## EXHIBIT 13

Page 68

- Q. What was your involvement with the
- <sup>2</sup> consent agreement?
- A. Well, the whole process took a fair
- amount of time, so it varied as we went
- 5 through the process. I was the person that
- the attorney representing Apple originally
- 7 contacted. There's sort of a whole complex
- 8 story behind it, but...
- Q. Why did they contact you?
- 10 A. They said that they had -- well, I
- should explain that the attorney called up and
- simply said that he was a trademark attorney,
- and that he had a client who thought that they
- might be interested in the name ibook and had
- noticed that we had the trademark and it
- didn't appear that we were necessarily doing a
- lot with it, and that they would be happy to
- pay a bit of money if we wanted to get it off
- of our hands, and I said thanks, but no
- thanks. And there were a couple calls like
- this that I got and, you know, the attorney
- said well, our client is really interested
- $^{23}$  and, you know, it might be a good way to earn
- or so.
- So I checked with Brian and

- 1 confirmed that he wasn't interested, and
- throughout all of this the attorney had not
- mentioned who his client was, but seemed
- 4 surprised that the monetary offers that he had
- made hadn't really swayed us at all.
- 6 So I finally went so far as to say
- well, you have to understand that the real
- owner of this trademark is a high net worth
- 9 individual and has plans for it and is really
- not interested in giving away any of the
- rights. And at that point he sort of said,
- <sup>12</sup> oh.
- And it gradually came out, and all
- these calls were to me. I think that I was
- the contact name probably with the Patent and
- 16 Trademark Office, and just as he hadn't
- mentioned his client's name, I hadn't
- mentioned who the people behind Family Systems
- were. He obviously found out or knew before
- $^{20}$  he called me that it was in Isle of Man
- 21 Company, so it was not possible to get much
- 22 information.
- And at some point, I think before he
- named his client, those of us at Family
- 25 Systems began to wonder whether this might be

Page 70

- 1 Apple. So there was some speculation and it
- finally -- and as I recall, I finally said to
- him well, this conversation just is not going
- 4 to move forward at all, you know, until you
- 5 tell us who your client is.
- 6 And I also had been saying all
- along, you know, he kept saying well, what do
- $^8$  you want, and I said well, mostly we want you
- <sup>9</sup> to go away and leave us alone. We're happy
- with things the way they are.
- So finally it came out that it was
- 12 Apple, and then the serious negotiations
- began. Apple said that they had some product
- 14 plans and various monetary offers went back
- $^{15}$  and forth that sort of differed by three or
- 16 four orders of magnitude, depending upon which
- $^{17}$  direction they were going in.
- Brian made all the decisions, as
- Brian always did, and it finally turned out
- $^{20}$  that there was this agreement that was worked
- $^{21}$  out. As I recall, the way that it sort of was
- $^{22}$  done formally is that Apple and Family Systems
- jointly sent a letter, affidavit or whatever
- 24 it is, to the Patent and Trademark Office
- saying, you know, there is no problem here.

- 1 Apple is going to use it for one purpose and
- we're going to use it for another purpose.
- Q. Was there a payment involved from Apple
- 4 to Family Systems?
- $^5$  A. I was told that there was.
- Q. Do you know the amount?
- $^7$  A. I was told it was
- Q. Did the agreement with Apple change the
- 9 way Family Systems used the ibook mark?
- A. Not in any significant way. I think
- that part of agreement was that we would put a
- 12 link our websites and so on pointing people
- saying, if you're interested in this kind of
- an ibook, go here and that would be a link to
- the Apple site.
- 16 It seems to me that there may have
- been an agreement the other way around, but
- 18 I'm not sure about that. But other than that,
- it really -- it was, in fact, Apple's use of
- 20 ibook was different from Family Systems in a
- way that could be described, and so we
- continued to do what we were doing and they
- came out with their product.
- Q. Is it your understanding that the
- payment was for Family Systems to