

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

OTIS A. DANIEL,

Plaintiff,

-v-

T&amp;M PROTECTION RESOURCES LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

13 Civ. 4384 (PAE)

ORDER


PAUL A. ENGELMAYER, District Judge:

The Court has received two filings from *pro se* plaintiff Otis Daniel. The first is a letter alleging that his pro bono attorneys acted unethically and committed malpractice in representing him. Dkt. 213. It is unclear what relief Daniel seeks with this letter. In any event, having reviewed Daniel's letter in detail, the Court is unaware of any evidence or indication of malpractice. Quite the contrary: Having presided over Daniel's case including trial, the Court's assessment is that Daniel's counsel were uncommonly vigorous, dedicated, and effective.

Daniel has also submitted a motion to vacate the decision and judgment in this case. Dkt. 214. In support, Daniel cites his July 25, 2018 motion for reconsideration, Dkt. 193, and to the above letter complaining of misconduct by his counsel, Dkt. 213, in addition to the complaints, his deposition testimony, and the trial transcript. Daniel also recently filed a motion for relief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b). Dkt. 212. The Court, however, again finds no reason to vacate the decisions or judgment in this case, and denies this motion, for the reasons stated in its July 27, 2018 denial of Daniel's motion for reconsideration, Dkt. 195, and its June 22, 2020 denial of Daniel's Rule 60(b) motion, Dkt. 212.

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to mail a copy of this order to Daniel. For avoidance of doubt, this case remains closed.

SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PAUL A. ENGELMAYER  
United States District Judge

Dated: July 9, 2020  
New York, New York