McMahon, J.:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
GEIGTECH EAST BAY LLC,		DATE FILED: 3 15 2020
Plaintiff,		
-against-		18 civ 5290 (CM)
LUTRON ELECTRONICS, INC.,		
Defendant.		
	X	
MEMORANDUM TO	COUNSEL	

Counsel, this morning we received a form of judgment on a patent claims from the Clerk's Office, to which the Verdict Sheet was attached. Since I am not entering the judgment until I issue a verdict on the trade dress issue, I just tore up the proposed judgment. However, I noticed two things on the verdict sheet that should have been read aloud when the verdict was taken. Not only did the jury find no defamation, it went on to find that GeigTech has proved that the following aspects of the allegedly defamatory statement were true:

Lutron opted to poach (GeigTech's) patented designs and intellectual property to try and remain competitive in a segment of the market that (GeigTech) cornered.

The jury also indicated on the verdict sheet that GeigTech had not proved that any portion of the accused statement constituted opinion.

Since the jury concluded that nothing in the accused statement was defamatory, GeigTech and Mr. Geiger are entitled to a verdict on the defamation claim without regard to any unnecessary ancillary findings, and the jury should have stopped right there (as we did when reading the verdict). That they did not is my fault; my instructions were not sufficiently clear. I did not say on the verdict sheet, "If your answer to Question 1 is "NO," stop and report your verdict." I apologize to you for that oversight on my part. Fortunately, the two additional findings that were not read into the record upon the taking of the verdict do not render the verdict inconsistent or require any further discussion. But they need to be reported to you.

Attached to this is a complete copy of the verdict sheet as filled out by the jury for your records.

Dated: March 15, 2024

Ola 2 hl U.S.D.J.

BY ECF TO ALL COUNSEL

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
GEIGTECH EAST BAY LLC,		
Plaintiff,		10.01 05200 (0).0
-against-		18 Civ. 05290 (CM)
LUTRON ELECTRONICS CO., INC.,		
Defendant.		
	X	

JURY VERDICT SHEET

GRS 3/12/2024 3/12/124

Section 1: Patent Infringement

	YES	X	NO				
	IF YOU AN		TO QUESTION 1a, DO 1 ENTS. GO TO PAGE 4,	NOT ANSWER ANY MORE DEFAMATION.			
b.	If your answer is yes, then please indicate below which claims were infringed?						
Please	e note: If you	r answer for Cla	im #1 is "not infringed" i	then you must answer "not			
nfrin	ged" to Clain	n 2. If your answ	er for Claim #8 is "not in	nfringed" then you must answer "i			
nfrin	ged" to Clain	ns 10, 11 and 12.					
9		,					
	(a)) ((a) (b)						
		717 Patent	Infringed	Not infringed			
		Claim 1	X				
				Control of the Contro			
		Claim 2	X				
		Claim 2 Claim 8	X				
			×				
		Claim 8	X				
		Claim 8 Claim 10	X X X				
		Claim 8 Claim 10 Claim 11	× × ×				
If you		Claim 8 Claim 10 Claim 11 Claim 12	× × × ×	uestion #2.			
	a answered "	Claim 8 Claim 10 Claim 11 Claim 12 Yes" to Question	X X X # 1a, then proceed to Qu				
2. D	a answered "	Claim 8 Claim 10 Claim 11 Claim 12 Yes" to Question	X X X # 1a, then proceed to Qu	estion #2.			

3a.	Did Lutron prove by	clear a	and	convincing	evidence	that	any	of t	he	Asserted	Claims	of
the '71'	7 Patent are invalid?											

YES____NO_X

3b. Which claims did Lutron prove were invalid?

'717 Patent	Valid	Invalid
Claim 1	×	
Claim 2	X	·
Claim 8	×	
Claim 10	X	
Claim 11	X	
Claim 12	X	

4. What amount of damages is GeigTech entitled to as a result of Lutron's patent infringement through the date of your verdict?

Damages of \$ 34.6 Million

Section 2: Defamation

For ease of reference: "the accused statement" is as follows:

"It's unfortunate that rather than investing the time, effort, and resources necessary to innovate their own products, Lutron has instead opted to poach our patented designs and intellectual property to try and remain competitive in a segment of the market that we've cornered. Their blatant infringement has left us no choice but to file this lawsuit to protect our patented designs as we continue to focus on providing our customers with the most innovative, highest quality window shading solutions out there."

Dear Or.	nent is defamatory, as I have o	1/	
	YES	NO_X	
<u>1b.</u>	If "Yes", please write in the	e space provided which portion is defamatory	

2a. statem	Has GeigTech shown by a preponderance of the evidence that any portion of the accused nent is true?
	YES_X NO
2b. true:	If "Yes", please write out the portion of the statement that GeigTech has proved to be
1	Lutron opted to poach (Geigtech's) attented designs and intellectual
P	atented designs and intellectual
i_	property to try and remain competitive a segment of the market that Geigtech cornered.
_(1	Geigtech) cornered.

3a. state	Has Geiglech proved by a preparent that you find to be defamator		vidence that any portion of the accused on?
	YES	NO	X
<u>3b.</u>	If "Yes", Please write out the po	ortion of the statem	ent that constitutes opinion:
and/	or (iii) opinion, then you can stop	and report your ve	either (i) not defamatory, (ii) true, rdict. If you conclude that any portion iii) not opinion, go on to Question 4.
		i) false; and (iii) n	ence that GeigTech made any statement of opinion with actual malice – that is or whether it was true or false?
	YES	NOON	to production to the second se
	u answer to Question 4 is "No," to stion 5.	p and report your	verdict. If it is "Yes," go on to
<u>5.</u>	How much in damages do you a	award to Lutron?	
\$			

6a. Has Lutron proved by a preponderance of the evidence that GeigTech made any statement that you found to be (i) defamatory; (ii) false; and (iii) not opinion "maliciously" – as I defined that term for punitive damages? To remind you, a statement is made "maliciously" if it is made with deliberate intent to injure or made out of hatred, ill will, or spite or made with willful, wanton or reckless disregard of another's rights.						
	YES	NO				
<u>6b.</u> \$	If your answer is "Yes", what		nitive damages do you award to Lutron?			
-						
Stop a	nd have the Foreperson sign	and date this	form and then contact the Court Officer			
SO SA	Y WE ALL.		Û			
Dated:	March <u>13</u> , 2024		Sam Payne SP Jury Foreperson			