1

| | D89ECAPC | |
|--------|--|----------------|
| 1 2 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK x | |
| 3 | CAPITOL RECORDS, LLC, | |
| 4 | Plaintiff, | |
| 5 | v. | 12 CV 95(RJS) |
| 6 | REDIGI INC., | |
| 7 | Defendant. | |
| 8 | X | |
| 9 | | August 9, 2013 |
| 10 | | 10:11 a.m. |
| 11 | Defense | |
| 12 | Before: | T 7 7 N 1 |
| 13 | HON. RICHARD J. SULL | |
| 14 | | District Judge |
| 15 | APPEARANCES | |
| 16 | COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 17 | BY: RICHARD MANDEL JONATHAN KING | |
| 18 | DAVIS SHAPIRO & LEWIT LLP Attorneys for Defendant | |
| 19 | BY: GARY ADELMAN SARAH MATZ | |
| 20 | SARAN MAIZ | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| | D89ECAPC | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | (In robing room) | |
| 2 | (Case called) | |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. We're here in connection with | |
| 4 | the plaintiff's contemplated well, I guess their | |
| 5 | contemplated motion to amend. So I've gotten letters from both | |
| 6 | of you on this. And there's a couple of issues. | |
| 7 | In terms of amending out certain claims, I assume you | |
| 8 | don't care? | |
| 9 | MR. ADELMAN: Nope. | |
| 10 | THE COURT: So that's fine. | |
| 11 | In terms of the additional song titles, I think we | |
| 12 | certainly contemplated that. And I think, you know, certainly | |
| 13 | with respect to reproduction, that that's I mean, that's | |
| 14 | what the whole opinion I wrote was about. So I don't think it | |
| 15 | all turns on distribution, which is what was allowed for the | |
| 16 | focus of your letter. | |
| 17 | MR. ADELMAN: Correct. | |
| 18 | THE COURT: I just want to be clear. You're talking | |
| 19 | about adding song titles of recordings that were reproduced | |
| 20 | into the cloud and then offered for sale? | |
| 21 | MR. MANDEL: Correct. | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Not merely ones that may have been | |
| 23 | reproduced by a Redigi customer who wanted to just use it for | |
| 24 | storage? | |
| 25 | MR. MANDEL: Correct. Every one of the recordings was | |
| | | |

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

offered for sale, according to defendant.

THE COURT: So I think that's fair game here. If it was the other, I think there's a fair use. There would be a fair use defense. I don't have a rule on that, but I think it will be if we just store it, I don't think that -- reproduction is probably not appropriate in this case. But for what you just said, then I think then I'm inclined to allow it. I think that is fair game, in light of my opinion.

And then the last bit is with respect to amending to name two new defendants, individual defendants. And so let's think about this. I do think that my opinion referenced these individuals in some cases explicitly. So I think that there's reason to believe that these guys could be added, and there could be liability against them. I don't know if there needs to be additional facts developed, though. I mean, if amending to add them is not going to require any additional discovery, then I think I probably will allow it. But if it's going to require additional discovery, then I'm not so sure.

19

MR. MANDEL: We don't --

THE COURT: You don't think it will?

MR. MANDEL: We don't think so. We think that the 22 evidence we've gotten from the depositions as to their 23 participation, some of which is even referenced in your Honor's 24 summary judgment opinion, is sufficient to establish 25 individual.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 THE COURT: Yes, no question about that. Do you think
2 it's going to need more discovery?

MR. ADELMAN: No, I do not.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's -- I mean, I appreciate your candor, then. Then I think I am going to allow it. This will, of course, lead to, I'm assuming, an inevitable second summary judgment motion with respect to the individuals, right?

MR. MANDEL: We could talk about that. I mean, I guess that entitles him to sort of where we'd go from here.

11 THE COURT: Where are we going from here? Let's put 12 on our practical shoes.

MR. MANDEL: You know, we'd like to get to trial.

THE COURT: Trial on damages?

MR. MANDEL: On damages. So, I mean, we'd be prepared to try the issue of their individual liability without doing a separate summary judgment motion because, I mean, I think legally, in terms of the infringement being established, I don't think there's going to be any question under the opinion. So the only issue is going to be --

21 THE COURT: You'd be moving basically for a directed 22 verdict after you closed.

23 MR. MANDEL: I think the only defense that could 24 potentially be available is that somehow they don't have enough 25 personal involvement to be individually liable. And, frankly,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I mean, I think we probably are entitled to summary judgment on that, but, you know, to move things along, we could try that I think, you know, at the same time.

THE COURT: Is there a dispute on the damages?

MR. MANDEL: I think there's a dispute as to the amount, because we're seeking statutory damages and, you know, so I guess --

THE COURT: Well, you're seeking statutory damages, and so that is just a number of song titles and the number of infringing acts, I guess, right?

MR. MANDEL: Right. There's the issue of how many, you know, works are involved, and then there's the range of damages that it's in the Court's discretion to award.

One of the things I think we've been thinking about -and, you know, we're reading in Professor Nimmer's treatise that for statutory damages, sometimes these things can be done on affidavits, and it doesn't require as much of a full-blown procedure. I mean, we'd be open to talking about whether a paper trial to set the damages is appropriate, because, frankly, I don't think the facts are much in dispute. I think we've got --

22 THE COURT: That's what it seems to me. I mean, you'd 23 want to appeal this thing, obviously.

24 MR. ADELMAN: Correct. However, just to address that 25 one thing, our clients definitely want to have their say in

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

court as to damages. It's not as cut and dry as Mr. Mandel is
 saying.

THE COURT: I'm not assuming it's cut and dry, but --MR. ADELMAN: There are definitely factors involved in the --

THE COURT: Bench trial or jury trial?

MR. ADELMAN: I would have to discuss that with my client, but I think they wanted a jury trial. But I'll --

MR. MANDEL: I mean, well, it is a bench trial as to Redigi, because there's never been a jury demand. I guess as to the individual defendants who were just coming in, I suppose they would have a right to a jury trial, you know. So I don't know how that would complicate things.

THE COURT: That's interesting. That might actually factor into whether you want to -- since he just indicated he thinks probably a jury trial.

MR. ADELMAN: I mean, I've never actually had that conversation with the clients because, obviously, we just got the amended complaint. It wasn't one of the top level things that they were concerned about at the time.

THE COURT: I mean, look, I think it's just worth thinking about. I think, you know, it would be an interesting trial, but it's a trial really about damages, unless you amend and decide to forego summary judgment.

MR. MANDEL: I mean, I guess if they're going to seek

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

1 a jury trial on the individuals, we probably would want to move 2 for summary judgment on the individual liability. I was 3 assuming the whole thing was going to be a bench trial because 4 Redigi hadn't sought a jury trial and --5 THE COURT: So that might be worth chatting about.

MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I think that's a good idea.

THE COURT: Obviously it's your client's call, but I think that's worth thinking about. So I am going to allow you then to amend. I don't think there's any reason not to.

MR. MANDEL: Is the procedure then should we file -- I think we have to make a couple of corrections to the recording list, so can we just do that and then file an amended complaint.

THE COURT: Let's set a date by which you can do that. When do you want to do it by?

16 MR. MANDEL: We can probably do it by the end of next 17 week.

18 MR. KING: The only caveat I make is that you had some 19 further information for me about --

20 MR. ADELMAN: Why don't you give us a little more 21 time.

22 MR. KING: It was logistically a nightmare, weaving 23 through which tracks had been uploaded.

THE COURT: How much time?

MR. KING: If we get that list, what do you need, two

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

weeks? MR. AI

MR. ADELMAN: In all candor, so my client is actively seeking an answer to some of the questions that the plaintiff has asked. And he actually e-mailed me last night and asked them to give him another week. So I think that maybe a week after that.

MR. MANDEL: Could we maybe say the end of August?

THE COURT: End of August is fine. Why don't we do this, then: You're going to file your amended complaint by the end of August. And then can I give you a date in September by which you folks send me a joint letter telling me how you want to proceed on jury versus bench, whether there's going to be motions for summary judgment. Those are really the issues I think, right? Anything else?

MR. MANDEL: I guess the only other issue would be if there is some openness to doing a sort of paper trial instead of a full-blown --

THE COURT: A bench trial would be -- I think my rules for a bench trial are generally more of a paper trial, where I don't do directs. The directs would be on the affidavits.

MR. MANDEL: Okay.

THE COURT: Stipulate to all the exhibits you want, and so that would be much faster.

24 MR. MANDEL: And then there's just cross-examination. 25 THE COURT: Cross-examination for -- if you want it.

1

14

15

16

19

20

21

You don't have to do it.

2 What I generally require is identifying your witnesses 3 that you intend to rely on. Put in your cases in chief. And 4 then the other side looks at that list and says, okay, I'm 5 going to want to cross him, him and him. And often it is the 6 case that, you know, there might be 12 witnesses that I'm going 7 to hear from on affidavits, but only three crosses, because most of the declarations are not really contested. So that 8 9 would be more efficient. Not as fun, frankly. Juries are fun, 10 but more work for me, because I have to write. But, you know, 11 at this stage it probably makes more sense that you're seeking 12 statutory damages. 13 In any event, your call. Just let me know, then. Ιf

In any event, your call. Just let me know, then. If I then ask you to get back to me by September 15th, two weeks after the complaint --

THE LAW CLERK: That's a Sunday.

17 THE COURT: 16th. It's great that she's got amazing18 knowledge of the calendar.

MR. KING: And that's for --

THE COURT: Christmas, 2016?

THE LAW CLERK: Friday.

22 MR. KING: So you want us to propose a procedure for 23 how we take care of all this?

THE COURT: Just tell me how you want to do it. I think it will make it easier at that stage. Depending on what

you tell me, then I'll issue an order that sets a schedule. 1 2 Tell me how long you think the trial will be, and that will 3 turn on what kind of a trial. And you might want to propose dates, though I'll tell you, I have a very jam-packed fall. 4 So 5 I'd love to get this done before the end of the year. And my 6 hunch is that some of the trials that I've got scheduled will 7 go away, but at least for now everybody is insisting that they're going. So I only have probably a few open days here 8 9 and there. 10 So let me know what dates you would propose. I'll see 11 how that jives with my schedule, then I'll issue an order setting a date and setting dates for submissions. 12 13 MR. MANDEL: That sounds good. 14 THE COURT: So the 16th for that letter, and 15 August 31st. 16 THE LAW CLERK: Saturday. 17 THE COURT: It's a Saturday. She's like Rain Man. So August 30th, then you'll file the complaint. All 18 19 right. So that sounds like a plan. 20 Anything else we should talk about today? 21 MR. MANDEL: I don't think so. 22 THE COURT: No? All right. Great. Well, it is good 23 to see you. I did certainly enjoy this case. I have enjoyed 24 The lawyering has been very good, and it's certainly an it. 25 intriguing set of issues.

| | D89ECAPC |
|----|--|
| 1 | Good to see you. Enjoy the rest of the summer. Let |
| 2 | me thank the court reporter, as always. If anybody needs a |
| 3 | copy of the transcript, you can take that up with her now. I |
| 4 | guess we have a little bit of time. |
| 5 | (Adjourned) |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |