Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, CASE NO.

vs.

12-CV-2826(DLC)

APPLE INC., et. al,

Defendants.

-----x HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

DAVID NAGGAR

JANUARY 30, 2013

1918 Eighth Avenue

Seattle, Washington

REPORTED BY: PAUL J. FREDERICKSON, CCR, CSR JOB NO. 28641

		114			116
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	The decision that Amazon was not that interested		2	"But Amazon may make more money with its model."
	3	in an agency model, that was made by Mr. Bezos		3	Do you see that?
	4	previously?		4	A. I do.
11:07:11	5	MR. SUTTON: Objection.	11:08:58	5	Q. Do you believe that that that that's
	6	A. No, it was made in a group setting with		6	true, that Amazon may make more money under the agency
	7	Mr. Bezos was there but it was several of us		7	model than it had been under the reseller model?
	8	discussing the options with our lawyers.		8	MR. FRIEDMAN: Object to form.
	9	Q. And it was made earlier in the week?		9	MR. KIPLING: Object to form.
11:07:24	10	MR. KIPLING: Objection.		10	MR. SUTTON: Object to form.
	11	Which week are you talking about?		11	A. I don't know under this specific proposal.
	12	BY MR. PARKER:		12	BY MR. PARKER:
	13	Q. Well, what I'm referring to is the witness		13	Q. Had Amazon ever looked at that, that is
	14	has testified he went to New York and said that Amazon		14	looked at the economics of agency, whether from an
11:07:31	15	wasn't interested in the agency model.	11:09:25	15	economic point of view it might be in Amazon's interest
	16	A. Yeah.		16	to actually agree to the agency model?
	17	Q. And I assume you were authorized by top		17	A. I just want to clarify something.
	18	management to say that; am I right?		18	Q. Please.
	19	A. Yeah, I I don't remember when we		19	A. You're referring to the agency model as a
11:07:41	20	discussed it with Jeff. This may have been something	11:09:36	20	monolithic uniform model.
11.07.41			11.09.36	21	You can see that in his email he's offering a 5
	21	Russ and I discussed. I don't remember.			•
	22	Q. Okay. All right.		22	percent commission on best sellers and a 20 percent
	23	The second to last paragraph, the last sentence		23	commission on back list.
	24	says:		24	Q. Right.
11:07:56	25	"I assume this agency model will change where	11:09:48	25	A. Which is a very different economic
		115			117
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	you earn your margin, more margin on best sellers and		2	proposition from a 30 percent commission across the
	3	less on the back list, but you may make more money with		3	board.
	4	this model."		4	Q. Which is what you ultimately agreed to, 30
11:08:10	5	Do you see that?	11:09:56	5	percent?
	6	A. I do.		6	A. With HarperCollins, yes.
	7	Q. Is that a true statement, in your judgment?		7	Q. Yes. All right.
	8	MR. KIPLING: Object to the form.		8	Did you did Amazon okay, that's a very
	9	Go ahead.		9	good point.
11:08:20	10	A. I I don't know. Can you clarify a	11:10:06	10	Did Amazon ever do an analysis of the economics
	11	little bit for me?		11	of the agency model under any assumption, of commission
	12	BY MR. PARKER:		12	or whatever?
	13	Q. Well, my question is that under the agency		13	MR. SUTTON: Objection.
	14	model would you make more margin on the best sellers		14	A. I don't remember seeing a specific
11:08:29	15	and less on the back list? Is that something you is	11:10:21	15	financial analysis.
11.00.29	16		11.10.21	16	•
		that a true statement?			Q. Did you have had you seen any analysis
	17	MR. FRIEDMAN: Object as to form.		17	that suggested that under certain assumptions Amazon
	18	A. I don't know. It is it is probably		18	might make more money under the agency model?
11.00	19	true on best sellers. I don't know that it's true on	11.12.	19	MR. SUTTON: Objection.
11:08:44	20	back lists.	11:10:37	20	A. Not that I recall. I don't remember any
	21	Q. Okay.		21	specific analyses.
	22	And it says but you and you understand "you"		22	BY MR. PARKER:
	23	to be Amazon. Am I right?		23	Q. Wouldn't that be a logical thing to do?
	24	A. Yes.		24	MR. SUTTON: Objection.
11:08:51	25	Q. The way you interpret the document.	11:10:47	25	MR. KIPLING: Object to form.

