UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:____
DATE FILED: 5/10/24

SGM HOLDINGS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintills

- against -

A. JAMES ANDREWS, et al.,

Defendants.

15 Civ. 8142 (VM)

ORDER

VICTOR MARRERO, United States District Judge.

After reviewing the letters submitted with respect to Defendant Karl Schledwitz's request that the Court consider an additional motion for summary judgment (see Dkt. Nos. 200-02), the Court declines to consider the proposed motion for summary judgment.

As already explained by the Court once (<u>see</u> Dkt. No. 189 at 2-3), defendant Schledwitz's request amounts to an untimely motion for reconsideration of Judge Crotty's summary judgment decision dated September 25, 2023. Motions for reconsideration must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the challenged decision. <u>See</u> Local Civil Rule 6.3. Defendant Schledwitz gives no reason why he should be excused for his failure to move for reconsideration within the period prescribed by local rule. Defendant Schledwitz has thus fallen far short of showing extraordinary and compelling reasons why the Court should consider successive summary

judgment motions, which are generally disfavored. ($\underline{\text{See}}$ Dkt.

No. 189 at 3-4.)

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10 May 2024

New York, New York

Victor Marrero

U.S.D.J.