KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

Joseph Petersen (JP 9071) Robert Potter (RP 5757) 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 775-8700

Telephone: (212) 775-8700 Facsimile: (212) 775-8800

Email: jpetersen@kilpatricktownsend.com

Joseph M. Beck (admitted *pro hac vice*)
W. Andrew Pequignot (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Allison Scott Roach (admitted *pro hac vice*)
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530
Telephone: (404) 815-6500

Telephone: (404) 815-6500 Facsimile: (404) 815-6555

Email: jbeck@kilpatricktownsend.com

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE AUTHORS GUILD, INC., ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HATHITRUST, ET AL.,

Defendants.

Case No. 11 Civ. 6351 (HB)

FILED UNDER SEAL

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR JOEL WALDFOGEL, PH.D, IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

I, Joel Waldfogel, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare as follows:

I QUALIFICATIONS AND STATEMENT OF ASSIGNMENT

1. I am the Frederick R. Kappel Chair in Applied Economics at the Carlson School of the University of Minnesota and a Research Associate at the National Bureau of Economic Research. I received a Ph.D. in Economics from Stanford University in 1990. Prior to joining the faculty of the Carlson School, I was a professor at the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania, where I was the Joel S.

Ehrenkranz Family Professor of Business and Public Policy. From 1990 to 1997 I was an assistant professor and later an associate professor of economics at Yale University. I have authored and/or edited four books and published over 40 articles in economics journals. My main areas of research are industrial economics and law and economics. Within industrial economics I have published articles on many aspects of media industries, including music, movies, radio, television, newspapers, and the Internet. Much of my research involves studying the development of new types of online services that make use of existing products or services, and assessing their impact on the market for those existing products.

- 2. I have twice served as a consultant to the Federal Communications Commission on issues related to media ownership, from 2001 through 2003 and again from 2010 to 2011. I have also written on economic issues for popular audiences, as the Dismal Scientist columnist for *Slate Magazine* from 2006 until 2009 and as the author of Scroogenomics (Princeton University Press, 2009).
- 3. My curriculum vitae is attached as **Appendix A**. A list of cases in which I have testified in the past four years is listed in **Appendix B**.
- 4. I have been asked by Counsel for the HathiTrust and the defendant libraries to determine whether certain services provided by the HathiTrust—specifically the ability to search digitized books—could be undertaken as a viable and licensed commercial enterprise. I have not analyzed whether a different type of offering, providing different or additional services, would be commercially viable, and have limited my inquiry only to the viability of a service like the HathiTrust. To answer this question I first compare the costs that a commercial entity providing similar services would incur against the potential revenues that such an entity could expect to

earn. Second, I compare the economic role of such a hypothetical service to existing, analogous services, in light of economic research on the relationship between the availability of information through search services and the demand for the underlying indexed works.

- 5. This report and the opinions expressed herein are based on my analysis of the materials reviewed to date, together with my training, education, and experience. The documents I have reviewed and data I have analyzed in preparing this report are listed in **Appendix C**. I reserve the right to supplement my conclusions should additional documents, testimony, or other materials become available to me.
- 6. I am being compensated at my hourly billing rate of \$550 per hour for my work in this matter. Payment is not contingent on my opinion expressed, nor on the outcome of this case. Part of the work on this matter has been performed under my direction by economists at The Brattle Group, Inc.

II SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

- 7. Based on my analysis, I have reached the following conclusions:
 - The costs associated with creating and maintaining a service like the HathiTrust would exceed any potential revenue that such a venture could earn. Thus, I conclude that the creation and offering of a service with the functionality of the HathiTrust, but with licensed content, is not a commercially viable endeavor (i.e., an endeavor where the revenues could cover the costs).
 - In addition to incurring the direct costs associated with creating and maintaining a database like the one available through the HathiTrust, an entity creating such a service, were it to license the use of every copyrighted work, would have to

expend tremendously significant resources to secure the rights to works contained in the HathiTrust.

- The potential revenues associated with such a venture would not be sufficient to make a licensed searchable database commercially viable.
- Research shows that services offering close substitutes for existing works (such as pirate music or movie sites) cannibalize demand for the underlying works. By contrast, research shows that services offering incomplete elements of existing works can stimulate demand for those works. Comparing the HathiTrust's service with recent research on the demand impact of piracy and related phenomena, I conclude that the HathiTrust service is likely to stimulate demand for the works searchable in it.

III DATA

- 8. To conduct my analysis, I estimate the cost of creating a searchable database similar to the HathiTrust's, but with licensed content, and I compare this against an estimate of the revenue that such a database could generate.
- 9. For my analyses I obtained data on advertising revenue at Facebook and Twitter, two of the most visited websites in the world. I also collected data on web traffic for those sites as well as for the HathiTrust web site and other book-related websites, from the website Alexa (www.alexa.com).

IV ANALYSIS

A. Costs Associated with Creating a Licensed Database Like the HathiTrust's

- 1. Direct Costs to Scan Works
- 10. There are two broad types of costs that a commercial entity would need to incur in order to establish a searchable database similar to that offered by the HathiTrust. First, the entity would face the costs of obtaining digital copies of each work in the database. Creating a database of the size and scope offered by the HathiTrust would require, in almost every case, both acquiring a print copy of the work and then literally having it scanned. Second, I understand that Plaintiffs believe that the entity would also need to incur the costs of obtaining permissions to include certain of the works in the collection.
- 11. For some works, notably those for which a digital file already exists, the cost of scanning is likely to be low. Most works created in the recent past, or those that will be created in the future, will already have a digital file that could be imported into the entity's database, assuming the entity could gain access to the digital file. However, for works for which the entity would not be able to access an existing digital work, the work would have to be scanned. To date, the HathiTrust's digital collection contains 10.4 million scanned volumes. I have been advised that, because the overwhelming majority of these volumes are older works that were not "born digital," very few of the relevant volumes currently in the HathiTrust's database would be

HathiTrust, http://www hathitrust.org/.

available to the entity in electronic form, so my basic calculation assumes that all works would require scanning. ²

- 12. I conducted research on the cost of large-scale scanning. The largest-scale scanning project that I am aware of is the Google Books project, which has digitized approximately 20 million books as of March 2012.³ Google estimates its cost simply to scan a given book, not including other costs associated with the project, to be approximately \$\square\$ doogle further estimates that it has spent approximately \$\square\$ million to date for the operational cost to scan a book (including labor and equipment), maintaining books after being scanned, and technology development.⁵ Thus, Google estimates its overall average cost has been approximately \$\square\$ per book. Because the Google Books project is the largest scanning project that I am aware of, I will use its estimate of scanning costs in my estimate of scanning costs. As shown below, it is also the lowest scanning cost of any estimates that I could find. Using the Google estimate therefore provides a conservative estimate of the scanning costs.
- 13. Another large-scale book scanning project is the Million Book Project, a large-scale project that, as of December 2007 had scanned more than 1.5 million volumes.⁶ The

As I discuss below, see ¶¶40-44, my conclusions are unaffected by the possibility that some works—or even all of the works—would not need to be scanned. Even if scanning costs were zero, I find that the other costs associated with creating a licensed database of this scope exceed any revenues that such a service could be expected to generate.

Jennifer Howard, "Google Begins to Scale Back Its Scanning of Books From University Libraries," the Chronicle of Higher Education (March 9, 2012). Available at http://chronicle.com/article/Google-Begins-to-Scale-Back/131109/ (Accessed June 28, 2012). See also Deposition of Daniel Clancy, June 1, 2012, p. 49:3-8.

Deposition of Daniel Clancy, June 1, 2012, p. 50:18-20.

Deposition of Daniel Clancy, June 1, 2012, p. 52:10-16.

⁶ Carnegie Mellon University, "Online Library Gives Readers Access to 1.5 million Books," available at http://www.cmu.edu/news/archive/2007/November/nov27_ulib.shtml (accessed June 18, 2012).

project was supported by the National Science Foundation, which has provided \$3.6 million⁷ in "funding for equipment." In addition, the Project reports that manpower provided by India and China "represent[]a twenty-to-one relative contribution ... to this project." This suggests that the Million Book Project has expended resources of \$75.6 million, or approximately \$50 per book scanned.

