

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ABRAHAM CRUZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

FEDERAL AT I.C.M. MANHATTAN, N.Y.;
DIR. I.C. METRO MANHATTAN;
PSYCHIATRIST I.C. METRO MANHATTAN;
INMATE (CELLMATE) MR. MANNANLEI,

Defendants.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 10/13/2020

1:20-CV-4392 (VEC)

ORDER

VALERIE CAPRONI, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, currently incarcerated in the State Correctional Institution in Dallas, Pennsylvania, brings this *pro se* action against federal officials, a federal prison, and a federal prisoner arising from Plaintiff's incarceration at what appears to be the federal Metropolitan Correctional Center in New York, New York ("MCC New York"). He sues: (1) "Federal at I.C. Manhattan," (2) "Dir. I.C. Metro Manhattan," (3) "Psychiatrist I.C. Metro Manhattan," and (4) "Inmate (Cellmate) Mr. Mannanlei." The Court understands the named defendants to be: (1) MCC New York, (2) the Warden of MCC New York while Plaintiff was incarcerated there ("the Warden"), (3) an unidentified psychiatrist employed at MCC New York while Plaintiff was incarcerated there ("the psychiatrist"), and (4) a prisoner and Plaintiff's former cellmate at MCC New York whose last name may be "Mannanlei."¹ Plaintiff, in his amended complaint, and in motions he has filed, seeks damages and seems to challenge his conviction and sentence in

¹ Plaintiff filed his original complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania while he was incarcerated in the State Correctional Institution in Houtzdale, Pennsylvania. By order dated June 8, 2020, the Middle District of Pennsylvania transferred this action here. *Cruz v. I.C. Metro Manhattan, New York*, 4:20-CV-0572 (M.D. Pa. June 8, 2020).

United States v. Cruz, 1:11-CR-0242 (M.D. Pa.), and what appears to be his Pennsylvania state conviction(s) and sentence(s). The Court construes Plaintiff's claims for damages against federal officials and MCC New York as brought under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), and his claims against Mannanlei as brought under state law ²

By order dated June 30, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis*.³ For the reasons discussed below, the Court dismisses without prejudice Plaintiff's claims in which he challenges his conviction and sentence in *Cruz*, 1:11-CR-0242 (M.D. Pa.), and his claims in which he challenges his Pennsylvania state conviction(s) and sentence(s), as well as his claims against "Federal at I.C. Manhattan." The

² On July 13, 2020, Judge Louis L. Stanton of this Court issued an order that (1) construed Plaintiff's challenges to his conviction and sentence issued by the Middle District of Pennsylvania in *Cruz*, 1:11-CR-0242 (M.D. Pa.), as brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, and transferred that portion of the original complaint back to the Middle District of Pennsylvania (those claims are pending under docket number 1:20-CV-1252 (M.D. Pa.)), (2) dismissed without prejudice Plaintiff's claims against the federal government under the doctrine of sovereign immunity, (3) dismissed Plaintiff's claims under *Bivens* against "Federal at I.C.M. Manhattan" (MCC New York) for failure to state a claim, and (4) granted Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint to allege sufficient facts to state claims under *Bivens* that MCC New York officials failed to protect him or that the psychiatrist retaliated against him, as well as to name as defendants the individuals who violated his rights and to show their personal involvement in the violations. (ECF 14.) Judge Stanton also denied Plaintiff's motions for *pro bono* counsel without prejudice to filing an application for the Court to request *pro bono* counsel at a later date. (*Id.*)

On August 4, 2020, the Court received Plaintiff's "motion to re-open cases" and his "motion for court appointed attorney." (ECF 15 & 16.) On September 1, 2020, the Court received Plaintiff's amended complaint (ECF 17), which is the operative pleading. Seventeen days later, the Court received Plaintiff's second "motion for court appointed attorney" and his first "motion for conjunxtiveness" [sic]. (ECF 18 & 19.) And on September 22, 2020, the Court received Plaintiff's second "motion for conjunxtiveness" [sic]. (ECF 20.) This action was then reassigned to the undersigned. Plaintiff seems to challenge his Pennsylvania state conviction(s) and sentence(s) in his motions for "conjunxtiveness."

³ Prisoners are not exempt from paying the full filing fee, even when they have been granted permission to proceed *in forma pauperis*. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

Court directs the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York to provide Plaintiff and the Court with the full and correct identities (and prisoner identification number, if appropriate) of the Warden, the psychiatrist, and Mannanlei.

DISCUSSION

A. Challenges to convictions and sentences

To the extent that Plaintiff challenges his conviction and sentence in *Cruz*, 1:11-CR-0242 (M.D. Pa.), Judge Stanton has previously construed those challenges as brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, and transferred them to the proper venue for those claims, the Middle District of Pennsylvania. (ECF 14, at 5.) Those claims are pending in that court under docket number 1:20-CV-1252 (M.D. Pa.). Plaintiff's present claims in which he again challenges his conviction and sentence in *Cruz*, 1:11-CR-0242 (M.D. Pa.), are therefore duplicative of those claims pending in the Middle District of Pennsylvania. The Court therefore dismisses the duplicative claims in this action without prejudice to Plaintiff's pending claims in the Middle District of Pennsylvania. *See Curtis v. Citibank, N.A.*, 226 F.3d 133, 138 (2d Cir. 2000) ("As part of its general power to administer its docket, a district court may . . . dismiss a suit that is duplicative of another federal court suit.").

Plaintiff also seem to challenge his Pennsylvania state conviction(s) and sentence(s). To the extent that Plaintiff seeks *habeas corpus* relief from those state-court judgments under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, he has brought his claims in a court that lacks jurisdiction to consider them. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d) ("Where an application for a writ of habeas corpus is made by a person in custody under the judgment and sentence of a State court of a State which contains two or more Federal judicial districts, the application may be filed in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which the State court was held which convicted and sentenced him and each of such district courts shall have concurrent

jurisdiction to entertain the application.”), The Court therefore dismisses those claims without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction.

B. Plaintiff’s claims under *Bivens* against MCC New York

The Court understands Plaintiff’s claims against MCC New York as brought under *Bivens*. But because such a claim can only be brought against a federal officer or employee, the Court dismisses Plaintiff’s claims under *Bivens* against MCC New York, a federal prison, without prejudice. *See Higazy v. Templeton*, 505 F.3d 161, 169 (2d Cir. 2007) (“A *Bivens* action is a judicially-created remedy designed to provide individuals with a cause of action against federal officials who have violated their constitutional rights.”).

C. The Warden, the psychiatrist, and Mannanlei

Under *Valentin v. Dinkins*, a *pro se* litigant is entitled to assistance from the district court in identifying an unidentified defendant. 121 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 1997). In the amended complaint, Plaintiff supplies sufficient information to permit the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) to identify the Warden, the psychiatrist, and the prisoner Plaintiff refers to as Mannanlei. Those defendants are: (1) the person who was Warden of MCC New York while Plaintiff was incarcerated in that facility between 2011 and 2012, (2) the psychiatrist to which Plaintiff complained about Mannanlei while Plaintiff was incarcerated in MCC New York between 2011 and 2012, and who was responsible for transferring Plaintiff to another federal facility, and (3) the prisoner who was Plaintiff’s cellmate in cell 6C-4 in MCC New York, and who assaulted Plaintiff, between 2011 and 2012. The Court therefore orders the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, who is the attorney for and agent of the BOP, to ascertain the full and correct identities (and prisoner identification number, if appropriate) of each of the individual defendants whom Plaintiff seeks to sue here and the address where each of those

defendants may be served. The United States Attorney must provide this information to Plaintiff and the Court within sixty days of the date of this order.

Within thirty days of receiving this information, Plaintiff must file a second amended complaint naming the newly identified defendants. The second amended complaint will replace, not supplement, the original and amended complaints. A second amended complaint form that Plaintiff should complete is attached to this order. Once Plaintiff has filed a second amended complaint, the Court will screen the second amended complaint and, if necessary, issue an order directing service on the newly identified defendants.

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket.

The Court dismisses without prejudice Plaintiff's claims in which he challenges his conviction and sentence in *Cruz*, 1:11-CR-0242 (M.D. Pa.), and his claims in which he challenges his Pennsylvania state conviction(s) and sentence(s), as well as his claims against "Federal at I.C. Manhattan." The Court therefore denies Plaintiff's motions in which he seeks such relief. (ECF 19 & 20.) The Court also denies Plaintiff's "motion to re-open cases." (ECF 15.)

The Court further directs the Clerk of Court to mail a copy of this order and the amended complaint (ECF 17) to the Civil Division of the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York at 86 Chambers Street, 3rd Floor, New York, New York 10007.

A second amended civil rights complaint form is attached to this order.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 13, 2020
New York, New York

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Valerie Caproni", is written above a horizontal line.

VALERIE CAPRONI
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Write the full name of each plaintiff.

-against-

Write the full name of each defendant. If you cannot fit the names of all of the defendants in the space provided, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section IV.

____ CV _____
(Include case number if one has been assigned)

**SECOND AMENDED
COMPLAINT**
(Prisoner)

Do you want a jury trial?
 Yes No

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

I. LEGAL BASIS FOR CLAIM

State below the federal legal basis for your claim, if known. This form is designed primarily for prisoners challenging the constitutionality of their conditions of confinement; those claims are often brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (against state, county, or municipal defendants) or in a “*Bivens*” action (against federal defendants).

- Violation of my federal constitutional rights
- Other: _____

II. PLAINTIFF INFORMATION

Each plaintiff must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

First Name	Middle Initial	Last Name
------------	----------------	-----------

State any other names (or different forms of your name) you have ever used, including any name you have used in previously filing a lawsuit.

Prisoner ID # (if you have previously been in another agency’s custody, please specify each agency and the ID number (such as your DIN or NYSID) under which you were held)

Current Place of Detention

Institutional Address

County, City	State	Zip Code
--------------	-------	----------

III. PRISONER STATUS

Indicate below whether you are a prisoner or other confined person:

- Pretrial detainee
- Civilly committed detainee
- Immigration detainee
- Convicted and sentenced prisoner
- Other: _____

IV. DEFENDANT INFORMATION

To the best of your ability, provide the following information for each defendant. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are identical to those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages as necessary.

Defendant 1:

First Name	Last Name	Shield #
Current Job Title (or other identifying information)		
Current Work Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code

Defendant 2:

First Name	Last Name	Shield #
Current Job Title (or other identifying information)		
Current Work Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code

Defendant 3:

First Name	Last Name	Shield #
Current Job Title (or other identifying information)		
Current Work Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code

Defendant 4:

First Name	Last Name	Shield #
Current Job Title (or other identifying information)		
Current Work Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code

VII. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I understand that if I file three or more cases while I am a prisoner that are dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim, I may be denied *in forma pauperis* status in future cases.

I also understand that prisoners must exhaust administrative procedures before filing an action in federal court about prison conditions, 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), and that my case may be dismissed if I have not exhausted administrative remedies as required.

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. If seeking to proceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff must also submit an IFP application.

Dated	Plaintiff's Signature	
First Name	Middle Initial	Last Name
Prison Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code

Date on which I am delivering this complaint to prison authorities for mailing: _____