

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KING SPIDER LLC,

*Plaintiff*

v.

FOSHANSHIBINGJIEHAOYI,  
HUZHONGMINGZZ,  
KUNMINGWUHUAYUANYINGGE,  
MENGJUMAOYI, MIAOPOKEJI, SHANM,  
WEDSSSAGGY and ZHANGMUMAOYI,





*Defendants*

Civil Case No.: 24-cv-5673  
(JMF)

**1) TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER; 2)  
ORDER RESTRAINING  
MERCHANT STOREFRONTS  
AND DEFENDANTS' ASSETS  
WITH THE FINANCIAL  
INSTITUTIONS; 3) ORDER  
TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION  
SHOULD NOT ISSUE; 4)  
ORDER AUTHORIZING  
BIFURCATED AND  
ALTERNATIVE SERVICE;  
AND 5) ORDER  
AUTHORIZING EXPEDITED  
DISCOVERY**

**FILED UNDER SEAL**

## GLOSSARY

<u>Term</u>	<u>Definition</u>
<b>Plaintiff or King Spider</b>	King Spider LLC
<b>Defendants</b>	FoShanShiBingJieHaoYi, huzhongmingzz, KunMingWuHuaYuanYingGe, mengjumaoyi, Miaopokeji, shanm, WEDSSSAGGY and zhangmumaoyi
<b>Walmart Marketplace</b>	Walmart.com Marketplace, a Bentonville, Arkansas-based, online marketplace and e-commerce platform owned by Walmart Stores, Inc., a Delaware corporation, that allows manufacturers and other third-party merchants, like Defendants, to advertise, distribute, offer for sale, sell and ship their retail products, which, upon information and belief, primarily originate from China, directly to consumers worldwide and specifically to consumers residing in the U.S., including New York
<b>Epstein Drangel</b>	Epstein Drangel LLP, counsel for Plaintiff
<b>New York Address</b>	244 Madison Ave, Suite 411, New York, New York 10016
<b>Complaint</b>	Plaintiff's Complaint
<b>Application</b>	Plaintiff's <i>ex parte</i> Application for: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Merchant Storefronts (as defined <i>infra</i> ) and Defendants' Assets (as defined <i>infra</i> ) with the Financial Institutions (as defined <i>infra</i> ); 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing bifurcated and alternative service and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery
<b>Rucker Dec.</b>	Declaration of CB Rucker in Support of Plaintiff's Application
<b>Nastasi Dec.</b>	Declaration of Gabriela N. Nastasi in Support of Plaintiff's Application
<b>Sp5der Products</b>	A young, successful high-end lifestyle streetwear line specializing in men's and women's apparel, as well as accessories, bags and other ready-made goods
<b>Sp5der Registrations</b>	U.S. Trademark Registration Nos.: 6,512,199 for "SP5DER" for a variety of goods in Class 25; 6,681,320 for "  " for a variety of goods in Class 25; 7,049,772 for "  " for a variety of goods in Class 25; 7,031,211 for "  " for a variety of goods in Class 25; 6,688,472 for "  " for a variety of goods in Class 25; 7,151,224 for "SP5WOM" for a variety of

