UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
•	
X	
YOEL WEISSHAUS,	
Plaintiff,	
i iuiitiii,	11 Civ. 6616 (RKE)
• ,	11 CIV. 0010 (KKL)
-against-	
	<u>ORDER</u>
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK	
AND NEW JERSEY,	
,	
Defendant.	
Defendant.	
X	

## <u>ORDER</u>

Upon consideration of Plaintiff's motion to compel, ECF No. 92, Defendant's opposition to Plaintiff's motion and its cross-motion for a protective order, ECF Nos. 94-96, and all other papers and proceedings had herein, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that, in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 33, Defendant's answers to Plaintiff's interrogatories numbered 1-19 are due by January 4, 2021; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Defendant need not answer Plaintiff's interrogatories 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24, as these are clearly outside the scope of the sole issue remaining this case, that is, the setting of tolls to fund projects unconnected to the Port Authority's interdependent transportation system (ITN); and it is further

**ORDERED** that, when answering the interrogatories, Defendant may object to any questions in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and make use of the answers found in its declaration, ECF No. 95; and it is further

**ORDERED** that, in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 30(b)(6), Defendant shall designate a

No. 11-cv-6616 Page 2

witness to be deposed on behalf of the organization. While it would be remarkable if Defendant

finds itself unable to comply with the order because it determines that a witness cannot be

designated because of unavailability or any other reason, Defendant shall support this contention

with an affidavit of an officer of the Port Authority stating the facts relating to its inability to

comply; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Court reserves judgment on all other matters contained in Plaintiff's

motion to compel, Defendant's opposition to Plaintiff's motion, and Defendant's cross-motion for

a protective order. The parties should anticipate a telephone scheduling conference with the Court

following Defendant's submission of responses to Plaintiff's interrogatories.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Richard K. Eaton

Richard K. Eaton

U.S.D.J., by Designation

Dated: December 10, 2020

New York, New York