discovery of any matter relevant to the subject matter involved in the action." In Internet infringement cases, courts routinely find good cause exists to issue a Rule 45 subpoena to discover a Doe defendant's identity, prior to a Rule 26(f) conference, where: (1) plaintiff makes a prima facie showing of a claim of copyright infringement, (2) plaintiff submits a specific discovery request, (3) there is an absence of alternative means to obtain the subpoenaed information, (4) there is a central need for the subpoenaed information, and (5) defendants have a minimal expectation of privacy. See Arista Records, LLC v. Doe 3, 604 F.3d 110 (2d Cir. 2010) (citing Sony Music Entm't v. Does 1-40, 326 F.Supp.2d 556, 564-65 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (numbers added)); Malibu Media, LLC v. John Does 1-11, 2013 WL 3732839 (S.D.N.Y. 2013) (same); John Wiley & Sons, Inc. v. Doe Nos. 1-30, 284 F.R.D. 185, 189 (S.D.N.Y. 2012) (same).

Plaintiff has good cause here as will be set forth in its motion. Plaintiff will present a prima facie claim of copyright infringement in its motion seeking the discovery. See Complaint at ¶¶ 31-33. See 17 U.S.C. §106; Malibu Media, LLC v. John Does 1-11, 2013 WL 3732839 (S.D.N.Y. 2013). Plaintiff's requests will be specific, and Plaintiff will demonstrate there is no alternative means to obtain the central information and that defendant here has a minimal See Arista Records, 604 F. 3d 110, 117 (2d Cir. 2010) ("[T]o the expectation of privacy. extent that anonymity is used to mask copyright infringement or to facilitate such infringement by other persons, it is unprotected by the First Amendment."); John Wiley & Sons, Inc. v. Doe Nos. 1-30, 284 F.R.D. 185, 191 (S.D.N.Y. 2012) ("ISP subscribers have a minimal expectation of privacy in the transmission or distribution of copyrighted material."); Malibu Media, LLC v. John Does 1-11, 2013 WL 3732839 (S.D.N.Y. 2013) (same).

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should permit Plaintiff to file a Motion seeking Appl teate Leave to File a Third Party Subpoena Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(1) and adjourn any scheduled Initial Rule 16 Scheduling Conference until the Defendant is named and served. Kouri Ricie Bichwild 1805 (1845) 10/22/24 scheduled Initial Rule 16 Scheduling Conference until the Defendant is named and served.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jacqueline M. James Jacqueline M. James, Esq. (1845)

The James Law Firm, PLLC

445 Hamilton Avenue

Suite 1102

White Plains, New York 10601

T: 914-358-6423

F: 914-358-6424

E-mail: jjames@jacquelinejameslaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff