

JOHN P. CRONAN, United States District Judge:

The Complaint in this action was filed on October 16, 2024. Dkt. 1. Defendant has not appeared in this action, and the docket does not reflect whether Defendant has been served. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), "[i]f a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Nor have Plaintiffs requested an extension of time to serve Defendant.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs are ordered to file a status letter by February 10, 2025, describing (1) whether service of the summons and Complaint has been made on Defendant, and if not, (2) why good cause exists to excuse Plaintiffs' failure to serve Defendant within the 90-day deadline set by Rule 4(m). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) ("[I]f the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period."). The Court reminds Plaintiffs that "[t]o establish good case a plaintiff must demonstrate that despite diligent attempts, service could not be made due to exceptional circumstances beyond his or her control." *Deptula v. Rosen*, 558 F. Supp. 3d 73, 75 (S.D.N.Y. 2021) (internal quotation marks omitted).

Plaintiffs must file this letter even if service of the Summons and Complaint is or has already been made on Defendant. If Defendant has been served, Plaintiffs must also file proof of service on the docket no later than February 10, 2025. If no such letter is filed, the Court may dismiss the case for failure to prosecute.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 27, 2025

New York, New York

JOHN P. CRONAN

United States District Judge