EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, CASE NO.

VS.

12-CV-2826(DLC)

APPLE INC., et. al,

Defendants.

_____X

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KEVIN SAUL FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2013

9:11 A.M.

REPORTED BY: KIMBERLEE SCHROEDER, CSR, RPR, CCRR JOB NO. 28893

			204
1	04:45:13	EXAMINATION	
2	04:45:13	BY MR. SNYDER:	
3	04:45:14	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Saul. I just have a few	
4	04:45:17	follow-up questions.	
5	04:45:18	Do you recall being asked questions about Tim	
6	04:45:25	Cook during your deposition?	
7	04:45:27	A. Yes.	
8	04:45:27	Q. So I have a few follow-up questions on that	
9	04:45:30	subject.	
10	04:45:31	Sir, did you ever discuss with Tim Cook in	
11	04:45:35	any manner the subject of e-books?	
12	04:45:37	A. No.	
13	04:45:37	Q. And am I correct, sir, you told Mr. Buterman	
14	04:45:42	you were the lead attorney for Apple in the agency	
15	04:45:47	agreement negotiations with the publishers?	
16	04:45:51	A. That's correct.	
17	04:45:51	Q. Based on that role as the lead attorney, to	
18	04:45:54	your knowledge, was Mr. Cook involved in any way in	
19	04:46:00	the e-book negotiations?	
20	04:46:01	A. No.	
21	04:46:03	MR. BUTERMAN: Objection to form.	
22	04:46:04	MR. SNYDER: Q. To your knowledge, based on	
23	04:46:06	what you read and saw, conversations you had at the	
24	04:46:09	company, was Mr. Cook involved in a single internal	
25	04:46:12	discussion at Apple concerning the e-book negotiations	

			205
1	04:46:15	with the publishers?	
2	04:46:17	A. No.	
3	04:46:18	MR. BUTERMAN: Objection to form.	
4	04:46:22	MR. SNYDER: Q. Now, to your knowledge, sir,	:
5	04:46:24	based on your role as the lead lawyer in the agency	
6	04:46:28	agreement negotiations, to your knowledge, did	
7	04:46:29	Mr. Cook and Steve Jobs have a single discussion about	
8	04:46:33	the agency agreement negotiations?	
9	04:46:36	MR. BUTERMAN: Objection. Foundation.	
10	04:46:37	THE WITNESS: No.	
11	04:46:38	MR. SNYDER: Q. To your knowledge	
12	04:46:42	withdrawn.	
13	04:46:43	Did you have frequent contact with Mr. Cue in	
14	04:46:48	December and January during the negotiations?	
15	04:46:50	A. Yes.	
16	04:46:50	Q. And numerous conversations in person and on	
17	04:46:54	the phone?	
18	04:46:54	A. Yes.	
19	04:46:55	Q. Numerous e-mails?	
20	04:46:56	A. Yes.	
21	04:46:56	Q. And based on the communications you had with	
22	04:46:59	Mr. Cue withdrawn.	
23	04:47:03	Were those communications in December and	
24	04:47:06	January concerning the agency agreements and the	
25	04:47:08	negotiations?	

206 04:47:10 Yes. 1 Α. And based on those conversations with 2 04:47:10 Q. 04:47:13 Mr. Cue, to your knowledge, did Mr. Cue have a single 3 discussion with Mr. Cook in December or January about 04:47:16 4 04:47:21 the agency negotiations? 5 04:47:23 MR. BUTERMAN: Objection to form. 6 04:47:24 THE WITNESS: No. 7 MR. SNYDER: Q. To your knowledge, did 8 04:47:26 04:47:27 Mr. Cook and Mr. Cue have a single conversation in 9 December or January about e-books? 10 04:47:29 MR. BUTERMAN: Objection to form. 04:47:33 11 04:47:34 THE WITNESS: No. 12 MR. SNYDER: Q. To your knowledge, sir, did 04:47:36 13 Mr. Cook have input into any decision that was made 04:48:00 14 during the course of the negotiations with the 15 04:48:04 publishers in December and January? 04:48:07 16 MR. BUTERMAN: Objection to form. 04:48:08 17 THE WITNESS: No. 04:48:09 18 04:48:09 MR. SNYDER: I have nothing further. 19 MR. BUTERMAN: Do you want me to move back? 20 04:48:21 I have one question. 04:48:23 21 MR. SNYDER: No. 04:48:24 2.2 MR. BUTERMAN: Okay. You can still look at 04:48:25 23 04:48:26 the camera so we're not off here. 2.4