

2004), *aff'd*, No. 03-CV-0356, 2004 WL 1592669 (N.D.N.Y. July 14, 2004). Opinions regarding “the cause of any medical condition presented in a patient, the diagnosis, the prognosis and the extent of the disability, if any, caused by the injury” are admissible if they are based on a treating physicians own observations. *Zanowic*, 2002 WL 373229, at *3.


Plaintiff’s treating physicians—Dr. Robert Haar, Dr. Albert J. Ciancimino, Dr. Arden M. Kaisman, Dr. David Abbatematteo, Dr. Gary Tubman, and Dr. Mark Decker—are thus permitted to testify to opinions they formed from observing and treating Plaintiff. *See Robinson v. Suffolk Cty. Police Dep’t*, No. CV 08-1874 AKT, 2011 WL 4916709, at *6 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 17, 2011), *aff’d*, 544 F. App’x 29 (2d Cir. 2013). This testimony can include opinions about cause, diagnosis, and prognosis, if based on the treating physicians’ own observations during treatment. *See Zanowic*, 2002 WL 373229, at *3. If the physicians consulted radiology films or reports while treating Plaintiff, opinion testimony based on those documents is also permissible. *See Lamere*, 223 F.R.D. at 89.

CONCLUSION

The Court DENIES Defendants’ motion *in limine* to preclude treating physicians’ testimony. The treating physicians are permitted to testify as Rule 701 lay witnesses and may offer opinions formed during the course of treatment.

Dated: New York, New York
October 24, 2018

SO ORDERED



PAUL A. CROTTY
United States District Judge