

NEW YORK
LONDON
SINGAPORE
PHILADELPHIA
CHICAGO
WASHINGTON, DC
SAN FRANCISCO
SILICON VALLEY
SAN DIEGO
LOS ANGELES
BOSTON
HOUSTON
DALLAS
AUSTIN
HANOI
HO CHI MINH CITY

DuaneMorris®

FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES

BRIAN A. MCALEENAN
DIRECT DIAL: +1 312 499 6762
E-MAIL: BAMcAleenan@duanemorris.com

www.duanemorris.com

SHANGHAI
ATLANTA
BALTIMORE
WILMINGTON
MIAMI
BOCA RATON
PITTSBURGH
NEWARK
LAS VEGAS
CHERRY HILL
LAKE TAHOE
MYANMAR

February 14, 2023

BY ECF

Magistrate Judge Valerie Figueredo
Daniel Patrick Moynihan
United States Courthouse
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007-1312

**Re: *In re Peerless Networks, Inc. v. AT&T Corp.*,
Civil Action No. 15-CV-870 (VM)(VF)**

Dear Magistrate Judge Figueredo:

We write on behalf of AT&T Corp., in response to the Court's Order dated February 1, 2023 (D.E. 226), which directed the parties to submit, by February 15, 2023, any proposed redactions to the transcript of the January 13, 2023 oral argument before the Court concerning Peerless' Motion for Summary Judgment to Enforce Settlement Agreement executed by the parties on July 31, 2018 (D.E. 138, "Argument Transcript"). We have consulted with counsel for Peerless Networks, Inc., and, with counsel's consent, we respectfully write to jointly request redactions of certain items from the Argument Transcript pursuant to, and consistent with, prior Orders entered in this case respecting the confidentiality of materials. (D.E. 28 ¶ 7; D.E. 125 at 2 n.1; D.E. 130; D.E. 212.)

In particular, enclosed herewith is a copy of the Argument Transcript with proposed redactions of certain words on most pages of the Argument Transcript. The intent of each proposed redaction is to conceal from the public record certain confidential provisions of the confidential settlement agreement at issue in these post-judgment proceedings as well as confidential aspects of the parties' respective businesses, including sensitive pricing terms and AT&T's business dealings with other third-party providers. As previously reported to the Court, these confidential settlement provisions reflect and embody highly-sensitive business data, the disclosure of which to third-party competitors could be detrimental to the parties' business. (*See, e.g.*, D.E. 196 at 2.) By contrast, the public can still gain a robust understanding of the legal issues discussed in the Argument transcript based on the un-redacted terms.

Application Granted



Valerie Figueredo, U.S.M.J.

DATED: 2-15-2023

For the same reasons stated at ECF No. 212, the parties' proposed redactions to the January 13, 2023 hearing transcript are approved. The parties are directed to file the redacted version of the transcript on the docket by Friday, February 17, 2023. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motion at ECF No. 228. SO ORDERED.

Duane Morris

Magistrate Judge Valerie Figueredo
February 14, 2023
Page 2

For the foregoing reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court make available to the public a copy of the enclosed, redacted Argument Transcript or, alternatively, a similar copy of the Argument Transcript reflecting the same proposed redactions.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this matter and are available to address any questions the Court might have.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian A. McAleenan

Brian A. McAleenan

cc: Counsel of Record (via ECF)
Carole Ludwig, Court Reporter (via e-mail)