EXHIBIT C

UNITED COURT DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ECF CASE NO.: 12-CV-1340

EDWARD L. WHITE, P.C.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

WEST PUBLISHING CORPORATION d/b/a "West", and REED ELSEVIER INC., d/b/a LexisNexis,

Defendants.

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF EDWARD L. WHITE

New York, New York

August 30, 2012

REPORTED BY:

DANIELLE GRANT

Ref: 8095

,		Page 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	August 30, 2011	
10	10:15 a.m.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	Video Deposition of EDWARD L. WHITE,	
16	held at the offices of Weil Gotshal & Manges, LLP,	
17	767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York pursuant to	
18	Notice before DANIELLE GRANT, a Shorthand Reporter	
19	and Notary Public of the State of New York.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page	3
1			
2	APPEARANCES:		
3	GREGORY A. BLUE, P.C. Attorneys for the Plaintiff 405 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2600 New York, New York 10174 646.351.0006 BY: GREGORY A. BLUE, Esq., of Counsel blue@bluelegal.us		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP Attorneys for West Publishing Corporation		
9	767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153-0119		
10	212.310.8000 BY: BENJAMIN E. MARKS, Esq., of Counsel		
11	benjamin.marks@weil.com JOHN GERBA, ESQ., of Counsel john.gerba@weil.com		
12			
13			
14	MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP Attorneys for Reed Elsevier		
15	1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10104		
16	212.468.8000 BY: CRAIG B. WHITNEY, Esq., of Counsel cwhitney@mofo.com EMILY BRETZ, Esq., of Counsel		
17			
18	ebretz@mofo.com		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		Page 4
1		
2	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	
3	AGREED that the filing and sealing of	
4	the within deposition be, and the	
5	same are hereby waived;	
6	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND	
7	AGREED that all objections, except as	
8	to the form of the question, be and	
9	the same are hereby reserved to the	
10	time of the trial;	
11	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND	
12	AGREED that the within deposition may	
13	be sworn to before any Notary Public	
14	with the same force and effect as if	
15	sworn to before the Court;	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1

24

25

т_	
2	VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. This
3	is tape number one of the videotaped
4	deposition of Edward L. White in the
5	matter of Edward L. White, P.C. versus
6	West Publishing Corporation d/b/a West
7	and Reed Elsevier Inc. d/b/a LexisNexis
8	in the United States District Court
9	Southern District of New York. This
10	deposition is being help at Weil,
11	Gotshal & Manges LLP, located 767 Fifth
12	Avenue, New York, New York 10753 on
13	August 30, 2012 at approximately 10:15
14	a.m.
15	My name is Richard Ramos and I am
16	the legal video specialist. The court
17	reporter is Danielle Grant.
18	Will counsel please introduce
19	themselves beginning with the party
20	noticing this proceeding.
21	MR. MARKS: Benjamin Marks from
22	Weil, Gotshal & Manges, counsel for the
23	West Publishing Corporation.

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - depo@transperfect.com

Gotshal Manges, also for West.

MR. GERBA: John Gerba from Weil,

Page 6 1 2 MR. WHITNEY: Craig Whitney from Morrison Foerster, counsel for 3 LexisNexis, a division of Reed Elsevier. MS. BRETZ: Emily Bretz, also from 5 Morrison Foerster, counsel for 6 7 LexisNexis. MR. BLUE: Gregory Blue for the 8 plaintiff. 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court 10 reporter please swear in the witness. 11 12 EDWARD L. WHITE, called as a witness, having been 13 first duly sworn by Danielle Grant, a Notary Public within and for the State of 14 New York, was examined and testified as 15 16 follows: EXAMINATION BY 17 MR. MARKS: 18 19 0 Mr. White, my name is Benjamin 20 Marks and I represent West Publishing 21 Corporation in this matter. Would you please state your name 22 and address for the record. 23 Edward Leslie White. 3208 Broken 24 Bow Court, Edmond, Oklahoma 73013. 25

- 2 A Intellectual property, both
- 3 litigation and patent prosecution, et cetera?
- 4 Q Yes.
- A Probably 30 to 40.
- 6 Q What percentage of your practice is
- 7 copyright related?
- 8 A Small. It varies, but I would say
- 9 less than 10 typically.
- 10 Q How many active litigation matters
- is the firm handling right now?
- 12 A Where cases have been filed?
- 13 Q Yes.
- 14 A Thirty.
- 15 Q Is that a typical caseload for any
- 16 given year?
- 17 A Again, it varies, but for the most
- 18 part, yes.
- 19 Q Give me a range from low end to
- high end, recognizing it's approximate.
- 21 A For the last ten years?
- 22 Q Sure.
- 23 A Well, the first year I had ramped
- up but I basically took anything that came in
- 25 the door so I ended up with a lot of cases, some

- of which were not the best cases, but. So I
- 3 think probably early in my career it would have
- 4 been 50, 60, 70, and it's tailed off in terms of
- 5 the number now.
- 6 Q Has there ever been a time where
- 7 your firm was handling less than ten cases since
- 8 the first year?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q And over the past three years how
- 11 many active litigation matters has your firm
- 12 handled that have involved at least one court
- filing by the firm, that would be dozens of
- 14 cases?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Are you the sole owner of Edward L.
- 17 White, P.C.?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q How many employees does Edward L.
- 20 White, P.C. have?
- 21 A One.
- 22 Q Has it ever had more than one
- employee?
- 24 A Yes.
- MR. BLUE: Can I just ask for a

- 2 clarification. You mean total employees
- other than Mr. White.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 5 Q No, no, I'm asking for the total
- 6 number of employees including Mr. White?
- 7 A Two. Currently, and yes, it has
- 8 had more than two.
- 9 Q Okay. If you could just walk me
- 10 through, since the inception of the firm, how
- 11 many other people have worked for the firm, who
- they are and what their roles have been?
- 13 A The last employee I had was Rafael
- 14 Glapion, who was an associate. And before him I
- 15 had -- I've had over the years several clerks,
- 16 you know, honestly there have probably been five
- over the years, law school students.
- 18 Q When have you had any law school
- 19 students working for your firm in the past three
- 20 years?
- 21 A Yes, Sierra Freeman in the last
- three years.
- 23 Q And when did Miss Friedman work for
- 24 the firm?
- 25 A Two summers ago, it would have

- affected any of the fee arrangements you've made
- 3 with any of your clients?
- 4 MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 5 A Ask that again.
- 6 Q Has at availability of some of your
- 7 court filings on West Law or/and Lexis affected
- 8 any of the fee arrangements you had made with
- 9 clients?
- 10 MR. BLUE: Same objection, vague.
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q From your perspective has that been
- 13 a factor at all?
- 14 A It's possible.
- 15 Q Has it ever come up in discussions
- over what your fee would be?
- 17 A It's never come up in discussions.
- 18 Q Has it ever been part of your
- internal calculus about what rates you would
- seek to be paid for your representation?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q To your knowledge, has the
- availability of some of your court filings on
- 24 West Law and Lexis affected your ability to earn
- 25 fees from clients?

- 2 A I believe it has.
- 3 O How so?
- 4 A I believe part of what I offer
- is -- I've done certain kinds of cases, I've
- 6 royalty cases, I've done copyright, both
- 7 prosecution and defense, I've done patent
- 8 infringement and defense cases. And to the
- 9 extent people can take my work now and use my
- 10 work in a fairly simple fashion, I think that
- 11 that set of information I have has a value and I
- 12 think it's been decreased.
- 13 Q Have you ever attempted to measure
- the amount by which the value of your work has
- 15 been decreased?
- 16 A I don't know how I would do that.
- 17 Q Do you know how anyone would do
- 18 that?
- 19 A I'm not in that kind of business
- 20 but I suspect there's probably some way to make
- 21 an analysis.
- Q But sitting here today you're not
- aware of any way to make that analysis?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Has the availability of some of

- 2 your court filings on West Law and Lexis
- affected your cost of providing legal advice to
- 4 clients?
- 5 A Potentially. I hadn't thought
- 6 about that.
- 7 Q How has it affected the cost, your
- 8 cost of providing legal services to clients?
- 9 A I'm just kind of, thinking out
- 10 loud, but I pay for Lexis services, and to the
- 11 extent Lexis collecting briefs, it could have
- 12 affected it and made my legal service more
- 13 expensive if they're spending money collecting
- services and charging more or less or it could
- 15 have lessened it. It I don't know how it would
- 16 affect it, but it's an indirect effect if there
- is one.
- 18 Q And sitting here today, you have no
- 19 knowledge of whether or not that Lexis's
- 20 collection of briefs has had any impact on the
- 21 pricing of the services you that you purchase
- 22 from Lexis?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Same answer as to West Law for the
- 25 period for you were a West Law subscriber?

- 2 his own behalf or on behalf of a client?
- 3 Q On your own behalf?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And you had your own firm for ten
- 6 years before registering a single copyright,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A Yes. For --
- 9 Q On my own behalf.
- 10 And is it fair to say that your
- firm has prepared hundreds of court filings?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Is it fair to say thousands of
- 14 court filings?
- 15 A Probably.
- 16 Q Do you have any understanding of
- whether copyright registration is a common
- 18 practice among law firms or attorneys with
- 19 respect to court filings they prepare on behalf
- 20 of clients?
- 21 A I haven't asked.
- 22 Q You don't have an understanding one
- 23 way or the other?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Are you aware of any other

- 2 attorneys or law firms with registered
- 3 copyrights in court filings?
- A Again, I haven't asked, so, and I
- 5 haven't done the search, so no.
- 6 MR. MARKS: I'd like to mark as
- 7 White Exhibit 5 a copy of a two-page
- 8 document bearing the Bates numbers
- 9 P00119 and 120.
- 10 (Certificate of Registration was
- 11 marked as White Exhibit No. 5 for
- identification, as of this date.)
- 13 Q Mr. White, have you seen White
- 14 Exhibit 5 before?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O What is White Exhibit 5?
- 17 A It's a certificate of registration
- 18 for a brief.
- 19 O And the title of the brief is
- 20 Plaintiffs' Combined Motion for Summary Motion
- for Plaintiffs and Ramsey and brief in support,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And this was the summary judgment
- motion that you filed in the Beer v. XTO Energy

- 2 case on behalf of Miss Beer and Miss Bique in
- 3 their seeking of termination of liability on
- 4 their individual claims?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q What is the reference to the date
- 7 of first publication on this form?
- A Are you asking me what the date is?
- 9 Q I see that the date is May 20,
- 10 2009, and what I'm asking is what is that date a
- reference to? What happened on that date?
- 12 A I believe that's when it was filed.
- 13 Q Was there any distribution of the
- motion and brief in support by you other than
- 15 filing it with the court?
- 16 A I believe we sent it to the client
- by regular mail -- the clients by regular mail.
- 18 And there may have been others, but that is a
- 19 likely one.
- Q Did you serve it on opposing
- 21 counsel?
- 22 A Via the court system it does that
- 23 automatically.
- Q And other than filing the document
- with the court and mailing a copy of it to your

- 2 clients, are you aware of any distribution by
- 3 you or on your behalf to anyone else?
- 4 A I believe I probably provided it to
- 5 the experts as well that were involved in that
- 6 case, but I -- and there may have been others.
- 7 Q Anyone else you can think of
- 8 sitting here today?
- 9 A Not that I can think of sitting
- 10 here today.
- 11 Q Is there anyone else who authorized
- it -- authorized to distribute this document on
- 13 behalf of the firm?
- 14 A Other than myself and Jan?
- 15 O Correct?
- 16 A And Marty could have distributed it
- on behalf of the firm. He may have sent it to
- 18 someone, I don't know.
- 19 Q You're not aware of Miss Inman or
- 20 Mr. -- excuse me, Dr. High distributing a copy
- of this brief to anybody who wasn't either a
- client or an expert or a party in the case?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Under the heading Author there's a
- line that says, "Author created text compilation

- 2 editing."
- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 O What is that a reference to?
- 6 A I think that the firm created the
- 7 whole thing.
- 8 Q So the reference to text is that
- 9 the firm prepared the text of the document?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q What's the reference compilation?
- 12 A Well, there were also exhibits, and
- I can't remember if it's as registered the
- exhibits were attached but there -- some of the
- exhibits that were attached were not drafted by
- the firm, they were compiled into the document.
- 17 Q And so your assertion of copyright
- doesn't include any of the exhibits, it merely
- 19 would cover whatever compilation copyright there
- 20 might be in picking exhibits written by other
- 21 people?
- MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 23 A I mean, generally speaking, I think
- 24 that's correct.
- 25 O And what is the reference to

- MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 3 A I think -- I think that's more
- 4 accurate.
- 5 Q And you don't have any written
- 6 agreement with Dr. High governing ownership of
- 7 copyrights in work product that he contributed
- 8 to in connection with that case, do you?
- 9 A I don't believe so.
- 10 Q And Dr. High did in fact contribute
- to the drafting of plaintiffs' combined motion
- 12 for summary judgment?
- 13 A I'm sure he saw it and I'm sure he
- said things about it, but whether he contributed
- to drafting I couldn't say as I sit here today.
- 16 Q He provided you with written
- 17 comments on your draft?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Made editorial suggestions?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 MR. MARKS: I'd like to mark as
- White Exhibit 6, a two-page document
- bearing the Bates number P00054 to 55.
- 24 (Document, Bates stamped P00054 to
- 25 55 was marked as White Exhibit No.

- 2 6 for identification, as of this
- 3 date.)
- 4 Q Mr. White, have you seen White
- 5 Exhibit 6 before?
- A Yes.
- 7 Q What is White Exhibit 6?
- 8 A A certificate of registration for
- 9 plaintiffs' motion in limine.
- 10 Q And this is a motion in limine
- filed on behalf of the plaintiffs in the Beer
- 12 versus XTO Holdings case?
- 13 A Right.
- 14 Q And was this motion filed on behalf
- of just Miss Beer and Miss Bique or was this
- 16 filed on behalf of the class?
- 17 A Class.
- 18 Q And is the reference next to the
- 19 line date of first publication, is that the date
- that this motion in limine was filed with the
- 21 court?
- 22 A Yes, I believe it was.
- 23 Q And in addition to filing this
- document with the court, did you mail a copy of
- 25 it to your clients?

1 E. White

2 A I'm not sure we mailed the motion

in limine. I would have discussed it with them.

4 Q And did you provide a copy of the

5 motion to the experts in the case?

6 A I doubt it.

7 Q Are you aware of any distribution

8 of the motion in limine by you or anyone acting

on behalf of the firm to anyone other than

10 filing of the court?

11 A No.

12 Q And that's true not only at the

time it was filed but subsequently until

14 discovery in this case, correct?

15 A I provided it to counsel, but yes.

16 O Counsel in this case in connection

with this litigation?

18 A Correct.

17

19 O And that's true of the -- the

20 motion for summary judgment as well, but there

21 was -- I asked you a series of questions about

22 whether or not it had been distributed by you or

on your behalf and I believed I was asking about

24 at any point in time, but I'd like to make sure

that that's how you understood the question?

- 2 A Well, yes, that's how I think I
- 3 understood the question and I don't believe
- 4 there's been any distribution other than in the
- 5 case or to counsel.
- 6 Q And on White Exhibit 6 there is a
- 7 reference to it being a work made for hire.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And is that the same designation as
- work made for hire for the same reasons as we
- discussed in relation to White Exhibit 5?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q That it was prepared by an employee
- of Edward L. White, P.C. within the scope of his
- 16 employment?
- 17 A It was prepared for Edward L.
- 18 White, P.C., yes.
- 19 Q And did Dr. High contribute to the
- 20 motion in limine?
- 21 A I don't believe so. If he did it
- 22 would have been editorial comments.
- 23 Q There's a limitation of the
- 24 copyright claim and it says "Materials from this
- 25 claim, text from other sources."

- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And what's that a reference to?
- 5 A I think we included that in all of
- 6 these and -- I don't recall specifically in this
- 7 instance.
- 8 O You did not include a similar
- 9 limitation in your registration of White Exhibit
- 10 5, correct?
- 11 A Looks like we didn't.
- 12 Q Even though White Exhibit 5 you
- believe included exhibits that were prepared by
- 14 third parties, correct?
- 15 A I believe so, yes.
- 16 Q Sitting here today would you agree
- it would have been appropriate to include a
- 18 limitation on the copyright claims that you were
- 19 claiming in the copyright and the individual
- 20 exhibits that were attached and prepared by the
- 21 third parties?
- MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 23 A I'd have to go back and look at it,
- but it's possible that that limitation, but I
- 25 don't think to the extent I would have claimed a

- those were accurate.
- 3 Q Did your clients review the draft
- 4 of the brief?
- 5 A Yes, I feel confident they did.
- 6 It's the normal practice in this kind of
- 7 situation.
- 8 Q It's a normal practice that they
- 9 would provide comments on the brief?
- 10 A Sometimes the comments would simply
- be, "it looks like," but yes, they would
- 12 typically say something.
- 13 Q Did you have any assistance in the
- 14 case research in connection with this motion?
- 15 A I would expect that Marty would
- have helped at least in terms of making his
- 17 editorial comments, but I don't recall
- 18 specifically.
- 19 Q You don't recall whether or not you
- 20 had any clerks or other research assistants
- 21 working on this with you?
- 22 A I don't believe so at this time.
- 23 Q Does Dr. High ever utilize research
- 24 assistants or clerks to help him in the
- 25 performance of his work in connection with his

