EXHIBIT 1

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Docur	ment 1	Filed 01/06/12	Page 1 of 25	
DIAGE SULLIVAN	64 A	9 mm	nnn	P
COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C. 1133 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-6799	<u>. R</u> , 6		いいび	Ĩ
(212) 790-9200			MEA.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Attorneys for Plaintiff CAPITOL RECORDS, LLC			PEGI	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	L	U.S.D.C.S CASHII	D. N.Y.
CAPITOL RECORDS, LLC,	:	Civil Act	ion No.	
Plaintiff,	:			
-against-	:	<u>COMPL</u>	AINT	
REDIGI INC.,	:			
Defendant.	:			
	: x			

Plaintiff Capitol Records, LLC ("Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, as and for its Complaint, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action is for copyright infringement, contributory copyright infringement, vicarious copyright infringement, and inducement of copyright infringement under the United States Copyright Act, as well as common law copyright infringement under the law of the State of New York, all arising from Defendant's willful and systematic infringement of Plaintiff's sound recordings.

2. Defendant owns and operates the "ReDigi" music service, which holds itself out as the first online marketplace for "used" or "recycled" digital music files. With a stated purpose of helping consumers buy and sell "used" digital music files, ReDigi makes and assists its users in making systematic, repeated and unauthorized reproductions and distributions of Plaintiff's

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 2 of 25

copyrighted sound recordings. ReDigi's website states that it uploads copies of those recordings to its service for interested "sellers," and then downloads copies for and to interested buyers. During this process, ReDigi builds a user base and earns profit from its infringing conduct.

3. ReDigi additionally streams 30 second clips of each recording to interested shoppers and allows them to store those clips on their hard drives, all without Plaintiff's authorization or approval. ReDigi also displays throughout its site unauthorized copies of cover artwork Plaintiff owns and uses in connection with its sound recordings.

4. While ReDigi touts its service as the equivalent of a used record store, that analogy is inapplicable: used record stores do not make copies to fill their shelves. ReDigi is actually a clearinghouse for copyright infringement and a business model built on widespread, unauthorized copying of sound recordings owned by Plaintiff and others. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit to halt Defendant's ongoing infringement of Plaintiff's copyrighted works and to recover damages for the harm caused by Defendant's activities.

THE PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Capitol Records, LLC ("Capitol") is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 150 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10011.

 Upon information and belief, Defendant ReDigi Inc. ("Defendant" or "ReDigi") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at Cambridge Innovation Ctr., 14th
Floor, 1 Broadway, Cambridge, MA 02142.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This civil action seeks injunctive relief and damages for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 <u>et seq.</u>, and for common law copyright infringement under New York law with respect to Plaintiff's sound recordings fixed prior to February 15,

-2-

1972.

8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright claims under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), and has supplemental jurisdiction over the related state law cause of action under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), inasmuch as that claim is so related to the federal claims as to form part of the same case or controversy.

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over ReDigi because, on information and belief, ReDigi transacts business in New York State and has committed tortious acts both within and outside New York causing injury in New York.

10. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and1400(a).

FACTS

Plaintiff's Business

11. Plaintiff is a well known record company engaged in the business of producing, manufacturing, distributing, selling, licensing and facilitating the distribution and sale of sound recordings in the United States. Plaintiff's reputation as a producer of sound recordings of high artistic and technical quality is known in New York, and throughout both the United States and the world.

12. Plaintiff is the copyright owner or owner of exclusive rights (by way of agreement) with respect to an extensive and diverse catalog of sound recordings, including those of such famous recording artists as Coldplay, Norah Jones, Katy Perry and Lily Allen, to name just some. Under the Copyright Act, Plaintiff has the exclusive rights, among other things, to "reproduce the copyrighted work[s]," "distribute copies or phonorecords of the copyrighted work[s] to the public," and "perform the copyrighted work[s] publicly by means of a digital

-3-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 4 of 25

audio transmission," as well as to authorize or license others to engage in such activities. 17 U.S.C. § 106.

13. Additionally, Plaintiff has entered into various agreements by which it obtained the common law copyrights in sound recordings embodying certain performances that were initially "fixed" prior to February 15, 1972 (the "Pre-1972 Recordings"). These performances are subject to protection under state law rather than federal copyright law, and the Copyright Act cannot be used to "annul[] or limit[]" those rights "until February 15, 2067." 17 U.S.C. § 301(c). Pursuant to these agreements and New York common law, Plaintiff possesses, among other things, the exclusive and complete rights to manufacture, reproduce, distribute, sell and perform the Pre-1972 Recordings.

