Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ----X JT COLBY AND COMPANY, INC., D/B/A BRICK TOWER PRESS, J. BOYLESTON AND COMPANY PUBLISHERS, LLC, AND IPICTUREBOOKS, LLC, Plaintiffs, -aqainst-Index No. 11-CV-4060 (DLC) APPLE, INC., Defendant. -----X VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SUSAN SCHWARTZ MCDONALD New York, New York December 12, 2012, 9:56 a.m. Reported By: Nicole Sesta Ref: 8606

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - depo@transperfect.com

1 S. Schwartz McDonald

- 2 so-called publisher or source of a book, I felt
- 3 it was impossible to attach myself and my survey
- 4 to any particular scenario.
- 5 Q Are you done with your answer?
- 6 A I believe so, yes.
- 7 Q I believe you stated in that
- 8 answer that showing an actual book or web site
- 9 listing offering one of plaintiff's books for
- sale would not do justice to the purchasing
- 11 experience; is that what you said?
- 12 A To I believe the encounter, is the
- 13 way I described it, between a consumer and a
- brand or a consumer and a product in the book
- 15 purchasing environment, particularly with an eye
- to an understanding how both in the first
- instance and in subsequent occasions post sale a
- 18 consumer might come to experience the source of
- 19 a book.
- 20 Q Why wouldn't showing someone an
- 21 actual book or an actual listing from Amazon or
- 22 Barnes & Noble reflect how a consumer would
- 23 encounter the mark?
- MR. RASKOPF: Asked and
- answered.

1 S. Schwartz McDonald

2 A I don't know about the last time

3 you bought a book, but for me it involved

4 handling a bunch of books. It involved walking

5 through a bookstore. They're hard to find but I

6 still frequent them when I can. It involves a

7 particular kind of mission. It involves an

attraction between a consumer and a book, not

just being handed a book out of context, not

10 necessarily based on interest or anything else

11 here's a book. You can do that with a tube of

12 toothpaste. You can do that with a can of

13 tomato sauce. You can't do that with a book.

14 Q Why not?

9

18

20

15 A Because it doesn't come close to

16 approximating the first interaction that a

17 consumer has, nor does it come close the second,

the third, the fourth consumers if we're talking

19 about printed books. Consumers them again and

again and again. My MO is after I've read a

21 book to go back to the forward to look at

acknowledgements. I mean I don't want to

23 represent my style of reading books as

everyone's. It's a point. It's very

25 individual. A person can open a book many

1 S. Schwartz McDonald

- 2 times.
- The shopping becomes sort of a
- 4 transition into the reading. Books are
- 5 experienced. They're not just purchased. It's
- 6 even more complicated than talking about post
- 7 sale confusion, which clearly book buying
- 8 introduces as a very real possibility in a way
- 9 you never see in toothpaste and shampoo. Once
- 10 people own those products they very seldom look
- 11 at the trademark or look at the box again, and
- in fact as we know they almost never look at
- them in the way that these trademark surveys
- require them to look at it. So I have to say my
- 15 view is that all these Lanham Act surveys are
- 16 conceptual to one degree or another. They're
- 17 hypotheticals of construct, the construct that's
- 18 all they are. In this case because of books,
- 19 because of the importance of post sale
- 20 confusion, because when a person picks up a book
- 21 their first instinct is not to look for the
- publisher, nothing that happened in Dr. Jay's
- 23 survey or Dr. Nowlis' survey represents book
- 24 buying at all.
- Q What about your survey replicates

1 S. Schwartz McDonald

- 2 at all book buying?
- 3 A It doesn't. What it does is it
- 4 replicates an experience. It picks a moment.
- 5 It picks the moment when a consumer becomes
- 6 aware that there is something in a book that
- 7 identifies it, in a digital book in particular,
- 8 that identifies it as iBooks. It doesn't. I
- 9 freely concede this. It doesn't tell you how
- often that occurs and it doesn't tell you the
- 11 moment it occurs. It could occur in the first
- opening. It could occur on the second reading.
- 13 It could occur based on the appreciation of the
- 14 consumer for the book, and that kind of
- 15 revisiting as I described, very sincerely as
- something that happens when you go back.
- 17 I'm not stipulating as to when in
- the book experience it occurs, but it is
- 19 absolutely something that can occur and nothing
- that happened in the research that your experts
- 21 did replicates that market condition at all.
- 22 Q I'm moving to strike as
- 23 nonresponsive any comments about our experts. I
- 24 didn't ask you about our experts. I'm asking
- you, you admit that when someone purchases a