- 2 relationship with you?
- 3 A I don't believe so.
- 4 Q Why was this document prepared?
- 5 A The document was prepared in order
- to attempt to secure summary judgment for the
- 7 named plaintiffs.
- 8 Q Is there any other reason that you
- 9 prepared this document?
- 10 A Well, they also -- it's in my
- 11 strategy of the litigation, but --
- MR. BLUE: I just want to avoid a
- 13 situation where --
- 14 Q I'm not trying to intrude on work
- 15 product or privilege. My question is, was there
- any reason that you prepared this document other
- in connection with your advocacy on behalf of
- 18 your clients in the Beer versus XTO Energy case?
- 19 A No, essentially that was it.
- 20 Q You qualified with essentially. Is
- 21 there any reason unrelated to your
- 22 representation of these clients that you
- 23 prepared this document?
- 24 A None that I can think of.
- 25 Q And at the time that you prepared

- 2 the document you anticipated that you would be
- 3 filing it with the court on behalf of your
- 4 clients, correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And you filed the summary judgment
- 7 motion with the court because you wanted the
- 8 court to consider it and grant the motion,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A That was the primary reason, yes.
- 11 Q What are the ancillary reasons that
- 12 you filed with the court?
- MR. BLUE: Can we also --
- MR. MARKS: Without --
- 15 MR. BLUE: -- say it's the same
- 16 caveat, you're not talking about
- 17 litigation strategy or how he advised
- 18 the clients how to proceed.
- 19 Q If it relates to litigation
- 20 strategy, I will accept that that's the reason.
- You don't have to give me the particulars of the
- 22 litigation strategy.
- 23 A Litigation strategy.
- Q No reason unrelated to prosecution
- of a litigation?

- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And you knew at the time it was
- 4 prepared that it would be available on Pacer,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q For anyone with a Pacer
- 8 subscription to download?
- 9 A I think you have to have a
- 10 subscription but I knew it would be on Pacer.
- 11 Q And that anyone, any member of the
- 12 public who wanted to obtain it from Pacer would
- 13 be able to obtain it?
- 14 A Assuming they had the subscription.
- Q Are you aware of any restrictions
- on who can obtain a Pacer subscription?
- 17 A I don't know there's requirements.
- 18 Q And you also knew that a copy of
- 19 the brief would be available from the courthouse
- 20 itself, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And that any member of the public
- who went in and complied with whatever terms the
- 24 Western District of Oklahoma has set up for
- copying briefs could go in and may a copy,

- 2 right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And did the possibility that
- somebody might make a copy of your brief from
- 6 Pacer and distribute your brief affect your
- 7 decision to write this motion?
- 8 A If I had prior knowledge of that
- 9 fact, I still would have written the motion, if
- that's what you're asking me.
- 11 Q That is what I'm asking you. The
- 12 answer is yes?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And did the possibility that
- 15 someone might make a copy of your brief in Pacer
- and distribute your brief affect the quality of
- 17 your work on this motion?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q And at the time you prepared the
- 20 motion, you had no way of knowing whether West
- or Lexis would include a copy of it in a
- 22 database, correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Subsequent to your filing of this
- document with the court, and until, until this

```
1 E. White
```

- 2 case was commenced, has anyone ever requested a
- 3 copy of this document from you?
- 4 A Well, that gets into -- there --
- 5 there's another counsel involved with this case,
- 6 so that, a copy was certainly provided to them.
- 7 Q When you say this case, I want to
- 8 make sure --
- 9 A Beer.
- 10 Q Beer v. XTO, okay. And who is
- 11 that?
- 12 A The Helms Underwood is the firm,
- 13 Helms Underwood Cook.
- 14 Q And you provided a copy of this
- 15 brief to that firm?
- 16 A Say you, Edward L. White, P.C., I
- 17 believe Jan would have -- may have provided a
- 18 copy to them.
- 19 O And that was in connection with
- their representation of members of your original
- 21 class?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And the reason that a copy was
- 24 provided was to -- was because they had assumed
- 25 representing members of a class that you had at

- 2 one point represented?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And that's the only reason that you
- 5 provided a copy of the brief to them?
- A Yes.
- 7 Q And to your knowledge that's the
- 8 only reason they requested a copy of the brief
- 9 was to assume the representation of the
- individuals you had previously represented?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Have you ever offered to license
- the copyright you claim in this work to anybody
- 14 else?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Has anyone ever asked you for such
- 17 a license?
- 18 A No.
- 19 MR. MARKS: I'd like to mark as
- 20 White Exhibit 8 a document bearing Bates
- number P0001 through P00024.
- 22 (Motion in Limine was marked as
- 23 White Exhibit No. 8 for
- identification, as of this date.)
- Q Mr. White, what is White Exhibit 8?

- 2 A It's plaintiffs' motion in limine
- in Beer versus XTO.
- 4 Q Can you describe for the process of
- 5 how this document was prepared?
- 6 A Generally speaking, it was prepared
- 7 by me addressing the issues that I felt needed a
- 8 motion in limine filed on and gathering the
- 9 relevant background information and legal
- 10 authority and drafting a brief.
- 11 Q And you believe that Dr. High
- 12 provided editorial comment on this brief?
- 13 A I believe he probably did on this
- one.
- 15 Q And did Miss Inman play a similar
- role with respect to White Exhibit 8 as she did
- in White Exhibit 7 with regard to formatting the
- 18 document and providing the cover sheet and the
- 19 certificate of service, et cetera?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Were there any other contributors
- 22 to the preparation of the motion?
- 23 A To the extent we talked to clients
- about it that may have had comments, it's
- possible.

- 2 Q Did anyone, to your knowledge,
- other than you and Dr. High perform any of the
- 4 case research associated with the preparation of
- 5 this motion?
- 6 A I'm sorry, what was that?
- 7 Q I'm asking who did the case
- 8 research in connection with the preparation of
- 9 the motion?
- 10 A It would have been me, and then to
- 11 the extent he was providing editorial comments,
- there may have been work by Dr. High.
- 13 Q And this document was prepared on
- behalf of the class in Beer v. XTO Energy in
- 15 order to persuade the court to exclude certain
- 16 evidence from trial in this action?
- 17 A Essentially. I mean you know what
- 18 a motion in limine is, but yes.
- 19 Q Was there any other reason that you
- 20 prepared this document other than for the
- 21 purpose of representing your clients in the Beer
- v. XTO Energy case?
- 23 A No.
- 24 O And at the time the document was
- 25 prepared you anticipated that it would be filed

- 2 with the court, correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And you knew at the time it was
- 5 prepared that once filed it would be available
- 6 on Pacer?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And you knew at the time it was
- 9 prepared that once filed it would be available
- 10 for copying at the courthouse?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And the possibility that somebody
- might copy and distribute your brief did not
- 14 affect your decision to write this motion, did
- 15 it?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And the possibility that someone
- 18 may copy and distribute your brief did not
- 19 affect the quality of your work on this motion,
- 20 did it?
- 21 A No.
- Q At the time you prepared this
- 23 motion you had no way of knowing whether West or
- Lexis would include a copy of it in a database,
- 25 correct?

- 2 A Right.
- 3 Q And have you ever offered to
- 4 license the copyright you claim in this work to
- 5 anyone else?
- A No.
- 7 Q Has anyone ever asked you for such
- 8 a license?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q And has anyone ever asked you for
- 11 such a license?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Has anyone every asked you for a
- 14 license to your copyright in any of your
- 15 registered copyrights?
- 16 A You're asking me if on the ones
- that were filed on behalf of the firm, not my
- 18 clients.
- 19 Q Correct, not your clients. Thank
- 20 you for the clarification.
- 21 With regard to the documents for
- 22 which you secured a copyright registration, I'm
- asking has anybody ever attempted to license
- 24 your copyright in any of those Works?
- 25 A No one has ever expressly asked me

```
1 E. White
```

- for a license to an Edward L. White, P.C.
- 3 registered work, no.
- 4 Q Have you ever offered a license to
- 5 the copyright in any Edward L., P.C. registered
- 6 work?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q You brought Beer v. XTO Energy as a
- 9 putative class action, correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And with Miss Beer and Miss Bique
- as the name plaintiffs, correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- Q And on or about March 20, 2009, the
- 15 court granted your motion for class
- 16 certification, correct?
- 17 A I think the date's right.
- 18 Q You were appointed counsel for the
- 19 class?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And Miss Beer and Miss Bique were
- appointed as representatives of the class?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q On May, I think we've already
- talked about that on May 20, 2009 you filed a

- 2 motion for summary judgment on behalf of Miss
- 3 Beer and Miss Bique?
- 4 A I believe that's right.
- 5 O That's White Exhibit 7?
- A Yes.
- 7 Q And your motion was limited to the
- 8 individual claims of the named plaintiffs and
- 9 did not cover the claims to the class, correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And on or about February 5, 2010,
- the court granted summary judgment to Miss Beer
- and Miss Bique on their individual claims,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A I believe that's right.
- 16 Q And shortly before the court award
- 17 summary judgment to Miss Beer and Miss Bique,
- 18 you filed a second motion for summary judgment
- on the issue of class damages, correct?
- 20 A Yes. I think again the timing
- 21 sounds right.
- MR. MARKS: I'm going to mark as
- 23 White Exhibit 9 a copy of the docket in
- Beer versus XTO Energy. I don't mean to
- 25 make this a memory test on dates so let

E. White 1 me provide you with a coy of the docket 2 3 and you can confirm for me whether or not anything, as we go through the 4 sequencing is inaccurate to the best of 5 your recollection. 6 7 (Beers v. XTO Energy court docket was marked as White Exhibit No. 9 8 for identification, as of this 9 date.) 10 So if you turn to the portion of 11 O 12 the document which is where the entries are organized chronologically, that has us in the 13 spring of 2010, I think on page 20 of the 14 15 document we've got the -- excuse me, on page 19 of the document there's an entry, entry 148 16 17 reflects that on February 5th the court granted 18 the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment with respect to their individual claims? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 Q And if you look up ahead at docket entry 144, on February 2nd you filed a motion 22 for summary judgment on damages for all 23 plaintiffs, correct? 24

25

Α

Yes.