14. In addition to manufacturing, distributing, selling and licensing phonorecords in the form of CDs, cassettes and other tangible media, Plaintiff also distributes and licenses its sound recordings in the form of digital audio files, which are marketed and distributed online, and delivered to the consumer via the Internet. Legitimate avenues for the digital distribution of music exist through authorized services, such as Apple's iTunes and Amazon's MP3 Music Service, which provide these sound recordings for consumers pursuant to agreements that the services negotiated with Plaintiff.

15. Plaintiff has invested and continues to invest significant money, time, effort and creative talent to discover and develop recording artists, and to create, manufacture, advertise, promote, sell and license sound recordings embodying the performances of its exclusive recording artists. Plaintiff, its recording artists and others in the music industry are compensated for their creative efforts and monetary investments largely from the sale and distribution of their sound recordings to the public, and from other exploitation of such sound recordings.

-4-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 5 of 25

16. A non-exhaustive, illustrative list of Plaintiff's federally copyrighted sound recordings and associated artwork that have been illegally reproduced, distributed and/or performed or displayed by or for users of Defendant's ReDigi service is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiff has received Certificates of Copyright Registration from the Register of Copyrights for these copyrighted sound recordings.

17. A non-exhaustive, illustrative list of Pre-1972 Recordings in which Plaintiff holds exclusive rights under New York and other state laws and which have been illegally reproduced, distributed and/or performed by or for users of Defendant's ReDigi service is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

Defendant and its Illegal Business

18. Upon information and belief, Defendant owns and operates the ReDigi website and service located at <u>www.redigi.com</u>. Newly launched and apparently still in a test and "inventory build" phase, ReDigi styles itself as "the world's first and only online marketplace for used digital music" and boasts that its service allows users to "buy used digital music from others at a fraction of the price currently available on iTunes."

19. Although the ReDigi website cryptically claims that its "genius" is "to facilitate the transfer of a digital music file from one user to another without copying or file sharing," the entire service and business model are predicated upon making and assisting users in making multiple, unauthorized copies, distributions, and performances of sound recordings owned by Plaintiff and others.

20. ReDigi's pre-launch press release reveals how selling digital music via its service necessarily entails making multiple copies of sound recordings. According to the press release, after downloading ReDigi's proprietary "Music Manager" software, users designate the songs

-5-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 6 of 25

they wish to sell from their desktop computers. "Eligible" tracks are then allegedly removed from the user's computer and "synced" devices, "stored in the ReDigi cloud and offered for sale on ReDigi's website."

21. The track "stored" in and offered to consumers from ReDigi's "cloud" is necessarily a *copy* of the user's original file, which ReDigi purportedly deletes from the user's hard-drive. No tangible, material object is transferred to the ReDigi "cloud"; rather, the user's original file is duplicated and then stored by the ReDigi service, regardless of whether the user's original file remains on his or her computer or is deleted.

22. A second copy is then made when a ReDigi transaction is consummated. As the press release continues, "When the song is purchased, the track and license will be instantly transferred to its new owner." The so-called sale, in other words, can only be accomplished by the creation and transfer of yet another copy of what was once the original user's digital file.

23. The tutorial video on ReDigi's website homepage similarly highlights the multiple copies that must be made of any sound recording ReDigi either stores or distributes. The video encourages users first to download the "ReDigi Desktop Client" to open a ReDigi account and begin selling "used" digital files. After the user then chooses and confirms the tracks he or she wishes to sell using the software, "ReDigi will *upload your songs for sale* and clean all of those unwanted files off your computer." Uploading, by its very nature, can only be accomplished by making an unauthorized copy of the original user's track. The user does not "sell" that original track but merely agrees to its deletion after it has been copied.

24. The video then continues that interested purchasers can designate songs they wish to buy from any computer, after which those songs are "safely stored in the ReDigi Cloud." Users are urged, "you'll be able to buy a song from any computer, and *download* it later to your

-6-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 7 of 25

computer." Both storage and downloading again presuppose the making of additional copies of the file that resided on the original user's computer.

25. The video betrays another infringing act as well. In promoting the ease of shopping on ReDigi, the tutorial tells users, "To listen to a 30 second clip of a song, drag it to your playbox or click the song. We'll store it in your memory bank, so you know which songs you listened to." In so doing, ReDigi first makes an unauthorized public performance via digital audio transmission and then, upon information and belief, apparently makes yet another copy to "store" in individual users' "memory banks."