- 14. A 2006 *New York Times* article on the Million Book Project reported on the efforts to scan 30,000 volumes from the Carnegie Mellon University and the Carnegie Libraries. The initial scanning cost was reported to be \$10 per volume. This appears to understate their actual costs, as the project seeks donations and currently reports an average scanning cost of approximately \$20 per book.
- 15. I also conducted a search of commercial services that offer to scan books. Such services quote prices depending on certain factors, including whether the scan is destructive or non-destructive (i.e., whether the book is to remain intact after scanning). Prices advertised on the Internet by services offering scanning run as low as \$6.95, plus 5 cents per page, for a destructive scan. The average volume in the HathiTrust is 350 pages, so to scan it destructively through this service would cost

Denise Troll Covey, "Global Cooperation for Global Access: The Million Book Project," (2004). Library Research and Publications,. Paper 41, http://repository.cmu.edu/lib_science/41, p. 1.

http://www.ulib.org/ULIBAboutUs htm (accessed June 15, 2012).

http://www.ulib.org/ULIBAboutUs htm (accessed June 15, 2012).

¹⁰ Kevin Kelly, "Scan This Book," New York Times (May 14, 2006).

The Million Book Project's website reports that a \$1,000 contribution "permits the digitization of about 50 books." Larger contributions are also reported to permit digitization at approximately \$20 per book. For example, the website notes that a \$100,000 donation permits 5,000 books to be digitized. See http://www.ulib.org/ULIBDonations htm (accessed June 15, 2012).

http://boundbookscanning.com/ (accessed June 18, 2012). Bound Book Scanning also offers non-destructive scanning for \$8.95, plus 8 cents per page, with restrictions on the size and thickness of the work. The cost of scanning a 350-page book (the average length of books in the HathiTrust) using the non-destructive method would cost \$36.95. To evaluate the relative benefit of destructive versus non-destructive scanning, one would have to include the cost of the book destroyed through destructive scanning, assuming that a replacement copy would even be available.

\$24.45, (plus the cost of obtaining a replacement copy of the work, including shipping, as well as other administrative costs).¹³

- per work as the estimated cost a commercial entity would incur when scanning millions of works into a database. The Google Books estimate is the lowest scanning cost that I have found, and I understand they can be much higher. Using this estimate, the resulting scanning-only cost of producing a commercial HathiTrust-like service is 10.4 million x \$\frac{1}{2}\$, or \$\frac{1}{2}\$ million.
 - 2. Indirect Costs of Securing Copyrights
- 17. It is my understanding that Plaintiffs in this case believe that an entity developing a service similar to that the HathiTrust would have to secure the rights to certain of the works to make them available for search. Therefore, I have investigated the costs associated with securing those rights. I am unaware of systematic quantitative evidence on the cost of securing such rights, although numerous narrative accounts exist (see below). These accounts make clear that securing the rights would be a manual, labor-intensive process that would, in most cases, require contacting each rights holder individually for permission.

The HathiTrust has scanned 10.4 million volumes, for a total of 3.641 billion pages, which averages to 350 pages per volume. See http://www.hathitrust.org/ (accessed June 26, 2012).

See Deposition of Frederic L. Haber, June 4, 2012, pp. 19-32.

- 18. From 2006 through 2008, the United States Congress studied the issue of orphan works. During the investigation, the U.S. Copyright Office requested comments from interested parties. Several university libraries submitted comments detailing their attempts to secure copyright permissions to digitize orphan works. For example, Carnegie Mellon University submitted a letter to the Copyright Office detailing attempts to determine the feasibility of identifying copyright holders for works to be scanned. The letter explained the difficulty in determining the copyright holder of numerous works. The university could not locate publishers for 22 percent of the books in its sample. In addition, 36 percent of those publishers that were identified did not respond to multiple requests by the university to secure permissions. Thus, Carnegie Mellon did not receive any information from the publishers for half of the works for which it attempted to secure permissions. 15 Overall, Carnegie Mellon estimated the direct administrative cost of receiving permission was \$78 per title for which permission was granted. Some copyright holders then requested fees ranging from \$50 to \$300 to grant such permissions. The Carnegie Mellon letter notes that these costs are conservative because they do not include costs associated with consulting the University's legal counsel, creating a database to track progress of their efforts to secure permissions, or paying the staff needed to manage the project.¹⁶
- 19. Cornell University also submitted a letter detailing a project to digitize 343 monographs that were out of print but under copyright. Cornell could not identify the

15 Carnegie Mellon identified publishers for 78 percent (100-22) of works in its sample. It received responses from 64 percent (100-36) publishers. These figures indicate that the university received responses for 50 percent (0.78*0.64=0.499) of the works for which it sought permission.

Letter from Denise Troll Covey, Carnegie Mellon University to Jule L. Sigall, U.S. Copyright Office, "Re: Response to Notice of Inquire about Orphan Works, Federal Register (January 26, 2005), Vol. 70, No. 16: 3739-3743" (March 22, 2005).

copyright holders for 198 of these works (58 percent). The university reported that it spent over \$50,000 in staff time and was able to receive permission for only 98 works. Thus, the average cost of securing permission was \$510 per work whose permission was successfully secured.¹⁷

- 20. Similarly, Brigham Young Law School submitted a letter detailing unsuccessful efforts to secure rights to use certain works in courses. In one example, in 2000, the Law School spent "over a dozen hours" working to acquire copyright permission for a single work. In another instance, in 2001, the Law School spent "upwards of twenty hours" trying to determine the copyright holder of a work whose author was deceased and whose publisher was no longer in business.¹⁸
- 21. In sum, the evidence from the comments submitted to the Copyright Office indicates that securing rights for individual works is a manual, time-consuming process, and that the cost of securing those rights appears to be significantly higher than the cost of scanning the works.
- 22. Two things appear clear from the available evidence concerning the challenges associated with obtaining permissions. First, for works where permissions can even be obtained, the cost of obtaining such permissions is significant. The estimates above place this cost between \$78 to \$510 per work, and this does not include any licensing fee or royalty that may be demanded by the identified rights holder. Second, finding the rights holder appears to be entirely infeasible for a large share of

Letter from Sarah E. Thomas, Cornell University, to Jule L. Sigall, U.S. Copyright Office, "Re: Response by the Cornell University Library to the Notice of Inquiry Concerning Orphan Works, 70 FR 3739 January 26, 2005" (March 23, 2005).

Letter from Constance K. Lundberg and Laureen C. Urquiaga, Brigham Young University, to Jule L. Sigall, U.S. Copyright Office, "Re: Orphan Works and Research Libraries and Archives" (March 22, 2005).

works, with more than half of all such holders remaining unidentified or unconfirmed (half or more in the experience of both Carnegie Mellon and Cornell.).

- 23. An entity seeking to duplicate the HathiTrust's database would not need to secure permissions for all 10.4 million works because some are already in the public domain.

 The HathiTrust reports that 3.1 million works in its collection are in the public domain. Therefore, the entity would have to obtain rights for 7.3 million works.
- 24. While it is difficult to translate these findings into simple estimates of cost, a few calculations are economically relevant. Utilizing the lowest identified estimate as to the costs of obtaining permissions (\$78 per work), and applying this to the estimated 7.3 million copyrighted works in the database, leads to a total "permissions cost" of \$569 million. (This assumes that the entity could actually identify and obtain permissions from every rights holder; as noted above, Carnegie Mellon and Cornell were not even able to identify the majority of relevant rights holders.) Thus, the overall cost of creating a licensed database like the one offered by the HathiTrust—including obtaining permissions for all the works for which Plaintiffs claim permissions are required—comes to a total of \$ million (this includes both the estimated \$ million in scanning costs discussed in paragraphs 10 through 16, as well as the \$569 million needed to obtain permissions from rights holders, but does not include the payment of any royalties or licensing fees to rights holders).

¹⁹ See http://www hathitrust.org/ (accessed June 18, 2012).

I have been advised that some of these 7.3 million works, published between 1923 and 1963, are likely already in the public domain, but that making that determination would itself be an intensive and expensive process. Accordingly, I understand that the HathiTrust treats all of these works as if they are protected by copyright.

25. Going forward, I will base my calculations on this estimated \$\square\$ million total cost.

As I will show below, no entity creating and offering such a database could generate enough revenue to cover these costs. As I explain further below, my conclusions do not change even if I make a wide range of alternative assumptions about such costs.

B. Potential Revenue Associated with a Searchable Database

26. As discussed above, an entity creating a service like the HathiTrust would incur \$\text{million}\$ million in up-front scanning and permissions costs to make the database available for search. To be commercially viable, the service would have to earn sufficient revenues to cover those costs. Because the revenues would be earned over time, it is necessary to account for this by calculating a present discounted value of future revenues. I calculate the present value of revenues using a discount rate of 10 percent per year. This discount rate is approximately equal to the cost of equity for a firm in a related line of business, Reed Elsevier, an academic publisher that publishes over 2,500 academic journals that are searchable using its ScienceDirect service. Given the \$\text{million}\$ million cost to scan 10.4 million volumes and obtain the necessary permissions for those works not in the public domain, any service offering a database like the HathiTrust's would need to generate approximately \$\text{million}\$ million in annual

For a discussion of discounting cash flows to calculate a present value, see, e.g., Richard A. Brealey, Stewart C. Myers, and Franklin Allen, *Principles of Corporate Finance* (9th Ed.) Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin, 2008, Ch. 2.

Reed Elesvier is a Dutch firm with American Depositary Receipts that are publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange. Bloomberg reports Reed Elsevier's cost of equity to be between 10.3 and 10.5 percent (Accessed June 27, 2012). As a comparison to an internet search firm, Bloomberg reports Google's cost of equity to be 10.8 percent.

revenue to cover this cost.²³ As I discuss below, a licensed service similar to the HathiTrust would not be able to generate this level of revenue.²⁴

27. A commercial entity offering a searchable database like the HathiTrust's could potentially generate revenue in two ways: through an ad-supported website and by making the database available to paid subscribers. My conclusion is that neither of these methods, whether alone or in combination, would generate sufficient revenue to make such a database commercially viable.

1. Ad-Supported Site

- 28. A HathiTrust-like commercial service might support itself with ad revenue. Given information on the relationship between site traffic and ad revenue generally, along with information suggesting the site traffic that a commercial HathiTrust-like site would realistically attract, it is possible to calculate the ad revenue that a HathiTrust-like site could feasibly generate.
- 29. Advertising revenue data for websites is not generally available, but it is possible to get revenue data for certain specific sites. In 2011, for example, Facebook generated \$3.1 billion in ad revenue, and Twitter generated \$140 million.²⁵ Alexa.com collects information on website traffic, including information on "reach" (a measure of the

The present value of earning one dollar every year in the future at a discount rate of *r* percent is equal to 1/*r*. Thus, if *r*= 10 percent, the present value of earning one dollar every year is \$10 (1/0.1=10). (See Richard A. Brealey, Stewart C. Myers, and Franklin Allen, *Principles of Corporate Finance* (9th Ed.) Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin, 2008, pp. 40-41 for a more detailed discussion.)

My conclusions would be unaffected with the use of lower discount rates. For example using a risk-free discount rate of 4.58 percent (as reported by Ibbotson), the service would not cover its costs. However, it is be incorrect to use a risk-free discount rate because the cash flows associated with the service would be subject to risk. (See Morningstar, Ibbotson Cost of Capital 2010 Yearbook, Chicago: Morningstar, Inc. (2010), p. 23.)

See Shayndi Raice, "Days of Wild User Growth Appear Over at Facebook," Wall Street Journal June 11, 2012 (http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702303296604577454970244896342.html (accessed June 26, 2012)); "After Strong 2011, Twitter Ad Revenues to Grow 86% to \$259 Million in 2012," eMarketer Digital Intelligence, January 31, 2012, http://www.emarketer.com/PressRelease.aspx?R=1008806 (accessed June 20, 2012).

number of users)²⁶ and time on site (estimated daily time on site). Hence, Alexa's 3month reach measure indicates that 44.7 percent of global Internet users visit Facebook each day, on average, in the last three months. Similarly, Twitter's 3month reach measure of 9.2 percent indicates that 9.2 percent of users visit Twitter each day, on average. Based on the time-on-site measure, Facebook users spend an average of 1,421 seconds on that website each day, averaged over the past 3 months, while Twitter users spend an average of 429 seconds daily on that site. The product of reach and time at site provides a measure of overall time spent at the site, added across Internet users, which I term "reach seconds." By this measure, Facebook has 636 reach seconds per day (meaning that the average amount of time at the site is 636 seconds per day, averaged across all Internet users, including both those visiting Facebook and those not visiting Facebook). The analogous measure for Twitter is 40 seconds per day. Dividing annual revenue for Facebook by its reach seconds yields an estimate of \$5 million per year per reach second, while the analogous figure for Twitter is \$4 million.

30. These estimates of revenue per audience measure provide a way to measure the level of advertising revenue that a HathiTrust-like website could generate. HathiTrust.org's reach measure is 0.00155 percent, which is 1/28,000 as large as Facebook's reach of 44.7 percent cited above. HathiTrust.org's time on site averages 292 seconds, so its reach-second measure is 0.00453, which is less than 1/140,000 as

-

According to Alexa.com, "Reach measures the number of users. Reach is typically expressed as the percentage of all Internet users who visit a given site. So, for example, if a site like yahoo.com has a reach of 28%, this means that of all global Internet users measured by Alexa, 28% of them visit yahoo.com. Alexa's one-week and three-month average reach are measures of daily reach, averaged over the specified time period. The three-month change is determined by comparing a site's current reach with its values from three months ago." See http://www.alexa.com/help/traffic-learn-more (accessed June 26, 2012).

large as Facebook's reach second measure of 636 seconds cited above. Hence, based on Facebook's ad revenue per reach second, I would expect a HathiTrust-like website to be able to generate 1/140,000 of the \$3.1 billion in annual ad revenue that Facebook generates, so such a site would generate \$23,000 in annual revenue.²⁷ HathiTrust.org generates a reach second measure 1/8,700 as high as Twitter's 40 seconds cited above. Hence, based on Twitter's revenue per reach second, I would expect a HathiTrust-like commercial site to be able to generate 1/8,700 as much revenue as Twitter, or \$18,000 in annual ad revenue.²⁸

31. The existing HathiTrust website is not the only book-oriented site on the Internet, and so it is instructive to use the above methodology to estimate the ad revenue that other book-related sites could generate. For example, the Project Gutenberg site (gutenberg.org), which distributes public domain books free of charge and has far more traffic than the HathiTrust site, has a 3-month reach of 0.0228 percent and an average time on site of 268 seconds. Using the method employed above, I estimate that a site with this level of traffic could produce annual ad revenue between \$244,000 and \$306,000, based on Facebook and Twitter's revenue per reach second, respectively. Using the same approach for other book-related sites—AbeBooks, JSTOR, and WorldCat—gives respective ranges of \$360,000 to \$452,000 for AbeBooks; \$267,000 to \$335,000 for JSTOR; and \$153,000 to \$193,000 for WorldCat. In the interest of making my calculations as conservative as possible, I adopt the largest of these estimates and therefore conclude that a HathiTrust-like site

_

HathiTrust.org reach (0.00155 percent) multiplied by its time on site (292 seconds) and Facebook's estimated \$5 million per reach second yields an estimated ad revenue of \$22,630.

HathiTrust.org reach (0.00155 percent) multiplied by its time on site (292 seconds) and Twitter's estimated \$4 million per reach second yields an estimated ad revenue of \$18,104.

could generate no more than \$452,000 per year in ad revenue (i.e. the amount earned by AbeBooks, which has far higher website traffic that the HathiTrust).

32. The ad revenue that a HathiTrust-like commercial site could generate (no more than \$452,000 per year) falls far short of the annual cost of operating such a site (\$\square\$ million). I conclude that such a site would not be able to cover its costs with ad revenue.

2. Subscription Service

33. A commercial entity could also potentially charge libraries and other users subscription fees for the ability to search. How much could a private entity earn selling libraries subscriptions to a searchable database like the one offered by the HathiTrust? Analysts have identified four major products for digital discovery. While none is directly comparable to a hypothetical commercial service resembling the HathiTrust, one of them is sufficiently similar to support an instructive comparison. The WorldCat Local product includes a total of 969 million items, including the very works within the HathiTrust:

674+ million articles with one-click access to full text, 29+ million digital items from trusted sources like Google Books, OAIster and HathiTrust, 13+ million eBooks from leading aggregators and publishers, 44+ million pieces of evaluative content (Tables of Contents, cover art, summaries, etc.) included at no additional charge, 221+ million books in libraries worldwide.³⁰

Of these items, at least 703 million (674 million + 29 million) include some full-text functionality. For example, 674 million include "one-click access to full text," while

See Judy Luther & Maureen C. Kelly, "The Next Generation of Discovery," *Library Journal*, March 15, 2011.

See http://www.oclc.org/worldcatlocal/default.htm (accessed June 25, 2012).

others (like copyrighted works from the HathiTrust) include full text searchability, although with no access to full text.

- 34. HathiTrust works thus make up 1.48 percent (10.4 million/703 million) of the works within WorldCat Local that have some degree of full-text functionality. For the sake of discussion, if we ignore other offerings in WorldCat Local and, hypothetically, attribute all of its revenue-generating capability only to the works with full-text functionality, then we could infer that the HathiTrust works on their own could generate, at best, 1.48 percent of the total revenue that WorldCat Local generates. This is an overstatement in that it ignores the value of WorldCat Local's other offerings, such as its information on "221+ million books." It is potentially an overstatement in a second sense: the calculation presumes that the value of a service like WorldCat Local is directly proportional to the breadth of its collected works or, in other words, that two services with half the breadth of WorldCat Local's collection would each be half as valuable as WorldCat Local. It is likely that this is not the case, and that the broader appeal of larger collections would generate disproportionately more revenue than two smaller ones.
- 35. How much does WorldCat Local generate in revenue? According to a published report, "Prices generally range from \$9,000 to \$25,000 per year for the subscription fee, depending on the size of the institution." Calculating the revenue to WorldCat Local also requires an estimate of its sales penetration. According to an account in

Ronda Rowe, "Web-Scale Discovery: A Review of Summon, EBSCO Discovery Service, and WorldCat Local" *The Charleston Advisor*, / April 2010 www.charlestonco.com 5. doi:10.5260/chara.12.1.5 Date of Review: June 10, 2010.

Library Journal, World Cat Local is installed in 1,419 libraries worldwide.³² Applying the pricing data cited above (a range of \$9,000 to \$25,000 per subscription) across 1,419 subscribers indicates a revenue range of \$12,771,000 to \$35,475,000 for a product that includes, as roughly one percent of its total content, all of the works in the HathiTrust.

- 36. We can narrow this revenue estimate somewhat further, however. The *Library Journal* account notes that WorldCat Local's producer OCLC³³ has "over 1200 employees and total revenue of \$228 million in FY09/10. Yet only a relatively small portion of the whole relates to the types of products covered in this report; OCLC's most recent annual report indicates only 7.6 percent of revenue, or around \$17.3 million ..."³⁴ is attributable to services like WorldCat Local.
- 37. Accordingly, if OCLC generates \$17.3 million in annual subscription revenue for searchable database products related to WorldCat Local, a commercial entity offering a database like the HathiTrust's could generate annually only 1.48 percent of that revenue, or \$256,000. This translates into approximately \$180 in revenue from each subscriber to the HathiTrust-like database, assuming it had the same number of subscribers as WorldCat Local.
- 38. The current number of WorldCat Local subscribers (1,419) may actually understate the revenue available from future potential subscribers. According to OCLC, the

Marshall Breeding, "Automation Marketplace 2011: The New Frontier." *Library Journal*, Apr 1, 2011.

[&]quot;OCLC is a nonprofit, membership, computer library service and research organization dedicated to the public purposes of furthering access to the world's information and reducing information costs. More than 72,000 libraries in 170 countries and territories around the world have used OCLC services to locate, acquire, catalog, lend and preserve library materials." See http://www.oclc.org/worldcatlocal/default.htm (accessed June 25, 2012).

Marshall Breeding, "Automation Marketplace 2011: The New Frontier." *Library Journal*, Apr 1, 2011.

entity producing WorldCat Local, their "core market is 4,000 academic and academic research libraries. These libraries also represent the core market for our publisher partners." If an entity were to sell a HathiTrust-like services to all 4,000 of these libraries, at a subscription rate of \$180 per year, this would generate \$720,000 in total subscriptions.

39. There are 3,827 academic library systems in the United States.³⁶ There are an additional 4,617 administrative units for academic libraries in the enlarged European Union.³⁷ Thus, there are a total of 7,995 possible adopters in a set of countries that account for 48 percent of the world's gross domestic product (GDP).³⁸ Scaling this to the entire world suggests there are at most 16,656 libraries that might purchase a subscription to a searchable database like the HathiTrust's ((1/0.48)*7,995 = 16,656). Even if every single one of these libraries paid \$180/year to subscribe to a searchable database like the HathiTrust's, this would produce only \$2,998,080 million in total annual revenue.

C. Conclusion about Costs and Revenues

40. Above I estimate that the creation of a HathiTrust-like commercial service would cost million (\$\frac{1}{2}\) million in scanning costs and \$569 million in costs for

³⁵

http://www.oclc.org/services/brochures/214567bUSF_Publisher_Benefits_of_Platform_Strategy.pdf.

See https://www.ala.org/ala/professionalresources/libfactsheets/alalibraryfactsheet01.cfm (accessed June 25, 2012).

See David Fuegi Martin Jennings, "International library statistics: trends and commentary based on the Libecon data. Library services statistics in Europe and beyond," LIBECON. 30 June, 2004.

The enlarged EU includes: "Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands Portugal, Spain, Sweden, UK and 10 countries were scheduled to join on 1st May 2004, namely Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, the Slovak Republic, and Slovenia." See Fuegi and Jennings (2004), page 8.

Calculated from http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD/countries (accessed June 25, 2012), for 2010.

more than \$\square\$ million per year in revenue to be commercially viable. I estimate that, even if deriving revenue from *both* advertising and subscription services, such a service could realistically hope to generate no more than roughly \$3.5 million in annual revenue, leaving a \$\square\$ million shortfall, and so I conclude that such a service would not even be close to viable.

- 41. As discussed above, my conclusion remains unchanged even when applied to a large range of possible alternative assumptions. For example, as indicated above, it is possible that an entity undertaking the creation of a licensed HathiTrust-like commercial service would not need to scan all 10.4 million works. My conclusion is unaffected by this possibility: even without *any* scanning costs, the project would still cost \$569 million just for obtaining permissions (not including any actual license fees or royalties). Based on those costs alone, the service would need to generate roughly \$57 million in annual revenue for viability, which is still significantly far above my estimates of any realistic revenue expectations.
- 42. My conclusion also remains the same even if I were to apply a discounted value of future revenues lower than the 10 percent rate I used. With a lower discount rate, a searchable database service would need to generate less annual revenue to meet its costs. For example, discounting at the inappropriately low risk-free rate of 4.58 percent³⁹ implies that, with an upfront cost of million, the service could be viable with million in annual revenue. This, again, far exceeds my estimate of the revenue the service could earn. Indeed, even if one assumes zero scanning costs

20

Ibbotson reports 4.58 percent to be the long-term Government bond yield as of March 31, 2010. See Morningstar, Ibbotson Cost of Capital 2010 Yearbook, Chicago: Morningstar, Inc. (2010), p. 23.

and an inappropriately low discount rate, the service would still require \$26.1 million in annual revenue for viability. This too far exceeds my estimate of the revenue the service could generate.

- 43. I can examine the robustness of my conclusion in another way. Using the baseline estimates, the service would require \$\frac{1}{20}\$ million in annual revenue for viability, while it would earn only \$3.4 million. How much higher would revenue need to be for economic viability? Revenue would cover costs only if it exceeded my estimate by a factor of \$\frac{1}{20}\$ (\$\frac{1}{2}\$). Even if I calculate costs based on zero scanning costs and an inappropriately low risk-free discount rate, revenue would still need to be 7.5 times higher than my estimate (26.1/3.5) for the service to be viable.
- 44. For all of the reasons above, and even under a variety of alternative assumptions, I conclude that an entity seeking to create and market a licensed database like the HathiTrust's would not be able to generate revenue sufficient to cover its up-front costs, and is thus not commercially viable.

D. Substitutes, Complements, and the Economics of Search and Discovery Tools

45. Since the development of digital technology for copying, many new products and services related to digitizable content (music, text, video) have emerged. When these new technologies have first appeared, it has not always been clear whether the new offerings function as substitutes or complements for existing products. That is, it is not clear whether they cannibalize or stimulate the demand for existing products. To take one prominent example, consider how digital technology facilitates the unauthorized and unpaid use of music files. Most other observers, along with me, agree that these new products—free and nearly perfect substitutes for music files

available for sale—cannibalize the revenue of music files.⁴⁰ Similarly, my research shows that unpaid consumption of entire movies (whether copied DVDs or downloaded files) cannibalizes paid consumption of movies.

- 46. Contexts outside of music and movies provide less clear evidence of cannibalization. For example, the emergence of short video segments at sites such as YouTube provide an interesting contrast to the availability of free music files. It is intuitive that short segments of video programming may serve as "teasers" that stimulate interest in the full-length authorized programming. And my own research finds strong evidence that while unauthorized file sharing in music cannibalizes demand for the genuine product, unauthorized distribution of short video segments does not. It is perhaps not surprising, then, that rights holders began streaming their own authorized videos of their television programming less than a year after YouTube's emergence in early 2005. Many programs were available late in 2005; the majority of the network schedules were available online by the start of the 2006-2007 television season. 42
- 47. A lesson emerging from my research in this area is that the free availability of a close substitute for an authorized product decreases demand, while the availability of only an element of a product (which is not a close substitute for the entire product) does

See, for example, Rafael Rob and Joel Waldfogel, "Piracy on the High C's: Downloading, Sales, and Social Welfare in a Sample of College Students," *Journal of Law and Economics* XLIX (April 2006), pp. 29-62; Joel Waldfogel, "Music File Sharing and Sales Displacement in the iTunes Era," *Information Economics and Policy* 22 (2010), pp. 306-314; and Stan J. Liebowitz, "The Metric is the Message: How Much of the Decline in Sound Recording Sales is Due to File-Sharing?" Unpublished paper, (December 2011).

Joel Waldfogel, "Music File Sharing and Sales Displacement in the iTunes Era," *Information Economics and Policy* 22 (2010), pp. 306-314; and Joel Waldfogel, "Lost on the Web: Does Web Distribution Stimulate or Depress Television Viewing?" *Information Economics and Policy* 21 (2009), p. 158-168.

Joel Waldfogel, "Lost on the Web: Does Web Distribution Stimulate or Depress Television Viewing?" *Information Economics and Policy* 21 (2009), p. 159.

not depress demand. Rights holders in a variety of contexts have acted on these forces. Music rights holders allow retailers such as Amazon to post short segments of songs to aid consumers in their search processes.

- 48. These findings and facts have relevance to the matter at hand. The mere searchability of the text inside of a book, without any ability to read that text, is not a close substitute for access to the book. It is, instead, only a product component—akin to the short samples of songs that many music retailers make available online—and allows a potential user to know more about the work prior to using it. Similarly, many rights holders for written works allow their works to be searchable online. For example, rights holders are invited to provide Amazon a searchable version of their works, along with permission to make the search functionality available to shoppers.⁴³ Interestingly, Amazon does not compensate rights holders for this service. Instead, rights holders receive indirect compensation in the form of sales stimulation. Amazon's own data indicates that participation in their "Search Inside the Book" program raises sales for participating books by 9 percent.⁴⁴ When Amazon launched this program in 2003, 190 publishers had already allowed their books to be searched, without remuneration, and this number has only grown since then.45
- 49. The sales-stimulating effects of Amazon's "Search Inside the Book" program, along with extensive participation from rights holders, makes it clear that, rather than

http://www.amazon.com/gp/help/customer/display html?ie=UTF8&nodeId=14061791 (Accessed June 16, 2012).

[&]quot;Amazon.com Announces Sales Impact from New Search Inside the Book Feature," *Business Wire* October 30, 2003.

⁴⁵ "Amazon.com Announces Sales Impact from New Search Inside the Book Feature," *Business Wire* October 30, 2003.

FR 18:12 FAX 0043171671530 MERCURE BIEDERMETE

cannibalizing demand, searchability stimulates demand for the books that are searchable. This finding is very much in line with my research findings that the free availability of close substitutes cannibalizes sales, while the free availability of incomplete elements stimulates demand.

50. I conclude that a service offering searchability nside books, like the HathiTrust does, would very likely benefit rights holders by stimulating demand for their works.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: June 2, 2012

Joel Waldfogel

Appendix A

Curriculum Vitae of Professor Joel Waldfogel

Joel Waldfogel

updated: January 3, 2011

Carlson School of Management University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455 phone: (612) 626-7128

fax: (612) 626-1335 jwaldfog "at" umn.edu http://www.tc.umn/edu/~jwaldfog

Education

Ph. D. 1990, Economics, Stanford University

B.A. 1984, Economics, Brandeis University, *summa cum laude*, with Highest Honors

Work Experience

Carlson School of Management and Department of Economics (by courtesy), University of Minnesota

Frederick R. Kappel Chair in Applied Economics, 2010-

National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA

Research Associate, Industrial Organization and Law and Economics Programs, 2002-present

Faculty Research Fellow, Law and Economics Program, 1993-2002

Member, Board of Advisers, Tango Card, Inc., 2010-

Member, Board of Advisers, HowMutch, 2010-

Business and Public Policy Department, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

Joel S. Ehrenkranz Family Professor, 2003-2010 Department Chair, January 2006 – June 2009 Professor, 2001-2003 Associate Professor, 1997-2001

Associate Vice Dean for the Doctoral Program, The Wharton School, Fall 2000-2005

Dismal Science columnist, *Slate Magazine* (www.slate.com), May, 2006-2009.

Associate Editor, Information Economics and Policy, July 2004-present

Member, Editorial Board, International Review of Law and Economics, Jan. 2004-present

Member, Editorial Board, B.E. Journals in Economic Analysis & Policy, 2005-present

Consultant, The World Bank

Project on criminal conviction and labor market outcomes, 1997 Project on media use in African countries, 2007

Yale University Economics Department Associate Professor, 1995-1997 Assistant Professor, 1990-1995

John M. Olin Visiting Faculty Fellow, Yale Law School, Spring 1994

Economist, F.W. Dodge/Data Resources, Lexington, MA, 1985-1987

Service

Member, NAS/STEP Committee on the Impact of Copyright Policy on Innovation in the Digital Era, 2010-2011

 $(\underline{http://sites.nationalacademies.org/PGA/step/copyrightpolicy/index.htm})$

Consultant to the FCC Media Ownership Working Group, 2001-2003

Member, NAS/NRC Committee on Improving Research Information and Data on Firearms, 2001-2004. The panel published a report, *Firearms and Violence: A Critical Review*, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2004.

Scientific Organizing Committee, Conference on Media Economics (Bologna, 2007; Zurich, 2008; Siena 2009; New York, 2010)

Co-organizer, 2009 NBER Summer Institute Conference on Industrial Organization

Selection Committee, International Industrial Organization Conference, Media Topics, 2008, 2009, 2010

Distinctions

Journal of Urban Economics, Highly Cited Author Award, 2004-2008

Marc and Sheri Rapaport Undergraduate Core Teaching Award, The Wharton School, May 2008

Journal of Industrial Economics "Best Article of the Year" Prize, 2006

Monroe-Paine Lecture, University of Missouri, October 2008

First Prize, Mexican Law and Economics Association, for "Do Sentencing Guidelines Raise the Cost of Punishment?" (with Jose Meade), October 1998

Teacher of the Year 1994, Yale Graduate Economics Club

Alfred P. Sloan Dissertation Fellowship, 1989-1990, Stanford University

John M. Olin Fellow, Stanford Law School, Summer 1988

Grants

University of Pennsylvania, Wharton Global Research Initiative, 2009, 2010 (with Fernando Ferreira, to support research on globalization of recorded music industry)

University of Pennsylvania, Real Estate Center, 2004, 2005 (to support research on chain restaurants)

University of Pennsylvania, Mack Center, 2007, 2008, 2009 (to support research on video downloading and the pricing of digital products)

University of Pennsylvania, WebI/Mack Center, 2004, 2005 (to support research on music downloading and recording industry innovation)

University of Pennsylvania, WebI, 2002 (to support research on information intermediaries)

University of Pennsylvania, Wharton Electronic Commerce Forum, 2000 (to support research on the digital divide)

University of Pennsylvania Research Foundation, 1998 (with Kevin Volpp, to support research on the impacts of New Jersey health care reform)

University of Pennsylvania Research Foundation, 1998 (with Jason Scott Johnston, to support research on litigation)

Yale Social Science Faculty Research Fund, 1991, 1994 (with Steve Berry)

NSF Law and Social Science grant no. SBR-9310526, a two year grant with Ian Ayres to support research on race discrimination in Connecticut bail setting, 1993

Books

- 1. *Scroogenomics*, Princeton University Press, November 2009. (Published translated into Japanese, Chinese, 2010).
- 2. *The Tyranny of the Market*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, October 2007.
- 3. *Debt, Taxes, and Corporate Restructuring*, co-edited with John B. Shoven, Brookings Institution, Washington, D.C., 1990
- 4. *Firearms and Violence: A Critical Review*, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2004 (NRC panel member).

Scholarly Articles in Journals

- 5. "The Four P's of Digital Distribution in the Internet Era: Piracy, Pricing, Pie-Splitting, and Pipe Control." *Review of Economic Research on Copyright Issues*, 2010. (keynote lecture, Society for Economic Research on Copyright Issues 2009 World Congress)
- 6. Guest Editor's Introduction (with Martin Peitz), *Information Economics & Policy*, 2010.
- 7. "Music for a Song: An Empirical Look at Uniform Song Prices and its Alternatives." (with Ben Shiller), forthcoming, *Journal of Industrial Economics* (revised version of NBER Working Paper 15390, October 2009).
- 8. "File Sharing and Sales Displacement in the iTunes Era." *Information Economics & Policy*. 2010.
- 9. "Lost on the Web: Does Web Distribution Stimulate or Depress Television Viewing?" Information Economics & Policy, 2009, (revised version of NBER Working Paper 13497, October 2007)
- 10. "Product Quality and Market Size (with Steve Berry), *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 2010 (revised version of NBER Working Paper 9675)
- 11. "Media Markets and Localism: Does Local News *en Español* Boost Hispanic Voter Turnout? (with Felix Oberholzer-Gee), *American Economic Review*, 2009 (revised version of NBER Working Paper 12317, June 2006).

- 12. "Social Learning and Coordination in High-Stakes Games: Evidence from Friend or Foe" (with Felix Oberholzer-Gee and Matthew White), 2010, *Review of Economics and Statistics*.
- 13. "Close to You? Bias and Precision in Patent-Based Measures of Technological Proximity" (with Mary Benner), *Research Policy*, 2008 (revised version of NBER Working Paper 13322, August 2007).
- 14. Guest Editor's Introduction to Special Issue on the Economics of the Media, *Information Economics and Policy*, October 2007.
- 15. "The Median Voter and the Median Consumer: Local Private Goods and Residential Sorting," March 2008, *Journal of Urban Economics* (revised version of NBER Working Paper 11972, January 2006).
- 16. "Piracy on the Silver Screen" (with Rafael Rob), *Journal of Industrial Economics* Sept. 2007, (revised version of NBER Working Paper 12010, February 2006).
- 17. "Measuring the Effect of Multimarket Contact on Competition: Evidence from Radio Broadcast Ownership Deregulation." (with Julie Wulf), *Contributions to Economic Analysis and Policy*, 2006
- 18. "Does Information Undermine Brand? Information Intermediary Use and Preference for Branded Web Retailers." (with Lu Chen), *Journal of Industrial Economics*, December 2006
 - Recipient of Journal of Industrial Economics "Best Article of the Year" Prize, 2006
- 19. "The *New York Times* and the Market for Local Newspapers." (with Lisa George), *American Economic Review*, 2006.
- 20. "Piracy on the High C's: Music Downloading, Sales Displacement, and Social Welfare." (with Rafael Rob), April 2006, *Journal of Law & Economics* (revised version of NBER Working Paper 10874, November 2004).
- 21. "Strength in Numbers: Group Size and Political Mobilization" (with Felix Oberholzer Gee), October 2005, *Journal of Law & Economics* (revised version of NBER Working Paper 8252, April 2001; old title: "Electoral Acceleration: The Effect of Minority Population on Minority Voter Turnout").
- 22. "Do Low-Income Housing Subsidies Increase Housing Consumption?" (with Todd Sinai), *Journal of Public Economics*, December 2005 (revised version of NBER Working Paper 8709, January 2002).
- 23. "Does Consumer Irrationality Trump Consumer Sovereignty?" *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, November 2005.

- 24. "Who Benefits Whom in Local Television Markets?", *Brookings-Wharton Papers on Urban Affairs* 2003
- 25. "Geography and the Internet: Is the Internet a Substitute or a Complement for Cities?" (with Todd Sinai), *Journal of Urban Economics*, July 2004 (revised version of NBER Working Paper 10028, October 2003).
 - Recipient of Journal of Urban Economics Highly Cited Author Award, 2004-2008.
- 26. "Market Reform in New Jersey and the Effect on Mortality from Acute Myocardial Infarction." (with Kevin Volpp, Sankey Williams, Jeffrey Silber J. Sanford Schwartz, and Mark Pauly) *Health Services Research*, April 2003. (revised version of "Competition and the Quality of Hospital Care: Heart Attack Mortality after the Onset of Price Competition in New Jersey," (with Kevin Volpp), mimeo, The Wharton School, July 1998)
- 27. "Preference Externalities: An Empirical Study of Who Benefits Whom in Differentiated Product Markets" *RAND Journal of Economics*, 2003 (revised version of NBER Working Paper 7391, October 1999)
- 28. "Who Affects Whom in Daily Newspaper Markets?" (with Lisa George), *Journal of Political Economy*, 2003 (revised version of NBER Working Paper 7944, October 2000)
- 29. "Does Repeat Play Elicit Cooperation? Evidence from Federal Civil Litigation," (with Jason Johnston), *Journal of Legal Studies*, 2002
- 30. "Gifts, Cash, and Stigma," Economic Inquiry, 2002
- 31. "Race and Radio: Preference Externalities, Minority Ownership, and the Provision of Programming to Minorities" (with Peter Siegelman), *Advances in Applied Microeconomics*, volume 10, 2001
- 32. "Do Mergers Increase Product Variety? Evidence from Radio Broadcasting," (with Steven Berry), *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2001, (revision of April 1999 NBER Working Paper 7080 entitled "Mergers, Entry, and Programming Variety in Radio Broadcasting")
- 33. "The Effect of Price Advertising on Prices: Evidence in the Wake of 44 Liquormart," (with Jeffrey Milyo) American Economic Review, 1999, (revision of March 1998 NBER Working Paper 6488)
 - Reprinted in Kyle Bagwell, ed., *The Economics of Advertising*, in Edward Elgar series, *The International Library of Critical Writings in Economics*, Mark Blaug, ed.

- 34. "Free Entry and Social Inefficiency in Radio Broadcasting," (with Steven Berry), *RAND Journal of Economics*, 1999, (revision of April 1996 NBER Working Paper 5528)
 - To be reprinted in Paul Joskow and Michael Waterson, eds., Empirical Industrial Organization, in Edward Elgar series, The International Library of Critical Writings in Economics, Mark Blaug, ed.
- 35. "Public Radio in the U.S.: Does it Correct Market Failure or Cannibalize Commercial Stations," (with Steven Berry), *Journal of Public Economics*, 1999, (revision of June 1997 NBER working paper 6057)
- 36. "Toward a Taxonomy of Disputes: New Evidence through the Prism of the Priest/Klein Model," (with Peter Siegelman), *The Journal of Legal Studies*, January 1999
- 37. "The Deadweight Loss of Christmas: Reply," *American Economic Review*, December 1998
 - Reprinted in *Problèmes Économiques*, December 22, 1999
- 38. "Reconciling Asymmetric Information and Divergent Expectations Theories of Litigation," *Journal of Law and Economics*, October 1998 (revision of February 1998 NBER Working Paper 6409)
- 39. "Are Empirically Based Sentencing Guidelines Justified by Inter-Judge Disparity?," *International Review of Law and Economics*, September 1998
- 40. "The Effect of Conviction on Income through the Life Cycle," (with Daniel Nagin), *International Review of Law and Economics*, March 1998
- 41. "The Best Business Schools: A Market Based Approach," (with Joseph Tracy), *Journal of Business*, January 1997
- 42. "The Deadweight Loss of Christmas: Reply," *American Economic Review*, December 1996
- 43. "Sentencing Policy, Implied Demographic Welfare Weights, and the Theory of Sentencing Reform," *Journal of Public Economics*, 1996
- 44. "The Administrative and Compliance Cost of Manual Highway Toll Collection: Evidence from Massachusetts and New Jersey," (with David A. Friedman), *National Tax Journal*, June 1995
- 45. "The Selection Hypothesis and the Relationship between Trial and Plaintiff Victory," *Journal of Political Economy*, April 1995

- To be reprinted in Chris William Sanchirico, ed., *Economics of Evidence*, *Procedure*, *and Litigation*, in Edward Elgar series, *Economic Approaches to Law*, Richard Posner and Francesco Parisi, series eds.
- 46. "Are Fine and Prison Terms Used Efficiently?: Evidence on Federal Fraud Offenders," *Journal of Law and Economics*, April 1995
 - To be reprinted in Isaac Ehrlich and Zhiqiang, eds., *The Economics of Crime*, in Edward Elgar series, *The International Library of Critical Writings in Economics*, Mark Blaug, ed.
- 47. "The Effects of Criminality and Conviction on the Labor Market Status of Young British Offenders," (with Daniel Nagin) *International Review of Law and Economics*, 1995
- 48. "Measuring the Effects of Restructuring on Corporate Performance: The Case of Management Buyouts," (with Scott Smart), *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 1994
- 49. "A Market Test for Race Discrimination in Bail Setting," (with Ian Ayres), *Stanford Law Review*, May 1994
 - Reprinted in Ian Ayres, *Pervasive Prejudice?*, Univ. of Chicago Press, 2001
- 50. "Does Conviction Have A Persistent Effect on Income and Employment?," *International Review of Law and Economics*, March 1994
- 51. "The Effect of Criminal Conviction on Income and the 'Trust Reposed in the Workmen'," *Journal of Human Resources*, Winter 1994
- 52. "The Deadweight Loss of Christmas," American Economic Review, December 1993
 - Reprinted in Caroline Kennedy, A Family Christmas, Hyperion Books, 2007.
- 53. "Sentences as Endogenous Taxes: Are they 'Just' or 'Efficient'?," *Journal of Law and Economics*, April 1993
- 54. "Real Interest Rates and the Savings and Loan Crisis: The Moral Hazard Premium," (with John Shoven and Scott Smart), Spring 1992, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*

Other Publications (Chapters, etc.)

55. "Who Benefits Whom in the Neighborhood? Demographics and Retail Product Geography" in Edward Glaeser, *Agglomeration Economics*, University of Chicago Press, 2010.

- 56. "National Media and Local Political Participation: The Case of the New York Times" (with Lisa George), in *Information and Public Choice: From Media Markets to Policymaking*, The World Bank.
- 57. "Minority-Targeted Local Media and Voter Turnout: A Summary." in *Information and Public Choice: From Media Markets to Policymaking*, The World Bank.
- 58. "Should We Regulate Media Ownership?" in *Media Diversity and Localism: Meaning and Metrics*, 2006.
- 59. "The Selection of Cases for Trial," The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics and *the Law*, May 1998
- 60. "Tax Policy, Saving, and Pension Funding," in *Pensions, Savings, and Capital Markets*, U.S. Dept. of Labor, 1996.
- 61. "Criminal Sentences: Are they Just or Efficient?," Economic Times, Spring 1995
- 62. "Which Flowers Will Bloom? Reactions to the USSC Research Conference," *Federal Sentencing Reporter*, July/August 1993.
- 63. "Guest Editor's Observations: Narrow Questions, Overstated Answers," *Federal Sentencing Reporter*, Nov./Dec. 1992./
- 64. "Aggregate Inter-Judge Disparity in Federal Sentencing: Evidence from Three Districts," *Federal Sentencing Reporter*, Nov./Dec. 1991

Active Working Papers

- 65. "Bye, Bye, Miss American Pie: The Supply of New Recorded Music Since Napster." The Carlson School, University of Minnesota, January 3, 2011.
- 66. "File Sharing in Music and Movies: Empirics" to be a chapter in the Oxford Handbook of the Digital Economy, Martin Peitz and Joel Waldfogel, eds. The Carlson School, University of Minnesota, September 2010.
- 67. "Pop Internationalism: Has A Half Century of World Music Trade Displaced Local Culture?" (with Fernando Ferreira), NBER Working Paper 15964, May 2010.
- 68. "Movie Piracy and Sales Displacement in a Sample of Chinese College Students." (with Jie Bai), The Wharton School, June 2009.

- 69. "Public Monopoly and Social Efficiency: Evidence from the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board's Entry Decisions." (with Katja Seim), NBER Working paper 16258, August 2010.
- 70. "The Challenge of Revenue Sharing with Bundled Song Pricing." (with Ben Shiller), The Wharton School, September 2008.

Inactive Working Papers

- 71. "Does Misery Love Company? Evidence from Pharmaceutical Markets before and After the Orphan Drug Act" (with Frank Lichtenberg). Mimeo. The Wharton School, July 2002.
- 72. "The Long Run Effect of Price Advertising on Prices" (with Jeff Milyo), mimeo, The Wharton School, January 2001
- 73. "Do Noncommercial Hospitals Cannibalize Commercial Hospitals or Serve the Medically Needy?" (with Douglas Leslie), mimeo, The Wharton School, February 1998
- 74. "Do Sentencing Guidelines Raise the Cost of Punishment?" (with Jose Meade), NBER Working Paper 6361, January 1998
- 75. "A Citation-Based Test for Discrimination at Economics and Finance Journals," (with Scott Smart), NBER working paper 5460, February 1996
- 76. "Bargaining in the Shadow of the Judge," (with Orley Ashenfelter), mimeo, Princeton University, February 1993

Reports

- 77. "Consumer Substitution among Media" (Washington, DC: FCC Media Ownership Working Group), October 2002
- 78. "Conviction and Labor Market Outcomes: the Existing Literature and its Possible Relevance to Developing Countries," prepared for The World Bank, October, 1997

Doctoral Students Advised

Wharton

Member, Ben Shiller

Chair, Brett Danaher, Wellesley College.

Member, David Song

Member, Leslie Schafer, PricewaterhouseCoopers.

Member, Ted Goodman, University of Arizona

Member, Gilbert Gimm, Mathematica

Member, Hart Posen, University of Michigan

Chair, Mike Gessner. Economic Analysis LLC.

Member, Ted Buckley, McKinsey

Member, Mike Furukawa, Arizona State Univ..

Member, Gus DeFranco, 2004, Univ. of Toronto, Accounting

Chair, Lisa George, 2001, Hunter College

Member, Phanish Puranam, 2001, London Business School

Member, Kate Bundorf, 2000, Stanford Medical School

Member, Kevin Volpp, 1998, Penn Medical School

Member, Linda Bornyaz, 1998

Yale

Chair, Jose Meade, 1997, ITAM

Chair, Doug Leslie, 1997, Veteran's Administration

Member, Jessica Holmes, 1998, Middlebury College

Member, Kristin Mancini, 1997,

Member, David Popp, 1997, Syracuse University

Member, Peter Siegelman, 1991, University of Connecticut Law School

Member, Emmanuel Thorne, 1992

Recent Seminars

2010/2011

- Technology Policy Institute Aspen Forum, Aspen Colorado, August 2010
- NYU Stern Economics Group, October 2010
- Economics of Media Conference, Hunter College, October 2010
- University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Economics, November 2010
- FCC, December 2010
- Curb Center, Washington DC, December 2010
- Keynote speaker, WISE 2010, St Louis, December 2010

2009/2010

- University of Illinois, September 2009
- University of Texas, McCombs, October 2009
- Ohio State Economics, December 2009
- London Business School, December 2009
- London School of Economics (public talk), December 2009
- Royal Society for the Arts (public talk), London, December 2009

- University of Minnesota, Carlson School, January 2010
- Carnegie Mellon, Heinz College, February 2010
- NBER Winter IO Meetings, Palo Alto, February 2010
- Invited Speaker, Society for Economic Research on Copyright Issues (SERCI) Congress, Cartagena, Colombia, July 2010

2008/2009

- LSE, June 2009
- Invited Speaker, TILEC (Tilburg) conference on law and economics of media, June 2009
- TPRC, Washington DC, September 2008
- INFORMS, Washington DC, October 2008
- QME, New York, October 2008
- Ohio State University, October 2008
- University of Michigan, November 2008
- Kellogg, Northwestern, December 2008

2007/2008

- Conference on Media Economics, Bologna, October 2007
- Keynote Speaker, Economics Network for Competition and Regulation (ENCORE), May 2008, Hilversum, the Netherlands
- Invited Speaker, Economics of Information and Communication Technologies, ZEW, July 2008, Mannheim, Germany
- Symposium on Statistical Challenges in Electronic Commerce, NYU, May 2008.
- NBER Summer Institute IO, July 2008.

2005/2006

- NBER Summer Institute IO (discussant)
- NYU IO Day
- Fundacion Ramon Areces (Madrid)
- University of Chicago GSB
- AEA Meetings, Boston (Presenter: Urban Economics, Internet and Entertainment Industries; Discussant: Innovation)
- St. Johns Law School, Media Diversity Conference
- Wharton, Mack Center Mini-Conference, Discussant

2004/2005 Seminars

- Yale University Econ/SOM
- USDA Product Differentiation Conference
- Harvard Business School Strategy Group
- Cornell Economics
- Berkeley/Haas
- NBER Urban Economics Conference
- Jan 2005 AEA Meetings (discussant)

- European Center for Advanced Research in Economics and Statistics, Belgium
- ENST, France

2003/2004 Seminars

- NYU IO day
- Syracuse
- Dartmouth/Tuck
- Brookings Institution
- Wharton Decision Processes seminar
- Stanford Graduate School of Business (Econ & Political Economy Seminars)
- Wharton Management Department, Evolution of Organizations and Industries Seminar
- Ford Foundation Media Conference at Fordham Univ.
- Wharton Marketing Seminar
- NBER IO Winter Meetings (discussant)
- University of Toronto, Rotman School
- Kellogg
- Wharton Management Strategy and the Business Environment, discussant
- Columbia University media ownership conference
- Wharton Summer Applied Economics Seminar
- NBER Law & Econ Summer Institute (scheduled)
- NBER Innovation Summer Institute (discussant, scheduled)
- NBER IO Summer Institute (discussant, scheduled)

2002/2003 Seminars

- Speaker at University of Pennsylvania Economics Day
- Panel Member, Mediatank Public Forum on Media Ownership in Philadelphia with FCC Commissioner Michael J. Copps (May 7th, 2003)
- Wharton Summer Applied Economics Seminar
- Virginia Econ
- Carnegie Mellon University Strategy Seminar
- MIT Econ
- NBER Winter Industrial Organization Meetings, Stanford

2001/2002 Seminars

- Harvard University Econ
- George Mason University
- Rutgers University Econ
- NYU (Stern)
- University of Pennsylvania (Wharton)
- Dartmouth (Tuck and Econ)
- NBER Innovation Summer Institute

2000/2001 Seminars

- 28th Annual Telecommunications Policy Research Conference
- University of Wisconsin, Madison, Economics Department
- Stanford GSB
- Duke Fuqua
- Washington Univ (Olin)
- Michigan State Univ Econ Dept.
- Univ of Illinois Econ Dept.
- Brandeis University Economics Dept.
- Cal Berkeley (Haas)

Other Professional Activities

Referee, American Economic Review; American Journal of Political Science; Journal of Law and Economics; International Review of Law and Economics; National Tax Journal; Journal of Political Economy; Quarterly Journal of Economics; Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization; Journal of Industrial Economics; Management Science; Journal of Labor Economics; Journal of Economic Education; Journal of Policy Analysis and Management; RAND Journal of Economics.