1 E. White

2 Q And the court denied the second

3 summary judgment motion for damages on behalf of

4 all plaintiffs as premature as there had been no

5 determination for liability for the class,

6 correct?

7 A The court's order reflects what the

8 court did. I think it was a little more

9 complicated.

10 Q You would agree with me that the

11 court denied motion for summary judgment on

12 damages to the class?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And on April 13, 2010 the court

15 decertified the class, correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And the court found that you were

18 not adequately protecting absent class members,

19 correct?

20 A Again, the court's order says what

21 it says.

Q And the court's order said that --

23 reflected its determination that you were not

24 adequately representing the class, correct?

MR. BLUE: Objection.

- 2 A It said what it said.
- 4 removed as counsel for the class, correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And the court also found that Miss
- Beer and Miss Bique were no longer adequate
- 8 representatives of the absent class?
- 9 A Yes, I believe that's correct.
- 10 Q And at the end of April 2010,
- 11 Mr. Goodard and Mr. Fenkhauser (phonetic) filed
- a motion to intervene as names plaintiffs with
- 13 new counsel, correct?
- 14 A Goddard, yes.
- 15 Q Excuse me, Goddard, thank you.
- 16 And after the court's
- determination that you were no longer adequate
- 18 class counsel, you wrote to members of the
- 19 absent class and offered to represent them
- 20 individually, correct?
- 21 A I'm not sure the sequencing is
- 22 exactly correct but I did -- there was certainly
- 23 communications.
- 24 Q Communications between you and
- 25 members of the class following the court's

- 2 decertification of the class, correct?
- 3 A Well, there's no class following
- 4 decertification.
- 5 O Members of the -- members of the
- 6 formerly certified class?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And in those communications you
- 9 offered to represent those parties individually
- in continuing litigation against XTO Energy,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A Again, the letters speak for
- themselves, but yes, essentially that's correct.
- 14 Q You wanted to stay involved as
- 15 counsel for those parties, correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And by May 15, 2010, you had filed
- 18 copyright registrations for 15 of the court
- 19 filings and discovery documents that you had
- 20 prepared while acting as counsel for the
- 21 formerly certified class?
- 22 A I think the dates are right, yeah.
- 23 Q And you wanted to prevent new
- counsel from copying the work product you had
- done on behalf of the class, correct?

1 E. White

2 A I wanted to protect my intellectual

- 3 property, if that's what you're asking me.
- 4 Q And the concern that you had was
- 5 that other lawyers who were seeking to act on
- 6 behalf of the same individuals you were seeking
- 7 to represent would use your work product in
- 8 their own efforts to represent those same
- 9 individuals?
- MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 11 Go ahead.
- 12 A That was certainly a concern.
- 13 Q What other concern did you have?
- 14 A Well, again, it's -- I think that
- the best way to say it was I was seeking to
- 16 protect my intellectual property and that was a
- 17 significant concern.
- 18 Q What else motivated the timing of
- 19 registering 15 documents in the case other than
- the fact that you had been removed as counsel
- for the class, there was a motion to intervene
- 22 with new class counsel, and you were seeking to
- 23 represent those same individuals in their
- 24 individual capacity?
- 25 A Well, part of it was I didn't have

- 2 a trial that I had expected to have and so I had
- 3 more time. But your assertion that that was
- 4 copying by subsequent counsel was a concern is
- 5 accurate.
- 6 Q And what I'm trying to understand
- is were there any other concerns motivating the
- 8 last 15 copyright registrations that you've made
- 9 in your career on behalf of your firm?
- MR. BLUE: Objection, asked and
- 11 answered?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And what were they?
- 14 A desire to protect my intellectual
- 15 property.
- 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, Counsel,
- 17 we're coming down to seconds.
- MR. MARKS: That's fine. Why don't
- we go ahead and change now.
- 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:53
- and we're off the record.
- 22 (Whereupon, at 11:53 a.m., a recess
- 23 was taken to 11:59 a.m.)
- 24 (The deposition resumed with all
- 25 parties present.)

1 E. White

2 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:59

- and this begins tape two of the
- 4 videotaped deposition of Edward L.
- White.
- 6 Q In July of 2010, Mr. White, the
- 7 court in Beer v. XTO -- XTO Energy granted the
- 8 motion to intervene, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And you were directed to deliver
- all discovery materials to intervener's counsel,
- 12 right?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And after the court learned about
- 15 your outreach to members of the decertified
- 16 class concerning potential individual
- representations, the court enjoined you and
- 18 Dr. High from any future correspondence with
- 19 class members, correct?
- 20 A The court entered an order that was
- to some extent consistent with what you said.
- 22 Q How was it inconsistent with what I
- 23 said?
- 24 A You can read the order.
- 25 Q Sitting here today can you identify

- 2 anything about my statement's that inaccurate?
- A I don't have the order in front of
- 4 me.
- 5 Q But I agree with you, the order
- 6 says what it says but you can't identify
- 7 anything incorrect in my statement sitting here
- 8 today?
- 9 MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 10 A Nothing specific.
- 11 Q And you don't dispute that the
- court enjoined you and Dr. High from future
- 13 correspondence with members of the class that
- had been certified with you as class counsel?
- 15 A Again, I have to look at exactly
- what the court order said, but that was the
- 17 tenor of the order.
- 18 Q And the new named plaintiff's who
- 19 intervened in the case filed a class
- certification motion in August 2010, correct?
- 21 A I believe so.
- Q And earlier the court certified the
- 23 class with new class counsel and new
- 24 representative plaintiffs, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

1 E. White

2 Q And earlier this year the case

3 settled right before trial?

A Yes, it did.

5 O And class counsel in that case has

6 submitted a fee request of more than \$18

7 million, correct?

A Correct.

9 Q And you have filed a motion asking 10 the court to award you a portion of the attorney 11 fee award and to reimburse your firm for

12 specific litigation expenses, correct?

13 A Yes.

MR. MARKS: I'd like to mark as

White Exhibit 10, a document bearing the

16 title "Motion in Support of Class

17 Counsel's Fee Request and Request for

18 Separate Allocation of Fees to White and

19 to Prior Class Representatives."

20 (Motion in Support of Class

21 Counsel's Fee Request and Request

for Separate Allocation of Fees to

23 White and to Prior Class

24 Representatives was marked as White

Exhibit No. 10 for identification,

- 2 Q And have you used West Law to
- 3 access a court filing prepared by another
- 4 attorney?
- A Again, it -- it's possible, but I
- 6 don't believe so. I don't think that back when
- 7 I had West Law I was aware of that as an option.
- 8 Q Has anyone ever accessed an
- 9 attorney authored court filing via West Law on
- 10 your behalf?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Has anyone ever used Lexis to
- access a court filing prepared by another
- 14 attorney on your behalf?
- 15 MR. BLUE: Objection, vaque.
- 16 A No, I don't believe so.
- 17 Q When did you first become aware
- 18 that a document prepared by your firm was
- 19 available on West Law?
- 20 A Sometime after I became aware of
- 21 course that they were offered, but I don't -- I
- 22 can't tell you when exactly.
- Q How did you become aware of it?
- 24 A I -- honestly I can't tell you.
- 25 Q You don't remember?

- 2 A No.
- 3 Q How did you become aware that a
- document prepared by Edward L. White, P.C. was
- 5 available on Lexis?
- 6 A I think, and I don't know if this
- 7 is true in fact, my assumption was once I
- 8 figured out that briefs were available that I
- 9 had assumed that basically all federal briefs
- 10 were available, that there was some sort of
- 11 program to pull those off and kind of in an
- 12 automated fashion index and process.
- Q Do you understand sitting here
- 14 today whether or not that's the case?
- 15 A I don't know if that's the case.
- 16 Q Did you ever search Lexis for a
- 17 copy of a brief or other court filing prepared
- 18 by your firm?
- 19 A I believe I did.
- 20 Q And were you able to locate any?
- 21 A I believe I was, yeah.
- Q Which documents were you able to
- 23 identify?
- 24 A I believe some of the Beer
- documents, one or more of the beer documents.

