Schorr v. Prudenti et al Doc. 23

UNITEI	STA'	TES DIS	TRIC	CT CC	URT	
SOUTHE	ERN D	ISTRICT	OF	NEW	YORK	
		- -				 X
DAVID	EVAN	SCHORR				

Plaintiff,

- against -

15 Civ. 4054 (RWS)

OPINION

A. GAIL PRUDENTI, et al.

Defendants.

Sweet, D.J.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILLY
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 9125 15

By letter dated September 17, 2015, the pro se Plaintiff has requested a written opinion explaining the Court's bench ruling denying his motion for a preliminary injunction and temporary restraining order (Dkt. No. 18). In response to his motion, the Court set oral argument for noon on September 16, 2015. (See Dkt. No. 19.) Counsel for the Defendants opposing the motion was present at that time when the case was called; the Plaintiff was not. His motion was therefore denied. See Loadholt v. Costco Wholesale Corp., No. 13 Civ. 567, 2014 WL 4980977, at *3 (E.D.N.Y. July 21, 2014) (dismissing case based on failure to appear at a show cause hearing); Graham v. RJM Acquisition LLC, No. 11 Civ. 4682, 2012 WL 1865534, at *2 (E.D.N.Y. Apr. 24, 2012) (same); see also Wacha v. Town of

Deerpark, No. 06 Civ. 15531, 2008 WL 2061268, at *4-5 (S.D.N.Y. May 9, 2008).

It is so ordered.

New York, NY / 2015

ROBERT W. S