

Magistrate Judge Wang advised the parties that failure to file timely objections to the Report would constitute a waiver of those objections on appeal. (*Id.* at 8-9.) No objections were filed.

A court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations” set forth in a magistrate judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). A magistrate judge's report to which no objections are made is reviewed for clear error. *See Edwards v. Fischer*, 414 F. Supp. 2d 342, 346–47 (S.D.N.Y. 2006) (citations omitted). “In clear error review, a court should reverse a finding only if it is ‘left with the definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been committed,’ and not merely if it ‘would have decided the case differently.’” *Hernandez v. City of New York*, No. 11 Civ. 6644 (KPF) (DF), 2015 WL 321830, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 23, 2015) (quoting *Easley v. Cromartie*, 532 U.S. 234, 242 (2001)).


Magistrate Judge Wang conducted a comprehensive and careful inquest. This Court finds no error, clear or otherwise in the Report's analysis. Accordingly, this Court adopts Magistrate Judge Wang's recommended judgment regarding damages and attorney's fees and costs for the reasons stated in the Report.

Magistrate Judge Wang's Report is ADOPTED in its entirety. Thus, final judgment shall be entered for the Plaintiff in the amount of \$11,900.

The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case accordingly.

Dated: New York, New York
December 14, 2021

SO ORDERED.



GEORGE B. DANIELS
United States District Judge