- 2 Q And when did you conduct that
- 3 search?
- 4 A I don't know. After they were
- 5 registered in 2010, but I don't know when.
- 6 Q Certainly within the last year or
- 7 two which is the time frame in which you've
- 8 indicated you first became aware that Lexis made
- 9 briefs available, correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And have you ever conducted a
- search for your own materials on West Law?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Has anyone ever done that on your
- 15 behalf?
- 16 A It's possible, I don't know.
- 17 Q Sitting here today, you're not
- 18 aware of anybody having searched West Law for
- 19 copies of your briefs or other court filings on
- 20 West Law?
- 21 A Correct.
- Q When was the last time that you
- used Lexis to access one of your own documents?
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q Within the past year?

- 2 A I don't know.
- 3 O Since the case was filed?
- 4 A I don't know.
- 5 Q Do you know whether or not any
- documents prepared by Edward L. White, P.C. are
- 7 currently available on Lexis?
- 8 A No, I don't know.
- 9 Q Do you know whether any documents
- prepared by Edward L. White, P.C. are currently
- 11 available on West Law?
- 12 A No, I don't know.
- Q Do you have any understanding of
- the time period for which any particular
- document authored by your firm was available on
- 16 West Law?
- MR. BLUE: I'm sorry, just for
- 18 clarification, dates during which it was
- 19 available.
- Q Yeah, the time period, for how long
- 21 it was available.
- 22 A I don't know.
- 23 Q Same answer as to Lexis?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q The amended complained in this

- 2 action places two Works at issue in this
- 3 copyright infringement action, correct.
- 4 A I don't know that that's accurate.
- 5 Q Do you believe that more Works are
- 6 at issue than two?
- 7 A Well, paragraphs 4 and -- paragraph
- 8 4 talks about two particular Works, but then the
- 9 exhibit we looked at has more Works referenced,
- so I don't know when you say places then at
- issue, I'm not sure what that means.
- 12 Q As the plaintiff in this case, do
- 13 you believe that you're seeking relief on behalf
- of injunctive relief or damages with -- let me
- 15 break that apart. Are you seeking damages from
- 16 West Law and Lexis for infringements of Works
- 17 other than the motion in limine or the motion
- 18 for summary judgment?
- 19 MR. BLUE: Objection. We made that
- clear in the documents.
- MR. MARKS: You're referring to
- your representation that it's only two
- Works at issue?
- MR. BLUE: Yes.
- MR. MARKS: Well, I will accept

1 E. White

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your representation on the record here
that the only two Works at issue are the
motion in limine that's been marked as
White Exhibit 8 and the motion for
summary judgment that's been marked as
White Exhibit 7?

MR. BLUE: Correct, for purposes of this litigation, reserving rights with respect to everything else, currently at issue are those two writings.

Q And my question for you, Mr. White, is turning to White Exhibit 7, how did you become aware that plaintiffs' combined motion for summary judgment in the Beer v. XTO Energy case was available on West Law?

A As I said to you, my assumption was that when I found out they had this brief bank, whatever you want to call it, that all federal filings were on there. So I didn't become -- I don't recall a specifically becoming aware that that pleading was on there. My belief was that all federal pleadings were on there.

Q Do you have any reason to believe that this document was ever made available on

1 E. White

West Law other than your belief that all federal

- 3 pleadings were made available on West Law?
- 4 MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 5 A I'm not sure exactly what you're
- 6 asking but I had, I think, my testimony is I had
- 7 a belief that all pleadings were available.
- 8 Q I will represent to you that your
- 9 belief is incorrect.
- 10 A All right.
- 11 Q Okay. And I'm asking whether
- there's any other basis for your belief that
- this document that's been marked as White
- 14 Exhibit 7 was ever available on West Law other
- than your belief that West Law was making all
- 16 federal pleadings available?
- 17 A Other than as a part of this
- 18 litigation information that's been produced, I
- 19 didn't have an independent...
- MR. BLUE: And let me just
- interject to object to the extent your
- 22 question includes a request for
- 23 conversations between Mr. White and his
- 24 counsel concerning this litigation.
- 25 MR. MARKS: I'm not asking for the

- 2 A Same answer.
- 3 Q The answer is no, you don't have
- 4 any knowledge?
- 5 MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 6 A My answer was that I don't have a
- 7 specific recollection of having done a search
- 8 but my -- I may have more likely on Lexis done a
- 9 search because I had that service, but my belief
- 10 was that they were all available.
- 11 Q And you didn't -- you don't have
- any recollection sitting here today of searching
- 13 for this document on Lexis or West Law?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Okay. With respect to the
- plaintiff's motion in limine that's been marked
- as White Exhibit 8, you don't -- other than
- 18 conversations, excluding whatever conversations
- 19 you may have had with counsel, you don't have
- any basis to no one way or the other whether
- 21 plaintiff's motion in limine was ever made
- 22 available on West Law?
- MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 24 A My answers with respect to this
- document would be the same as with respect to

- others.
- 3 Q You don't have specific knowledge
- 4 of this document ever appearing on West Law and
- 5 you don't have specific knowledge of this
- document ever appearing on Lexis, correct?
- 7 MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 8 A Correct, other than as previously
- 9 discussed.
- 10 O Other than a belief that West Law
- and Lexis were making all federal filings
- 12 available, correct, and conversations with
- 13 counsel?
- 14 A Again, as previously discussed,
- 15 yes.
- Q Are you aware of any instance in
- 17 which another attorney has copied the
- 18 expressions set forth in White Exhibit 8?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Are you aware of any instance in
- 21 which another attorney has used the expressions
- set forth in White Exhibit 7?
- MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Prior to filing this lawsuit, did

- 2 you ever ask West Law to remove materials
- written by Edward L. White, P.C. from its
- 4 database?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Prior to filing this lawsuit, did
- 7 you have any communications with West Law about
- 8 not putting any of your materials into the
- 9 database in the first place?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Did you ever have any communication
- 12 at any time with anyone at West about the
- availability of your materials in its database?
- 14 A I don't believe so.
- 15 Q Has anyone ever told you that a
- 16 request from West to remove your materials from
- its database would be futile?
- 18 A Has anyone told me that, no.
- 19 Q Do you have any reason to believe
- that such a request would be futile?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q What's the basis for your belief?
- 23 A Dealing with West in the past.
- Q What -- what about your dealings
- with West in the past makes you believe that

- 2 requesting that they remove materials from their
- 3 database would be futile, absent federal court
- 4 litigation?
- 5 A It's just my general impression
- 6 based on prior dealings with West that a request
- 7 from one attorney to remove materials would have
- 8 been futile.
- 9 Q And you never made such a request?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And what are the nature of your
- dealings that gave you the impression that they
- wouldn't entertain a dialogue if you wanted
- 14 materials removed from the database?
- 15 A Less than reasonable behavior from
- 16 West previously.
- 17 Q What is the behavior that you're --
- to which you're referring?
- 19 A I had a dispute about how much
- we -- I owed them, the firm owed them upon
- 21 termination. And I can't remember if that
- 22 contract was specifically with me as an
- individual or for the firm, but a dispute
- 24 associated with termination of prior West
- 25 service.

- 2 A Less than a year.
- 3 Q When was your first communication
- 4 with Mr. Blue in relation to this action?
- 5 A Same thing, I don't know.
- 6 Q Did you retain the Bragar Wexler
- 7 firm at the same time that you retained
- 8 Mr. Blue?
- 9 A My expressed dealings have been
- 10 with Mr. Blue.
- 11 Q Have you had any communications
- with Mr. Bragar?
- MR. BLUE: That's a yes or no. I
- just don't want you to get into the
- 15 substance of communications.
- 16 Q I'm not asking for the substance,
- 17 I'm asking about communication.
- 18 A I don't believe so.
- 19 Q How did you come to met Mr. Blue?
- 20 A Mr. Blue and I discussed a couple
- of litigation matters.
- MR. BLUE: I'm going to object to
- the extent that you're asking for the
- 24 substance of those discussions.
- Q And excluding your counsel in this

- 2 action, have you had conversations with other
- attorneys about the availability of briefs on
- 4 West Law or Lexis?
- 5 MR. BLUE: I'll object to the
- 6 extent that previous answers have
- 7 discussed that.
- 8 O You can answer.
- 9 A I believe I have.
- 10 O Who's that?
- 11 A Couldn't say specifically. Like
- 12 most lawyers, I talk to my friends and
- 13 colleagues about various legal matters and it
- 14 would have been in that context of, you know,
- 15 did you realize that this was out there.
- 16 Q Sitting here today, you can't
- 17 recall a specific conversation?
- 18 A No, I can't recall a specific.
- 19 Q Has anyone ever told you in words
- or in substance that they would writing legal
- 21 briefs if they were copied and distributed by
- West Law and Lexis without permission?
- 23 A No.
- Q Has anyone told you in words or in
- 25 substance that the quality of their briefs would

- 2 diminish if they were copied and distributed by
- 3 West Law and Lexis without permission?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Has anyone ever told you that they
- 6 had complained to West Law or Lexis about the
- 7 availability of court filings on West Law or
- 8 Lexis?
- 9 A It, you know, it's one of those
- 10 deals, I don't have a specific recollection but
- I believe that somebody I talked to had
- mentioned that they had complained.
- 13 Q You don't recall who this person
- 14 is?
- 15 A No, I don't.
- 16 O Man or a woman?
- 17 A I believe it was a man, but I've --
- 18 I've had quite a few conversations with folks
- 19 about this issue so I can't be sure who it was.
- 20 Q Since the filing of the complaint,
- 21 you mean?
- 22 A Since and before.
- 23 Q So you've had quite a few
- 24 conversations about this issue before you filed
- a complaint in this action?

- MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 3 A Friends and colleagues, yes.
- 4 Q But you can't recall a single
- 5 conversation?
- A No.
- 7 Q Did you memorialize anything about
- 8 whether anybody has ever complained to Lexis or
- 9 West Law?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Nobody ever shared with you a copy
- of a written complaint?
- 13 A No.
- Q Nobody put that in an e-mail, this
- was all oral communications if they happened?
- 16 A They happened, communications about
- this issue, but I don't recall any e-mails.
- 18 Q Turning your attention to the
- 19 amended complaint which is still before you I
- 20 believe, you authorized the filing of the
- amended complaint, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Did you review it for factual
- 24 accuracy before it was filed?
- 25 A Yes.

- 2 copies of the Works, prepared derivative Works
- 3 and distributed copies of the Works, end quote.
- 4 Could you see that?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q What is the basis for your
- 7 allegation that West and Lexis have compared
- 8 derivative Works?
- 9 A Well, without limiting this
- 10 explicitly to the answer, I mean there's a lot
- of legal arguments involved, but I think
- 12 certainly taking the Works off of Pacer and
- putting them on their database would be one
- instance. Sending them vie an e-mail to someone
- 15 would be another instance.
- 16 O That would be a derivative work?
- 17 A I think it's a derivative work,
- 18 yes.
- 19 Q Okay. So --
- 20 A It's not a very significant change,
- but they indexed them, they put them on the
- database, they made them available. I think all
- those are derivative Works.
- 24 Q It's all creation of derivative
- 25 Works?

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q You aren't going to stop being a
- 4 lawyer if you lose this case, are you?
- 5 A I don't expect to.
- Q You don't expect to stop or you
- 7 don't expect to lose?
- 8 A Either.
- 9 Q All right. Assume with me that --
- 10 but you would agree that if you lost this case
- 11 you wouldn't stop being a lawyer, would you?
- 12 A Correct. Not just because of that.
- I mean at some point I will because I'll die or
- 14 retire.
- 15 Q And even if you lose this case you
- 16 will continue to represent your clients to the
- 17 best of your ability, won't you?
- 18 A Certainly.
- 19 Q And win or lose in this case, you
- will still file motions when you think it's in
- your client's best interest to do so, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And win or lose this case, you will
- 24 still respond to motions filed against your
- 25 clients in other cases, correct?

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Have you suffered any damages from
- 4 the available -- availability of the motion to
- 5 dismiss -- excuse me, the motion for summary
- judgment and the motion in limine on West Law?
- 7 MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 8 A Any actual damages?
- 9 Q Yes, any actual damages?
- 10 A Again, we talked early on about
- some -- some -- some of the perceived damage
- that I see that's difficult to calculate, but
- yes, I think I have and I think it's also
- 14 reduced the value of my practice to the extent
- 15 that the available -- availability of those
- 16 Works makes it easier for someone else to
- 17 undertake oil and gas litigation and
- 18 specifically kind of roll up the litigation like
- 19 those two briefs related to.
- 20 Q And do you have any knowledge about
- 21 another attorney accessing your motion for
- 22 summary judgment through West Law or Lexis?
- 23 A Other than as it relates to
- information I learned in this litigation, no.
- 25 Q And do you have any knowledge or

1 E. White

2 awareness of another attorney accessing your

3 briefs through West Law or Lexis in order to

4 provide services in competition with you?

5 A No, but I believe that happens.

Q What is the basis for your belief?

7 A Why does West and Lexis sell the

briefs other than for use by counsel and use by

counsel is to some extent by definition

10 competition and so it's facilitating more

11 effective competition with me.

Q And do you have any understanding
of how many people have accessed your motion for

14 summary judgment through Pacer?

15 A I don't.

6

9

16 Q Do you have any understanding of

how many attorneys have accessed your motion in

18 limine through Pacer?

19 A No.

Q Do you have any understanding of

21 how many attorneys have made copies of either

your motion for summary judgment or your motion

in limine at the courthouse?

24 A No.

25 Q So you have no idea whether or not

1 E. White

the percentage of attorneys who might have

accessed either of those Works through West Law

4 or Lexis is tiny as a percentage of the people

5 who have obtained copies of those documents

through Pacer or from the court itself?

A I don't know what fraction of people that have accessed those Works have done so through Lexis or West Law versus Pacer, if that's your question.

Q And if somebody wanted to see those briefs so that they could compete more effectively with you, they could go get a copy from Pacer, couldn't they?

A If they specifically knew about the case at issue and knew that they wanted those specific briefs, but it's, you know, not part of my complaint in this case is that it's the systematic way and you know, the text search ability and the ability to pull up a whole set of briefs on an issue is what undercuts my ability to practice. It's not just that one brief's available if you know that case and you know you want that brief, which has always been the case, but it's that there's a systematic way

- 2 that someone can have access, use, and basically
- 3 have a whole roadmap for a case based on briefs
- 4 on file.
- 5 Q And can you identify a single
- 6 client represent -- client representation that
- 7 you have lost because of the availability of one
- 8 of your Works on West Law or Lexis?
- 9 A I can't imagine someone coming to
- me and saying I would have hired you but I went
- to Joe Smith because he told me he could get
- these briefs off Lexis. It's never happened but
- 13 I can't imagine how it would.
- 14 Q And are you aware of any case that
- 15 you have lost in part because your litigation
- 16 adversaries had access to briefs that you had
- 17 filed in the past?
- 18 A Again, I can't imagine that
- 19 specifically coming up but it certainly is, and
- 20 I didn't mention that fact before, but that, the
- 21 access of adversaries to briefs in an easy
- fashion that involve Edward L., you know, Ed
- White, is another way in which I could have been
- 24 harmed by the --
- 25 Q The fact that a litigation

- 2 adversary could have used West Law or Lexis to
- obtain copies of briefs you have filed in the
- 4 past?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And your litigation adversaries
- 7 know who you are presumably, right?
- 8 A Presumedly.
- 9 Q So they could go to the courthouse
- and get copies of your briefs and filings,
- 11 couldn't they?
- 12 A Again, not as in a simple of
- 13 fashion, but yes.
- Q So it's easier for them to get it
- 15 through West Law and Lexis, but they could get
- it through publicly available records at the
- 17 courthouse or on Pacer, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q You have also alleged that you have
- 20 suffered irreparable injury from the
- 21 availability of the motion for summary judgment
- and the motion in limine on West Law?
- 23 A I think we allege that, yes.
- Q And is the irreparable injury any
- 25 different from what you've described? Is that a

- 2 different kind of harm or just a different way
- of describing the same problem from your
- 4 perspective?
- 5 A I think it's a different way of
- 6 describing the same problem.
- 7 Q And the same question as to Lexis,
- 8 have you suffered any irreparable injury from
- 9 the availability of the motion for summary
- judgment and the motion in limine on Lexis other
- 11 than what you've just described?
- 12 A I don't think so.
- 13 Q Turning your attention to paragraph
- 14 32. It's an allegation that says, quote, Unless
- 15 enjoined from doing so, the defendants'
- 16 continued commercial use of the Works will cause
- 17 plaintiff irreparable harm by depriving it of
- 18 both the right to control the reproduction and
- 19 distribution of copyrighted Works and to receive
- 20 revenue from those Works, end quote.
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q How are you being deprived of the
- 24 right to receive revenues from the motion in
- limine and the motion for summary judgment?

1 E. White

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A I think to the extent the copies of
my -- copies of derivative Works and the like
are created from my Works without payment and
without authorization I've been harmed.

Q Is the only loss of revenues to which you're referring the fact that you're not being paid a feed by West Law or Lexis?

9 A No, I think I've described other 10 harms.

Q But I'm -- I'm referring specifically to your allegation you are being deprived of your right to receive revenues from the motion for summary judgment and the motion in limine, and I'm trying to understand how you're being derived of the right to receive revenues from those Works?

A I think it says we've derive, or as I've discussed earlier, and then also in addition specifically, as you kind of hinted at, specific use based or access based or copy based fees associated with reproduction or creation of derivative Works.

Q You don't get any compensation from
Pacer when somebody uses Pace to make a copy of

- the motion for summary judgment or motion in
- 3 limine, do you?
- 4 A I don't.
- 5 Q You don't get compensated by the
- federal court system when somebody goes to the
- 7 courthouse and makes a copy of your motion for
- 8 summary judgment or your motion in limine, do
- 9 you?
- 10 A I don't.
- 11 Q Are you being damaged by the
- availability of your Works to the public through
- 13 Pacer?
- MR. BLUE: Objection to the extent
- it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 16 Q I'm asking for a factual -- I'm
- 17 asking a factual question. Are you being
- damaged by the availability of your court
- 19 filings to the public through Pacer?
- 20 A I don't know if I'd say it exactly
- 21 that way. I think that the value of my
- intellectual property would be slightly higher
- if it were not available on Pacer. I suspect
- that. But as part of being a part of the Pacer
- 25 system you have to submit Works to be a part of

- 2 the Pacer system.
- 3 Q And that's true for court filings,
- 4 right, too, when you make a public court filing
- 5 the public has access to it, right?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q Are you damaged by the availability
- 8 at the courthouse of copies of your public
- 9 filings?
- 10 A I suppose to a limited extent, yes,
- 11 the same extent, but part of the system.
- 12 Q And you're being irreparably
- injured by the fact that the court makes your
- documents available to the public, right?
- MR. BLUE: Objection.
- 16 A I don't know if I'd say it that
- 17 way.
- 18 Q Would you say you're being
- irreparably injured by the availability on Pacer
- of your briefs and other court filings?
- 21 A Again, I don't know that I'd say it
- that way. I don't -- they have -- it's a
- 23 different situation in my mind.
- Q And what is the difference?
- 25 A The federal systems for filing

1 E. White

pleadings, Pace is anyway, and it's a part of

- 3 how lawyers do business.
- 4 Q And it's been -- the public
- 5 availability of court filings have been part of
- 6 the way lawyers do business since long before
- 7 the invention of Pacer, correct?
- 8 A Generally speaking, court files
- 9 open, yes. But they're not indexed and they're
- 10 not available in an Internet search.
- MR. MARKS: We've reached a
- 12 convenient break point for lunch. Why
- don't we take however long you guys want
- to take for 40 minutes, half-hour?
- 15 MR. BLUE: Why don't we go off the
- 16 record?
- 17 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:50
- and we're off the record.
- 19 (Whereupon, at 12:50 p.m., a recess
- 20 was taken to 1:34 p.m.)
- 21 (The deposition resumed with all
- 22 parties present.)
- 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:34 and
- this begins tape number three of the
- videotaped deposition of Edward L.

- White.
- Q Mr. White, I'd like to start by
- 4 asking you a question that was asked earlier
- 5 today, and I understand from my colleagues that
- 6 the transcript may not have come out clearly so
- 7 bear with me, I'm just going to ask the question
- 8 again.
- 9 We were speaking earlier today
- 10 about the summary judgment motion that you
- filed on behalf of Miss Beer and Miss Bique in
- the Beer v. XTO Energy case.
- 13 Do you recall that?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Did the possibility that someone
- 16 might make a copy of your summary judgment
- motion and supporting brief from Pacer and
- 18 distribute that material affect your decision to
- 19 write the summary judgment motion?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Your professional reputation has
- not been compromised by the fact that West Law
- 23 and Lexis subscribers have been able to access
- some of your court filings through those
- 25 services, has it?

- 2 A I don't believe so.
- 3 Q And your ability to represent
- 4 clients effectively has not been comprised by
- 5 the availability of some of your past court
- filings on West Law or Lexis, has it?
- 7 A My ability to represent them
- 8 effectively has not been compromised, but as I
- 9 explained, I think it affects my business.
- 10 Q Because other lawyers are able to
- compete for business with you by -- through
- 12 access to your briefs?
- 13 A Well, as we've discussed, but yes,
- 14 essentially.
- 15 Q The brief filed on your behalf in
- opposition to West's and Lexis's partial motions
- to dismiss cited briefs written by other
- 18 lawyers, correct?
- 19 A I believe that's correct.
- 20 Q I don't want to make this a memory
- test. Let me hand you a copy of plaintiff's
- memorandum of law in opposition to defendants'
- 23 motions to dismiss filed on your behalf in this
- case.
- 25 If I could point your attention to

1 E. White

Q Do you recall approximately when
you registered to file with the Western -- to be
authorized to file electronically with the
Western District of Oklahoma?

A It was before it became mandatory, but I don't recall what year that was. There was a voluntary period where you could either file in paper file or file electronically, and then there was a point where it became mandatory and I had registered prior to that mandatory point.

Q Are you registered with any state courts to file electronically?

15 A No.

MR. WHITNEY: I'm going to mark two documents here just to speed up the process. The first document marked as White 11 is documents Bates numbered P25 to P29. And the second marked as White 12 is a document Bates numbered P86 to P91.

(E-mail bearing Bates numbers P25 to P29 was marked as White Exhibit No. 11 for identification, as of

E. White 1 2 this date.) 3 (E-mail bearing Bates numbers P86 to P91 was marked as White Exhibit No. 12 for identification, as of 5 this date.) 6 7 Mr. White, have you seen what's 0 been marked as Exhibit 11 before, which is the 8 one that starts with Bates number P25? 9 10 Α Yes. And what is it? 11 0 12 Α This is the -- a copy of the e-mail that's received from the court upon filing of a 13 document and this particular one is document 14 176, a motion in limine. 15 0 And is this the motion in limine 16 17 that is at issue in this case that you're 18 accusing Lexis and West and copyright infringement on? 19 20 I believe it's the same one, yes. And Exhibit 12 for Bates number 21 0 22 P86, can you tell me what that document is? Α It's the same kind of thing, it's 23

an e-mail notice regarding the motion for

summary judgment.

24

25

1 E. White

Q And is that the same -- is that the motion for summary judgment that is at issue in this case that you're accusing West and Lexis of copyright infringement on?

- A I believe so, there are two but I think this is the correct one.
- Q Did you receive these notices after
 filing the Works at issue in the Beer v. XTO

 Energy case?
- 11 A Yes, I would have received a notice 12 by e-mail.
- Q Do you have an understanding of who can get these notices?
- 15 A Anyone who's entered an appearance
 16 in the case, any counsel or parties or pro se
 17 entered an appearance, and I think in this
 18 particular instance it indicates on page P87 who
 19 got the notice.
- Q Do you know if attorneys who are not representing parties in this case can
- 22 receive these ECF notices?
- A I don't receive any in cases where
 I'm not an attorney but it's possible I suppose.
- Q What was your understanding of the

1 E. White

2 availability of these briefs after they were

3 filed?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

4 MR. BLUE: Objection, asked and

5 answered.

6 You can answer it again.

A My -- I knew that they were filed

and therefore available on Pacer and also I

believe that you could get a -- I say this -- I

think you can get a paper copy at the courthouse

although I have not done that in a long time.

Q If I can turn you to the -- sort of the top third of these documents, we can look at Exhibit 11 but you can see that the same language exists on Exhibit 12. It says, the second to last sentence above where it says U.S. District Court near in the middle of the page, "To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing." If you

want to back it up it says -- let me read the whole paragraph to make it clear.

"Judicial conference of the United
States policy permits attorneys of record and
parties in a case (including pro se
litigations) to receive one free electronic

```
1 E. White
```

- 2 copy of all documents filed electronically if
- 3 receipt is require by law or directed by the
- filing. Pacer access fees apply to all other
- 5 users. To avoid later charges, download a copy
- of each document during the first viewing.
- 7 However, if the reference document is a
- 8 transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do
- 9 not apply."
- 10 Do you see where it says that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q When you -- do you receive these
- notices when other attorneys file briefs in
- cases in which you are representing a party?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Do you download a copy of that
- 17 document upon receiving these notices?
- 18 A I typically don't, but Jan does on
- my behalf.
- Q What do you or Jan do with the
- 21 document after it's downloaded?
- 22 A Typically it's saved to our
- 23 computer files.
- Q And do you print out that document
- on occasion, those documents on occasion?

- 2 significant limitation.
- Q And is it your understanding that
- 4 copyright prohibits an individual from copying a
- 5 document without the authority of the copyright
- 6 owner?
- 7 MR. BLUE: Objection, calls for
- 8 legal conclusion.
- 9 You can answer.
- 10 A As a general rule, absent an
- 11 argument for fair use, yes.
- 12 Q And are you aware that a document
- available on Pacer can be copied by any member
- of the general public without permission of the
- 15 copyright owner?
- MR. BLUE: You're talking once
- again that it -- that's it's possible
- 18 that it's done or are you saying that
- it's lawful?
- MR. WHITNEY: I'm saying say it's
- 21 authorized by the person that filed the
- document.
- 23 A So I'm sorry --
- MR. WHITNEY: I'm sorry. On that
- basis I'll object on vague. If you can

- 2 restate it I would appreciate it.
- Q Okay. Are you aware that by filing
- a document with ECF, you are authorizing any
- 5 member of the public to access and copy the
- 6 document filed through ECF?
- 7 MR. BLUE: Objection calls, for a
- 8 legal conclusion.
- 9 You can answer.
- 10 A I'm aware of the idea as expressed
- in this policy that litigants -- litigants
- 12 receive a free copy and others can purchase
- 13 copies as specified here.
- 14 MR. BLUE: I just want the record
- to show that Mr. White is referring to
- 16 Exhibit No.
- 17 THE WITNESS: 15.
- 18 MR. BLUE: 15.
- 19 Q Do you have any objection to
- 20 members of the public accessing your document, a
- 21 document that you had filed with the court via
- 22 ECF accessing it via Pacer?
- 23 A Not generally.
- Q Do you have a specific objection to
- a member of the public accessing a document you

- filed via ECF via Pacer?
- A I don't have an objection to them
- 4 accessing it, I have an objection to what
- 5 happened to information that Lexis and West
- 6 accessed, but the access initially, no.
- 7 Q Do you have any objection to a
- 8 member of the public making a copy of the brief
- 9 that you have filed with the court via ECF via
- 10 Pacer?
- 11 A Not in general terms.
- 12 Q Do you have any specific objection
- to a member of the public making a copy of a
- 14 brief you have filed with the court via ECF via
- 15 Pacer?
- 16 A Well again, I filed suit, so I have
- an objection here. But other than this case, I
- don't know of any specific objectionable
- 19 instances.
- 20 Q So other than West and Lexis, you
- 21 have no objection to any member of the public
- 22 copying, making a copy of a brief you have filed
- 23 via ECF via Pacer?
- 24 A Objection to the extent it was
- 25 asked and answered earlier.

- But otherwise, you can answer.
- A I don't have a specific objection
- 4 at this time. I can see again hypotheticals
- 5 where I might have an objection, but I don't
- 6 know of any other than what I'm complaining
- 7 about here.
- 8 Q And you recognize that members of
- 9 the public may have made copies of briefs that
- 10 you have filed with the courts via ECF via
- 11 Pacer?
- 12 A It's possible.
- MR. WHITNEY: We're going to mark
- 14 Exhibit 16.
- 15 (Reed Elsevier Inc.'s (Lexis) First
- 16 Request for Documents was marked as
- 17 White Exhibit No. 16 for
- identification, as of this date.)
- 19 Q Just had the court reporter mark
- 20 Exhibit 16, which Plaintiff's Response to
- 21 Defendant Reed Elsevier Inc.'s (Lexis) First
- 22 Request for Documents. Lexis is in parenthesis.
- Have you seen this before, Mr.
- 24 White?
- 25 A Yes.

- 2 of our initial discussions of his work for the
- 3 firm.
- 4 Q Around what time would that have
- 5 been?
- 6 A I don't really know, I would say
- 7 2003, in that time frame. Could have been
- 8 earlier, 2002.
- 9 Q Do you recall a specific
- 10 conversation in this regard?
- 11 A No, it was ten years ago. But I
- recall that the subject matter of intellectual
- property and when intellectual property practice
- came up and to the extent he Works for the firm,
- it's the firm's property.
- 16 Q Okay. Request number 25 -- see
- 17 we're skipping right -- moving right along.
- 18 A That's nice.
- 19 Q The request seeks all
- 20 communications between you and LexisNexis
- 21 regarding the Works -- no, I'm sorry. All
- communications between you and LexisNexis. The
- response, notwithstanding the general objections
- as to the complaint work, plaintiffs have no
- 25 responsive documents.

- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Have there been any communications
- 5 between you and LexisNexis regarding the Works
- 6 at issue in this case?
- 7 A I don't believe so. Other than the
- 8 litigation.
- 9 Of course. Have there been any
- 10 communications between you and West Law
- 11 regarding the Works at issue in this case?
- 12 A Other than the litigation
- 13 communications, no.
- 14 Q Request number 26 seeks all
- 15 communications with third parties other than
- 16 counsel of record in this case about LexisNexis.
- 17 The response, notwithstanding the general
- objections as to the complaint Works, plaintiffs
- 19 have no responsive documents.
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Have there been any communications
- with third parties other than counsel of record
- in this case about LexisNexis with regard to the
- Works at issue in this case?

1 E. White

- 2 A Yes.
- Q Have there been any written

 communications with third parties other than

 counsel of record in this case about LexisNexis

6 with regard to the Works at issue in this case?

7 A No.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Do you recall any -- have there
 been any oral communications with third parties
 other than counsel of record in this case about
 LexisNexis with regard to the Works issue in
 this case?
 - A Yes. And as it relates to these communications or this question, generally as I've said, I know I've had communication with other colleagues and friends that are counsel about this particular issue. I don't know the specific communications but there are along the lines of did you know this was happening and that sort of thing.
 - Q Other than those conversations with colleagues, just letting them know what's happening, have you had any other communications regarding the work -- about LexisNexis with regard to the Works at issue in this case?

- 2 A I don't think so.
- 3 Q And the same response with regard
- 4 to West Law?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Look at request number 28. That
- 7 request seeks all correspondence, including, but
- 8 not limited to cease and desist letters and take
- 9 down notices with any person requesting that the
- 10 copyrighted material be removed from any
- 11 publicly available sources, whether available
- for free or for cost regardless of time period.
- 13 The response, notwithstanding the general
- objections as to the complaint Works,
- plaintiff's have no responsive documents.
- Do you see that?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Other than the complaint in this
- 19 action, do you have any correspondence with any
- 20 person requesting that copyrighted material be
- removed from any publicly available sources?
- A As to the Works, no.
- 23 Q Do you have any correspondence with
- any person requesting the copyrighted material
- be removed from any publicly available sources

- 2 not -- not including the Works?
- A Well, I've represented clients in
- 4 that context and asked for their Works to be
- 5 removed from various sources.
- 6 Q Have you ever asked for Works that
- 7 are -- that Edward L. White, P.C. claims
- 8 copyright ownership to be removed from any
- 9 publicly available sources other than the
- 10 complaint in this action?
- 11 A I don't believe so.
- 12 Q Did you search for documents
- responsive to these requests for production?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And what did you do in that search?
- 16 A Several things. I directed Jan to
- 17 get together some things, some obvious
- 18 categories of materials. There was some, the
- 19 registration form. I asked -- I asked her to
- look for documents that she might have in her
- computer or in the paper files that responded
- and then I did a search on my computer for
- 23 responsive materials as well.
- Q Did you search through e-mail?
- 25 A I searched through e-mail, yes.

- 2 A Is that the person at OCU who
- 3 accessed?
- 4 Q Maybe.
- 5 MR. BLUE: You don't get to ask
- 6 questions.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I get to ask. I
- 8 don't usually get the answers.
- 9 Other than on Lexis or West Law or
- in a court's electronic document system, are you
- aware of any documents that you authored and
- 12 filed with the court are available on line?
- 13 A I believe that one or more of the
- documents filed in the Beer versus XTO case is
- on file associated with the web site that's
- 16 maintained for that case.
- 17 Q Any other examples?
- 18 A It would not -- no, I'm not aware
- of any specific examples.
- MR. WHITNEY: Okay, let's take a
- 21 break here. I may be able to wrap it up
- 22 soon.
- VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:49 and
- 24 we're off the record.
- 25 (Whereupon, at 2:49 p.m., a recess

- 2 was taken to 2:58 p.m.)
- 3 (The deposition resumed with all
- 4 parties present.)
- 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:58 and
- 6 we're back on the record.
- 7 Q Mr. White, to the extent that
- 8 anyone accessed your briefs on Lexis or West
- 9 Law, you're not aware of the reasons why they
- 10 did, correct?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q And you did not create the motion
- for summary judgment at issue in this case in
- order to license or sell it to other lawyers,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A Not -- not for that purpose.
- 17 Q And you did not create the motion
- in limine at issue in this case license in order
- 19 to license or sell it to other lawyers, correct?
- 20 A Same answer.
- 21 Q Has anyone ever offered to license
- any of your briefs, pleadings or motions?
- 23 A Not directly.
- Q Have they offered indirectly?
- 25 A Well, to the extent I've been

1 E. White 2 contacted and people have asked me to serve as 3 co-counsel on the case, I think in part that's the work on cases I've done and they know I have knowledge that is embodied in those briefs. 5 But they've offered to retain you 6 as co-counsel? 7 Α Correct. 8 They haven't offered to license a 9 Q brief that you had previously offered? 10 Correct. 11 Α 12 0 And have you ever attempted to license any of the Works you've created and 13 filed with courts of record? 14 You mean to sell a, "Here's a copy 15 16 fro \$50," that kind of a transaction. 17 0 Correct. 18 Α No. 19 MR. MARKS: Nothing further. MR. BLUE: Nothing from the 20 21 plaintiff. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:59 p.m 22 and we're off the record. 23

(Time noted: 2:59 p.m.)

24

25

,		Page 204
1	E. White	
2	EDWARD L. WHITE	
3		
4		
5	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
6	thisday of2012.	
7		
8	NOTARY PUBLIC	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		