 18 a little bit. This had nothing to do with --19 after -- this is after Colby contacted us I read this document. 21 BY MR. CHATTORAJ: 22 Yes. Q. 23 As I testified to, I was aware of these 24 applications during the clearance process. 25 abandoned applications. And what I was aware of at

- that point was that Preiss, the -- Colby's
- predecessor in interest -- I didn't know anything
- about Colby -- Colby's predecessor in interest
- abandoned these applications. His business went
- into Section 7 bankruptcy, and he died. That's all
- ⁶ I knew. So that's the extent of my knowledge about
- ⁷ these -- these trademark rights.
- 8 Only after Colby approached us did we
- 9 realize that Colby had acquired the rights and
- allegedly had used the mark. But I had no knowledge
- whatsoever of Colby, his use, or of Preiss's use.
- Q. Of Preiss's use?
- ¹³ A. Yeah.
- Q. Of Preiss's use at any time?
- A. At any time.
- Okay. I understand your testimony to be
- that this document that was marked as La Perle
- Exhibit 6 was something that you had not reviewed --
- A. I'm sorry. At any time post the Section 7
- bankruptcy. I'm sorry.
- Q. Oh, okay. So you were -- you had some
- 22 knowledge about Preiss's use of the mark before
- Mr. Preiss died and the companies then went into
- bankruptcy?
- A. Right.

- ¹ Mr. Colby to you?
- ² A. Yes.
- Q. Is this that e-mail?
- ⁴ A. I believe it is.
- 5 Q. Did you read it at that time?
- 6 A. I did.
- ⁷ Q. Have you reviewed it since then?
- A. I don't believe so, no.
- ⁹ Q. It appears to be an e-mail from John Colby,
- the principal of plaintiffs in this action, to
- 11 Steve Dowling; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And it's dated January 29, 2010, at
- ¹⁴ 2:17 p.m.; right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Was January 29, 2010, before or after the
- announcement of the iPad and the availability of
- 18 iBooks on iPad?
- ¹⁹ A. After.
- Q. How long after?
- A. I think the date was -- January 24th was
- the launch, and so five days after.
- Q. Did you subsequently forward this document
- to others?
- ²⁵ A. Yes.

- Q. To whom did you forward it?
- A. I forwarded it to Glenn Gundersen.
- ³ Q. Anyone else?
- ⁴ A. No.
- ⁵ Q. Did you subsequently have an exchange with
- 6 Mr. Gundersen concerning legal advice concerning
- 7 this matter?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Are you aware of whether there was any
- future or subsequent communication with Mr. Colby
- 11 after this e-mail?
- ¹² A. Yes.
- 13 Q. To the best of your knowledge, what was
- that communication?
- A. I believe Glenn Gundersen contacted
- John Colby and inquired about his -- this e-mail.
- Q. Did Mr. Gundersen contact Mr. Colby with
- 18 your knowledge?
- ¹⁹ A. Yes.
- Q. Did he do so at your instruction?
- ²¹ A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Colby's e-mail reads, among other
- things -- I'm just going to read an excerpt.
- I'm trying to find the right person to talk
- with at Apple. We are book publishers and have used

THOMAS LA PERLE - 9/19/2012

STATE OF NEW YORK)
ss:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

I wish to make the following changes, for the following reasons:

PAGE	LINE		
27	17_	CHANGE FROM:	it's
		CHANGE TO:	as
	REASON:	Transcription	n error.
62	11	CHANGE FROM:	sample
		CHANGE TO:	system
	REASON:	Transcription	n error.
90	4	CHANGE FROM:	Jarrett
		CHANGE TO:	Cendali
	REASON:	Transcription	n error.
156		CHANGE FROM:	and
		CHANGE TO:	an
	REASON:	Transcription	n error.
184		CHANGE FROM:	
		CHANGE TO:	USPTO website and
	REASON:	Clarification	1.
106	3	CHANCE EDOM.	Annli cont/ o
186	3	CHANGE FROM:	Applicant's
	DER CON-	CHANGE TO:	"Applicant's
	REASON:	Clarification	1.
186	12	CHANGE FROM:	them.
		CHANGE TO:	them."
	REASON:	Transcription	error.
	•		
186	19	CHANGE FROM:	style
		CHANGE TO:	cited

THOMAS LA PERLE - 9/19/2012

	REASON:	Transcription error.	
188	5	CHANGE FROM:	trademark trial appeal board
		CHANGE TO:	Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
	REASON:	Transcription	error.
191	19	CHANGE FROM:	Section
		CHANGE TO:	Chapter
	REASON:	Misspoke.	
100	C	CUANCE EDOM.	IT
196	6	CHANGE FROM: CHANGE TO:	ITU
	REASON:		
	KEMSON:	Transcription	error.
197	17	CHANGE FROM:	supplemental
		CHANGE TO:	principal
	REASON:	Misspoke.	
200	1	CHANGE FROM:	IT
		CHANGE TO:	ITU
	REASON:	Transcription	error.
			_
264	12	CHANGE FROM:	Jarrett
	555000	CHANGE TO:	Cendali
	REASON:	Transcription	error.
287	24	CHANGE FROM:	Sewell
			Saul
	REASON:	Transcription	error.
		CHANGE FROM:	
		CHANGE TO:	
	REASON:		
		CHANGE FROM:	
		CHANGE TO:	
	REASON:	<u> </u>	

THOMAS LA PERLE - 9/19/2012

921.2

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{7}{2}$ day of November, 2012.