LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

FACSIMILE 617-994-5801

HAROLD L. LICHTEN* SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN*16 SARAH SCHALMAN-BERGEN. MATTHEW W. THOMSON* ADELAIDE H. PAGANO*

THOMAS P. FOWLER*° **OLENA SAVYTSKA*** ANNE KRAMER-1 MICHELLE CASSORLA*** ZACHARY RUBIN*** ANASTASIA DOHERTY* TARA BOGHOSIAN* MATTHEW PATTON* **KRYSTEN CONNON*** BENJAMIN J. WEBER *= OF COUL 729 BOYLSTON STREET, SUITE 2000 BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02116 TELEPHONE 617-994-5800

> * ADMITTED IN MASSACHUSETTS A ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA ADMITTED IN NEW YORK · ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY ADMITTED IN CONNECTICUT A ADMITTED IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA = ADMITTED IN TENNESSEE

WWW.LLRLAW.COM

406

VIA CM/ECF

 October 28, 2021
 IIII Do JI Helder NUM

 M/ECF
 IIII Do JI Helder NUM

 Colleen McMahon
 IIII Do JI Helder NUM

 I Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse
 IIII Do JI Helder NUM

 earl St., Room 2550
 IIII Do JI Helder NUM

 York, NY 10007-1312
 IIII Do JI Helder NUM

 Tavenner v. International Business Machines Corp., C.A. No. 1:21-cv-06345
 IIII Do JI Helder NUM

 Chief Judge McMahon:
 IIII Do JI Helder NUM

Hon. Colleen McMahon Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St., Room 2550 New York, NY 10007-1312

USDC SDNY

DOCUMENT

DATE FILED:

DOC #:__

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

RE:

1820 444

Dear Chief Judge McMahon:

"Cup denting" I represent Plaintiff in the above-referenced matter. Pursuant to Section 6 of the seeks permission to file her Motion for Summary Judgment under seal, along with the accompanying Declaration of Shannon Liss-Riordan and exhibits. Plaintiff will be and exhibits and exhibits and exhibits and exhibits are publicly file a redacted version of these documents, with all information that the parties agree is non-confidential unredacted.

The reason for this request is that Defendant IBM contends that these documents contain information that falls under the scope of the confidentiality agreement contained within IBM's arbitration agreement (see Exhibit 2 to Liss-Riordan Decl.), which is the subject of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. As explained extensively in the Motion for Summary Judgment, Plaintiff does not believe that IBM's confidentiality provision in its arbitration agreement should be enforced. Plaintiff further believes that these documents should be publicly filed. In particular, Plaintiff notes Section VI of Your Honor's Individual Practices and Procedures requires parties to include the following in proposed Stipulation and Confidentiality Orders:

It has been this Court's consistent experience that confidentiality stipulations are abused by parties and that much material that is not truly confidential is designated as such. The Court does not intend to be a party to such practices. The Court operates under a presumption that the entire record should be publicly available.

Doc. 19

Case 1:21-cv-06345-CM Document 12 Filed 10/28/21 Page 2 of 2

LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.

Nevertheless, until IBM has had the opportunity to respond, Plaintiff requests that, at least preliminarily, these documents be permitted to be filed under seal, with redacted versions filed publicly (for which the portions of the papers that IBM concedes are not confidential will not be redacted).

Plaintiff requests further that Your Honor order IBM to submit a letter explaining its position on why the redacted information should remain out of public view while the Court considers Plaintiff's underlying Motion for Summary Judgment. Other judges in this District require such a filing within three (3) business days, and Plaintiff requests that IBM be ordered to file such a letter here as well within three (3) business days.

Sincerely,

<u>/s/ Shannon Liss-Riordan</u> Shannon Liss-Riordan

Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 28, 2021, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was filed via this Court's CM/ECF system.

<u>/s/ Shannon Liss-Riordan</u> Shannon Liss-Riordan