

LEWIS J. LIMAN United States District Judge

June 19, 2020

Noah M. Weissman Direct: 212/541-2028 Fax: 212/541-1428 NMWeissman@bclplaw.com

6/19/2020

SO ORDERED.

BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York NY 10104 3300 T: +1 212 541 2000 F: +1 212 541 4630

www.bclplaw.com

Hon. Lewis J. Liman United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 701 New York, NY 10007

**FILED BY ECF** 

Re: Advanced Water Technologies, Inc. v. Amiad U.S.A., Inc., No. 1:18-cv-05473-LJL

Dear Judge Liman:

This firm represents Amiad U.S.A., Inc. ("Amiad") in the above-referenced action. On June 15, 2020, this Court ordered Amiad to submit a draft interrogatory to the Court for it to review and approve. The interrogatory addresses the search terms AWT applied to collect documents in response to Amiad's document requests.

Attached as Exhibit 1 is a draft of Amiad's Second Set of Interrogatories Pursuant to the Court's June 15, 2020 Order and Fed. R. Civ. P. 33. We will await the Court's approval before we serve this interrogatory on Defendant.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

/s/ Noah M. Weissman
Noah M. Weissman

Respectfully submitted,

cc: Ronald Francis, counsel for Plaintiff, via ECF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
ADVANCED WATER TECHNOLOGIES INC.,	x : Case No. 1:18-cv-05473-LJL
Plaintiff,	: AMIAD'S [DRAFT] SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO
-against-	: PLAINTIFF PURSUANT TO : THE COURT'S JUNE 15, 2020
AMIAD U.S.A., INC.,	ORDER AND FED. R. CIV. P. 33
Defendant.	: :

Defendant Amiad U.S.A., Inc. ("Amiad"), requests, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 and the Court's June 15, 2020 order, that Plaintiff Advanced Water Technologies, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "AWT") answer the following interrogatories, under oath, and serve objections (if any) within 30 days of service.

## **DEFINITIONS**

1. The definitions set forth in Rule 26.3 of the Local Rules of the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York ("Local Rules") are incorporated herein by reference.

## **INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. In answering these interrogatories, Plaintiff is requested to set forth each interrogatory in full before setting forth a response.
- 2. Plaintiff must answer each interrogatory separately and completely in writing and under oath, unless it is objected to. If an interrogatory is objected to, Plaintiff must provide an explanation of the basis for the objection, and answer to the extent the interrogatory is not objectionable.

Case 1:18-cv-05473-LJL Document 73-1 Filed 06/19/20 Page 2 of 2

3. The instructions set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5) and Local Rule 26.2 and 26.3

are incorporated herein by reference.

4. If any response to these interrogatories, although correct when made, becomes no

longer true, or circumstances are such that a failure to amend the response is in substance a

knowing concealment, Plaintiff must amend the applicable response within a reasonable time.

5. These interrogatories are continuing in character so as to require Plaintiff,

pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e), to promptly supplement their responses should any other

information responsive hereto come into their possession, custody, or control prior to trial.

**INTERROGATORIES** 

5. State whether Plaintiff, including Matthias Kriesberg, used search terms or filters to

search Plaintiff's electronic stored information ("ESI") or other data in Plaintiff's possession.

custody, or control to identify ESI responsive to Amiad's document requests served on February

27, 2020? If so, identify each source of ESI searched, including email accounts, computer hard

drives, or other storage media, and identify the search terms and filters applied during the search

of ESI.

Dated:

New York, New York

June 19, 2020

BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP

By: /s/ Noah M. Weissman

Noah M. Weissman

Brooke J. Cook

1290 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10104

(212) 541-2000

Attorneys for Defendant Amiad U.S.A., Inc.

- 2 -

602124900