

134 East 38th Street | New York, NY 10016 Ph: (212) 524-2564 | Fax: (212) 320-0332 www.zplaw.com

Application GRANTED nunc pro tunc. By separate order, the Court will enter the parties' proposed amended case management plan. All pending motions are terminated without prejudice to refiling in the event that the parties' settlement in principle is not consummated.

January 14, 2025

Via ECF

Hon. Jennifer H. Rearden Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007-1312 The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate ECF Nos. 14 and 42.

SO ORDERED.

jeur jer-A. Rearden Jennifer H. Rearden, U.S.D.J. Dated: January 27, 2025

Re: 2/25/94 LLC and New England Patriots LLC v. Eastern Airlines, LLC Case No. 1:23-CV-08692 (JHR)

Dear Judge Rearden:

We are writing jointly on behalf of Plaintiffs 2/25/94 LLC and New England Patriots LLC and Eastern Airlines, LLC to request an extension of the expert discovery deadlines set forth in the August 2, 2024 Amended Civil Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 36, the "Scheduling Order"). Pursuant to Rule 2 (E) of this Court's Individual Rules and Practices in Civil Cases, the parties confirm that they have previously requested one extension to these expert discovery deadlines on November 13, 2024 (ECF No. 37, the "Proposed Scheduling Order"), which was granted on November 14, 2024 (ECF No 38, "Amended Scheduling Order"). The parties previously requested the extension in order to facilitate both expert discovery and settlement negotiations in light of the mediation between the parties that took place on November 19, 2024. There are currently no appearances scheduled before the court.

Paragraph 9(d) of the Scheduling Order provides that all expert discovery, including reports, production of underlying documents, and depositions, shall be completed no later than 108 days from the close of discovery, which is set for January 16, 2025. The parties have resolved this case in principal and are working together on a binding agreement. As a result, the parties request that this Court so-order the Proposed Amended Scheduling Order attached hereto as Exhibit A, which makes one modification to the Scheduling Order to extend the deadline to complete expert discovery by approximately to February 21, 2025. The parties respectfully submit that the Proposed Amended Scheduling Order should be entered so they may use the coming weeks to finalize the settlement rather than engage in expert discovery.

> Zumpano Patricios Offices MIAMI | NEW YORK | SALT LAKE CITY | CHICAGO | LAS VEGAS

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Amanda J. Brookhyser

Amanda J. Brookhyser, Esq.

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

By: /s/ Jay Cohen

Jay Cohen

Brette Tannenbaum Daniel A. Negless

1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019-6064

Tel: (212) 373-3000

jaycohen@paulweiss.com

btannenbaum@paulweiss.com

dnegless@paulweiss.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 2/25/94 LLC and New England Patriots LLC

ZUMPANO PATRICIOS,

P.A.

By: /s/ Mark Fang

Mark Fang

134 East 38th Street

New York, New York 10016

Tel: (212)542-2564 mfang@zplaw.com

and,

Amanda J. Brookhyser Admitted *Pro Hac Vice* ZUMPANO PATRICIOS & HELSTEN, PLLC

1210 South Valley View Boulevard,

Suite 215

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 <u>abrookhyser@zplaw.com</u>

Attorneys for Defendant Eastern Airlines, LLC

EXHIBIT A

(Proposed Amended Scheduling Order)

	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ī		
2/25/	94 LLC and New Engla Plaintiff(s), -v	1:23 Civ. 8692	(JHR)	
Eastern Airlines, LLC Defendant(s).		[PROPOSED AMENDED] CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDI		
	NIFER H. REARDEN, District Judge: This Civil Case Management Plan and Sch	neduling Order is submi	tted by the parties in	
accor	dance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3).			
1.	All parties [consent \square / do not consent \blacksquare] to conducting all further proceedings be			
	a United States Magistrate Judge, including parties are free to withhold consent without parties consent, the remaining Paragraphs three days of submitting this Proposed Casthe parties shall submit to the Court a fully a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge, available https://nysd.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files	ot adverse substantive constantive constan	onsequences. [If all ed. Instead, within ad Scheduling Order,	
2.	The parties [have ■ / have not □] conferred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).			
3.	Settlement discussions [have ■ / have not ☐] taken place.			
4.	[If applicable] Counsel have discussed an informal exchange of information in aid of early settlement and have agreed upon disclosure of the following information withindays/weeks:			
	<u>N/A</u>			

5.	Counsel for the parties believe the following alternative dispute resolution mechanisms may be helpful in resolving this case (check all that apply):	
	☐ Immediate referral to the District's Mediation Program	
	☐ Immediate referral to a Magistrate Judge	
	☐ Referral to the District's Mediation Program after the close of fact discovery	
	☐ Referral to a Magistrate Judge after the close of fact discovery	
	☐ Retention of a private mediator	
	☐ Other	
6.	Except for amendments permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1), amended pleadings may not be filed, and additional parties may not be joined, except with leave of the Court. Any motion to amend or to join additional parties shall be filed within days of the date of this Order. [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 30 days following the initial pretrial conference.]	
7.	Initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than days of the date of this Order. [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 14 days following the initial pretrial conference.]	
8.	[If applicable] The plaintiff(s) shall provide HIPAA-compliant medical records release authorizations to the defendant(s) no later than Not Applicable .	
9.	Discovery	
	a. The parties are to conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York.	
	b. All fact discovery shall be completed no later than [A date not more than 120 days following the initial pretrial conference (or 90 days for IDE fee-shifting cases), unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.]	
	c. The parties agree that there [is /is no] need for expert discovery. If the parties agree that there is no need for expert discovery, all discovery shall be completed by the deadline for fact discovery, unless—prior to that date—a party files, and the Cougrants, a letter-motion seeking an extension for purposes of taking expert discovery; any such letter-motion should explain why expert discovery has become necessary	
	and propose a schedule for it. [If any party believes that there is a need for expert discovery, the parties should complete Paragraph 9(d).]	

- d. [If applicable] All expert discovery, including reports, production of underlying documents, and depositions, shall be completed no later than February 21, 2025

 [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 45 days from the date in Paragraph 9(b) (i.e., the completion of all fact discovery).]
- e. Within two weeks of the entry of this Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order, the parties shall meet and confer in person or virtually to agree upon a joint plan for complying with the discovery deadlines herein.
- f. The parties should not anticipate extensions of the deadlines for fact discovery and expert discovery set forth in the foregoing Paragraphs. Relatedly, the parties should not unilaterally decide to stay or halt discovery (on the basis of settlement negotiations or otherwise) in anticipation of an extension. This Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order may not be modified, or the dates herein extended, except by further Order of the Court for good cause shown. Unless the Court orders otherwise, parties engaged in settlement negotiations must pursue settlement and conduct discovery simultaneously. Parties should not assume that the Court will extend an existing deadline if settlement negotiations fail. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein shall be made by letter-motion filed on ECF, no later than **two business days** before the relevant deadline. In addition to the requirements listed in Rule 2.E of this Court's Individual Rules and Practices in Civil Cases, the lettermotion must explain the parties' efforts to comply with the deadline and the reasons why discovery cannot be completed by then. Absent exceptional circumstances, extensions will not be granted after deadlines have already passed.

10. Interim Discovery Deadlines

- a. Initial requests for production of documents shall be served by no later than 30 day [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 30 days following the initial pretrial conference.]
- b. Interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33.3(a) of the Local Civil Rules of the Southern District of New York shall be served by no later than 30 day. [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 30 days following the initial pretrial conference.] Rule 33.3(a) interrogatories need not be served with respect to disclosures automatically required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a).
- c. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, contention interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33.3(c) of the Local Civil Rules of the Southern District of New York must be served no later than 30 days before the close of discovery. No other interrogatories are permitted unless authorized by the Court.
- d. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, depositions of fact witnesses shall be completed by the date set forth in Paragraph 9(b).

- i. Absent an order of the Court, depositions shall not be held until all parties have responded to initial requests for document production.
- ii. There shall be no priority in deposition by reason of a party's status as a plaintiff or a defendant.
- iii. Absent an order of the Court, non-party depositions shall follow initial party depositions.
- e. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, requests to admit shall be served by no later than 30 days before the close of discovery.
- f. Any of the deadlines in Paragraphs 10(a) through 10(e) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth in Paragraph 9(b).
- g. In the event that the case will involve expert discovery, the parties shall meet and confer, no later than 30 days prior to the date in Paragraph 9(b) (i.e., the completion of all fact discovery), on a schedule for expert disclosures, including deadlines to serve reports (including rebuttal reports, if applicable), to produce documents, and to complete depositions, provided that (1) expert report(s) of the party with the burden of proof shall be due before those of the opposing party's expert(s); and (2) all expert discovery shall be completed by the date set forth in Paragraph 9(d).
- 11. All motions and applications shall be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York, and the Court's Individual Rules and Practices (available at https://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/hon-jennifer-h-rearden).
- 12. In the case of discovery disputes, the parties should follow Local Civil Rule 37.2 with the following modifications. Any party wishing to raise a discovery dispute with the Court must first meet and confer in good faith with the opposing party—in person, virtually, or by telephone—in an effort to resolve the dispute. Conferring in writing does not satisfy this requirement. If this process fails, the party shall, in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules, promptly file a letter-motion, no longer than six double-spaced pages, explaining the nature of the dispute and requesting an informal conference. Such a letter-motion must include a representation that the parties engaged in a good faith meet-and-confer process that proved unsuccessful. Any opposition to a letter-motion seeking relief shall be filed as a letter, not to exceed six double-spaced pages, within three business days. Counsel should be prepared to discuss with the Court the matters raised by such letters, as the Court will seek to resolve discovery disputes quickly, by order, by conference, or by telephone. Counsel should seek relief in accordance with these procedures in a timely fashion.
- 13. All counsel must meet in person for at least one hour to discuss settlement within 14 days following the close of fact discovery.

14.	Absent good cause, the Court will not have summary judgment practice in a non-jury case. Summary judgment motions, if applicable, and any motion to exclude the testimony of experts pursuant to Rules 702-705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and the <i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc.</i> , 509 U.S. 579 (1993), line of cases, shall be filed within 30 days of the close of fact or expert discovery (whichever is later). Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, opposition to any such motion shall be filed two weeks after the motion is served on the opposing party, and a reply, if any, is to be filed one week after service of any opposition.
15.	Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, within 30 days of the close of all discovery, or, if a dispositive motion has been filed, within 30 days of a decision on such motion, the parties shall submit to the Court for its approval a Joint Pretrial Order prepared in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3). The parties shall also follow Rule 7.B of the Court's Individual Rules and Practices for Civil Cases, which identifies submissions that must be made concurrently with the Joint Pretrial Order, including any motions <i>in limine</i> and, if the action is to be tried before a jury, proposed <i>voir dire</i> questions, or, if the action is to be tried to the Court, proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law.
16.	Unless the Court orders otherwise for good cause shown, the parties shall be ready for trial two weeks after the Joint Pretrial Order is filed.
17.	This case [is \square / is not \blacksquare] to be tried to a jury.
18.	Counsel for the parties have conferred and the present best estimate of the length of trial is 3-5 days
19.	Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below.
	Not applicable
	TO BE FILLED IN BY THE COURT IF APPLICABLE:
	shall file a motion for/tono
later th	shall file a motion for/tono han Any opposition shall be filed by eply shall be filed by
Any I	cpry shan of filed by

or abo	out		
	The parties shall file a joint letter by		
	d like the Court to refer the case to the assigned Magistrate J		
	ation program for settlement purposes and, if so, approximate	tely when they believe a	
settle	ement conference should be held.		
	The most mustical conference is subaduled for	a t	
Linlag	The next pretrial conference is scheduled for ss and until the Court orders otherwise, the conference will be		
Omes	ss and until the Court orders otherwise, the conference will b	e neiu	
	Absent leave of Court, by one week prior to any future co	nfarance the parties shall t	
ECE /			
	a joint letter, not to exceed three pages, regarding the status	of the case. The fetter shou	
meruc	de the following information in separate paragraphs:		
(1)	A statement indicating whether the parties believe that the	e pretrial conference is	
(*)	A statement indicating whether the parties believe that the pretrial conference is		
	unnecessary. If so, the Court may still hold the pretrial conference, although perhaps a different time. To that end, counsel should indicate in their joint letter dates and times		
	during the week of the conference that they would be avai		
	during the week of the conference that they would be avail	iauic,	
(2)	A statement of all existing deadlines, due dates, and/or cu	t-offdates:	
(-)	,,,,		
(3)	A brief description of any outstanding motions;		
(4)	A brief description of the status of discovery and of any ac	lditional discovery that nee	
	be completed;		
(5)	A list of all prior settlement discussions, including the dat	te, the parties involved, who	
` /	any third-party (e.g., Magistrate Judge, mediator, etc.) was	-	
	duration of any such discussions;	, 11	
	,		
(6)	A statement of whether or how the Court could facilitate s	settlement of the case (for	
(0)	example, through a(nother) settlement conference before to	•	
	or as part of the Court's Mediation Program);	are assigned wagishate vac	
	or as part of the court's intediation in ognating,		
(7)	A statement of the anticipated length of trial, and whether	the case is to be tried to a i	
(')	or me amorphism of man, and whether	2000 10 10 00 mieu 10 uj	
(8)	A statement of whether the parties anticipate filing motion	ns for summary judgment:	
(~)	or me parate and parate minig monor		
(9)	Any other issue that the parties would like to address at th	e pretrial conference: and	
(~)	The contract that the parties would like to dedices at the	r promisi comercines, and	
(10)	Any information that the parties believe may assist the Co	urt in advancing the case to	
(10)	settlement or trial.	and the day and the case to	

SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	
New York, New York	
	JENNIFER H. REARDEN
	United States District Judge