Lantz v. Liebenberg et al Doc. 43

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK PERICLES LANTZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

WILHELM LIEBENBERG, CAROLINE SIROIS, JONATHAN E. MOSKIN, and John Does 1 – 6,

Defendants.

ANALISA TORRES, District Judge:

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 11/18/2022

22 Civ. 4855 (AT)

**ORDER** 

The Court has reviewed the parties' revised proposed case management plan. ECF No. 41. The parties' proposed deadline for the close of fact discovery exceeds 120 days. *Id.* ¶ 5. To the extent this proposed deadline is intended to account for Defendants' "request [for] specific time to conduct discovery from foreign third parties concerning anticipated affirmative defenses," *id.* ¶ 13, that request is DENIED without prejudice to renewal because it is not properly before the Court in a proposed case management plan. The same is true of Defendants' request for two separate hearings to "address Plaintiff's refusal to return improperly obtained attorney-client privileged documents" and "address the duplicative nature of the claims in this action and in the action before Judge Hellerstein: Laba v. JBO Worldwide Supply Pty Ltd. 1:20-cv-03443-AKH." *Id.* Neither of these requests is properly before the Court. Accordingly, both requests are DENIED without prejudice to renewal.

Accordingly, by **November 21, 2022**, the parties shall submit a second revised proposed case management plan that includes a revised deadline for the close of fact discovery that does not exceed 120 days. No extensions shall be granted without a showing of good cause.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 18, 2022 New York, New York

> ANALISA TORRES United States District Judge