UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
ANA MARTINEZ REYES, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 22-cv-09916
Plaintiffs,	
-against-	
SUMMIT HEALTH MANAGEMENT, LLC,	

-----X

Defendant.

FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter, having come before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the proposed class action settlement with Defendant, Summit Health Management, LLC. After review and consideration of the Settlement Agreement, the papers in support of the motion, including the Lesser Declaration and all of its accompanying exhibits, and all prior proceedings in this Action, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. Notice to the Class required by Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure has been provided in accordance with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order (ECF. No.31). Such Notice has been given in an adequate and sufficient manner; constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and satisfies Rule 23(e) and due process. All definitions and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement (ECF. No. 25, Exhibit A) are incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. The Defendant has timely filed notification of this settlement with the appropriate officials pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715. The

Court has reviewed such notification and accompanying materials and finds that the Defendant's notification complies fully with the applicable requirements of CAFA.

- 3. The Settlement Agreement was arrived at as a result of arms-length negotiations facilitated by an experienced mediator that were conducted in good faith by counsel for the parties, and is supported by the parties.
- 4. The Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the members of the Class in light of the complexity, expense and duration of litigation and the risks involved in establishing liability, damages and in maintaining the class action through trial and appeal.
- 5. The relief provided under the Settlement constitutes fair value given in exchange for the release of claims.
- 6. It is in the best interests of the parties and the Class Members and consistent with principles of judicial economy that any dispute between any Class Member (including any dispute as to whether any person is a Class Member) and any Released Party which in any way relates to the applicability or scope of the Settlement Agreement or this Final Order and Judgment should be presented exclusively to this Court for resolution by this Court.
- 7. The Settlement Class is finally certified for settlement purposes only, the Court finding that the Settlement Class satisfies all applicable requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process, under the following class definition:

<u>The Class</u>: All persons who paid CityMD bills up to and including December 1, 2022, for a Covid test that was conducted at a CityMD facility located in either New York or New Jersey.

The Class does not include Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; Defendant's attorneys; the Named Plaintiff's attorneys; and any Judge overseeing or considering the approval of the Settlement together with members of his immediate family and any judicial staff. Also

excluded from the Class are Alex Berzanskis, Josselin Aldana Ng, Nina Sarkisova, and Elmira Amirkhan Zozzaro, all of whom opt-outed from the Settlement.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Settlement Agreement submitted by the parties is finally approved pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Class. The Settlement Agreement shall therefore be deemed incorporated herein and the proposed settlement is finally approved and shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions thereof, except as amended or clarified by any subsequent order issued by this Court.
- 2. This action is hereby dismissed on the merits, with prejudice and without costs, except as provided in the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment. In the event that there is a *cy pres* remainder, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the Court approves Epidemics Fund of The Fund for Public Health NYC as a *cy pres* recipient, and distribution.
- 3. Upon the Effective Date, the Released Parties shall be released and discharged by Plaintiff and the members of the Class in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and Preliminary Approval Order. The following four individuals have excluded themselves from the Class and, therefore, have not released the Released Parties.
- 4. Without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court hereby reserves and retains jurisdiction over this Settlement, including the administration and consummation of the Settlement. In addition, without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over Defendant and each member of the Class for any suit, action, proceeding or dispute arising out of or relating to this Order, the Settlement Agreement or the applicability of the Settlement Agreement. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, any

dispute concerning the Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, any suit, action, arbitration or other proceeding by a Class member in which the provisions of the Settlement Agreement are asserted as a defense in whole or in part to any claim or cause of action or otherwise raised as an objection, shall constitute a suit, action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Order. Solely for purposes of such suit, action or proceeding, to the fullest extent possible under applicable law, the parties hereto and all Class Members are hereby deemed to have irrevocably waived and agreed not to assert, by way of motion, as a defense or otherwise, any claim or objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of this Court, or that this Court is, in any way, an improper venue or an inconvenient forum.

- 5. Upon consideration of Class Counsel's application for fees and costs and other expenses, Class Counsel, are hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$600,000.00, which the Court finds to be fair and reasonable, and \$7,546.07 in reimbursement of expenses incurred in prosecuting the Actions, which amounts will be paid through the Settlement Fund, and the Court hereby directs payment as provided under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. Upon consideration of the Class Counsel's application for a service award for the Named Plaintiff, the Named Plaintiff is hereby awarded a service award in the amount of \$9,000 for her services in assisting the prosecution of the case, and the Court hereby directs payment as provided under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. Because the exact fees incurred by the Settlement Administrator cannot yet be determined, the Court approves deducting from the Settlement Fund all reasonable fees up to \$140,000 but not exceeding that sum. Class Counsel is charged with reviewing the Settlement Administrator's invoices for reasonableness. Before such invoices are paid from the Settlement Fund, up to \$50,000 for the mailing of Settlement checks shall be paid by the Defendant directly to the Settlement Administrator. Any amount over \$50,000 shall come out of the Settlement

Fund. Settlement Administration Costs are to be paid pursuant to the conditions set forth in the

Settlement Agreement.

8. The parties and each Class Member have irrevocably submitted to the exclusive

jurisdiction of this Court for any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of the

Settlement Agreement.

9. If the Settlement Agreement is not upheld on appeal, or is otherwise terminated

for any reason before the Effective Date, then the Settlement Agreement and all negotiations,

proceedings, and documents prepared, and statements made in connection therewith, shall be

without prejudice to any Party and shall not be deemed or construed to be an admission or

confession by any Party of any fact, matter, or proposition of law; all Parties shall stand in the

same procedural position as if the Settlement Agreement had not been negotiated, made, or filed

with the Court; and in such event, the parties are directed to request a Court Conference to

consider a new schedule for the remaining proceedings in this Action.

10. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

that there is no just reason for delay, and directs the Clerk to enter final judgment.

BY THE COURT:

Dated: May 9, 2024

HONORABLE VERNON S. BRODERICK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE