## EXHIBIT 2 TO THE DECLARATION OF RICHARD S. MANDEL, ESQ.

- L. Rudolph
- Q. Okay. And at some point did ReDigi
- stop offering audio streams from 7digital?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Okay. And did it start off running
- audio streams from a different service?
- A. We started offering audio streams
- 8 from Rdio.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did ReDigi have an agreement
- with Rdio?
- A. I believe so.
- 0. And there was reference to that
- agreement in your preliminary injunction
- declaration: is that correct?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And is it your understanding
- that pursuant to the terms of that agreement
- with Rdio, you were authorized to offer audio
- streams of Capitol sound recordings?
- MR. ADELMAN: Objection. Outside the
- topics. More better asked to
- Mr. Ossenmacher.
- However I will -- he can answer if he
- knows.
- 25 BY MR. KING:

```
1
                       L. Rudolph
2
          the form.
                For that and other reasons.
          Α.
                But that's the reason ReDigi is doing
          Ο.
    it, offering the 30-second clips, is to help
5
6
    users considering the purchase of sound
7
    recordings, correct?
                                           Asked and
R
                MR. ADELMAN: Objection.
9
          answered.
10
                You can answer.
11
                So as I said, it's one of the
          Α.
    reason -- it helps you buy songs, helps you
13
     identify songs.
14
                 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, Rdio API
15
          terms of use, marked for identification, as
16
          of this date.)
17
    BY MR. KING:
18
          0.
                We're placing in front of you
19
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 13. This is actually an
20
    exhibit from the preliminary injunction papers,
    but you can ignore the exhibit tab at the front.
21
22
                If you'll just flip through this
    document and tell me if you recognize it as the
23
    Rdio API terms of use that was referenced in the
24
```

preliminary injunction papers.

25

```
1
                       L. Rudolph
2
                It appears to be.
          Α.
                And this would be the agreement by
          Ο.
    which ReDigi stated in those papers that it was
5
    authorized to play clips of Capitol or to stream
    clips of Capitol sound recordings?
                              Objection.
                                           Out of the
                MR. ADELMAN:
          topics for Mr. Rudolph. More appropriate
9
          for Mr. Ossenmacher.
10
                You can answer.
                It looks like it.
          Α.
                And are you the person who was
12
          Q.
     involved in setting up the RDO streaming service
13
     via an API for ReDigi?
14
15
                THE WITNESS: Can you just repeat the
16
          question?
                (Question was read back as follows:
17
18
                "QUESTION: And are you the person
          who was involved in setting up the RDO
          streaming service via an API for ReDigi?")
20
                Yes, but I didn't write the code.
21
22
     told our engineer what to do.
                       And are you the person who
23
          Q.
                Okay.
```

determined to use RDO as a source of audio

25

streams?

- L. Rudolph
- understand what the words mean. I also know
- that at various times license agreements put
- words in that may not hold up in court.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Okay.
- A. And so you're asking me to say
- whether I think that we're bound by this legally
- 8 or something and I don't know.
- 9 Q. No. I'm actually asking did ReDigi
- agree by accepting these terms of use, the
- transmitted content providers could terminate
- this license?
- MR. ADELMAN: Calls for a legal
- conclusion.
- I would agree that's a different
- question so I won't say asked and answered.
- You can answer.
- <sup>18</sup> A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now RDO did terminate ReDigi's
- license, correct, or ReDigi's account?
- A. RDO shut off our access to the API.
- Q. Right.
- And you asked them why, right?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And what did they tell you?

- 1 L. Rudolph
- A. We did not abide by the terms of the
- <sup>3</sup> service.
- Q. And do you remember, did you ask them
- in what way you did not abide by the terms of
- 6 service?
- A. I don't remember. I think they did
- 8 not -- I don't know what the answer to that
- 9 question is. We plainly asked them. I don't
- 10 remember what their answer was.
- 11 Q. But under the terms of the service,
- RDO could terminate you for any reason, right,
- they didn't have to give a justification.
- MR. ADELMAN: Asked and answered.
- 15 Calls for a legal conclusion. Objection.
- MR. KING: That one wasn't asked and
- answered.
- MR. ADELMAN: Yeah, it was.
- MR. KING: No.
- MR. ADELMAN: Three times.
- 21 BY MR. KING:
- Q. RDO could terminate you for --
- <sup>23</sup> A. Yeah.
- Q. That's my question but it doesn't
- <sup>25</sup> matter.

		Page 2
1	L. Rudolph	
2	CERTIFICATE	
3		
4	STATE OF NEW YORK )	
5	) ss.:	
6	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER )	
7		
8	I, ANNETTE ARLEQUIN, a Notary Public	
9	within and for the State of New York, do	
10	hereby certify:	
11	That LAWRENCE S. RUDOLPH ROGEL, the	
12	witness whose deposition is hereinbefore	
13	set forth, was duly sworn by me and that	
14	such deposition is a true record of the	
15	testimony given by such witness.	
16	I further certify that I am not	
17	related to any of the parties to this	
18	action by blood or marriage; and that I am	
19	in no way interested in the outcome of this	
20	matter.	
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto	
22	set my hand this 25th day of June, 2012.	
23		
24	Onner Off	-
25	ANNETTE ARLEQUIN, CLR, CSR, RPR	