

USDC SDNY

DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE FILED: 1/7/2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MANDELA BROCK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK; MAYOR ERIC  
ADAMS,

Defendants.

21-CV-11094 (AT)

ORDER

ANALISA TORRES, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, filed an “Order to Show Cause for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order,” requesting preliminary injunctive relief. To obtain such relief, Plaintiff must show: (1) that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm and (2) either (a) a likelihood of success on the merits of his case or (b) sufficiently serious questions going to the merits to make them a fair ground for litigation and a balance of hardships tipping decidedly in his favor. *See UBS Fin. Servs., Inc. v. W.V. Univ. Hosps., Inc.*, 660 F.3d 643, 648 (2d Cir. 2011) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted); *Wright v. Giuliani*, 230 F.3d 543, 547 (2000). Preliminary injunctive relief “is an extraordinary and drastic remedy, one that should not be granted unless the movant, by a clear showing, carries the burden of persuasion.” *Moore v. Consol. Edison Co. of N.Y., Inc.*, 409 F.3d 506, 510 (2d Cir. 2005) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

At this time, Plaintiff’s submissions do not demonstrate: (1) a likelihood of success on the merits, or (2) sufficiently serious questions going to the merits to make them a fair ground for litigation and a balance of hardships tipping decidedly in his favor. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s request for a TRO and preliminary injunction (ECF No. 3) is denied without prejudice to renewal at a later date.

### CONCLUSION

Plaintiff's request for a TRO and preliminary injunction (ECF No. 3) is denied without prejudice to renewal at a later date.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 7, 2022  
New York, New York



---

ANALISA TORRES  
United States District Judge