UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KEMPTHON MCINTOSH,

Plaintiff.

-against-

NANCY BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#
DATE FILED: 9 12 18

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

17 Civ. 5403 (ER) (DF)

## Ramos, D.J.:

Kempthon McIntosh ("Plaintiff") brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) challenging the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying his application for disability insurance benefits. Pending before the Court are Plaintiff's Rule 56(a) motion for summary judgment and Defendant's Rule12 (c) cross-motion for judgment on the pleadings. On July 16, 2018, Magistrate Judge Debra Freeman issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report"), recommending that the Plaintiff's motion be granted and Commissioner's motion be denied, and notifying the parties that they had fourteen days from service of the Report to file written objections. No objections were subsequently filed.

## I. Standard of Review

A district court reviewing a magistrate judge's report and recommendation "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Parties may raise "specific," "written" objections to the report and recommendation "[w]ithin 14 days after being served with a copy." *Id.*; *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). A district court reviews *de novo* those portions of the report and

recommendation to which timely and specific objections are made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C);

see also DeLeon v. Strack, 234 F.3d 84, 87 (2d Cir. 2000) (citing United States v. Male Juvenile

(95-CR-1074), 121 F.3d 34, 38 (2d Cir. 1997)). The district court may adopt those parts of the

report and recommendation to which no party has timely objected, provided no clear error is

apparent from the face of the record. Lewis v. Zon, 573 F. Supp. 2d 804, 811 (S.D.N.Y. 2008).

II. <u>Discussion</u>

The Court has carefully reviewed Judge Freeman's thorough and well-reasoned Report

and finds no error, clear or otherwise. Accordingly, the Court adopts the Report in its entirety.

The Plaintiff's Rule 56(a) motion is GRANTED, and Commissioner's Rule12(c) cross-motion is

DENIED.

Commissioner's failure to file written objections precludes appellate review of this decision.

See PSG Poker, LLC v. DeRosa-Grund, No. 06 CIV. 1104(DLC), 2008 WL 3852051, at \*3

(S.D.N.Y. Aug. 15, 2008) (citing *United States v. Male Juvenile*, 121 F.3d 34, 38 (2d Cir. 1997)).

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to terminate the motions, Docs. 10 and 12, and

to close the case.

It is SO ORDERED.

Dated:

September 12, 2018

New York, New York

Edgardo Ramos, U.S.D.J.

2