

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PAUL SPINELLI, SCOTT BOEHM, PAUL JASIENSKI, GEORGE NEWMAN LOWRANCE, DAVID STLUKA, DAVID DRAPKIN, and THOMAS E. WITTE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE, NFL PROPERTIES, LLC, NFL VENTURES, L.P., NFL PRODUCTIONS, LLC, NFL ENTERPRISES, LLC, REPLAY PHOTOS, LLC, GETTY IMAGES (US), INC., ASSOCIATED PRESS, ARIZONA CARDINALS HOLDINGS, INC., ATLANTA FALCONS FOOTBALL CLUB LLC, BALTIMORE RAVENS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, BUFFALO BILLS, INC., PANTHERS FOOTBALL LLC, CHICAGO BEARS FOOTBALL CLUB, INC., CINCINNATI BENGALS, INC., CLEVELAND BROWNS LLC, DALLAS COWBOYS FOOTBALL CLUB, DENVER BRONCOS FOOTBALL CLUB, DETROIT LIONS, INC., GREEN BAY PACKERS, INC., HOUSTON NFL HOLDINGS LP, INDIANAPOLIS COLTS, INC., JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS LTD., KANSAS CITY CHIEFS FOOTBALL CLUB, INC., MIAMI DOLPHINS, LTD., MINNESOTA VIKINGS FOOTBALL CLUB LLC, NEW ENGLAND PATRIOTS, LP, NEW ORLEANS LOUISIANA SAINTS, LLC, NEW YORK FOOTBALL GIANTS, INC., NEW YORK JETS FOOTBALL CLUB, INC., OAKLAND RAIDERS LP, PHILADELPHIA EAGLES FOOTBALL CLUB, INC., PITTBURGH STEELERS SPORTS, INC., SAN DIEGO CHARGERS FOOTBALL CO., SAN FRANCISCO FORTY NINERS LTD., FOOTBALL NORTHWEST LLC, THE RAMS FOOTBALL CO. LLC, BUCCANEERS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, TENNESSEE FOOTBALL, INC., and WASHINGTON FOOTBALL INC.,

Defendants.

-----X

13 Civ. 7398 (RWS)

OPINION

4

## Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

NELSON & MCCULLOCH LLP 155 East 56<sup>th</sup> Street New York, NY 10022 By: Kevin Patrick McCulloch, Esq.

## Attorneys for the Defendants

DLA PIPER US LLP 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 By: Andrew Lawrence Deutsch, Esq. Marc Evan Miller, Esq. Paolo Morante, Esq. Tamar Y. Duvdevani, Esq. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Four Times Square 42<sup>nd</sup> Floor New York, NY 10036 By: Jeffrey A. Mishkin, Esq. Anthony Joseph Dreyer, Esq. Jordan Adam Feirman, Esq. Karen Hoffman Lent, Esq. WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, NY 10604 By: Jura Christine Zibas, Esq. Jana A. Slavina, Esq. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue 25<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10153 By: Bruce S. Meyer, Esq.

2

Sweet, D.J.

Defendants requested a stay of discovery pending a decision on their joint motion for reconsideration of the motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint. Defendant has demonstrated "good cause" for the stay of discovery because the pending motion could be dispositive, the costs of discovery will be burdensome to Defendants, and the Plaintiffs have not shown prejudice from the relatively short stay. Spencer Trask Software & Info. Servs., LLC v. RPost Int'l Ltd., 206 F.R.D. 367, 368 (S.D.N.Y. 2002). For those reasons, the stay of discovery is granted pending the decision on the motion for reconsideration.

It is so ordered.

New York, NY September 20, 2016

U.S.D.J.