Case 1:14-cv-08653-LAK Document 63 Filed 09/23/21 Page 1 of 13

SDNY PRO SE OFFICE

MEMO ENDORSED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE AM 10: 26

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (SDNY), DAY

VIKRAM DATTA PETITIONER.

(SDNY).DNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILED: 0-5-21

V.

Case# 1:11-MJ-108; 1:11-CR-0102; 1:11-CR-0102-1 (LAK); 14-cv-8653(LAK): 1:20-cv-02330-LAK.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA RESPONDENT.

SECTION 5 U.S.C. 552 (a) MOTION TO CLARIFY AND PROVIDE THE HARD COPY OF THE COUNTS/INDICTMENT WITH CASE NUMBER IN WHICH IMPRISONMENT AND FORFEITURE ORDERS WERE IMPOSED IN SENTENCING ON VIKRAM DATTA ON 1/20/2012.

## TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COMES NOW, I, VIKRAM DATTA, prose, hereafter 'PETITIONER' moves the Honorable Court with this 'Section 5 U.S.C 552(a) Motion To Clarify and provide the hard copy of the Counts/Indictment with Case Number in which Imprisonment, and Forfeiture Orders were Imposed in Sentencing on Vikram Datta on 1/20/2012. Petitioner does not know in which counts/indictments from which case number, the Imprisonment and Forfeiture orders for \$40,000,000 in Count One, and \$29,505,265 in Counts Two and Three were imposed on to him. Petitioner respectfully states following in support:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Petitioner respectfully requests the Honorable Court to construe prose pleadings of petitioner liberally. Please see Harris v. Mills, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), Walker v. Schultz, 727 F. 3d 119 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cr. 2013), Estelle v. Gamble 429 US 97, 98 (1976), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] As this Court work on B[5]Z], defendant way represent contrast

sa lable docernes

Dockets. Justia.com