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

		118	3		120
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	A. I don't know.	•	2	Q. What are his duties and responsibilities?
	3	Q. I mean, why would you reject it without		3	A. He is a lawyer at Amazon.
	4	looking at the economics?		4	Q. Business lawyer?
L1:10:58	5	MR. KIPLING: Same objection.	11:12:34	5	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection to form.
	6	MR. SUTTON: Objection.		6	A. I'm not sure I understand that term.
	7	A. We're very focused on the customer		7	BY MR. PARKER:
	8	experience first and foremost. Build a great customer		8	Q. Was he working with you on negotiating the
	9	experience, and customers will respond to it by		9	agency contracts?
1:11:08	10	engaging in your service. And our strong feeling was	11:12:42	10	A. At the time we were not negotiating agency
	11	that the customer would not be benefited by a move to		11	contracts.
	12	an agency model.		12	Q. But at the time you did, was he working
	13	Q. Because?		13	with you on those contracts?
	14	A. They would pay higher prices.		14	A. Yes, he was.
1:11:23	15	Q. There would be no windowing. Isn't that a	11:12:48	15	Q. I mean, some lawyers work on litigation and
	16	benefit?		16	some lawyers work on
	17	MR. SUTTON: Objection.		17	A. Oh, I see.
	18	MR. KIPLING: Objection to form.		18	Q on contracts. He was more of a person
	19	A. There was that is not a standard part		19	who would work on transactions and contracts
1:11:31	20	the agency model that there be no windowing.	11:12:58	20	MR. SUTTON: Objection.
	21	Q. No. But in connection with your		21	MR. FRIEDMAN: Object to the form.
	22	negotiations on the agency model, you got agreements		22	Q. Contracts the like; am I right?
	23	that there wouldn't be any windowing. Am I right?		23	A. In my dealings with him, it was around
	24	MR. SUTTON: Objection.		24	contracts. I don't know the rest of his
1:11:41	25	A. I I believe you are in most cases.	11:13:04	25	responsibilities.
		119	9		123
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	Q. And that's a benefit to customers; right?		2	Q. Thank you.
	3	A. Yes.		3	David Zapolsky?
	4	Q. Okay.		4	A. Yes.
11:11:54	5	Now, you talked about a meeting and you can't	11:13:07	5	O. Who is he?
	6	remember exactly when it was. Was it was it before?	11113107	6	A. Another lawyer at Amazon.
	7	Do you think it was before your trip to New York or		7	Q. And what were his responsibilities as far
	8	after?		8	as you know?
	9	A. The meeting I was referring to earlier?		9	A. I actually don't know. I mean, I know he
	10	Q. Yes, sir.	11:13:17	10	was a lawyer that was in many of our meetings but I
	11	A. It would have been after.	111111111	11	don't know what his specific job duties were.
	12	Q. Okay.		12	Q. You didn't then and you don't now?
	13	You can't remember a date?		13	A. Now I know.
	14	A. No, that's the one that was right after		14	Q. And what does he do now?
1:12:12	15	the John Sargent visit.	11:13:27	15	A. Now he is general counsel.
	16	Q. Got it. Right.	11111111111	16	Q. Now he is a general counsel.
	17	A. January 28.		17	And approximately when did that happen?
	18	Q. All right.		18	A. A few months ago, I believe.
	19	And so Tim Leslie was there?		19	
	20	A. Yes.	11:13:34	20	Q. Okay. Very recently?
	21		11.13.34	20	
	22	Q. All right. And who is Mr. Leslie?		21	A. Very recently.
				23	Q. Okay. Great. Michelle Wilson. She is a lawyer?
		A. An AGC at Amazon.	1	45	viichene vynson. Sne is a lawver?
	23 24	Q. I'm sorry, I don't know what		24	A. She was general counsel at the time.

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

		122			124
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
1	2	So she has now left the company, Ms. Wilson?		T 2	with something else that's permitted that doesn't invade
	3	A. I don't know her status with the company.		3	the privilege.
	4	She is snow longer general counsel.		4	BY MR. PARKER:
11:13:47	5	Q. All right.	11:15:17	5	Q. All right. What were there business
	6	Mr. Bezos was there?		6	decisions that came out of this meeting?
	7	A. Yes.		7	A. Not as distinct from asking for lawyers'
	8	O. Mr. Kessel was there?		8	counsel as to what we could do.
	9	A. Yes.	'	9	Q. Did you come up with a business strategy
11:13:54	10	Q. Laura Porco was there?	11:15:32	10	for dealing with proposals by Mr such as that
	11	A. Yes.		11	presented by Mr. Murray and others?
	12			12	
	13	Q. And you were there?		13	MR. KIPLING: You can answer yes or no to this.
		A. Yes.			
	14	Q. And Mr. Grandinetti was there?	11.15.46	14	A. No.
	15	A. Yes.	11:15:46	15	MR. SUTTON: Objection.
	16	Q. All right.		16	BY MR. PARKER:
	17	So at that meeting did anybody outline the pros		17	Q. So what did you come up with?
	18	and cons to Amazon of going to the agency model?		18	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection.
	19	MR. KIPLING: I'm going to object and		19	MR. KIPLING: That instruct him not to
11:14:12	20	instruct the witness not to answer about what was	11:15:51	20	answer.
	21	discussed in the meeting.		21	You can ask him about what he did after the
	22	I've conferred with him and it was in his view and		22	meeting and what Amazon did after the meeting.
	23	in my view a meeting at which legal advice was being		23	MR. PARKER: Oh, I'm about to.
	24	sought and delivered by the lawyers for the company.		24	MR. KIPLING: Okay.
11:14:25	25	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	11:15:56	25	MR. PARKER: But I'm just asking.
		123			125
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	BY MR. PARKER:		2	BY MR. PARKER:
	3	Q. Were you personally seeking legal advice?		3	Q. Was there any strategy of any kind that
	4	MR. KIPLING: He I'm not suggesting that		4	came out of this meeting, strategic decisions for
11:14:31	5	he was. I'm suggesting that Amazon was seeking legal	11:16:04	5	Amazon?
11.11.21	6	advice. That's my client. And on that basis I'm	11110101	6	MR. KIPLING: Object to the form.
	7	,		7	
	,	instructing this employee of Amazon not to answer the			And you can answer yes or no.
	0	question.		8	A. No.
	9	BY MR. PARKER:		9	Q. So how did you leave it when you left?
11:14:42	10	Q. Was there any	11:16:15	10	Were you going to have another meeting or were you
	11	MR. PARKER: I mean, Mike are you going to		11	going to continue thinking about it or
	12	block me on any question here? I mean, I can't ask any		12	A. Yeah. We there is no definitive
	13	question whatsoever?	_	13	decision when I left the meeting.
	14	MR. KIPLING: You've spent 20 minutes asking		14	Q. Was there a decision to pull the buy
11:14:53	15	him questions about this meeting which has probed who was	11:16:27	15	buttons on the Macmillan products on the Amazon site?
	16	there, when it happened.		16	THE WITNESS: Okay?
	17	MR. PARKER: Right.		17	A. Not in that meeting, no.
	18	MR. KIPLING: How long it was, whether		18	Q. There was at another meeting?
	19	documents were prepared, which I believe pretty much		19	A. At some point later I was informed that we
11:15:02	20	exhausts what you're permitted to inquire about in a	11:16:43	20	were pulling the buy buttons from Macmillan but I was
	21	meeting that's privileged.		21	not at the meeting where the decision was made.
	22	MR. PARKER: All right.		22	Q. Okay.
	23	Well, I'm		23	By who informed you?
	24	MR. KIPLING: So beyond that I guess I		24	A. Russ.
			11:16:51	25	Q. What did he say?

