

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.,

Plaintiff,

-v-

ECOER INC. *and* INVERTERCOOL INC.,

Defendants.

24 Civ. 1464 (PAE)

ORDER

PAUL A. ENGELMAYER, District Judge:

The Court is in receipt of a motion to reopen this case by YES PLLC, counsel of record for defendants Ecoer Inc. and InverterCool Inc. (collectively, “Ecoer”), to supply a vehicle for YES PLLC to assert a lien against any recovery by Ecoer in this action pursuant to a confidential settlement agreement between the parties. Dkt. 85 (motion to reopen); *see* Dkt. 84 (30-day order). The Court directs Ecoer, which continues to be represented by YES PLLC’s co-counsel Kirsch & Niehaus PLLC, and plaintiff Honeywell International Inc. (“Honeywell”) to file separate letter responses to YES PLLC’s motion **by Tuesday, March 18, 2025**. The parties are directed to address, *inter alia*, (1) whether the existence of a charging lien warrants reopening this case, in which the parties have elected against the Court’s retaining jurisdiction for the purposes of enforcing the terms of any settlement agreement, *see* Dkt. 84 at 1; and (2) whether an alternative vehicle exists for any claims that YES PLLC may have against Ecoer, *e.g.*, a separate proceeding in state court.

Insofar as YES PLLC’s submissions do not reflect any effort to meet and confer prior to its filing the instant motion, *see* Dkt. 85 at 2–3, the Court separately directs YES PLLC to do so forthwith, as the controversy raised by YES PLLC appears eminently resolvable by counsel.

SO ORDERED.

Paul A. Engelmayer

Paul A. Engelmayer
United States District Judge

Dated: March 12, 2025
New York, New York