## EXHIBIT D

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----x

EDWARD L. WHITE, P.C.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 12-CV-1340 (JSR)

WEST PUBLISHING CORPORATION d/b/a "WEST" and REED ELSEVIER INC., d/b/a LEXIS NEXIS,

Defendants.

-----X

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF MARTIN S. HIGH, Ph.D.

Thursday, September 6, 2012

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

REPORTED BY:

Becky C. Dame

Ref: 8096

,		Page 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	September 6, 2012	
8	9:59 a.m.	
9		
10		
11		
12	Deposition of MARTIN S. HIGH, Ph.D., held	
13	at the offices of Crowe & Dunlevy, 20 N. Broadway,	
14	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, pursuant to Notice before	
15	BECKY DAME, a Shorthand Reporter of the State of	
16	Oklahoma.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
1		

			Page 3
1	APPE	ARANCES:	
2			
3		GREGORY A. BLUE, P.C. Appearing for Plaintiff and	
4		Witness MARTIN S. HIGH, Ph.D. BY: GREGORY A. BLUE, ESQ.	
5		The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2600	
6		New York, New York 10174 646.351.0006	
7		Blue@bluelegal.us	
8			
9		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP Attorneys for Defendant	
10		REED ELSEVIER, INC., D/B/A LEXIS NEXIS BY: CINDY P. ABRAMSON, ESQ.	
11		CRAIG B. WHITNEY, ESQ. 1290 Avenue of the Americas	
12		New York, New York 10104-0050 212.336.4122	
13		Cabramson@mofo.com cwhitney@mofo.com	
14			
15		WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP	
16		Attorney for Defendant WEST PUBLISHING CORPORATION d/b/a "WEST"	
17		BY: JOHN GERBA, ESQ. 767 Fifth Avenue	
18		New York, New York 10153 212.310.8000	
19		John.gerba@weil.com	
20			
21	Also	Present:	
22		Edward L. White, Esq Plaintiff C.J. Shelton - Legal Video Specialist,	
23			
24			
25			

		Page 4
1	INDEX	
2	P	age
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Abramson	7
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Gerba	55
5	EXHIBITS	
6	Exhibit Description	
7 8	OSU Bio of Dr. Martin Bio page from Mr. White's website Plaintiff's Combined Motion for Summary Judgment for Plaintiffs	14 14 26
9 10	Beer and Ramsey and Brief in Support Plaintiff's Motion in Limine Copyright web page printout for a	28 34
11	Handbook of Polymer Solution Thermodynamics	2.5
12	Motion in Support of Class Counsels' Fee Request and Request for Separate Allocation of Fees to White and to	37
13 14	Prior Class Representatives  Email from Mr. White to Mr. Martin  concerning Motion for Summary Judgment	66
	8 Motion for Summary Judgment in	68
15 16	the XTO case  9 Draft of Motion for Summary Judgment with MS Word edits	71
	10 Another version of Motion for Summary	74
17	Judgment 11 Partial Motion for Summary Judgment	80
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		

		rage 3
1	STIPULATIONS	
2	IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between	
3	the attorneys for the respective parties herein that	
4	the filing, sealing, and certification of the within	
5	deposition be waived.	
6	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that	
7	all objections, except as to the form of the	
8	question, shall be reserved to the time of the	
9	trial.	
10	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that	
11	the within deposition may be sworn to and signed	
12	before any officer authorized to administer an oath,	
13	with the same force and effect as if signed to	
14	before the court.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Tape No. 1 of

- 2 the videotape deposition of Martin High taken in the
- 3 matter of Edward L. White, P.C., versus West
- 4 Publishing Company, filed in the United States
- 5 District Court, Southern District of New York, Case
- 6 No. 12-CV-1340 (JSR).
- 7 This deposition is being held at 20 North
- 8 Broadway, in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102, on
- 9 September 6th, 2012, at approximately 9:59 a.m.
- 10 My name is C.J. Shelton from the firm of
- 11 Professional Reporters and I am a legal video
- 12 specialist. The court reporter is Becky Dame.
- Will counsel please introduce themselves
- 14 for the record?
- MR. BLUE: Gregory Blue for the plaintiff
- 16 and the witness.
- MS. ABRAMSON: Cindy Abramson from
- 18 Morrison & Foerster for Lexis Nexis, a division of
- 19 Reed Elsevier.
- 20 MR. WHITNEY: Craig Whitney, Morrison &
- 21 Foerster for Lexis Nexis, a division of Reed
- 22 Elsevier.
- MR. GERBA: John Gerba from Weil Gotshal
- 24 for Defendant, West Publishing Corporation.
- 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter

- 1 please swear in the witness?
- 2 WHEREUPON,
- 3 MARTIN S. HIGH, Ph.D.,
- 4 after having been first duly sworn, deposes and
- 5 says in reply to the questions propounded as
- 6 follows, to-wit:
- 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MS. ABRAMSON:
- 9 Q Good morning, Mr. High.
- 10 A Good morning.
- 11 Q Can you please state your name and address
- 12 for the record?
- 13 A Martin High, 4016 West Deer Crossing
- 14 Drive, Stillwater, Oklahoma.
- 15 Q Have you ever been deposed before?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 0 What were the circumstances?
- 18 A At least twice. Both were expert witness
- 19 cases. I was an expert witness on a dryer fire in a
- 20 poultry processing plant, and the other one was a
- 21 patent dispute.
- 22 Q And the first one was not a patent?
- 23 A It was not.
- Q Was it engineering? What was the basis of
- 25 your expertise?

1 fact questions about Mr. High's involvement in these

- 2 documents or fact questions about his involvement
- 3 with Ed White, P.C., that's fine, but you're totally
- 4 just making a legal argument, but I'm sure Judge
- 5 Rakoff would be fascinated by it, but these are not
- 6 deposition questions.
- 7 BY MR. GERBA:
- 8 Q You can answer the question.
- 9 A You've asked me -- you started out with
- 10 the presumption this is a hypothetical that you're
- 11 giving me, and now you're asking me whether I know
- there's an actual market to your hypothetical, and
- 13 the answer is yes.
- 14 Q And -- okay. Maybe I can just wrap this
- 15 up on this point.
- Dr. High, are you being compensated in any
- 17 way for your time here today?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Now, you talked a little bit about --
- 20 earlier about your firm, Martin S. High, P.C. Does
- 21 that firm have any employees?
- 22 A Myself.
- Q Other than yourself?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q And you also testified earlier that you do

- 1 some work for Ed L. White, P.C.; correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q Roughly how much of your professional time
- 4 is spent on work for White, P.C.?
- 5 A During what time frame?
- 6 Q Let's -- since 2009.
- 7 A Okay. During calendar year 2009, the
- 8 amount of work that I spent was considerable because
- 9 of the Beer versus XTO case, there was a lot going
- 10 on. I would say up to -- in any given week, the
- 11 maximum of around maybe even 30 hours a week, and
- 12 then some weeks down to zero, depending on -- excuse
- 13 me -- depending on what was going on with the case.
- 14 But in 2009, in particular, there was a lot going on
- 15 with the case.
- 16 O And since 2009?
- 17 A 2010, much less. And when the class was
- 18 decertified, obviously, our involvement dropped off
- 19 precipitously. 2011, 2012, per week, close to zero.
- 20 Q In your work for White, P.C., do you have
- occasion to speak with White, P.C.'s clients?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And during those conversations, have you
- ever discussed who would own the IP rights for
- 25 documents filed on behalf of those clients?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q Do you know if White, P.C., has any
- 3 agreements with its clients about who would own the
- 4 intellectual property rights for documents filed on
- 5 behalf of those clients?
- 6 A I am not aware of any.
- 7 Q Dr. High, the possibility that Westlaw or
- 8 Lexis Nexis might make a legal document you drafted
- 9 available on one of their services, that possibility
- 10 wouldn't stop you from creating legal documents,
- 11 would it?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q And even if you knew that Westlaw or Lexis
- 14 Nexis was going to put a legal document you drafted
- on their service, you would still create that
- 16 document if you thought it benefit your client's
- 17 case; right?
- 18 A I would.
- 19 O I'd like us to take a look back at what
- 20 has been marked as High Exhibit 3.
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q And I apologize if we go over some stuff
- 23 you've already answered, but do you believe you have
- 24 any copyright interest in this document?
- 25 A No.