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

		126			128
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
•	T 2	A. He said, "We're going to buy pull the buy		T 2	buttons on Macmillan.
	3	buttons on the Macmillan books."		3	A. Right.
	4	Q. Did you tell him that was not a very good		4	MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection to form.
11:17:00	5	idea or did you agree with it or express any opinion at	11:18:43	5	MR. KIPLING: I think he is, too.
	6	all?		6	THE WITNESS: I think I
	7	MR. SUTTON: Objection, form.		7	MR. KIPLING: This is argumentative. Let's
	8	A. No, I understood why we were doing it.		8	get back to question and answer form.
	9	Q. Did he ask for your opinion as to whether		9	BY MR. PARKER:
11:17:09	10	that was a good strategy or not?	11:18:48	10	Q. You said earlier you understood why Amazon
	11	A. Not at that point, no.		11	was pulling the buy buttons on Macmillan, four or five
	12	Q. Did you express your opinion on whether		12	questions ago. What why were they doing it?
	13	that was a good strategy or not?		13	A. To show to what level we felt that moving
	14	A. No. We had things to execute at that		14	to an agency model was not a model we wanted to move
11:17:19	15	point.	11:19:03	15	to.
	16	Q. You just executed?		16	Q. You picked on Macmillan because they were
	17	A. At that point, yeah.		17	the smallest publisher?
	18	Q. All right.		18	MR. SUTTON: Objection.
	19	At any point did you express an opinion to		19	A. I don't believe we picked on Macmillan at
11:17:28	20	Mr. Grandinetti as to whether pulling the buy buttons	11:19:10	20	all. I believe Macmillan came out, presented a set of
	21	on Macmillan was a good idea?		21	terms of sale, presented us with an ultimatum, and
	22	A. I'm		22	threatened us with an inability to offer customers new
	23	MR. KIPLING: I'm going to instruct him not		23	releases if we didn't acquiesce to a move to an agency
	24	to answer what was discussed at the meeting with the		24	model.
11:17:37	25		11:19:26	25	
11.17.37	25	general counsel of the company. But other than that, you	11.19.20	25	Q. So why didn't you do it to everybody else
		127			129
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	can seek an answer.		2	too?
	3	BY MR. PARKER:		3	A. No one else had presented us with the
	4	Q. Go ahead.		4	ultimatum at that point.
1:17:48	5	A. No. I mean, we discussed how we would	11:19:34	5	Q. You wanted to make an example out of
	6	respond to being forced into a model we didn't like.		6	Macmillan?
	7	Removing buy buttons was one option.		7	MR. SUTTON: Objection.
	8	Q. Do you think it was a good idea?		8	A. No, we were responding to the specific
	9	A. I think it was the right move.		9	offer that Macmillan had put in front of us.
1:18:05	10	Q. It was a disaster, wasn't it?	11:19:43	10	Q. What signal were you trying to send to the
	11	MR. SUTTON: Objection.		11	marketplace?
	12	A. No.		12	MR. SUTTON: Objection.
	13	Q. Did customers like it?		13	MR. KIPLING: Object to the form.
	14	A. No.		14	A. I don't believe we were trying to send a
1:18:13	15	Q. Did that create a good customer experience	11:19:51	15	signal. We were dealing with a very specific
1.10.13	16		1111111111111	16	instance.
	17	when the buy buttons on Macmillan were pulled? A. No. Which is exactly why it was such a		17	
	18	• •		18	Q. How did that work out for Amazon? MR ERIEDMAN: Objection form
		hard decision.			MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection, form.
1 - 1 0 - 0 4	19	Q. You made the decision anyway.		19	A. Can you be more specific.
1:18:24	20	A. The decision was made anyway.		20	BY MR. PARKER:
	21	Q. Even if it was a bad experience for		21	Q. Well, did it end up being a good strategy
	22	customers in that in that instance?		22	for Amazon? Did it achieve any business objective by
	23	A. In the in our opinion moving to an		23	doing that?
	24	agency model was a worse experience for customers.		24	MR. KIPLING: Object to the form.
1:18:37	25	Q. No, no. I'm talking about pulling the buy	11:20:08	25	 A. It's hard to say. We wound up with a

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

		130			132
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
•	T 2	negotiated contract with Macmillan a week later. That		2	Q. All right.
	3	was the end result.		3	So let's look at this document while you were
	4	Q. Sitting here today looking back on it, was		4	out of the room I marked as Exhibit No. 7, an email.
11:20:16	5	that a good idea, pulling the buy buttons on Macmillan?	11:26:13	5	And you said you've seen it. Can you identify these
	6	MR. FRIEDMAN: Object to form.		6	emails, sir?
	7	MR. KIPLING: Object to the form.		7	A. Yes. It is an email thread between
	8	MR. SUTTON: Objection.		8	myself, Russ Grandinetti, Steve Kessel and Tim Leslie
	9	A. I believe it was the right decision.		9	about a conversation with Brian.
11:20:26	10	Q. You still do?	11:26:26	10	Q. Okay.
	11	A. Yes, I do.		11	And so the bottom email is one from Kessel to
•	12	Q. All right. Okay.		12	Grandinetti and David Naggar saying:
	13	MR. PARKER: Let's do the next document.		13	"Let me know how the calls go today."
	14	[Discussion off the record.]		14	Were you instructed to make calls to the
11:20:36	15	MR. PARKER: Oh, okay. All right. Fine.	11:26:45	15	publishers on January 25 of '10?
	16	We'll just do the tapes right now.		16	A. We were either making or receiving phone
	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here marks the end of disc		17	calls from publishers in followup to their the
	18	number one in the video deposition of David Naggar.		18	meetings the previous week in New York.
	19	Going off the record. The time is 11:21.		19	Q. And what can you remember about what you
11:21:05	20	[Recess at 11:20 a.m.]	11:26:58	20	were communicating to the publishers?
11.21.03	21		11.20.30	21	•
	22	[Resuming at 11:25 a.m.]		22	A. We were continuing to voice our view that
		[Deposition Exhibit 7 marked.]			it would be a very poor customer experience for us to
	23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here marks the beginning		23	move to an agency model, that we didn't feel that
11.05.10	24	of disc number 2 in the continuing video deposition of	11.07.00	24	publishers were prepared to take on pricing. None of
11:25:10	25	David Naggar. Back on the record. The time is 11:25.	11:27:20	25	them had hired any staff or done any other work to be
		131			133
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	EXAMINATION CONT'G		2	prepared to handle consumer pricing for thousands of
	3	BY MR. PARKER:		3	books in a digital environment, where it should be
	4	Q. We were talking about this meeting, and I		4	dynamic. And that we didn't feel we wanted to move to
11:25:19	5	could not inquire about what happened at the meeting	11:27:33	5	an agency model.
	6	but I can inquire about what you did coming out of the		6	Q. Did you commence discussions nonetheless
	7	meeting.		7	about what an agency model might look like at this
	8	And so the question is, tell me what you did		8	time?
	9	coming out of the meeting.		9	A. No. We were mostly in listening mode,
11:25:27	10	MR. SUTTON: Objection, form.	11:27:45	10	hearing what the publishers were going to put in front
	11	BY MR. PARKER:		11	of us.
	12	Q. Go ahead.		12	Q. And in a mode of resisting the move to
	13	A. Yeah. I we left the meeting without		13	agency at that time?
	14	making any final determinations as to what next steps		14	A. Correct.
L1:25:42	15	were. So I was really just waiting for a next step.		15	Q. All right.
	16	Q. So you were just waiting for instructions?		16	With whom did you speak on January the 25th, you
	17	A. Sure.		17	personally?
	18	Q. Is it fair to state the meeting you're		18	A. Just got off the phone with Brian, is what
	19	talked about was on the 28th of January? Is that		19	the document says. So Brian Murray.
	20	right?	11:28:06	20	Q. Brian being Brian Murray?
	21	A. I if that's the date that John Sargent		21	A. Brian Murray, yes.
	22	came out, yes.		22	Q. All right. Okay.
		Q. Okay.		23	And please describe your conversation with
	23				
	23 24	A. I know it more in relation to that event		24	Brian.

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

		134			136
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	remember the specific conversation.		T 2	there was a document being prepared for Mr. Bezos
	3	Q. Right.		3	setting forth the pros and cons of Amazon moving to the
	4	A. He was still unsure of whether they were		4	agency model?
11:28:23	5	going to be part the Apple announcement. Didn't seem	11:30:42	5	MR. SUTTON: Objection, form.
	6	to be wedded to specific agency models. He was		6	A. I have no recollection of such a document.
	7	willing to look at various options or at least he led		7	Q. You've never heard of it?
	8	me to believe he had the ability to mix and match his		8	A. I have no recollection of such a document.
	9	model.		9	Q. Okay.
11:28:40	10	Q. And what did you communicate to him about	11:30:51	10	Was there setting aside a document. Were you
	11	your position?		11	assigned to come up with a presentation, whether orally
	12	A. That we were still very strongly objecting		12	or written, about the pros and cons of the agency
	13	to an agency model.		13	model?
	14	Q. Did you		14	A. No, I was not.
11:28:49	15	A. And we didn't want to go there.	11:31:11	15	Q. Do you know whether anybody was?
	16	Q. Did you give him any hope there might be		16	A. No, I don't.
	17	some compromise here that you might be able to work		17	Q. Could you see personally any benefit to
	18	out?		18	Amazon going to the agency model as of the 25th of
	19	A. No.		19	January?
11:28:57	20	Q. You did not. All right.		20	A. No.
11.20.37	21	- v		21	Q. Okay.
		And it's on the 25th of January you got to work.			- ,
	22	Did Mr. Grandinetti advise you that the day before he		22	So on the 28th you had a meeting with the people
	23	attended a meeting with Mr. Bezos in his boathouse?		23	we described, and shortly thereafter you, Amazon,
	24	A. I have no knowledge of such a meeting.		24	pulled the buy buttons on Macmillan; correct?
11:29:16	25	Q. Did he simply tell you that he had met with	11:31:52	25	MR. SUTTON: Objection, form.
		135			137
	1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		1	DAVID NAGGAR - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	Mr. Bezos and others over that weekend?		2	A. The next day.
	3	A. He did not.		3	Q. And you were personally involved in
	4	Q. He never mentioned it to you at all?		4	executing that strategy?
11:29:25	5	A. He did not.		5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. And so how did you know he came in and		6	Q. What did Mr. Grandinetti tell you about why
	7	said, "Look, what we've got to do is call these		7	you were doing that?
	8	publishers and continue discussions"?		8	MR. SUTTON: Objection, form.
	9	A. Well, there was no difference in our		9	A. We were taking them down because they had
11:29:36	10	stance from the week before, which was we were very	11:32:10	10	presented us with an ultimatum and terms we couldn't
	11	much against agency and we were looking to find out		11	live with and told us we had no option but to go with
	12	what the publishers were going to do and how far they		12	those terms.
	13	were going to push it.		13	
	14			14	Q. And if you didn't go with those terms, what
11.20.47		Q. Okay.	11.22.21		did Mr. Sargent say would be the consequences?
11:29:47	15	Isn't it a fact that you told Mr. Murray that	11:32:31	15	A. Well, he said, "You have a choice. You
	16	people at Amazon were preparing a document setting		16	can either go to the agency model or you cannot see
	17	forth pros and cons of the agency model for Mr. Bezos?		17	new releases for seven months."
	18	MR. SUTTON: Objection.		18	Q. It was, I'm sorry, seven months?
	19	A. Not to my knowledge.		19	A. I believe it was seven months, yes.
11:30:15	20	Q. Were you the only one that had a	11:32:43	20	Q. So it was sign up with the agency model or
	21	conversation that day with Mr. Murray?		21	windowing; am I right?
	22	A. I don't know.		22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. Do you know if Mr. Grandinetti did?		23	Q. All right.
	24	A. I do not.		24	How long were the buy buttons pulled on
11:30:26	25	Q. Was it the truth that internally at Amazon	11:32:54	25	Macmillan titles?

35 (Pages 134 to 137)