

On December 12, 2017, Judge Lehrburger issued the Report, recommending that the Court dismiss the amended complaint without prejudice. Objections were due by December 26, 2017. *See* Dkt. 25. To date, the Court has received no objections.

II. Discussion

In reviewing a Report and Recommendation, a district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). “To accept those portions of the report to which no timely objection has been made, a district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record.” *Ruiz v. Citibank, N.A.*, No. 10 Civ. 5950 (KPF), 2014 WL 4635575, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 19, 2014) (quoting *King v. Greiner*, No. 02 Civ. 5810 (DLC), 2009 WL 2001439, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. July 8, 2009)); *see also, e.g., Wilds v. United Parcel Serv.*, 262 F. Supp. 2d 163, 169 (S.D.N.Y. 2003).


As neither party has submitted objections to the Report, review for clear error is appropriate. Careful review of Judge Lehrburger’s thorough and well-reasoned Report reveals no facial error in its conclusions; the Report is therefore adopted in its entirety.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons articulated in the Report, the Court dismisses Turner’s complaint without prejudice. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

The Court directs the Clerk to mail a copy of this decision to plaintiff at the address on file.

SO ORDERED.


Paul A. Engelmayer
United States District Judge

Dated: January 11, 2018
New York, New York