EXHIBIT E

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----x

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, CASE NO.

vs.

12-CV-2826(DLC)

APPLE INC., et. al,

Defendants.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KEVIN SAUL

> FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2013 9:11 A.M.

REPORTED BY: KIMBERLEE SCHROEDER, CSR, RPR, CCRR JOB NO. 28893

			96
1	11:52:21	A. No.	
2	11:52:22	Q. Do you recall let me ask it differently,	
3	11:52:31	because I hate saying "do you recall" for every	
4	11:52:33	question.	
5	11:52:34	Did any publisher during those meetings tell	
6	11:52:38	you they were interested in an agency model to fix	
7	11:52:41	Amazon's pricing?	
8	11:52:43	A. No.	
9	11:52:44	Q. No, meaning no one ever said that to you?	
10	11:52:54	A. I don't recall, no.	
11	11:52:55	Q. I just want to make sure.	
12	11:52:57	A. M hm.	
13	11:52:58	Q. Are you saying I don't recall whether they	
14	11:53:00	did, or are you saying no, to the best of my	
15	11:53:04	recollection, nobody ever said that?	
16	11:53:05	A. No, to the best of my recollection.	
17	11:53:07	Q. Okay. Just so we're clear, to the best of	
18	11:53:10	your recollection, nobody ever said that Apple was	
19	11:53:12	interested excuse me.	
20	11:53:13	To the best of your recollection, during	
21	11:53:15	those meetings, no one ever told Apple that they were	
22	11:53:18	interested in an agency model to fix Amazon's pricing?	
23	11:53:21	A. Correct.	
24	11:53:22	Q. The agency model was proposed during those	
25	11:53:26	initial meetings.	

97

1	11:53:29	Do you what was Apple's response to the
2	11:53:36	proposal of the agency model?
3	11:53:37	A. When you say "initial meetings," there's my
4	11:53:46	initial meeting, but there may have also been business
5	11:53:50	people meeting with them prior to my involvement.
6	11:53:52	Q. I'm talking about the right now focussing
7	11:53:56	on December 15th and 16th meetings that are reflected
8	11:54:01	in their entirety in Exhibit 2. And then at least
9	11:54:05	three of them are reflected in Exhibit 3. So those
10	11:54:08	are the meetings that I'm focussing on for the moment.
11	11:54:12	During those meetings, I believe you
12	11:54:14	testified that at least one publisher raised the idea
13	11:54:19	of using an agency model; correct?
14	11:54:23	A. I don't recall which meetings. But, yes
15	11:54:26	MR. SNYDER: May I make a suggestion?
16	11:54:28	Because he didn't read the whole document. Do you
17	11:54:30	want him to read the whole document quickly to see it
18	11:54:33	refreshes his recollection?
19	11:54:34	MR. BUTERMAN: I asked already. I'm okay
20	11:54:37	going forward just generally.
21	11:54:37	MR. SNYDER: Okay.
22	11:54:38	THE WITNESS: I remember at some meeting that
23	11:54:44	publishers, at least one, I don't remember which one
24	11:54:48	or ones, proposed exploring an agency model.
25	10:42:41	MR. BUTERMAN: Q. And do you recall what

1	11:54:54	Apple's response was to that publisher?
2	11:54:58	A. My recollection is we rejected it out of
3	11:55:08	hand.
4	11:55:09	Q. What was the reason you rejected it out of
5	11:55:11	hand?
6	11:55:11	A. It was not what Mr. Jobs had wanted.
7	11:55:16	Q. At some point, Apple did ultimately proceed
8	11:55:21	with an agency model; correct?
9	11:55:23	A. Correct.
10	11:55:23	Q. Is it your understanding there was a
11	11:55:28	subsequent conversation with Mr. Jobs in which he
12	11:55:30	indicated that he was okay pursuing an agency model?
13	11:55:33	A. We would not have done anything inconsistent
14	11:55:38	with what Mr. Jobs had told us to do.
15	11:55:41	Q. So that means, to the best of your
16	11:55:44	understanding, yes, there must have been a subsequent
17	11:55:47	communication by Mr. Jobs indicating his willingness
18	11:55:52	to have Apple engage in an agency model?
19	11:55:55	A. You would have to ask Mr. Cue. But my
20	11:55:58	understanding is yes.
21	11:56:05	Q. Do you know why Mr. Jobs wanted to pursue a
22	11:56:09	wholesale model initially with the publishers?
23	11:56:13	A. I think he was interested in launching a
24	11:56:23	bookstore because he thought the then unreleased iPad
25	11:56:31	was a good reading device.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, KIMBERLEE SCHROEDER, CSR 11414, duly authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Section 30(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me and thereafter transcribed by me and that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said cause of action, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said cause of action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 24th day of February, 2013.

KIMBERLEE SCHROEDER, CSR, RPR, CCRR