Personal

Married to Mary J. Benner; two children (born 12/93 and 2/96)

University of Pennsylvania Service

2008/2009

- Member, Planning Committee, Penn Program on Democracy, Citizenship, and Constitutionalism
- Member, Wharton School Publishing Editorial Board
- Member, Wharton School Committee of Faculty Attraction and Retention
- Chair, Business and Public Policy Search Committee

2007/2008

- Chair, Marketing Department Quinquennial Review Committee
- Member, Wharton School Faculty Survey Steering Committee
- Member, Planning Committee, Penn Program on Democracy, Citizenship, and Constitutionalism

2004/2005

- Chair, Wharton Undergraduate Curriculum Review Committee
- University of Pennsylvania, Council of Graduate Faculties, member
- Wharton Doctoral Executive Committee, member
- Co-Organizer, Wharton Scholars Undergraduate Research Program

2003/2004

• Wharton Applied Economics Seminar, co-organizer

- Chair, Business and Public Policy Doctoral Admissions Committee
- Member, BPUB Q-Review Committee
- Business and Public Policy Search Committee, Chair
- University of Pennsylvania, Council of Graduate Faculties, member
- Wharton Doctoral Executive Committee, member
- Co-Organizer, Wharton Scholars Undergraduate Research Program

2002/2003

- Wharton Personnel Committee, member
- Wharton Doctoral Executive Committee, member
- Wharton Applied Economics Seminar, organizer
- Business and Public Policy Doctoral Coordinator
- Business and Public Policy Search Committee, Chair
- University of Pennsylvania, Council of Graduate Faculties, member
- University of Pennsylvania, Committee on Doctoral Degree Requirements, Rules and Regulations, member

2001/2002

- Wharton Personnel Committee, member
- Wharton Doctoral Executive Committee, member
- Wharton Applied Economics Seminar, co-organizer
- Business and Public Policy Doctoral Coordinator
- University of Pennsylvania, Council of Graduate Faculties, member
- University of Pennsylvania, Strategic Planning Committee (Doctoral Education)

1999/2000

- Wharton Research Policy Committee, member
- Wharton Applied Economics Seminar, co-organizer
- Public Policy and Management Ph.D. Program Committee
- Wharton Ad Hoc Committee on the Doctoral Program, chair
- Wharton Summer Applied Economics Seminar, founder and organizer

1998/1999

- Wharton MBA Curriculum Review Committee, member
- Wharton Research Policy Committee, member
- Wharton Applied Economics Seminar, co-organizer
- Public Policy and Management Ph.D. Program Committee
- Wharton Summer Applied Economics Seminar, founder and organizer

1997/1998

- Wharton MBA Curriculum Review Committee, member
- Public Policy and Management Ph.D. Program Committee
- Wharton Summer Applied Economics Seminar, founder and organizer

Appendix B

Cases in which I've provided testimony in the past four years

Distribution of the 2004 and 2005 Cable Royalty Funds (Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005)

Appendix C

Materials Relied Upon

Documents Cited

Legal Documents

Deposition of Daniel Clancy, June 1, 2012.

Deposition of Frederic L. Haber, June 4, 2012.

Public Documents

"After Strong 2011, Twitter Ad Revenues to Grow 86% to \$259 Million in 2012," eMarketer Digital Intelligence, January 31, 2012, available at http://www.emarketer.com/PressRelease.aspx?R=1008806 (accessed June 20, 2012).

"Amazon.com Announces Sales Impact from New Search Inside the Book Feature," Business Wire October 30, 2003.

Bloomberg LP.

Carnegie Mellon University, "Online Library Gives Readers Access to 1.5 million Books," available at http://www.cmu.edu/news/archive/2007/November/nov27 ulib.shtml (accessed June 18, 2012).

David Fuegi Martin Jennings, "International library statistics: trends and commentary based on the Libecon data. Library services statistics in Europe and beyond," LIBECON. 30 June, 2004.

Denise Troll Covey, "Global Cooperation for Global Access: The Million Book Project," (2004). Library Research and Publications,. Paper 41, http://repository.cmu.edu/lib science/41.

Jennifer Howard, "Google Begins to Scale Back Its Scanning of Books From University Libraries," the Chronicle of Higher Education (March 9, 2012). Available at http://chronicle.com/article/Google-Begins-to-Scale-Back/131109/.

Jeremy York, "HathiTrust Overview," MichALL Spring Meeting, May 18, 2012.

Joel Waldfogel, "Lost on the Web: Does Web Distribution Stimulate or Depress Television Viewing?" Information Economics and Policy 21 (2009).

Joel Waldfogel, "Music File Sharing and Sales Displacement in the iTunes Era," Information Economics and Policy 22 (2010).

Judy Luther & Maureen C. Kelly, "The Next Generation of Discovery," Library Journal, March 15, 2011.

Kevin Kelly, "Scan This Book," New York Times (May 14, 2006).

Letter from Constance K. Lundberg and Laureen C. Urquiaga, Brigham Young University, to Jule L. Sigall, U.S. Copyright Office, "Re: Orphan Works and Research Libraries and Archives" (March 22, 2005).

Letter from Denise Troll Covey, Carnegie Mellon University to Jule L. Sigall, U.S. Copyright Office, "Re: Response to Notice of Inquire about Orphan Works, Federal Register (January 26, 2005), Vol. 70, No. 16: 3739-3743" (March 22, 2005).

Letter from Sarah E. Thomas, Cornell University, to Jule L. Sigall, U.S. Copyright Office, "Re: Response by the Cornell University Library to the Notice of Inquiry Concerning Orphan Works, 70 FR 3739 January 26, 2005" (March 23, 2005).

Marshall Breeding, "Automation Marketplace 2011: The New Frontier." Library Journal, Apr 1, 2011.

Morningstar, Ibbotson Cost of Capital 2010 Yearbook, Chicago: Morningstar, Inc. (2010).

OCLC, "The Benefits of OCLC's Platform Strategy for Publishers and Content Providers," available at http://www.oclc.org/services/brochures/214567bUSF Publisher Benefits of Platform Strategy.pdf.

Richard A. Brealey, Stewart C. Myers, and Franklin Allen, Principles of Corporate Finance (9th Ed.) Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin, 2008.

Rafael Rob and Joel Waldfogel, "Piracy on the High C's: Downloading, Sales, and Social Welfare in a Sample of College Students," Journal of Law and Economics XLIX (April 2006).

Ronda Rowe. "Web-Scale Discovery: A Review of Summon ,EBSCO Discovery Service, and WorldCat Local" The Charleston Advisor, / April 2010 www.charlestonco.com 5. doi:10.5260/chara.12.1.5 Date of Review: June 10, 2010.

Shayndi Raice, "Days of Wild User Growth Appear Over at Facebook," The Wall Street Journal, June 11, 2012, available at

http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702303296604577454970244896342.html (accessed June 26, 2012).

Stan J. Liebowitz, "The Metric is the Message: How Much of the Decline in Sound Recording Sales is Due to File-Sharing?" Unpublished paper, (December 2011).

Websites

ALA Library Fact Sheet 1,

https://www.ala.org/ala/professionalresources/libfactsheets/alalibraryfactsheet01.cfm.

Alexa, http://www.alexa.com/.

Alexa, About Alexa Traffic Rankings, http://www.alexa.com/help/traffic-learn-more.

Amazon, Look Inside the Book Program,

http://www.amazon.com/gp/help/customer/display.html?ie=UTF8&nodeId=14061791.

Bound Book Scanning, http://boundbookscanning.com/.

HathiTrust, http://www.hathitrust.org/.

OCLC, WorldCat Local, http://www.oclc.org/worldcatlocal/default.htm.

Project Gutenberg, http://www.gutenberg.org/.

The World Bank, Data, GDP, http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD/countries.

Universal Library, About Us, http://www.ulib.org/ULIBAboutUs.htm.

Universal Library, Donations, http://www.ulib.org/ULIBDonations.htm.