	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SP5WOM</b></p> <p>goods in Class 25; 7,270,084 for “ ” for a variety of goods in Class 25; 7,367,336 for “SP5 WORLDWIDE” for a variety of goods in Class 25; and 7,166,293 for “555 555” for a variety of goods in Class 25</p>
<b>Sp5der Applications</b>	<p>U.S. Application Nos.: 97/141,401 for “555 555” for a variety of goods in Classes 9, 25 and 41; 97/141,377 for “SP5DER” for a variety of goods in Classes 9, 35 and 41; and 97/141,407 for “  ” for a variety of goods in Classes 9 and 41</p>
<b>Sp5der Marks</b>	The marks covered by the Sp5der Registrations and Sp5der Applications
<b>Counterfeit Products</b>	Products bearing or used in connection with the Sp5der Marks, and/or products in packaging and/or containing labels bearing the Sp5der Marks, and/or bearing or used in connection with marks that are confusingly similar to the Sp5der Marks and/or products that are identical or confusingly similar to the Sp5der Products
<b>Infringing Listings</b>	Defendants’ listings for Counterfeit Products
<b>User Accounts</b>	Any and all websites and any and all accounts with online marketplace platforms such as Walmart Marketplace, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered accounts with additional online marketplace platforms held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them
<b>Merchant Storefronts</b>	Any and all User Accounts through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them operate storefronts to manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, display, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products, which are held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them
<b>Defendants’ Assets</b>	Any and all money, securities or other property or assets of Defendants (whether said assets are located in the U.S. or abroad)
<b>Defendants’ Financial Accounts</b>	Any and all financial accounts associated with or utilized by any Defendants or any Defendants’ User Accounts or Merchant Storefront(s) (whether said account is located in the U.S. or abroad)
<b>Financial Institutions</b>	PayPal Inc. (“PayPal”), Payoneer Inc. (“Payoneer”), PingPong Global Solutions, Inc. (“PingPong”) and Airwallex (Hong Kong) Limited (“Airwallex”)
<b>Third Party Service</b>	Online marketplace platforms, including, without limitation,

<b>Providers</b>	those owned and operated, directly or indirectly, by Walmart Marketplace, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered online marketplace platforms and/or entities through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products which are hereinafter identified as a result of any order entered in this action, or otherwise
------------------	---

On this day, the Court considered Plaintiff's *ex parte* application for the following: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Merchant Storefronts and Defendants' Assets with the Financial Institutions; 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing bifurcated and alternative service and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery against Defendants, Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions in light of Defendants' intentional and willful offerings for sale and/or sales of Counterfeit Products.<sup>1</sup> A complete list of Defendants is attached hereto as **Schedule A**, which also includes links to Defendants' Merchant Storefronts and Infringing Listings. Having reviewed the Application, Declarations of CB Rucker and Gabriela N. Nastasi, along with exhibits attached thereto and other evidence submitted in support thereof, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

#### **PRELIMINARY FACTUAL FINDINGS & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. Sp5der, launched by Plaintiff in or about 2019, is a successful and high-end lifestyle streetwear line of men's and women's apparel, accessories, bags and other ready-made goods which are distributed in the United States and abroad ("Sp5der Brand").

2. The Sp5der Products are marketed under the Sp5der Marks. The Sp5der Brand is recognized for its familiar motifs, including rhinestones and spider webs, as well as its eccentric design language.

3. The Sp5der Products are sold exclusively direct to consumers via King Spider's official website, <https://kingspider.co>.

4. Since the launch, the Sp5der Brand and Sp5der Products have been featured in numerous press publications, including, but not limited to, Complex, Highsnobriety, Hot New

---


<sup>1</sup> Where a defined term is referenced herein and not defined herein, the defined term should be understood as it is defined in the Glossary.

HipHop and Hypebeast, among others.


5. Sp5der Products typically retail for between \$25.00 - \$1,000.00.

6. While Sp5der has gained significant common law trademark and other rights in its Sp5der Marks and Sp5der Products through use, advertising and promotion, Sp5der also protected its valuable rights by filing for and obtaining federal trademark registrations.

7. For example, King Spider owns the following U.S. Trademark Registration Nos.:


6,512,199 for “SP5DER” for a variety of goods in Class 25; 6,681,320 for “” for a

variety of goods in Class 25; 7,049,772 for “” for a variety of goods in Class 25;

7,031,211 for “” for a variety of goods in Class 25; 6,688,472 for “




” for a variety of goods in Class 25; 7,151,224 for “SP5WOM” for a variety of goods

in Class 25; 7,270,084 for “” for a variety of goods in Class 25; 7,367,336 for

“SP5 WORLDWIDE” for a variety of goods in Class 25; and 7,166,293 for “555 555” for a variety of goods in Class 25. King Spider has also applied for the registration of U.S. Application Nos.:

97/141,401 for “555 555” for a variety of goods in Classes 9, 25 and 41; 97/141,377 for “SP5DER”

for a variety of goods in Classes 9, 35 and 41; and 97/141,407 for “” for a variety of goods in Classes 9 and 41.