1 Q Other than either filing with the court or

- 2 sending copies to a client, the client of White,
- P.C., have you distributed this document to anybody?
- 4 A Well, of course, it would have gone to
- 5 opposing counsel.
- 6 Q Other than opposing counsel?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q And are you aware of -- other than
- 9 opposing counsel, filing with the court, sending it
- 10 to the client, are you aware of White, P.C.,
- 11 distributing this document to anybody?
- 12 A I am not.
- 13 Q Has anyone ever asked you for a copy of
- 14 this work?
- 15 A Not that I can recall, no.
- 16 Q Has anyone ever asked you for permission
- 17 to copy this work?
- 18 A Not that I can recall, no.
- 19 Q Has anyone ever asked you for a license
- 20 for the rights in this work?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q I believe earlier you testified that you
- 23 thought -- your memory was that this document was
- 24 drafted, at least in the first instance, by
- 25 Mr. White and you really added only editorial

- 1 comments to it?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q What is your understanding -- when you say
- 4 "editorial," what do you mean by that?
- 5 A Oh, you know, word choice, some
- 6 grammatical questions. I might have added or
- 7 amplified some points that he wrote. I might have
- 8 added some citation -- excuse me. I might have
- 9 added some citations where I thought they were
- 10 necessary, you know, basically -- basic legal
- 11 drafting suggestions to add.
- 12 (Exhibit 7 marked for identification)
- 13 BY MR. GERBA:
- 14 Q I'd like to mark as High Exhibit 7 a
- document Bates numbered P00339.
- Do you recognize this document?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And what is it?
- 19 A It's an e-mail from Ed to me concerning a
- 20 Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 21 Q Do you believe that this Motion for
- 22 Summary Judgment is referring to Exhibit 3?
- 23 A I don't know for sure. I suspect it's in
- the correct time frame of when the Motion for
- 25 Summary Judgment was documented. There wasn't any

- 1 Ed at the time.
- 2 Q And so, if you know, your understanding
- is, he was an employee of Edward L. White, P.C.?
- 4 A I don't know whether he was an employee.
- 5 I don't know what their formal relationship was.
- 6 Q And on the front page, it says, "Submitted
- 7 by, " and then it has "Edward L. White, Diane
- 8 Goldschmidt, and William L. Oldfield" listed, and
- 9 then below that it says, "and Foshee and Yaffe,
- 10 P.C."
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q Are you familiar with that firm?
- 13 A I've met them, so my extent of knowledge
- of them is to the point where we've met at some
- 15 gatherings.
- 16 Q Do you know if there were any agreements
- 17 between Edward L. White and Diane Goldschmidt about
- 18 who would own the copyright in this brief?
- 19 A I do not know if there were any
- 20 agreements.
- 21 Q Do you know if there were any agreements
- between Edward L. White and Foshee and Yaffe, P.C.,
- who would own the copyrights to this document?
- 24 A I do not.
- 25 Q Do you know if Mr. White asked for

1 Ms. Goldschmidt's permission to provide you a copy

- 2 of this brief?
- 3 A I do not know.
- 4 Q And do you know if Mr. White asked for
- 5 Foshee and Yaffe, P.C.'s permission to provide you a
- 6 copy of this brief?
- 7 A I do not.
- 8 THE WITNESS: While you get yourself
- 9 organized, I'm just going to grab a little more
- 10 water.
- MR. GERBA: Sure.
- 12 BY MR. GERBA:
- 13 Q If we could go back to Exhibit 4, and,
- 14 again, this is the Motion in Limine filed on behalf
- of the plaintiffs in the Beer v XTO litigation by
- 16 Edward L. White, P.C.; correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Other than this document being filed with
- 19 the court, provided to clients, and served on the
- other side, are you aware of any other distribution
- 21 of this document?
- 22 A I am not.
- 23 Q Did you distribute it to anyone?
- 24 A I don't believe so, no.
- 25 Q Has anyone ever asked you for a copy of

- 1 this document?
- 2 A Not that I can recall, no.
- 3 Q And has anybody ever asked you for
- 4 permission to copy this document?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Has anybody ever asked you for a license
- 7 for the rights in this document?
- 8 A No.
- 9 MR. GERBA: Can we go off the record for
- 10 five minutes?
- 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record
- 12 at 12:02.
- 13 (Off the record)
- 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
- 15 record at 12:06.
- 16 BY MR. GERBA:
- 17 Q Dr. High, I believe earlier you testified
- 18 that it was your presumption that, using your word,
- 19 that White, P.C., would own all the copyright and
- 20 works you did for White, P.C.; is that correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q Was that presumption ever discussed with
- 23 Mr. White?
- MR. BLUE: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 25 You can answer.