

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CHASE WILLIAMS AND WILLIAM  
ZHANG, individually and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BLOCK.ONE, BRENDAN BLUMER, and  
DANIEL LARIMER,

Defendants.

Civ. No. 1:20-cv-2809-LAK

CLASS ACTION

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 1/28/25

CRYPTO ASSETS OPPORTUNITY FUND  
LLC and JOHNNY HONG, individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BLOCK.ONE, BRENDAN BLUMER,  
DANIEL LARIMER, IAN GRIGG, and  
BROCK PIERCE,

Defendants.

Civ. No.: 1:20-cv-3829-LAK

CLASS ACTION

~~PROPOSED~~ **FINAL JUDGMENT AND  
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order Providing for Notice and Certifying Class (“Notice Order”) dated May 18, 2023, on the application of the Settling Parties for approval of the Amended Class Action Settlement set forth in the Amended Stipulation of Settlement dated March 28, 2023, with Amendment dated December 6, 2024 (the “Settlement Agreement” or “Stipulation”). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Settlement Class as required in the Notice Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had in this Action and otherwise being fully informed in the reasons and good cause therefor,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:**

1. This Final Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all the terms used here shall have the same meanings as those defined in the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise noted.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all Settling Parties to the Action, including all members of the Class, defined as the Settlement Class.

3. The Court hereby certifies, for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the Class.

4. The Settlement Class consists of all persons or entities who, at any time during the period of June 26, 2017 through May 18, 2020, inclusive, acquired ERC-20 Tokens or EOS Tokens in a Domestic Transaction and were damaged thereby, including purchases (including over-the-counter and peer-to-peer purchases) (1) of ERC-20 Tokens or EOS Tokens on any of the following exchanges: (i) Coinbase (including Tagomi, RouteFire, and Paradex); (ii) Coinbase Pro (including GDAX); (iii) Kraken; (iv) Poloniex; (v) Bittrex; (vi) Binance US; (vii) Genesis; (viii) Cumberland; (ix) FTX.US; (x) Gemini; (xi) Radar Relay; or (xii) CoinFlip; or (2) of ERC-20 Tokens or EOS

Tokens where both the purchaser and seller were located in the United States at the time of the purchase; or (3) of ERC-20 Tokens made directly from Block.one during its token sale that took place from June 26, 2017 to June 1, 2018; or (4) of EOS Tokens that were verified by EOS block producers located within the United States based on publicly available information at [https://eosauthority.com/producers\\_rank](https://eosauthority.com/producers_rank) or other comparable websites.

5. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (i) Defendants; (ii) the present or former executive officers or members of the Board of Directors of Block.one; (iii) the immediate family members (as defined in C.F.R. §229.404 (Instructions (1)(a)(iii) and (1)(b)(ii), substituting “Block.one” for “the registrant”)); (iv) any entity in which any Defendant has, or had during the Class Period, a controlling interest; and (v) any affiliate of Block.one. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are any persons and entities who exclude themselves by submitting a request for exclusion that is accepted by the Court.

6. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Court hereby certifies Plaintiff Crypto Assets Opportunity Fund LLC (“CAOF” or “Lead Plaintiff”) as Class Representative for the Class and appoints Lead Counsel as Class Counsel for the Class. Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the Class both in terms of litigating the Action and for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4) and 23(g), respectively.

7. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement and the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Settling Parties and the Class, the Settlement Agreement and Settlement are hereby finally approved in all respects, and the Settling Parties are hereby directed to perform its terms.

8. Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation of all the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, as well as the terms and provisions of this Judgment. The Court hereby dismisses the Action as to Defendants, and all of the Class's Released Claims with prejudice, without costs as to any of the Released Parties, except as and to the extent provided in the Settlement Agreement and in this Judgment.

9. Upon the Effective Date, Lead Plaintiff and each of the other Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective executors, administrators, successors, predecessors, and assigns, and any other person or entity who has the right, ability, standing or capacity to assert, prosecute, or maintain on behalf of any Class Member any of the Class's Released Claims (or to obtain the proceeds of any recovery therefrom), shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged against the Released Defendant Parties the Class's Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims), whether or not such Lead Plaintiff or Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim and Release or shares in the Settlement Fund. Upon the Effective Date, Lead Plaintiff and Class Members shall be permanently barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, maintaining or enforcing any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, or administrative forum wheresoever in the world, asserting the Class's Released Claims against any of the Released Defendant Parties (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims).

10. Upon the Effective Date, all persons shall be permanently barred and enjoined from the institution, maintenance, prosecution, or enforcement against any of the Released Defendant Parties of any claims or claims over for contribution or indemnity (or any other claim or claim over for contribution or indemnity however denominated on whatsoever theory), arising from or

related to the claims or allegations asserted by Lead Plaintiff in the Action, whether arising under state, federal or foreign law as claims, cross-claims, counterclaims, or third-party claims, in any federal or state court, or in any other court, arbitration proceeding, administrative agency, or other forum in the United States or elsewhere.

11. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Settling Defendants, on behalf of themselves and their respective executors, administrators, successors, predecessors, and assigns, and any other person or entity who has the right, ability, standing or capacity to assert, prosecute, or maintain on behalf of any Settling Defendant any of the Settling Defendants' Released Claims (or to obtain the proceeds of any recovery therefrom), in such capacity only, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged against the Released Plaintiff Parties any and all Settling Defendants' Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims), and shall be permanently barred and enjoined from the institution, maintenance, prosecution, or enforcement against any or all of the Released Plaintiff Parties of any and all Settling Defendants' Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims).

12. The Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action given to the Class in accordance with the Notice Order entered on May 18, 2023, was the best notice practicable to all Persons entitled to such notice, of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Said notice includes the individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort, and otherwise fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the requirements of due process.

13. The Amended Plan of Allocation comports with this Court's order dated November 13, 2024 (ECF No. 217) and is therefore found to be fair and reasonable, and is approved.

14. The approval of the Plan of Allocation and any order entered regarding any attorneys' fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.

15. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement contained herein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, the truth of any of the allegations in the Action of any wrongdoing, fault, or liability of Defendants or their Related Parties, that U.S. federal securities laws apply to any transactions or that the types of transaction identified in the definitions for "Class" and/or "Domestic Transaction" constitute domestic transactions for the purposes of *Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.*, 561 U.S. 247 (2010), or that Lead Plaintiff or any Class Members have suffered damages, harm or loss; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the appropriateness of treating the Action as a class action for any other purpose than the settlement; or (c) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Defendants or their Related Parties in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal anywhere in the world; (d) shall be construed against any of the Released Defendant Parties as an admission, concession, or presumption that the consideration to be given under the Settlement represents that amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial; ***provided, however***, that the Settling Parties and the Released Parties and their respective counsel may refer to the Settlement Agreement to effectuate the protections from liability granted thereunder or otherwise to enforce

the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Released Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

16. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest, and expenses in the Action; and (d) all Settling Parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administering the Settlement Agreement.

17. The Court finds that during the course of the Action, the Settling Parties and their counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

18. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or the Effective Date does not occur, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection with it shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

19. Without further approval from the Court, Lead Plaintiff and Defendants are hereby authorized to agree and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Settlement Agreement or any exhibits attached to it to effectuate the Settlement that: (a) are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment and (b) do not materially limit the rights of Class Members in connection with the


Settlement. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

20. The Court directs immediate entry of this Final Judgment by the Clerk of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

June 27, 2025

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE LEWIS A. KAPLAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE