



**HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX**  
Corporation Counsel

THE CITY OF NEW YORK  
**LAW DEPARTMENT**  
100 CHURCH STREET  
NEW YORK, NY 10007

**JACQUELYN A. DAINOW**  
Assistant Corporation Counsel  
Phone: (212) 356-0896  
Fax: (212) 356-1148  
Email: jadainow@law.nyc.gov

May 8, 2024

VIA E.C.F.

Hon. Naomi Reice Buchwald  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, NY 10007

Re: Morgan *et al.* v. City of New York, No. 23-cv-1079 (NRB)

Dear Judge Buchwald:

I am an Assistant Corporation Counsel in the Office of the Corporation Counsel, attorney for Defendant the City of New York (“City”) in the above-referenced matter.

I write on behalf of all Defendants in this action (both agency and individual<sup>1</sup>), to respectfully request a 45-day extension of time to respond to Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint, from May 13, 2024 to June 27, 2024, and also for an extension of the July 15, 2024 fact discovery deadline to August 29, 2024. The reason for the requested extensions is that the individually named Defendants have only just recently been served (one less than a week ago), and this Office needs sufficient time to review requests for representation once received, conduct representation interviews, and make representation decisions with respect to these individuals. Specifically, an extension of time is necessary to afford this Office an adequate opportunity to meet and review the case with the individual defendants, after they have requested representation by the Law Department, in order make appropriate representation determinations, pursuant to NY General Municipal Law (“GML”) 50-k. The officers must then decide whether they wish to be represented by this Office. If so, this Office must obtain their written authorization. Only after this process has been followed can this Office determine how to proceed in this case. (See General Municipal Law § 50(k); see also Mercurio v. The City of New York, et al., 758 F.2d 862, 864-65 (2d Cir. 1985); Williams v. City of New York, et al., 64 N.Y.2d 800, 486 N.Y.S.2d 918 (1985) (noting that the decision to bestow legal representation upon individual defendants is made by the Corporation

---

<sup>1</sup> The Law Department currently represents only the City. It seeks an extension of time to respond to Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint on behalf of the individually named New York City Department of Correction (“DOC”) Defendants, as well, while representation determinations are made.

Counsel as set forth in state law). The requested extension of time will afford this Office the opportunity to meet with each officer and make appropriate representation determinations in an efficient manner. Further, in order not to waste this Court's time and resources, this extension is respectfully requested so that all responses to the Amended Complaint can be served at the same time. Lastly, an extension of the discovery deadline is necessary as depositions cannot be scheduled or commenced before representation decisions are made.

This is Defendants' second request for an extension of these deadlines. The Court previously granted, in part, Defendants' first request to extend these deadlines from April 1, 2024 to May 15, 2024, and May 31, 2024 to July 15, 2024, respectively (*see* ECF No. 35). Plaintiffs do not consent to this request. Plaintiffs argue below, *inter alia*, that it is the City's fault that the individual Defendants were not served sooner, alleging that the City failed to provide Plaintiffs with service addresses and/or correct service addresses. However, such is not the case; officer postings change all of the time and the City provided the information it believed to be correct when received from DOC. At no point did, nor would, the City ever knowingly or intentionally withhold service addresses or provide incorrect service addresses, and any argument by Plaintiffs that this was the case here is incorrect and should be disregarded. Further, as stated above, it is irrelevant if the City was on notice of who was named as a Defendant in this case as the City cannot do anything until those Defendants are properly served, request representation, representation interviews are conducted, and representation decisions are made. Further, with respect to Plaintiffs claiming that Defendant Tinsley's time to respond to their Amended Complaint has passed, again, the City must go through the procedure outlined above pursuant to GML 50-k in order to make representation decisions before a response can be served, and sufficient time is required for same.

**Plaintiff's position:**

Plaintiffs do not consent to the City's request for an extension of time for Defendants to respond to the Amended Complaint or for the parties to complete discovery. The City has known the names and shield numbers of all four Individual Defendants named in the Amended Complaint for over two months, as Plaintiffs sent their Amended Complaint to counsel for the City on March 4, 2024 to request the City's consent to file it pursuant to FRCP 15(a)(2). The City has apparently taken no steps in the months since Plaintiffs filed their Amended Complaint on March 11, 2024 to meet the deadline that the Court set for the City to respond.

The City now claims it needs an additional month and a half to respond to the Amended Complaint because the Individual Defendants have only recently been served. But the timing of service on the Individual Defendants is entirely attributable to the City's delays in providing Plaintiffs with accurate service information, as set forth below.

- **Defendant Mary Tinsley-Thomas.** Plaintiffs requested service information on March 7, 2024. Despite multiple follow-up emails, counsel for the City did not provide that information until nearly three weeks later, on March 26, 2024, when they instructed Plaintiffs to serve Defendant Tinsley-Thomas by email to counsel at the Department of Correction. Plaintiffs did so on March 29, 2024 and counsel for the Department of Correction responded confirming that he agreed to accept service on April 1, 2024. The

