


After reviewing the petition and the supporting materials, the Court finds that there is no genuine issue of material fact precluding summary judgment as to all portions of the Award, as the Arbitrator's decision provides more than "a barely colorable justification for the outcome reached." *Id.* at *3 (internal quotation marks omitted). Nor is there any justification under Section 10(a) of the Federal Arbitration Act for vacating the Award.

The Court also awards Petitioners' requested attorneys' fees and costs. *See Int'l Chem. Workers Union (AFL-CIO), Local No. 227 v. BASF Wyandotte Corp.*, 774 F.2d 43, 47 (2d Cir. 1985) ("[W]hen a challenger refuses to abide by an arbitrator's decision without justification, attorney's fees and costs may properly be awarded." (internal quotation marks omitted)). Here, Petitioners have met their burden of proving the reasonableness and necessity of hours spent, rates charged, and litigation costs incurred. Finally, the Court grants Petitioners' request for pre-judgment interest at the statutory rate of nine percent. *See Herrenknecht Corp. v. Best Rd. Boring*, No. 06-CV-5106 (JFK), 2007 WL 1149122, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 16, 2007) ("The common practice among courts within the Second Circuit is to grant interest at a rate of nine percent, the rate of pre-judgment interest under New York State law." (internal quotation marks omitted)); *Waterside Ocean Navigant Co. v. Int'l Navigation Ltd.*, 737 F.2d 150, 154 (2d Cir. 1984) (adopting a "presumption in favor of pre-judgment interest").

Accordingly, the Court grants Petitioners' unopposed petition to confirm the entire Award. Petitioners are directed to file their Proposed Judgment electronically, using the ECF Filing Event "Proposed Judgment," by no later than **August 8, 2018**.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 1, 2018
New York, New York



JESSE M. FURMAN
United States District Judge