8. The Sp5der Marks are currently in use in commerce in connection with the Sp5der Products. The Sp5der Marks were first used in commerce on or before the dates of first use as reflected in the respective registrations attached to the Complaint as Exhibit C.

9. The success of the Sp5der Products is due in part to King Spider's marketing and promotional efforts. These efforts include advertising and promotion through social media, King Spider's website (available at <https://kingspider.co>) and print and internet-based advertising.

10. King Spider's success is also due to its use of the highest quality materials and processes in making the Sp5der Products.

11. Additionally, King Spider owes a substantial amount of the success of the Sp5der Products to its consumers and word-of-mouth buzz that its consumers have generated.

12. King Spider's efforts, the quality of King Spider's products and the word-of-mouth buzz generated by its consumers have made the Sp5der Marks and Sp5der Products prominently placed in the minds of the public. Members of the public and retailers have become familiar with the Sp5der Marks and Sp5der Products and have come to associate them exclusively with Sp5der. King Spider has acquired a valuable reputation and goodwill among the public as a result of such associations.

13. King Spider has gone to great lengths to protect its interests in the Sp5der Products and the Sp5der Marks. No one other than King Spider and its authorized licensees and distributors are authorized to manufacture, import, export, advertise, offer for sale or sell any goods utilizing the Sp5der Marks, or use the Sp5der Marks in connection with goods or services or otherwise, without the express permission of King Spider.

14. Defendants are manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying and/or offering for sale Counterfeit Products through Defendants' User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts with Walmart Marketplace (see **Schedule A** for links to Defendants' Merchant Storefronts and Infringing Listings).

15. Defendants are not, nor have they ever been, authorized distributors or licensees of

the Sp5der Products. Neither Plaintiff, nor any of Plaintiff's authorized agents, have consented to Defendants' use of the Sp5der Marks, nor has Plaintiff consented to Defendants' use of marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting or infringement of the Sp5der Marks.

16. Plaintiff is likely to prevail on its Lanham Act and related common law claims at trial.

17. As a result of Defendants' infringements, Plaintiff, as well as consumers, are likely to suffer immediate and irreparable losses, damages and injuries before Defendants can be heard in opposition, unless Plaintiff's Application for *ex parte* relief is granted:

- a. Defendants have offered for sale and sold substandard Counterfeit Products in the United States that infringe the Sp5der Marks;
- b. Plaintiff has well-founded fears that more Counterfeit Products will appear in the marketplace; that consumers may be misled, confused and disappointed by the quality of these Counterfeit Products, resulting in injury to Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill; and that Plaintiff may suffer loss of sales for the Sp5der Products; and
- c. Plaintiff has well-founded fears that if they proceed on notice to Defendants on this Application, Defendants will: (i) secret, conceal, destroy, alter, sell-off, transfer or otherwise dispose of or deal with Counterfeit Products or other goods that infringe the Sp5der Marks, the means of obtaining or manufacturing such Counterfeit Products, and records relating thereto that are in their possession or under their control, (ii) inform their suppliers and others of Plaintiff's claims with the result being that those suppliers and others may also secret, conceal, sell-off or otherwise dispose of Counterfeit Products or other goods infringing the Sp5der Marks, the means of



obtaining or manufacturing such Counterfeit Products, and records relating thereto that are in their possession or under their control, (iii) secret, conceal, transfer or otherwise dispose of their ill-gotten proceeds from its sales of Counterfeit Products or other goods infringing the Sp5der Marks and records relating thereto that are in their possession or under their control and/or (iv) open new User Accounts and Merchant Storefront under new or different names and continue to offer for sale and sell Counterfeit Products with little to no consequence.

18. The balance of potential harm to Defendants of being prevented from continuing to profit from their illegal and infringing activities if a temporary restraining order is issued is far outweighed by the potential harm to Plaintiff, its business, the goodwill and reputation built up in and associated with the Sp5der Marks and to its reputations if a temporary restraining order is not issued.

19. Public interest favors issuance of the temporary restraining order in order to protect Plaintiff's interests in and to its Sp5der Marks, and to protect the public from being deceived and defrauded by Defendants' passing off of their substandard Counterfeit Products as Sp5der Products.

20. Plaintiff has not publicized its request for a temporary restraining order in any way.

21. Service on Defendants via electronic means is reasonably calculated to result in proper notice to Defendants.

22. If Defendants are given notice of the Application, they are likely to secret, conceal, transfer or otherwise dispose of their ill-gotten proceeds from their sales of Counterfeit Products or other goods infringing the Sp5der Marks. Therefore, good cause exists for granting Plaintiff's request for an asset restraining order. It typically takes the Financial Institutions a minimum of

five (5) days after service of the Order to locate, attach and freeze Defendants' Assets and/or Defendants' Financial Accounts and it is anticipated that it will take the Third Party Service Providers a minimum of five (5) days to freeze Defendants' Merchant Storefronts. As such, the Court allows enough time for Plaintiff to serve the Financial Institutions and Third Party Service Providers with this Order, and for the Financial Institutions and Third Party Service Providers to comply with the Paragraphs I(B)(1) and I(C)(1) of this Order, respectively, before requiring service on Defendants.

23. Similarly, if Defendants are given notice of the Application, they are likely to destroy, move, hide or otherwise make inaccessible to Plaintiff the records and documents relating to Defendants' manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products. Therefore, Plaintiff has good cause to be granted expedited discovery.

### **ORDER**

Based on the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, Plaintiff's Application is hereby **GRANTED** as follows:

#### **I. Temporary Restraining Order**

A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that Defendants are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions in the United States (with the exception of the acts and omissions described in paragraphs 6-8 below, which shall apply worldwide) for twenty-eight (28)<sup>2</sup> days from the date of this order, and for such further period as may be provided by order of the Court:

---

<sup>2</sup> The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 65(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that good cause exists to extend this temporary restraining order for a duration of twenty-eight days because, in the Court's experience, service in this type of case is complex and unlikely to be effectuated within fourteen days.

- 1) manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale, selling and/or otherwise dealing in Counterfeit Products, or any other products bearing the Sp5der Marks and/or marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting or infringement of the Sp5der Marks;
- 2) continued operation of Defendants' User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts in violation of this Order;
- 3) directly or indirectly infringing in any manner Plaintiff's Sp5der Marks;
- 4) using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation of Plaintiff's Sp5der Marks to identify any goods or service not authorized by Plaintiff;
- 5) using Plaintiff's Sp5der Marks and/or any other marks that are confusingly similar to the Sp5der Marks on or in connection with Defendants' manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, offering for sale, selling and/or otherwise dealing in Counterfeit Products;
- 6) using any false designation of origin or false description, or engaging in any action which is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake and/or to deceive members of the trade and/or the public as to the affiliation, connection or association of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendants with Plaintiff, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendants and Defendants' commercial activities and Plaintiff;

- 7) secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with: (i) Counterfeit Products and/or (ii) any computer files, data, business records, documents or any other records or evidence relating to their User Accounts, Merchant Storefronts or Defendants' Assets and the manufacture, importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products;
- 8) effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or creating and/or utilizing any other platform, User Account, Merchant Storefront or any other means of importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products for the purposes of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth in this Order; and
- 9) knowingly instructing any other person or business entity to engage in any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs I(A)(1) through I(A)(8) above and I(B)(1) and I(C)(1) below.

B. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that Defendants and all persons in active concert and participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order, including the Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions who satisfy those requirements and are identified in this Order are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions for twenty-eight (28) days from the date of this order, and for such further period as may be provided by order of this Court:

- 1) secreting, concealing, transferring, disposing of, withdrawing, encumbering or paying Defendants' Assets from or to Defendants' Financial Accounts until further ordered by this Court.

C. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that immediately upon receipt of service of this Order, Defendants and all persons in active concert and participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order, including the Third Party Service Providers who satisfy those requirements, are restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions for twenty-eight (28) days from the date of this order, and for such further period as may be provided by order of this Court:

- 1) operation of Defendants' User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts in violation of this Order; and
- 2) instructing, aiding, or abetting Defendants and/or any other person or business entity in engaging in any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs I(A)(1) through I(A)(8), I(B)(1) and I(C)(1) above.

**II. Order to Show Cause Why A Preliminary Injunction  
Should Not Issue And Order Of Notice**

A. Defendants are hereby ORDERED to show cause before this Court in Courtroom 1105 of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York at 40 Foley Square, New York, New York on August 28, 2024 at 3:45 p.m. or at such other time that this Court deems appropriate, why a preliminary injunction, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a), should not issue.

B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that opposing papers, if any, shall be filed electronically with the Court on or before August 21, 2024. Plaintiff shall file any Reply papers on or before noon on August 26, 2024. By the same date, Plaintiff shall filed a proposed Preliminary Injunction.

C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants are hereby given notice that failure to appear at the show cause hearing scheduled in **Paragraph II(A)** above may result in the

imposition of a preliminary injunction against them pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, which may take effect immediately upon the expiration of this Order, and may extend throughout the length of the litigation under the same terms and conditions set forth in this Order.

### **III. Asset Restraining Order**

- A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 64 and 65 and N.Y. C.P.L.R. 6201 and this Court's inherent equitable power to issue provisional remedies ancillary to its authority to provide final equitable relief, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within five (5) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Financial Institutions shall locate and attach Defendants' Financial Accounts and/or Defendants' Assets and shall provide written confirmation of such attachment to Plaintiff's counsel.

### **IV. Order Authorizing Bifurcated and Alternative Service by Electronic Means**

- A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED pursuant to Rule 4(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Article 15 of the Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters (the "Hague Convention"), as sufficient cause has been shown, that service may be made on, and shall be deemed effective as to all Defendants -- for purposes of this temporary restraining order and any preliminary injunction only -- if it is completed by the following means:
- 1) delivery of: (i) PDF copies of this Order together with the Summons and Complaint, and (ii) a link to a secure website (including NutStore, a large mail link created through Rmail.com and via website publication through a specific page dedicated to this Lawsuit accessible through [ipcounselorslawsuit.com](http://ipcounselorslawsuit.com)) where each Defendant will be able to download PDF copies of this Order together with the Summons and Complaint, and all papers filed in support of Plaintiff's Application seeking this Order to

Defendants' e-mail addresses to be determined after having been identified by Walmart Marketplace pursuant to **Paragraph V(C)**.<sup>3</sup>

B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that such alternative service by electronic means ordered herein shall be made within five (5) days of the Financial Institutions and Third Party Service Providers' compliance with **Paragraphs III(A)** and **V(C)** of this Order.

C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that service may be made and shall be deemed effective as to the following -- for purposes of this temporary restraining order and any preliminary injunction only -- if it is completed by the below means:

- 1) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where PayPal Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to PayPal Legal Specialist at [EEOMALegalSpecialist@paypal.com](mailto:EEOMALegalSpecialist@paypal.com);
- 2) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (iii) a link to a secure website where Walmart Marketplace will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to [trolegalcomms@walmartlegal.com](mailto:trolegalcomms@walmartlegal.com);
- 3) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Payoneer Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to [thirdpartyrequests@payoneer.com](mailto:thirdpartyrequests@payoneer.com);

---

<sup>3</sup> Plaintiff requested that the Court direct the Clerk of Court to issue a single summons directed to all Defendants. Because there are only eight defendants named in the Complaint, that request is denied.

- 4) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where PingPong Global Solutions Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to legal-int@pingpongx.com; and
- 5) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Airwallex will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to kking@maglaw.com.

**V. Order Authorizing Expedited Discovery**

A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that:

- 1) Within fourteen (14) days after receiving service of this Order, each Defendant shall serve upon Plaintiff's counsel a written report under oath providing:
  - a. their true name and physical address;
  - b. the name and location and URL of any and all websites that Defendants own and/or operate and the name, location, account numbers and URL for any and all User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts on any Third Party Service Provider platform that Defendants own and/or operate;
  - c. the complete sales records for any and all sales of Counterfeit Products, including but not limited to number of units sold, the price per unit, total gross revenues received (in U.S. dollars) and the dates thereof;
  - d. the account details for any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts, including, but not limited to, the account numbers and current account balances; and
  - e. the steps taken by each Defendant, or other person served to comply with **Section I**, above.
- 2) Plaintiff may serve interrogatories pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as well as Local Civil Rule 33.3 of the Local Rules for the Southern



and Eastern Districts of New York and Defendants who are served with this Order shall provide written responses under oath to such interrogatories within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.