26. Throughout its website, ReDigi also displays numerous unauthorized copies of the cover artwork Plaintiff owns and uses in connection with its sound recordings. In so doing, ReDigi further violates Plaintiff's rights of reproduction and display.

27. ReDigi offers its users various incentives to encourage and induce them to participate in the unauthorized reproduction and distribution of Plaintiff's sound recordings. For example, the video tutorial explains that for each song uploaded, a user earns "ReDigi coupons" which can be used to buy additional songs for a "discounted price." Likewise, when those uploaded songs sell, the original user earns ReDigi "credits" that can be applied to the purchase of new songs.

28. Further encouraging infringement, the ReDigi website homepage offers contests and incentives urging users to avail themselves of the service. The homepage promises, "Store or Sell at Least 10 MP3s on the ReDigi Cloud and be Entered to Win" prizes ranging from a Fiat sports car to headphones. Moreover, by simply storing those 10 songs for purposes of later resale, the user is promised, "Get 5 FREE songs just for storing 10 songs on ReDigi."

29. Upon information and belief, in making and encouraging these various

-7-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 8 of 25

unauthorized reproductions, distributions and performances, ReDigi hopes to build a large userbase and corresponding profits. According to a ReDigi spokeswoman quoted in a November 14, 2011 <u>New York Times</u> article, ReDigi sells tracks for approximately 79 cents, and earns a fee of "5 to 15 percent."

30. In short, ReDigi's business model is to build a customer base and earn profits by infringing and encouraging its users to infringe copyrighted sound recordings, including those owned by Plaintiff. Without these infringing acts, ReDigi would have no service to offer.

31. ReDigi seeks to excuse its activities legally in various public statements, but none justify its infringing conduct.

32. ReDigi promises, for example, that its "Verification Engine" analyzes each file uploaded for sale to ensure that the track was "legally downloaded" by the user in the first instance and thus "eligible for sale." Given the widespread piracy of sound recordings on the internet, it is questionable whether ReDigi can effectively determine whether files were lawfully obtained in the first instance.

33. Regardless, many such files, even if lawfully obtained, are restricted from resale, duplication or redistribution by the original vendor. For example, Amazon.com – a common source and likely the origin of many ReDigi uploads – expressly prohibits users of its MP3 Music Service from any redistribution, transfer, or sale of recordings downloaded via that service. Whenever it urges users of this popular vendor to upload their content for resale, ReDigi is encouraging those users to breach their agreements and infringe copyrights.

34. ReDigi's website also boasts that after a file is "verified" for eligibility, ReDigi ensures that the original user will not "willfully use/possess any copies of the sold item," presumably by deleting the original source file from the user's computer and synchronized

-8-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 9 of 25

devices. But even ReDigi's founder has acknowledged publicly that there is no way to ensure absolutely that users are not retaining copies of the files they upload to ReDigi's service, perhaps on other devices or media that ReDigi's software cannot reach. Regardless, even if the source file is effectively deleted from a user's computer, multiple infringing copies of that file must be uploaded and downloaded – copied – for the ReDigi service to function.

35. Finally, ReDigi protests on its website that its service is protected by the "First Sale Doctrine" codified at 17 U.S.C. § 109, which permits "owners of a particular copy or phonorecord lawfully made under this title ... to sell" that copy or phonorecord. ReDigi, however, is not an "owner" of any such lawfully made copy, nor is ReDigi disposing of the actual "particular copy" purchased by a user. Rather, ReDigi and its users are making and distributing unauthorized copies of that original file.

36. The Copyright Act defines "copy" and "phonorecord" as material objects in which a work or sounds are fixed, respectively. Neither ReDigi nor its users resell the original material object that resided on the original user's computer. Rather, in violation of Plaintiff's rights of reproduction, ReDigi and its users duplicate digital files both in uploading and downloading discrete copies distinct from the original file that originally resided on a user's computer.

37. ReDigi compounds that infringement by also performing the digital file publicly via its sound clip feature, storing that sound clip on users' computers, and making unauthorized copies and displays of artwork Plaintiff owns and uses in connection with its sound recordings.

38. Beyond its own infringing conduct, ReDigi materially contributes to and induces infringing conduct by its users. ReDigi knowingly and willfully offers them financial incentives for participating in unauthorized reproductions and distributions of Plaintiff's sound recordings.

-9-

It encourages those users to violate the terms of certain of their original vendor agreements, such as those imposed by Amazon.com, and essentially creates a marketplace where users engage in widespread infringement.

39. ReDigi's aggressive promotion of its service has resulted in many of Plaintiff's best-selling, most valuable sound recordings being infringed via ReDigi. For instance, many of <u>Billboard's</u> "top 100" songs listed as available from ReDigi are owned by Plaintiff.

40. More generally, inspection of ReDigi's website reveals that it is currently offering for "sale" countless sound recordings owned or controlled by Plaintiff, as well as reproducing certain of Plaintiff's copyrighted artwork associated with those recordings. A representative list of some of those federally registered, copyrighted sound recordings and the associated artwork is attached as Exhibit A. A corresponding list of Pre-1972 sound recordings owned by Plaintiff and infringed on ReDigi is attached as Exhibit B.

41. The Recording Industry Association of America ("RIAA"), a trade association whose members, including Plaintiff, create, manufacture and distribute sound recordings legitimately sold in the United States, notified ReDigi in November 2011 that its service violated Plaintiff's and other RIAA members' copyrights and demanded that ReDigi cease and desist from any further infringement.

42. ReDigi has to date refused to halt its infringing conduct.

43. As a result of ReDigi's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has been damaged and has suffered, and continues to suffer, irreparable injury for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT 1 (Copyright Infringement)

44. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-43 above with the same force and effect as if set forth fully herein.

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 11 of 25

45. ReDigi has engaged and continues to engage in the unauthorized reproduction, distribution and public performance of Plaintiff's copyrighted sound recordings and distribution and public display of Plaintiff's associated artwork, including but not limited to those recordings and the associated artwork listed in Exhibit A. As a result, ReDigi is liable for copyright infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction, distribution and performance under 17 U.S.C. § 106.

46. The infringement of Plaintiff's rights in each of its copyrighted sound recordings and the associated artwork constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement.

47. ReDigi's acts of infringement are willful, intentional and purposeful, in disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

48. As a direct and proximate result of ReDigi's infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, Plaintiff is entitled to its actual damages as well as ReDigi's profits from the infringements, as will be proven at trial pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). Alternatively, Plaintiff is entitled to maximum statutory damages, in the amount of \$150,000 per infringement, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), or for such other amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

49. Unless and until ReDigi's conduct is enjoined by this Court, it will continue to cause irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated for or measured in money, and Plaintiff is accordingly also entitled to an injunction pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502 prohibiting further infringement of its exclusive rights under copyright.

Plaintiff is further entitled to attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §
505.

COUNT II (Inducement of Copyright Infringement)

51. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-50 above

-11-

with the same force and effect as if set forth fully herein.

52. ReDigi users have engaged and continue to engage in the unauthorized reproduction and distribution of Plaintiff's copyrighted sound recordings and distribution and public display of Plaintiff's associated artwork, including but not limited to those recordings and the associated artwork listed in Exhibit A. As a result, such users are liable for direct copyright infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution under 17 U.S.C. § 106.

53. Each one of these users' infringing acts has been encouraged and made possible by ReDigi, whose object is to promote the unlawful reproduction and distribution of Plaintiff's and others' sound recordings.

54. ReDigi's inducement of copyright infringement is apparent from its active promotion of its ability to assist users in making unauthorized copies and sales of Plaintiff's and others' sound recordings and associated artwork, and ReDigi's adoption of a business model that depends upon a high volume of infringement to create a user base and generate sales commissions.

55. As a result of the foregoing, ReDigi is liable under the Copyright Act for inducing the infringing acts of its users, in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act.

56. The infringement of Plaintiff's rights in each of its copyrighted sound recordings and associated artwork constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement.

57. Defendant's acts of infringement are willful, intentional and purposeful, in disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

58. As a direct and proximate result of ReDigi's infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages as well as Defendant's profits from the

-12-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 13 of 25

infringements, as will be proven at trial pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). Alternatively, Plaintiff is entitled to maximum statutory damages, in the amount of \$150,000 per infringement, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), or for such other amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

59. Unless and until ReDigi's conduct is enjoined by this Court, it will continue to cause irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated for or measured in money, and Plaintiff is accordingly also entitled to an injunction pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502 prohibiting further infringement of its exclusive rights under copyright.

60. Plaintiff is further entitled to attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

COUNT III (Contributory Copyright Infringement)

61. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-60 above with the same force and effect as if set forth fully herein.

62. ReDigi users have and continue to engage in the unauthorized reproduction and distribution of Plaintiff's copyrighted sound recordings and distribution and public display of Plaintiff's associated artwork, including but not limited to those recordings and the associated artwork listed in Exhibit A. As a result, such users are liable for direct copyright infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution under 17 U.S.C. § 106.

63. ReDigi has actual and constructive knowledge of its users' infringing activity and materially contributes to that activity by promoting its ability to help users make and sell unauthorized copies of sound recordings and artwork, including those owned by Plaintiff. ReDigi provides its users with software to facilitate unauthorized copying, and awards users "coupons" or "credits" towards future purchases every time they upload a song for "sale" or succeed in distributing a track, thus urging and contributing to infringing conduct.

-13-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 14 of 25

64. Moreover, ReDigi was notified of such infringing conduct by the RIAA, but refused to take the necessary action to halt that conduct.

65. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant is liable under the Copyright Act for contributorily infringing Plaintiff's copyrights, in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act.

66. The infringement of Plaintiff's rights in each of its copyrighted sound recordings and associated artwork constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement.

67. ReDigi's acts of infringement are willful, intentional and purposeful, in disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

68. As a direct and proximate result of ReDigi's infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages as well as ReDigi's profits from the infringements, as will be proven at trial pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). Alternatively, Plaintiff is entitled to maximum statutory damages, in the amount of \$150,000 per infringement, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), or for such other amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

69. Unless and until ReDigi's conduct is enjoined by this Court, it will continue to cause irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated for or measured in money, and Plaintiff is accordingly also entitled to an injunction pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502 prohibiting further infringement of its exclusive rights under copyright.

Plaintiff is further entitled to attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §
505.

COUNT IV (Vicarious Copyright Infringement)

71. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-70 above with the same force and effect as if set forth fully herein.

-14-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 15 of 25

72. ReDigi users have and continue to engage in the unauthorized reproduction and distribution of Plaintiff's copyrighted sound recordings and distribution and public display of Plaintiff's associated artwork, including but not limited to those recordings and the associated artwork listed in Exhibit A. As a result, such users are liable for direct copyright infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution under 17 U.S.C. § 106.

73. ReDigi has the legal right and actual ability to supervise and control the infringing activities that occur through its site and service. Its website notes that if users are uncertain about the legal origins of their songs, "... don't sweat it. If you aren't sure where it [the user's music file] came from, we'll help you figure it out." ReDigi also insists that it can identify and take action against repeat infringers, and explains that it will terminate the account of those who retain files ReDigi believes it has "cleaned" out of sellers' computers.

74. ReDigi has nevertheless refused to exercise any control over the illegal reproduction of copyrighted music or associated artwork, and as a direct and proximate result of such failure, ReDigi users have infringed and continue to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted sound recordings and artwork, including those listed in Exhibit A.

75. ReDigi has derived direct and substantial financial benefits from the infringements of Plaintiff's copyrighted sound recordings and artwork occurring via its service. In addition to attracting a user base, the ReDigi service charges a percentage sales commission when unauthorized recordings are sold and downloaded via the ReDigi site.

76. As a result of the foregoing, ReDigi is liable under the Copyright Act for vicariously infringing Plaintiff's copyrights, in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act.

77. The infringement of Plaintiff's rights in each of its copyrighted sound recordings

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 16 of 25

and associated artwork constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement.

78. ReDigi's acts of infringement are willful, intentional and purposeful, in disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

79. As a direct and proximate result of ReDigi's infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages as well as ReDigi's profits from the infringements, as will be proven at trial pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). Alternatively, Plaintiff is entitled to maximum statutory damages, in the amount of \$150,000 per infringement, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), or for such other amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

80. Unless and until ReDigi's conduct is enjoined by this Court, it will continue to cause irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated for or measured in money, and Plaintiff is accordingly also entitled to an injunction pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502 prohibiting further infringement of its exclusive rights under copyright.

Plaintiff is further entitled to attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §
505.

COUNT V (Common Law Copyright Infringement)

82. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-81 above with the same force and effect as if set forth fully herein.

83. The Pre-1972 Recordings are subject to common law copyright protection under New York law. As the owner of valid common law copyrights in the Pre-1972 Recordings, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive rights to sell, copy, distribute and perform these recordings.

84. Plaintiff has not granted or licensed ReDigi the right to copy, distribute or perform the Pre-1972 Recordings in any manner, including by digital transmission. ReDigi's conduct as described above constitutes infringement of Plaintiff's common law copyrights in the

-16-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 17 of 25

Pre-1972 Recordings, including without limitation, those recordings listed in Exhibit B hereto.

85. The infringement of Plaintiff's rights in each of its Pre-1972 Recordings constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement.

86. As a direct and proximate result of ReDigi's violation of Plaintiff's rights in and to the Pre-1972 Recordings, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial. Plaintiff is entitled to recover all proceeds and other compensation received or to be received by ReDigi arising from its infringement of Plaintiff's Pre-1972 Recordings, and is entitled to an accounting to ascertain the amount of such profits and compensation.

87. ReDigi's acts of infringement are willful, intentional and purposeful, in disregard of Plaintiff's rights, and Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages in addition to actual damages.

88. Unless and until ReDigi's conduct is enjoined by this Court, it will continue to cause irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated for or measured in money, and Plaintiff is accordingly also entitled to an injunction prohibiting ReDigi from further violating Plaintiff's rights in the Pre-1972 Recordings.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor and against the Defendant as follows:

A. On all Counts, for such preliminary and permanent injunctive relief as is necessary to prevent or restrain infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, including a preliminary and permanent injunction requiring that ReDigi and its agents, servants, employees, officers, directors, attorneys, successors, assigns, licensees and all others in active concert or participation with any of them, cease infringing, or causing, aiding, enabling, facilitating, encouraging, promoting, inducing or materially contributing to or participating in the infringement of any of Plaintiff's copyrights or exclusive rights protected by the Copyright Act or common law

-17-

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 18 of 25

(whether now in existence or hereafter created), including without limitation the recordings and associated artwork listed in Exhibits A and B.

B. On Counts I-IV, for ReDigi's profits attributable to the infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, including without limitation the recordings and associated artwork listed in Exhibit A, and for Plaintiff's actual damages sustained by reason of ReDigi's wrongful acts in an amount to be proven at trial, or alternatively, at Plaintiff's election, for maximum statutory damages in the amount of \$150,000 with respect to each copyrighted work infringed, or for such other amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

C. On Count V, for compensatory damages, an accounting for all gains, profits and advantages derived from ReDigi's wrongful acts and punitive damages in amounts to be proven at trial.

D. For Plaintiff's attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements in this action.

E. For prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

F. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York January 5, 2012

COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C. By:

Richard S. Mandel Jonathan Z. King Robert W. Clarida

1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-6799 (212) 790-9200

Attorneys for Plaintiff Capitol Records, LLC Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 19 of 25

EXHIBIT A

Title	Artist	Album	SR
АТТАСК	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
Was It A Dream?	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
A Beautiful Lie	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
The Kill (Bury Me)	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
The Fantasy	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
Savior	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
From Yesterday	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
R-Evolve	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
The Story	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
A Modern Myth	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
Hunter	30 Seconds To Mars	A Beautiful Lie	SR 377-457
Dedication	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Flowin' Prose	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Unitel	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
lust A Test	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Body Movin'	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Song For the Man	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Song For Junior	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
The Move	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Sneakin' Out The Hospital	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Three MC's And One DJ	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
The Grasshopper Unit (Keep Movin')	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Electrify	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Putting Shame In Your Game	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Picture This	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
Dr. Lee, PhD	Beastie Boys	Hello Nasty	SR 277-731
1		 .	

Beastie Boys

Beastie Boys

Beastie Boys

Beastie Boys

Beastie Boys

Beastie Boys

Bob Seger & The Silver Bullet Band

Instant Death

Ch-Check It Out

3 The Hard Way

Oh, Word?

The Brouhaha

Against The Wind

Shazam

EXHIBIT A

SR 277-731

SR 360-352

SR 360-352

SR 360-352

SR 360-352

SR 360-352

SR 17-910

Hello Nasty

To The 5 Boroughs

Harley-Davidson Cycles (Road Songs)

God Put A Smile Upon Your Face	Coldplay	A Rush Of Blood To The Head	50 333 050
Clocks	Coldplay	A Rush Of Blood To The Head	SR 322-958
The Scientist	Coldplay		SR 322-958
Don't Panic	Coldplay	A Rush Of Blood To The Head	SR 322-958
Yellow	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
Trouble	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
Shiver	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
Spies	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
Parachutes		Parachutes	SR 328-762
High Speed	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
We Never Change	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
Sparks	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
· / *	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
Everything's Not Lost	Coldplay	Parachutes	SR 328-762
Viva La Vida	Coldplay	Viva La Vida Or Death And All His Friends	SR 652-909
Speed Of Sound	Coldplay	X&Y	SR 376-828
Fix You	Coldplay	X&Y	SR 376-828
Drink In My Hand	Eric Church	Chief	SR 681-019
Waking Up In Vegas	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
Thinking Of You	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
Mannequin	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
Ur So Gay	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
Self Inflicted	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
I'm Still Breathing	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
One Of The Boys	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
Kissed A Girl	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
Hot 'N Cold	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
f You Can Afford Me	Katy Perry	One Of The Boys	SR 638-214
Teenage Dream	Katy Perry	Teenage Dream	SR 662-268
Last Friday Night (T.G.I.F.)	Katy Perry	Teenage Dream	SR 662-268
California Gurls ft. Snoop Dogg	Katy Perry	Teenage Dream	SR 662-264
Peacock	Katy Perry	Teenage Dream	SR 662-268
Circle The Drain	Katy Perry	Teenage Dream	SR 662-268
The One That Got Away	Katy Perry	Teenage Dream	SR 662-268
Who Am I Living For?	Katy Perry	Teenage Dream	SR 662-268

EXHIBIT A

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 21 of 25

Hummingbird Heartbeat Firework Not Like The Movies I Told You So Just A Kiss Long Gone Love Don't Live Here Lookin' For A Good Time All We'd Ever Need Love's Lookin' Good On You Home is Where The Heart is Things People Say Slow Down Sister One Day You Will Need You Now When You Got A Good Thing Stars Tonight Our Kind Of Love American Honey Perfect Day Love This Pain If I Knew Then Something 'Bout A Woman Ready To Love Again LDN Smile Knock 'Em Out Everything's Just Wonderful Friday Night Alfie Take What You Take Turn Me On Windows Are Rolled Down Draw Me A Map

Katy Perry Katy Perry Katy Perry Keith Urban Lady Antebellum Lady Antebelium Lady Antebellum Lily Allen Lily Allen Lily Allen Lily Allen **Lily Allen Lily Allen** Lily Allen Norah Jones Amos Lee **Dierks Bentley**

Teenage Dream	SR 662-268
Teenage Dream	SR 662-268
Teenage Dream	SR 662-268
Love, Pain & the whole crazy thing	SR 398-619
Just A Kiss	SR 679-267
Lady Antebellum	SR 656-386
Lady Antebellum	SR 656-388
Lady Antebellum	SR 656-386
Need You Now	SR 644-543
Need You Now	SR 644-543
Need You Now	SR 644-546
Need You Now	SR 644-546
Need You Now	SR 644-544
Need You Now	SR 644-543
Need You Now	SR 644-542
Need You Now	SR 644-543
Need You Now	SR 644-543
Need You Now	SR 644-543
Alright, Still	SR 392-060
Alright, Still	SR 392-059
Alright, Still	SR 392-060
Alright, Still	SR 392-058
Come Away With Me	SR 320-120
Windows Are Rolled Down	SR 671-434
Up On The Ridge	SR 663-139

EXHIBIT A

Suddenly I See	KT Tunstall	Eye To The Telescope	SR 388-462
Black Horse And A Cherry Tree	KT Tunstall	Eye To The Telescope	SR 388-462
Under The Weather	KT Tunstall	Eye To The Telescope	SR 388-462
Super Duper Love	Joss Stone	The Soul Sessions	SR 343-788
Fell In Love With A Boy	Joss Stone	The Soul Sessions	SR 343-788
Baby Baby Baby	Joss Stone	Introducing J	SR 642-089
More (2004 Digital Remaster)	Bobby Darin	The Legendary Bobby Darin	SR 367-404

.

EXHIBIT A

1. .

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B				
Title				
Vhat A Little Moonlight Can Do The Christmas Song (Merry Christmas To You)	Peggy Lee Nat King Cole	Ridin' High: The Complete Record	Releases 1957-1959	
•				
	Page 1 of	L		

.

Case 1:12-cv-00095-RJS Document 1 Filed 01/06/12 Page 25 of 25