


**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**



**IN RE: LIBOR-BASED FINANCIAL
INSTRUMENTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2262

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -14)

On August 12, 2011, the Panel transferred 3 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 802 F.Supp.2d 1380 (J.P.M.L. 2011). Since that time, 24 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Naomi Reice Buchwald.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Buchwald.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of August 12, 2011, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Naomi Reice Buchwald.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**A CERTIFIED COPY
RUBY J. KRAJICK, CLERK**

BY Shante Jones
Deputy Clerk

**IN RE: LIBOR-BASED FINANCIAL
INSTRUMENTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2262

SCHEDULE CTO-14 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	13-01476	County of Sacramento v. Bank of America Corporation, et al.
TEXAS SOUTHERN			
TXS	4	13-02149	City of Houston v. Bank of America Corporation et al