- 3) Plaintiff may serve requests for the production of documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and 34, and Defendants who are served with this Order and the requests for the production of documents shall produce all documents responsive to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.

B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within five (5) days of receipt of service of this Order the Financial Institutions served with this Order shall identify any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts and/or Defendants' Assets, and provide Plaintiff's counsel with a summary report containing account details for any and all such accounts, which shall include, at a minimum, identifying information for Defendants, including contact information for Defendants (including, but not limited to, mailing addresses and e-mail addresses), account numbers and account balances for any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts and confirmation of said compliance with this Order.

C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within five (5) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Third Party Service Providers served with this Order shall identify any and all of Defendants' User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, and provide Plaintiff's counsel with a summary report containing account details for any and all User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, which shall include, at a minimum, identifying information for Defendants and Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, contact information for Defendants (including, but not limited to,

mailing addresses and e-mail addresses) and confirmation of said compliance with this Order.

D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that:

- 1) Within fourteen (14) days of receiving actual notice of this Order, all Financial Institutions who are served with this Order shall provide Plaintiff's counsel all documents and records in their possession, custody or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad) relating to any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts and/or Defendants' Assets, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:
  - a. account numbers;
  - b. current account balances;
  - c. any and all identifying information for Defendants, Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, but not limited to, names, addresses and contact information;
  - d. any and all account opening documents and records, including, but not limited to, account applications, signature cards, identification documents and if a business entity, any and all business documents provided for the opening of each and every of Defendants' Financial Accounts;
  - e. any and all deposits and withdrawals during the previous year from each and every one of Defendants' Financial Accounts and any and all supporting documentation, including, but not limited to, deposit slips, withdrawal slips, cancelled checks and account statements; and
  - f. any and all wire transfers into each and every one of Defendants' Financial Accounts during the previous year, including, but not limited to, documents

sufficient to show the identity of the destination of the transferred funds, the identity of the beneficiary's bank and the beneficiary's account number.

E. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that:

- 1) Within fourteen (14) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Third Party Service Providers served with this Order shall provide to Plaintiff's counsel all documents and records in its possession, custody or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad) relating to Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:
  - a. any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts and account details, including, without limitation, identifying information and account numbers for any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts that Defendants have ever had and/or currently maintain with the Third Party Service Providers that were not previously provided pursuant to Paragraph V(C);
  - b. the identities, location and contact information, including any and all e-mail addresses of Defendants that were not previously provided pursuant to Paragraph V(C);
  - c. the nature of Defendants' businesses and operations, methods of payment, methods for accepting payment and any and all financial information, including, but not limited to, information associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, a full accounting of Defendants' sales history and listing history under such accounts and Defendants' Financial Accounts with any and all Financial Institutions associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts; and

d. Defendants' manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale and/or selling of Counterfeit Products, or any other products bearing the Sp5der Marks and/or marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute an infringement of the Sp5der Marks.

**VI. Security Bond**

A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall place security in the amount of Twenty-Five Thousand \_\_\_\_\_ Dollars (\$25,000 \_\_\_\_\_) with the Court which amount is determined adequate for the payment of any damages any person may be entitled to recover as a result of an improper or wrongful restraint ordered hereunder.

**VII. Sealing Order**

A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint and exhibits attached thereto, and Plaintiff's *ex parte* Application and the Declarations of CB Rucker and Gabriela N. Nastasi in support thereof and exhibits attached thereto, and this Order shall remain sealed until the Financial Institutions and Third Party Service Providers comply with **Paragraphs I(B)-(C), III(A) and V(C)** of this Order. Plaintiff shall file a letter regarding the continued need for sealing when (1) there is no longer a need to keep the matter under seal or (2) within fourteen (14) days, which is earlier.

**SO ORDERED.**

SIGNED this 2nd day of August, 2024, at 5:10 p.m.



---

HON. JESSE M. FURMAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE